



On the growth of derivatives of algebraic polynomials in regions with a piecewise quasicircle with zero angles

U. Değer^a, F. G. Abdullayev^{a,b}

^aMersin University, Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics, 33343 Mersin, Turkey

^bInstitute of Mathematics and Mechanics MSE Republic of Azerbaijan

Abstract. In this paper, we study the growth for the m -th derivatives of an arbitrary algebraic polynomial in bounded and unbounded regions with piecewise-quasicircle boundary having interior and exterior zero angles in the weighted Lebesgue spaces.

1. Introduction and definitions

Let $\bar{\mathbb{C}} := \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ where \mathbb{C} be a complex plane and $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a bounded Jordan region with boundary $L := \partial G$ (without loss of generality, let $0 \in G$); $\Omega := \bar{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \bar{G} = \text{ext}L$. For $t \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\delta > 0$, let $\Delta(t, \delta) := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - t| > \delta\}$; $\Delta := \Delta(0, 1)$ and $B(t, \delta) := \{w \in \mathbb{C} : |w - t| < \delta\}$; $B := B(0, 1)$. Let $\Phi : \Omega \rightarrow \Delta$ be the univalent conformal mapping normalized by $\Phi(\infty) = \infty$ and $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Phi(z)}{z} > 0$; $\Psi := \Phi^{-1}$.

On the other hand, let us set

$$L_t := \{z : |\Phi(z)| = t\}, L_1 \equiv L, G_t := \text{int}L_t, \Omega_t := \text{ext}L_t;$$

for $t \geq 1$. Moreover, let $d(z, L) := \text{dist}(z, L) = \inf \{|\zeta - z| : \zeta \in L\}$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $L \subset \mathbb{C}$; and \wp_n denotes the class of all algebraic polynomials $P_n(z)$ of degree at most $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^l \in L$ be the fixed system of distinct points. For some fixed $R_0, 1 < R_0 < \infty$, and $z \in \bar{G}_{R_0}$, consider generalized Jacobi weight function by

$$h(z) := h_0(z) \prod_{j=1}^l |z - z_j|^{\gamma_j}, \tag{1}$$

where $\gamma_j > -1$, for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, l, z \in G_{R_0}$ and $h_0(z) \geq c_0(L) > 0$ for some constant $c_0(L) > 0$.

For each $0 < p \leq \infty$ and rectifiable Jordan curve $L = \partial G$, we introduce the following norms:

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_n\|_p &:= \|P_n\|_{\mathcal{L}_p(h,L)} := \left(\int_L h(z) |P_n(z)|^p |dz| \right)^{1/p} < \infty, 0 < p < \infty, \\ \|P_n\|_\infty &:= \|P_n\|_{\mathcal{L}_\infty(1,L)} := \max_{z \in L} |P_n(z)|, p = \infty; \mathcal{L}_p(1, L) =: \mathcal{L}_p(L). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30A10; Secondary 30C10, 41A17.

Keywords. Algebraic polynomials; Conformal mapping; Quasicircle.

Received: 24 August 2023; Revised: 21 October 2023; Accepted: 26 October 2023

Communicated by Ljubiša D. R. Kočinac

Email addresses: udeger@mersin.edu.tr (U. Değer), fabdul@mersin.edu.tr; fahreddinabdullayev@gmail.com (F. G. Abdullayev)

It is well known that the Bernstein-Walsh inequality given below is often used in the theory of approximation of a function of a complex variable [45]:

$$\|P_n\|_{C(\overline{G}_R)} \leq |\Phi(z)|^n \|P_n\|_{C(\overline{G})}, \quad \forall P_n \in \wp_n. \tag{3}$$

An analogue of this inequality in space $\mathcal{L}_p(h, L)$ is as follows [32]:

$$\|P_n\|_{\mathcal{L}_p(L_R)} \leq |\Phi(z)|^{n+\frac{1}{p}} \|P_n\|_{\mathcal{L}_p(L)}, \quad \forall P_n \in \wp_n, \quad p > 0.$$

This estimate has been generalized in [15, Lemma 2.4] for weight function $h(z) \neq 1$, defined as in (1), as below:

$$\|P_n\|_{\mathcal{L}_p(h, L_R)} \leq |\Phi(z)|^{n+\frac{1+\gamma^*}{p}} \|P_n\|_{\mathcal{L}_p(h, L)}, \quad \gamma^* = \max\{0; \gamma_j : 1 \leq j \leq l\}. \tag{4}$$

If we consider the two-dimensional analogues of the quantities (2), i.e., integral over the region G , (we denote them by $\|P_n\|_{A_p(h, G)}$, $\|P_n\|_{A_p(1, G)}$ and $A_p(G)$ respectively), then corresponding estimations of the form (4) can also state for them. But to do this, the following definitions are needed.

Assume that $\varphi : G \rightarrow B$ is a conformal and univalent mapping which is normalized by $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\varphi'(0) > 0$; $\psi := \varphi^{-1}$. A bounded Jordan region G is called a κ -quasidisk, $0 \leq \kappa < 1$, if any conformal mapping ψ can be extended to a K -quasiconformal, $K = \frac{1+\kappa}{1-\kappa}$, homeomorphism of the plane \overline{C} on the \overline{C} (see [33, p. 100],[40]). In that case the curve $L := \partial G$ is called a κ -quasircle. The region G (curve L) is called a quasidisk (quasircle), if it is κ -quasidisk (κ -quasircle) for some $0 \leq \kappa < 1$. We denote this class by $Q(\kappa)$, $0 \leq \kappa < 1$, and say that $L = \partial G \in Q(\kappa)$, if $G \in Q(\kappa)$, $0 \leq \kappa < 1$. Also we say that $G \in \widetilde{Q}(\kappa)$, $0 \leq \kappa < 1$, if $G \in Q(\kappa)$ and ∂G is rectifiable. Furthermore, we denote that $G (L) \in Q$ (or \widetilde{Q}), if $G (L) \in Q(\kappa)$ (or $\widetilde{Q}(\kappa)$) for some $0 \leq \kappa < 1$. Note that quasircles can be non-rectifiable (see, for example, [26], [33, p.104]). Recall that there is a geometric definition of quasiconformal curve in [33, p.102]. A curve L is said to be quasiconformal if for arbitrary points $z_1 \in L$ and $z_2 \in L$, the diameter of the shorter arc $l(z_1, z_2)$ of the curve L joining points z_1, z_2 satisfies the inequality

$$\frac{\text{diam } l(z_1, z_2)}{|z_1 - z_2|} \leq c < +\infty. \tag{5}$$

In [1] the analogue of the inequalities (3) and (4) holds as follows

$$\|P_n\|_{A_p(h, G_R)} \leq c_1 [1 + c_2(|\Phi(z)| - 1)]^{n+\frac{1}{p}} \|P_n\|_{A_p(h, G)}, \quad |\Phi(z)| = R > 1, \quad p > 0, \tag{6}$$

for arbitrary region $G \in Q$ and the weight function $h(z)$ given in (1) where $c_2 > 0$ and $c_1 := c_1(G, p, c_2) > 0$ constants, independent of n and z . Moreover, estimate (6) was generalized for arbitrary Jordan region G and $P_n \in \wp_n$ as below in [12, Theorem 1.1]:

$$\|P_n\|_{A_p(G_R)} \leq c_3 |\Phi(z)|^{n+\frac{2}{p}} \|P_n\|_{A_p(G_{R_1})}, \quad |\Phi(z)| = R > R_1 = 1 + \frac{1}{n}, \quad p > 0,$$

where $c_3 = \left(\frac{2}{e^p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right]$, $n \rightarrow \infty$, is asymptotically sharp constant.

A new version of the Bernstein-Walsh lemma for the regions with a rectifiable quasiconformal boundary is found as follows in [43]:

$$|P_n(z)| \leq c(L) \frac{\sqrt{n}}{d(z, L)} \|P_n\|_{A_2(G)} |\Phi(z)|^{n+1}, \quad z \in \Omega,$$

where $c(L) > 0$ is a constant depending only of L .

Suppose that S is a rectifiable Jordan curve (or arc) and $z = z(s)$ is the natural parametrization of S for $s \in [0, |S|]$, $|S| := \text{mes } S$. Let z_1, z_2 be an arbitrary points on S and $l(z_1, z_2)$ denotes the subarc of S of shorter diameter with endpoints z_1 and z_2 (including the endpoints) as mentioned above. Following [41, p.163], we say that a bounded Jordan curve S is λ -quasismooth (in the sense of Lavrentiev) curve, if for every pair $z_1, z_2 \in S$, there exists a constant $\lambda := \lambda(S) \geq 1$, such that

$$|l(z_1, z_2)| \leq \lambda |z_1 - z_2|, \quad z_1, z_2 \in S, \tag{7}$$

where $|l(z_1, z_2)|$ is the linear measure (length) of $l(z_1, z_2)$.

The problem on uniform and pointwise estimates for the $|P_n^{(m)}(z)|$, $m \geq 0$, in \bar{G} and Ω , was investigated in [17] where $m = 0$ and L is a λ -quasismooth curve and the similar problem was considered in [10] for more general class of curves without any cusps, contained also λ -quasismooth curves in case of $m \geq 0$ and the following evaluations were obtained:

$$|P_n^{(m)}(z)| \leq c_4 \|P_n\|_p \begin{cases} \mu_n, & z \in \bar{G}, \\ \eta_n, & z \in \Omega, \end{cases} \tag{8}$$

where $c_4 = c_4(L, p, m, \gamma) > 0$ is a constant independent of $n, h, P_n, \mu_n = \mu_n(L, h, p) > 0$ and $\eta_n = \eta_n(L, h, p, z) \rightarrow \infty$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, are constants depending on the properties of the L, h . Moreover, we addressed the results to the statement (8) with G being a bounded region by a piecewise quasismooth curve having a finite number interior and exterior zero angles on the boundary in [30].

It is easy to see that quasismooth curves satisfy the inequality (5). Therefore, any quasismooth curve is quasicircle and they don't have any cusps. Moreover, according to [23, Lemma 3], there exists a rectifiable quasicircle which does not satisfy inequality (7). The estimate (8) for regions bounded by κ -quasicircle was studied in [14]. However, the evaluations of type (4) have not yet been studied for regions bounded by k -quasicircles with exterior and interior zero angles.

The aim of this study is to address this problem for regions bounded by piecewise rectifiable quasicircles having a finite number interior and exterior zero angles on the boundary.

After making some reminders, let's start giving the relevant definitions.

Throughout this study, c, c_0, c_1, c_2, \dots are positive constants and $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots$ are sufficiently small positive ones (generally, different in different relations), which depend on G in general and on parameters inessential for the argument. Otherwise, such dependence will be explicitly stated.

Definition 1.1. A Jordan arc ℓ is called κ -quasiarc for some $0 \leq \kappa < 1$, if ℓ is a part of some κ -quasicircle for the same $0 \leq \kappa < 1$.

We say that a bounded Jordan curve (arc) L is *locally κ -quasicircle* (κ -quasiarc) at the point $z \in L$, if there exists a closed subarc $\ell \subset L$ containing z such that every open subarc of the ℓ containing z is the κ -quasicircle (κ -quasiarc).

For any $i = 1, 2, \dots, k = 0, 1, 2$ and $\varepsilon_1 > 0$, we denote by $f_i : [0, \varepsilon_1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g_i : [0, \varepsilon_1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ twice differentiable functions such that

$$f_i(0) = g_i(0) = 0, \quad f_i^{(k)}(x) > 0, \quad g_i^{(k)}(x) > 0, \quad 0 < x \leq \varepsilon_1. \tag{9}$$

Further, the notation $i = \overline{k, m}$ means $i = k, k + 1, \dots, m$ for any $k \geq 0$ and $m > k$. Now let us give a new class of regions bounded by piecewise quasicircle having interior and exterior cusps at the connecting points of boundary arcs.

Definition 1.2. We say that a Jordan region $G \in \widetilde{PQ}(\kappa; f_i, g_i)$, for some $0 \leq \kappa < 1$, $f_i = f_i(x)$, $i = \overline{1, l_1}$ and $g_i = g_i(x)$, $i = \overline{l_1 + 1, l}$, defined as in (9), if $L = \partial G = \bigcup_{i=0}^l L_i$ is the union of the finite number of rectifiable κ_i -quasiarcs, $0 \leq \kappa_i < 1$, ($\kappa = \max \{\kappa_i, 0 \leq i \leq l\}$) L_i , connecting at the points $\{z_i\}_{i=0}^l \in L$ and such that L is a

locally κ -quasiarc at the $z_0 \in L \setminus \{z_i\}_{i=1}^l$ and, in the (x, y) local coordinate system with its origin at the z_i , $1 \leq i \leq l$, the following conditions are satisfied:

a) for every $z_i \in L$, $i = \overline{1, l_1}$, $l_1 \leq l$,

$$\begin{aligned} \{z = x + iy : |z| \leq \varepsilon_1, c_{11}^i f_i(x) \leq y \leq c_{12}^i f_i(x), 0 \leq x \leq \varepsilon_1\} &\subset \overline{G}, \\ \{z = x + iy : |z| \leq \varepsilon_1, |y| \geq \varepsilon_2 x, 0 \leq x \leq \varepsilon_1\} &\subset \overline{\Omega}; \end{aligned}$$

b) for every $z_i \in L$, $i = \overline{l_1 + 1, l}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \{z = x + iy : |z| < \varepsilon_3, c_{21}^i g_i(x) \leq y \leq c_{22}^i g_i(x), 0 \leq x \leq \varepsilon_3\} &\subset \overline{\Omega}, \\ \{z = x + iy : |z| < \varepsilon_3, |y| \geq \varepsilon_4 x, 0 \leq x \leq \varepsilon_3\} &\subset \overline{G}, \end{aligned}$$

for some constants $-\infty < c_{11}^i < c_{12}^i < \infty$, $-\infty < c_{21}^i < c_{22}^i < \infty$ and $\varepsilon_s > 0$, $s = \overline{1, 4}$.

From Definition 1.2 it is clear that each region $G \in \widetilde{PQ}(\kappa; f_i, g_i)$ may have l_1 interior and $l - l_1$ exterior zero angles (with respect to \overline{G}) at the points $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^l \in L$. If a region G does not have interior zero angles ($l_1 = 0$) (exterior zero angles ($l_1 = l$)), then it is written as $G \in \widetilde{PQ}(\kappa; 0, g_i)$ ($G \in \widetilde{PQ}(\kappa; f_i, 0)$). If a region G does not have such angles ($l = 0$), then G is bounded by a rectifiable κ -quasicircle and in this case we set $\widetilde{PQ}(\kappa, 0, 0) \equiv \widetilde{Q}(\kappa)$.

Throughout this work, we shall assume that the points $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^l \in L$ defined in (1) and Definition 1.2 are the same. Without loss of generality, we will also assume that the points $\{z_i\}_{i=0}^l$ are ordered in the positive direction on the curve L such that G has interior zero angles at the points $\{z_i\}_{i=1}^{l_1}$, if $l_1 \geq 1$ and exterior zero angles at the points $\{z_i\}_{i=l_1+1}^l$, if $l \geq l_1 + 1$.

Note that the similar results of the type (8) in various spaces for $m = 0$, the different weight functions and, unbounded regions ($z \in \Omega$) were studied in [6], [13]-[20], [4], [9], [31, p.418-428], [34], [39], [38], [43] and others. Moreover, the estimates of the type (8) for bounded regions ($z \in \overline{G}$), for the norms $\|P_n\|_{\mathcal{L}_p(h,L)}$ or $\|P_n\|_{A_p(h,G)}$, $p > 0$, for some weight functions $h(z)$ ($h(z) \equiv 1$ or $h(z) \neq 1$) were investigated in [1]-[7], [8], [22]-[28], [31, pp. 418-428], [34], [35, Sect. 5.3], [36], [37, pp.122-133], [39], [38], [42], [44] (see also the references cited therein) and others.

2. Main results

Let $U_\infty(L, \delta) := \bigcup_{\zeta \in L} U(\zeta, \delta)$ show the infinite open cover of the curve L and $U_N(L, \delta) := \bigcup_{j=1}^N U_j(L, \delta) \subset U_\infty(L, \delta)$ denote the finite open cover of the curve L where $\delta := \min_{1 \leq j \leq l} \delta_j$ for $L = \partial G$ and $0 < \delta_j < \delta_0 := \frac{1}{4} \min \{|z_i - z_j| : i, j = \overline{1, l}, i \neq j\}$. Besides that $\Omega_t(z_j, \delta_j) := \Omega_t \cap \{z : |z - z_j| \leq \delta_j\}$; $\Omega_t(\delta) := \bigcup_{j=1}^l \Omega_t(z_j, \delta)$, $\widehat{\Omega}_t(\delta) := \Omega_t \setminus \Omega_t(\delta)$ for $t \geq 1$. Additionally, let $\Delta_j := \Phi(\Omega_t(z_j, \delta))$, $\Delta_t(\delta) := \bigcup_{j=1}^l \Phi(\Omega_t(z_j, \delta))$, $\widehat{\Delta}_t(\delta) := \Delta_t \setminus \Delta_t(\delta)$. Clearly, $\Omega_t = \bigcup_{j=1}^l \Omega_{t,j}$, $F^i := \Phi(L^i) = \overline{\Delta}_{t,i}' \cap \{\tau : |\tau| = 1\}$, $F_t^i := \Phi(L_t^i) = \overline{\Delta}_{t,i}' \cap \{\tau : |\tau| = t\}$ where $\Omega_{t,j} := \Psi(\Delta_{t,j}')$ and $L_t^j := L_t \cap \overline{\Omega}_{t,j}$, $i = \overline{1, l}$.

During this study, we will use the abbreviations defined below

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_1^i & : = \frac{1 + (\gamma_i + 1)(1 + \bar{\kappa})}{2 + \bar{\kappa}}; p_2^i := \frac{(\gamma_i + 1)(1 + \kappa) + (1 + \beta_i)}{(1 + \kappa) + (1 + \beta_i)}; \\
 p_3 & : = \frac{(\gamma_2 + 1)(1 + \kappa) - (1 + \bar{\kappa})(1 + \beta_2)}{(1 + \bar{\kappa})(1 + \beta_2) - (1 + \kappa)}; p_4^i := 1 + (\gamma_i + 1)(1 + \bar{\kappa}); \\
 p_5^i & : = 1 + (\gamma_i + 1) \frac{1 + \kappa}{1 + \beta_i}; p_6^i(m) := \frac{(\gamma_i + 1)(1 + \kappa) + (1 + \beta_i)}{(1 + \beta_i) - (m - 1)(1 + \kappa)}; \\
 p_6(2) & : = \frac{(\gamma_2 + 1)(1 + \kappa) + (1 + \beta_2)}{(1 + \beta_2) - (1 + \kappa)}; p_6^*(m; i) := \frac{(\gamma_i^* + 1)(1 + \kappa) + (1 + \beta_i)}{(1 + \beta_i) - m(1 + \kappa)}; \\
 p_7 & : = \frac{(\gamma_2 + 1)(1 + \kappa) - (1 + \bar{\kappa})(1 + \beta_2)}{[(1 + \bar{\kappa})(1 + \beta_2) - (1 + \kappa)](m - 1)}; p_8^i := \frac{(1 + \kappa) + (1 + \beta_i)}{(1 + \beta_i) - (m - 1)(1 + \kappa)}; i = 1, 2; \\
 \gamma_k^* & : = \max\{0; \gamma_k, k = \overline{1, l}\}; \tilde{\gamma}_2 := \frac{\gamma_2(1 + \kappa)}{(1 + \kappa) + (1 + \beta_2)} \cdot \frac{2 + \bar{\kappa}}{1 + \bar{\kappa}}; \tilde{\gamma}_3 := \frac{\gamma_2(1 + \kappa)}{(1 + \bar{\kappa})(1 + \beta_2)}; \\
 \tilde{\gamma}_4 & : = 2 \left[\frac{(1 + \bar{\kappa})(1 + \beta_2)}{(1 + \kappa)} - 1 \right]; \tilde{\gamma}_5 := \frac{(\gamma_2 + 1)(1 + \kappa)}{(1 + \bar{\kappa})(1 + \beta_2)} - 1; \tilde{\gamma}_6 := m \left[\frac{(1 + \bar{\kappa})(1 + \beta_2)}{(1 + \kappa)} - 1 \right]; \\
 \tilde{\gamma}_1(m) & : = \frac{[(\gamma_2 + 1) + p(m - 1)](1 + \kappa)}{(1 + \bar{\kappa})(1 + \beta_2)} - p(m - 1) - 1; \tilde{\gamma}_7 := (p + 1) \left[\frac{(1 + \bar{\kappa})(1 + \beta_2)}{(1 + \kappa)} - 1 \right]
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{10}$$

where $\gamma_i > -1, \alpha_i \geq 0, \beta_i > 0$, and $\bar{\kappa} = \begin{cases} 1, & \alpha_i > 0, \\ \kappa, & \alpha_i = 0, \end{cases}$ with $0 < \kappa < 1$ for any $i = \overline{1, l}$ and $m \geq 1$. Now, we start to formulate the new results. We note that all parameters p and γ with different labels are taken from (10). Firstly we give recurrent estimate for $|P_n^{(m)}(z)|$ with $m = 1, 2, \dots$

Theorem 2.1. Let $p > 1; G \in \widetilde{PQ}(\kappa; f_i, g_i)$, for some $0 \leq \kappa < 1, f_i(x) = C_i x^{1+\alpha_i}, \alpha_i \geq 0, i = \overline{1, l_1}$, and $g_i(x) = C_i x^{1+\beta_i}, \beta_i > 0, i = \overline{l_1 + 1, l}; h(z)$ be defined as in (1). Then the inequality

$$|P_n^{(m)}(z)| \leq c_1 |\Phi^{n+1}(z)| \left\{ \frac{\|P_n\|_p}{d(z, L)} A_{n,p}^1(z, m) + \sum_{v=1}^m C_m^v B_{n,v}(z) |P_n^{(m-v)}(z)| \right\}
 \tag{11}$$

holds for any $\gamma_i > -1, i = \overline{1, l}, P_n \in \wp_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and each $m = 1, 2, \dots$ where $c_1 = c_1(L, \gamma_i, \beta, m, p) > 0$ is a constant independent of n and z . Here, $C_m^v := \frac{m(m-1)\dots(m-v+1)}{v!}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{n,p}^1(z, m) & := \\
 & = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{l_1} n^{\left(\frac{\gamma_i+1}{p} + m - 1\right)(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & p > 1, m \geq 2 \\ \sum_{i=1}^{l_1} n^{\frac{\gamma_i+1}{p}(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & p < p_{4'}^i, m = 1 \\ (n \ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = p_{4'}^i, m = 1 \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p > p_{4'}^i, m = 1 \end{cases} + \begin{cases} \sum_{i=l_1+1}^l n^{\left(\frac{\gamma_i+1}{p} + m - 1\right)\frac{1+\kappa}{1+\beta_i}}, & p > 1, m \geq 2, \beta_i < (m - 1)(1 + \kappa) - 1, \\ \sum_{i=l_1+1}^l n^{\left(\frac{\gamma_i+1}{p} + m - 1\right)\frac{1+\kappa}{1+\beta_i}}, & p < p_6^i(m), m \geq 2, \beta_i \geq (m - 1)(1 + \kappa) - 1, \\ \sum_{i=l_1+1}^l n^{\frac{\gamma_i+1}{p} \frac{1+\kappa}{1+\beta_i}}, & p < p_5^i, m = 1, \beta_i > 0, \\ (n \ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = p_5^i, m = 1, \beta_i > 0, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p > p_5^i, m = 1, \beta_i > 0, \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

if $\gamma_i > 0$ and

$$A_{n,p}^1(z, m) :=$$

$$= \begin{cases} n^{\left(\frac{1}{p}+m-1\right)(1+\tilde{\kappa})}, & p > 1, & m \geq 2, \\ n^{\frac{1}{p}(1+\tilde{\kappa})}, & p < 2 + \tilde{\kappa}, & m = 1, \\ (n \ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = 2 + \tilde{\kappa}, & m = 1, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p > 2 + \tilde{\kappa}, & m = 1, \end{cases} + \begin{cases} \sum_{i=l_1+1}^l n^{\left(\frac{1}{p}+m-1\right)\frac{1+\kappa}{1+\beta_i}}, & p > 1, & m \geq 2, \\ \sum_{i=l_1+1}^l n^{\left(\frac{1}{p}+m-1\right)\frac{1+\kappa}{1+\beta_i}}, & 1 < p < p_8^i, & m \geq 2, \\ \sum_{i=l_1+1}^l n^{\frac{1+\kappa}{1+\beta_i}}, & p < 1 + \frac{1+\kappa}{1+\beta_i}, & m = 1, \beta_i > 0, \\ (n \ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = 1 + \frac{1+\kappa}{1+\beta_i}, & m = 1, \beta_i > 0, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p > 1 + \frac{1+\kappa}{1+\beta_i}, & m = 1, \beta_i > 0, \end{cases}$$

if $-1 < \gamma_i \leq 0$,

$$B_{n,v}^1(z) := n^{v(1+\tilde{\kappa})}, \quad v = \overline{1, m},$$

if $z \in \Omega_R(\delta)$;

$$A_{n,p}^1(z, m) := \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{l_1} n^{\left(\frac{\gamma_i+1}{p}-1\right)(1+\tilde{\kappa})}, & p < p_1^i, \quad \gamma_i > 0, \\ (n \ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = p_1^i, \quad \gamma_i > 0, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p > p_1^i, \quad \gamma_i > 0, \\ n^{\kappa(1-\frac{1}{p})}, & p > 1, \quad -1 < \gamma_i \leq 0, \end{cases} + \begin{cases} \sum_{i=l_1+1}^l n^{\frac{\gamma_i+1-p}{p(1+\beta_i)}(1+\kappa)}, & p < p_2^i, \quad \gamma_i > 0, \\ (n \ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = p_2^i, \quad \gamma_i > 0, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p > p_2^i, \quad \gamma_i > 0, \\ n^{\kappa(1-\frac{1}{p})}, & p > 1, \quad -1 < \gamma_i \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

$$B_{n,v}(z) := n^\kappa, \quad v = \overline{1, m},$$

if $z \in \widetilde{\Omega}_R(\delta)$.

Now, we assume that $i = 1, 2$; $l_1 = 1, l = 2$ for simplicity of our presentations, i.e. the region G may have one interior zero (or nonzero) angle having " f_1 -touching" with $f_1(x) = C_1x^{1+\alpha_1}$, $\alpha_1 \geq 0$, at the point z_1 and exterior zero angle having " g_2 -touching" with $g_2(x) = C_2x^{1+\beta_2}$, $\beta_2 > 0$, at the point z_2 , for some constants $-\infty < C_1 < +\infty$, $-\infty < C_2 < +\infty$, where $C_1 := C_1(c_1, c_2)$, $C_2 := C_2(c_3, c_4)$ and constants c_i , $i = \overline{1, 4}$, taken from Definition 1.2. In this case, combining the terms relating to the inner and outer corners, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.2. Let $p > 1$; $G \in \widetilde{PQ}(\kappa; f_1, g_2)$, for some $0 \leq \kappa < 1$, $f_1(x) = C_1x^{1+\alpha_1}$, $\alpha_1 \geq 0$, and $g_2(x) = C_2x^{1+\beta_2}$, $\beta_2 > 0$; $h(z)$ defined as in (1) for $l = 2$. Then, the following inequality holds

$$|P_n^{(m)}(z)| \leq c_2 \left| \Phi^{n+1}(z) \left\{ \frac{\|P_n\|_p}{d(z, L)} A_{n,p}^2(z, m) + \sum_{v=1}^m C_m^v B_{n,v}^2(z) |P_n^{(m-v)}(z)| \right\} \right| \quad (12)$$

for any $\gamma_i > -1$, $i = 1, 2$, and $P_n \in \wp_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $c_2 = c_2(L, \gamma_i, \beta, m, p) > 0$ is a constant independent of n . Here,

$$A_{n,p}^2(z, m) := \begin{cases} n^{\left(\frac{\gamma_1+1}{p}+m-1\right)(1+\tilde{\kappa})}, & 1 < p < p_7, \quad \gamma_1 \geq \tilde{\gamma}_1(m), \quad \gamma_2 > \tilde{\gamma}_6, \quad \beta_2 > 0, \\ n^{\left(\frac{\gamma_1+1}{p}+m-1\right)(1+\tilde{\kappa})}, & p > 1, \quad \gamma_1 > 0, \quad \gamma_2 \leq \tilde{\gamma}_6, \quad \beta_2 < (m-1)(1+\kappa)-1, \\ n^{\left(\frac{\gamma_2+1}{p}+m-1\right)\frac{1+\kappa}{1+\beta_2}}, & 1 < p < p_7, \quad 0 < \gamma_1 < \tilde{\gamma}_1(m), \quad \gamma_2 > \tilde{\gamma}_6, \quad \beta_2 > 0, \end{cases}$$

if $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > 0$,

$$A_{n,p}^2(z, m) = \begin{cases} n^{\left(\frac{1}{p}+m-1\right)(1+\tilde{\kappa})}, & p > 1, & m \geq 2, \quad \beta_2 \leq (m-1)(1+\kappa)-1, \\ n^{\left(\frac{1}{p}+m-1\right)(1+\tilde{\kappa})}, & 1 < p < p_8^2, & m \geq 2, \quad \beta_2 > (m-1)(1+\kappa)-1, \\ n^{\frac{1}{p}(1+\tilde{\kappa})}, & p < 2 + \tilde{\kappa}, & m = 1, \quad \beta_2 > 0, \\ (n \ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = 2 + \tilde{\kappa}, & m = 1, \quad \beta_2 > 0, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p > 2 + \tilde{\kappa}, & m = 1, \quad \beta_2 > 0, \end{cases}$$

if $-1 < \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \leq 0$ and

$$B_{n,v}^2(z) := n^{v(1+\bar{\kappa})}, v = \overline{1, m},$$

for the $z \in \Omega_R(\delta)$ and

$$A_{n,p}^2(z, m) = \begin{cases} n^{\left(\frac{\gamma_1+1}{p}-1\right)(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & 1 < p < p_1^1, & \gamma_1 \geq \bar{\gamma}_3, & \beta_2 > 0, \\ (n \ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = p_1^1, & \gamma_1 \geq \bar{\gamma}_2, & \beta_2 > 0, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p > p_1^1, & \gamma_1 \geq \bar{\gamma}_2, & \beta_2 > 0, \\ n^{\left[\frac{\gamma_2+1}{p}-1\right]\frac{1+\bar{\kappa}}{1+\beta_2}}, & 1 < p < p_2^2, & 0 < \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_3, & \beta_2 > 0, \\ (n \ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = p_2^2, & 0 < \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_2, & \beta_2 > 0, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p > p_2^2, & 0 < \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_2, & \beta_2 > 0, \end{cases}$$

if $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > 0$,

$$A_{n,p}^2(z, m) = \begin{cases} n^{\kappa(1-\frac{1}{p})} & p > 1, & \beta_2 > 0, \end{cases}$$

if $-1 < \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \leq 0$ and $B_{n,v}(z) := n^\kappa, v = \overline{1, m}$, for the $z \in \widehat{\Omega}_R(\delta)$.

The formula (11) allows one to sequentially obtain an estimate for $|P_n^{(m)}(z)|$, for each $m \geq 1$. First, we get an estimate for $|P'_n(z)|$ by setting $m = 1$ and using the estimate $|P_n(z)|$. In case of $m \geq 2$, the estimations are made sequentially by applying the estimates (11) (or (12)).

First, let's give the evaluation for $|P_n(z)|$.

Theorem 2.3. Let $p > 1; G \in \widetilde{PQ}(\kappa; f_1, g_2)$, for some $0 \leq \kappa < 1, f_1(x) = C_1x^{1+\alpha_1}, \alpha_1 \geq 0$ and $g_2(x) = C_2x^{1+\beta_2}, \beta_2 > 0; h(z)$ be defined as in (1) for $l = 2$. Then, the following inequality holds

$$|P_n(z)| \leq c_3 \frac{|\Phi^{n+1}(z)| \|P_n\|_p}{d(z, L)} A_{n,p}^3(z) \tag{13}$$

for any $\gamma_i > -1, i = 1, 2, P_n \in \wp_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $z \in \Omega_R$, where $c_3 = c_3(L, \gamma_i, \beta, p) > 0$ is a constant independent of n and z . Here,

$$A_{n,p}^3(z) := \begin{cases} n^{\left(\frac{\gamma_1+1}{p}-1\right)(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & 1 < p < p_1^1, & \gamma_1 \geq \bar{\gamma}_3, & \gamma_2 > 0, & \beta_2 > 0, \\ (n \ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = p_1^1, & \gamma_1 \geq \bar{\gamma}_2, & \gamma_2 > 0, & \beta_2 > 0, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p > p_1^1, & \gamma_1 \geq \bar{\gamma}_2, & \gamma_2 > 0, & \beta_2 > 0, \\ n^{\left[\frac{\gamma_2+1}{p}-1\right]\frac{1+\bar{\kappa}}{1+\beta_2}}, & 1 < p < p_2^2, & 0 < \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_3, & \gamma_2 > 0, & \beta_2 > 0, \\ (n \ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = p_2^2, & 0 < \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_2, & \gamma_2 > 0, & \beta_2 > 0, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p > p_2^2, & 0 < \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_2, & \gamma_2 > 0, & \beta_2 > 0, \\ n^{\kappa(1-\frac{1}{p})} & p > 1, & -1 < \gamma_1 \leq 0, & -1 < \gamma_2 \leq 0, & \beta_2 > 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that, analogous result for $|P_n(z)|, p > 0$, obtained in [14]. But, this theorem for $p > 2$ gives a better estimate.

According to Corollary 2.2 and Theorem 2.3, we obtain the following result for $|P'_n(z)|$ at each point $z \in \Omega_R$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $p > 1; G \in \widetilde{PQ}(\kappa; f_1, g_2)$, for some $0 \leq \kappa < 1, f_1(x) = C_1x^{1+\alpha_1}, \alpha_1 \geq 0$ and $g_2(x) = C_2x^{1+\beta_2}, \beta_2 > 0; h(z)$ be defined as in (1) for $l = 2$. Then, the inequality

$$|P'_n(z)| \leq c_4 \frac{|\Phi^{2(n+1)}(z)| \|P_n\|_p}{d(z, L)} A_{n,p}^4(z) \tag{14}$$

holds for any $\gamma_i > -1$, $i = 1, 2$, $\beta_2 > 0$, $P_n \in \wp_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $z \in \Omega_R$, where $c_4 = c_4(L, \gamma_i, \beta, p) > 0$ is a constant independent of n and z . Here,

$$A_{n,p}^4(z) := \begin{cases} n^{\frac{\gamma_1+1}{p}(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & 1 < p < p_1^1, \quad \gamma_1 \geq \tilde{\gamma}_3, \\ n^{\lfloor \frac{\gamma_2+1}{p} - 1 \rfloor \frac{1+\bar{\kappa}}{1+\beta_2} + (1+\bar{\kappa})}, & 1 < p < p_1^1, \quad \tilde{\gamma}_5 \leq \gamma_1 < \tilde{\gamma}_3, \\ n^{(1-\frac{1}{p})+(1+\bar{\kappa})} (\ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = p_1^1, \quad \gamma_1 \geq \tilde{\gamma}_2, \\ n^{(1-\frac{1}{p})+(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & p > p_1^1, \quad \gamma_1 \geq \tilde{\gamma}_2, \\ n^{(1-\frac{1}{p})+(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & p > p_4^1, \quad \tilde{\gamma}_5 \leq \gamma_1 < \tilde{\gamma}_2, \\ n^{(1-\frac{1}{p})+(1+\bar{\kappa})} (\ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = p_2^2, \quad \tilde{\gamma}_5 \leq \gamma_1 < \tilde{\gamma}_2, \\ n^{\lfloor \frac{\gamma_2+1}{p} - 1 \rfloor \frac{1+\bar{\kappa}}{1+\beta_2} + (1+\bar{\kappa})}, & 1 < p < p_2^2, \quad \gamma_1 < \tilde{\gamma}_5, \\ n^{(1-\frac{1}{p})+(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & p > p_2^2, \quad \gamma_1 < \tilde{\gamma}_2, \end{cases}$$

if $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > 0$,

$$A_{n,p}^4(z) := n^{\kappa(1-\frac{1}{p})+(1+\bar{\kappa})}, \quad p > 1,$$

if $-1 < \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \leq 0$ for the $z \in \Omega_R(\delta)$;

$$A_{n,p}^4(z) := \begin{cases} n^{(\frac{\gamma_1+1}{p}-1)(1+\bar{\kappa})+\kappa}, & 1 < p < p_1^1, \quad \gamma_1 \geq \tilde{\gamma}_3, \\ n^{\lfloor \frac{\gamma_2+1}{p} - 1 \rfloor \frac{1+\bar{\kappa}}{1+\beta_2} + \kappa}, & 1 < p < p_2^2, \quad 0 < \gamma_1 < \tilde{\gamma}_3, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}+\kappa} (\ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = p_1^1, \quad \gamma_1 \geq \tilde{\gamma}_2, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}+\kappa} (\ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = p_2^2, \quad 0 < \gamma_1 < \tilde{\gamma}_2, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}+\kappa}, & p > p_1^1, \quad \gamma_1 \geq \tilde{\gamma}_2, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}+\kappa}, & p > p_2^2, \quad 0 < \gamma_1 < \tilde{\gamma}_2, \end{cases}$$

if $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > 0$,

$$A_{n,p}^4(z) := n^{\kappa(1-\frac{1}{p})+\kappa}, \quad p > 1,$$

if $-1 < \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \leq 0$ for the $z \in \tilde{\Omega}_R(\delta)$.

By considering Theorem 2.4 for $|P'_n(z)|$ and Theorem 2.3 for $|P_n(z)|$ in Corollary 2.2, we have the following result.

Theorem 2.5. Let $p > 1; G \in \tilde{PQ}(\kappa; f_1, g_2)$, for some $0 \leq \kappa < 1$, $f_1(x) = C_1 x^{1+\alpha_1}$, $\alpha_1 \geq 0$ and $g_2(x) = C_2 x^{1+\beta_2}$, $\beta_2 > 0$; $h(z)$ be defined as in (1) for $l = 2$. Then, the inequality

$$|P''_n(z)| \leq c_5 \frac{|\Phi^{3(n+1)}(z)| \|P_n\|_p}{d(z, L)} A_{n,p}^6(z) \tag{15}$$

holds for any $\gamma_i > -1$, $i = 1, 2$, $P_n \in \wp_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $z \in \Omega_R$, where $c_5 = c_5(L, \gamma_i, \beta, p) > 0$ is a constant independent of n and z . Here,

$$A_{n,p}^6(z) :=$$

$$= \begin{cases} n^{\left(\frac{\gamma_1+1}{p}+1\right)(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & 1 < p < p_3, & \gamma_1 \geq \bar{\gamma}_1(2), \\ n^{\left(\frac{\gamma_1+1}{p}+1\right)(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & p \geq p_3, & \beta_2 \leq \kappa, \\ n^{\left(\frac{\gamma_2+1}{p}+1\right)\frac{1+\kappa}{1+\beta_2}}, & p_3 \leq p < p_6(2), & \gamma_1 > 0, \\ & & \beta_2 \leq \kappa, \\ & & \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_1(2), \\ & & \beta_2 > \kappa, \end{cases} + \begin{cases} n^{\left(\frac{\gamma_1+1}{p}+2\right)(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & 1 < p < p_1^1, & \gamma_1 \geq \bar{\gamma}_3, \\ n^{\left[\frac{\gamma_2+1}{p}-1\right]\frac{1+\kappa}{1+\beta_2}+3(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & 1 < p < p_1^1, & \bar{\gamma}_5 \leq \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_3, \\ n^{\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)+3(1+\bar{\kappa})} (\ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = p_1^1, & \gamma_1 \geq \bar{\gamma}_2, \\ n^{\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)+3(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & p > p_1^1, & \gamma_1 \geq \bar{\gamma}_2, \\ n^{\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)+3(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & p > q_1, & \bar{\gamma}_5 \leq \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_2, \\ n^{\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)+2(1+\bar{\kappa})} (\ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = p_2^2, & \bar{\gamma}_5 \leq \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_2, \\ n^{\left[\frac{\gamma_2+1}{p}-1\right]\frac{1+\kappa}{1+\beta_2}+3(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & 1 < p < p_2^2, & \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_5, \\ n^{\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)+3(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & p > p_2^2, & \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_2, \end{cases}$$

if $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > 0$,

$$A_{n,p}^6(z) = \begin{cases} n^{\kappa\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)+3(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & p > 1, & \beta_2 \leq \kappa, \\ n^{\kappa\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)+3(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & 1 < p < \frac{(1+\kappa)+(1+\beta_2)}{(1+\beta_2)-(1+\kappa)}, & \beta_2 > \kappa, \end{cases}$$

if $-1 < \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \leq 0$, for the $z \in \Omega_R(\delta)$;

$$A_{n,p}^6(z) = \begin{cases} n^{\left(\frac{\gamma_1+1}{p}-1\right)(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & 1 < p < p_1^1, & \gamma_1 \geq \bar{\gamma}_3, \\ n^{\left[\frac{\gamma_2+1}{p}-1\right]\frac{1+\kappa}{1+\beta_2}}, & 1 < p < p_2^2, & 0 < \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_3, \\ (n \ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = p_1^1, & \gamma_1 \geq \bar{\gamma}_2, \\ (n \ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = p_2^2, & 0 < \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_2, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p > p_1^1, & \gamma_1 \geq \bar{\gamma}_2, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p > p_2^2, & 0 < \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_2, \end{cases} + \begin{cases} n^{\left(\frac{\gamma_1+1}{p}-1\right)(1+\bar{\kappa})+2\kappa}, & 1 < p < p_1^1, & \gamma_1 \geq \bar{\gamma}_3, \\ n^{\left[\frac{\gamma_2+1}{p}-1\right]\frac{1+\kappa}{1+\beta_2}+2\kappa}, & 1 < p < p_2^2, & 0 < \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_3, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}+2\kappa} (\ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = p_1^1, & \gamma_1 \geq \bar{\gamma}_2, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}+2\kappa} (\ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = p_2^2, & 0 < \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_2, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}+2\kappa}, & p > p_1^1, & \gamma_1 \geq \bar{\gamma}_2, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}+2\kappa}, & p > p_2^2, & 0 < \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_2, \end{cases}$$

if $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > 0$,

$$A_{n,p}^6(z) := n^{\kappa\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)+2\kappa}$$

if $-1 < \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \leq 0$, for the $z \in \bar{\Omega}_R(\delta)$.

Now, we can state estimates for $|P_n^{(m)}(z)|$, $m \geq 0$.

Theorem 2.6. Let $p > 1$; $G \in \widetilde{PQ}(\kappa; f_i, g_i)$, for some $0 \leq \kappa < 1$, $f_i(x) = c_i x^{1+\alpha_i}$, $\alpha_i \geq 0$, $i = \overline{1, l_1}$, and $g_i(x) = c_i x^{1+\beta_i}$, $\beta_i > 0$, $i = \overline{l_1 + 1, l}$; $h(z)$ be defined as in (1). Then, the inequality

$$\|P_n^{(m)}\|_\infty \leq c_6 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{l_1} n^{\left(\frac{\gamma_i^*+1}{p}+m\right)(1+\bar{\kappa})} + \sum_{i=l_1+1}^l n^{\left(\frac{\gamma_i^*+pm}{1+\beta_i}+1\right)\frac{(1+\kappa)}{p}} \right) \|P_n\|_p, \tag{16}$$

holds for any $\gamma_i > -1$, $i = \overline{1, l}$, and $P_n \in \wp_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ where $c_6 = c_6(L, \gamma_i, \beta, p) > 0$ is a constant independent of n and z .

Analogously to Corollary 2.2, we obtain the next result for $i = 1, 2$; $l_1 = 1$, and $l = 2$.

Corollary 2.7. Let $p > 1$; $G \in \widetilde{PQ}(\kappa; f_1, g_2)$, for some $0 \leq \kappa < 1$, $f_1(x) = C_1 x^{1+\alpha_1}$, $\alpha_1 \geq 0$, and $g_2(x) = C_2 x^{1+\beta_2}$, $\beta_2 > 0$; $h(z)$ defined as in (1) for $l = 2$. Then, the inequality

$$\|P_n^{(m)}\|_\infty \leq c_7 M_n(m) \|P_n\|_p, \quad m \geq 0 \tag{17}$$

holds for any $\gamma_i > -1$, $i = 1, 2$, and $P_n \in \wp_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $c_7 = c_7(L, \gamma_i, \beta, p) > 0$ is a constant independent of n and z . Here,

$$M_n(m) := \begin{cases} n^{\left(\frac{\gamma_1+1}{p}+m\right)(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & \gamma_1 \geq \left(\frac{\gamma_2+pm}{1+\beta_2} + 1\right) \frac{1+\bar{\kappa}}{1+\bar{\kappa}} - pm - 1, & \gamma_2 \geq (pm + 1) \left[\frac{(1+\bar{\kappa})(1+\beta_2)}{1+\bar{\kappa}} - 1 \right] - \beta_2, \\ n^{\left(\frac{\gamma_2+pm}{1+\beta_2}+1\right) \frac{1+\bar{\kappa}}{p}}, & 0 < \gamma_1 < \left(\frac{\gamma_2+pm}{1+\beta_2} + 1\right) \frac{1+\bar{\kappa}}{1+\bar{\kappa}} - pm - 1, & \gamma_2 \geq (pm + 1) \left[\frac{(1+\bar{\kappa})(1+\beta_2)}{1+\bar{\kappa}} - 1 \right] - \beta_2, \\ n^{\left(\frac{\gamma_1+1}{p}+m\right)(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & \gamma_1 > 0, & \gamma_2 < (pm + 1) \left[\frac{(1+\bar{\kappa})(1+\beta_2)}{1+\bar{\kappa}} - 1 \right] - \beta_2, \\ n^{\left(\frac{1}{p}+m\right)(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & -1 < \gamma_1 \leq 0, & -1 < \gamma_2 \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.8. ([39, Remark 2.16]) The inequality (17) is sharp.

Combining (17) with (13), (14) and (15), we obtain the estimations on the growth of $|P_n(z)|$, $|P'_n(z)|$ and $|P''_n(z)|$, respectively, in the whole complex plane.

Theorem 2.9. Let $p > 1$; $G \in \widetilde{PQ}(\kappa; f_i, g_i)$, for some $0 \leq \kappa < 1$, $f_1(x) = C_1x^{1+\alpha_1}$, $\alpha_1 \geq 0$ and $g_2(x) = C_2x^{1+\beta_2}$, $\beta_2 > 0$; $h(z)$ be defined as in (1) for $l = 2$. Then, we have the inequality

$$|P_n(z)| \leq c_8 \|P_n\|_p \begin{cases} M_n(0), & z \in \overline{G}_R, \\ \frac{|\Phi^{n+1}(z)|}{d(z,L)} A_{n,p}^3(z), & z \in \Omega_R, \end{cases}$$

for any $\gamma_i > -1$, $i = 1, 2$, $P_n \in \wp_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $z \in \Omega$, where $c_8 = c_8(L, \gamma_i, p) > 0$ is a constant independent of n and z . Here, $M_n(0)$ and $A_{n,p}^3(z)$ are defined as in Corollary 2.7 for $m = 0$, $z \in \overline{G}_R$ and Theorem 2.3 for all $z \in \Omega_R$, respectively.

Theorem 2.10. Let $p > 1$; $G \in \widetilde{PQ}(\kappa; f_i, g_i)$, for some $0 \leq \kappa < 1$, $f_1(x) = C_1x^{1+\alpha_1}$, $\alpha_1 \geq 0$ and $g_2(x) = C_2x^{1+\beta_2}$, $\beta_2 > 0$; $h(z)$ be defined as in (1) for $l = 2$. Then, we obtain

$$|P'_n(z)| \leq c_9 \|P_n\|_p \begin{cases} M_n(1), & z \in \overline{G}_R, \\ \frac{|\Phi^{2(n+1)}(z)|}{d(z,L)} A_{n,p}^4(z), & z \in \Omega_R, \end{cases}$$

for any $\gamma_i > -1$, $i = 1, 2$, $P_n \in \wp_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $z \in \Omega$, where $c_9 = c_9(L, \gamma_i, p) > 0$ is a constant independent of n and z . Here, $M_n(1)$ and $A_{n,p}^4(z)$ are defined as in Corollary 2.7 for $m = 0$, $z \in \overline{G}_R$ and Theorem 2.4 for all $z \in \Omega_R$, respectively.

Theorem 2.11. Let $p > 1$; $G \in PQS(\lambda; f_1, g_2)$, for some $0 \leq \kappa < 1$, $f_1(x) = C_1x^{1+\alpha_1}$, $\alpha_1 \geq 0$ and $g_2(x) = C_2x^{1+\beta_2}$, $\beta_2 > 0$; $h(z)$ be defined as in (1) for $l = 2$. Then, we get

$$|P''_n(z)| \leq c_{10} \|P_n\|_p \begin{cases} M_n(2), & z \in \overline{G}_R, \\ \frac{|\Phi^{3(n+1)}(z)|}{d(z,L)} A_{n,p}^6(z), & z \in \Omega_R, \end{cases}$$

for any $\gamma_i > -1$, $i = 1, 2$, $P_n \in \wp_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $z \in \Omega_R$, where $c_{10} = c_{10}(L, \gamma_i, p) > 0$ is a constant independent of n and z . Here, $M_n(2)$ and $A_{n,p}^6(z)$ are defined as in Corollary 2.7 for all $z \in \overline{G}_R$ and Theorem 2.5 for all $z \in \Omega_R$, respectively.

Therefore, by using Theorem 2.1 and the estimation $|P_n^{(m)}(z)|$ sequentially for each $m \geq 3$, and by combining the obtained results in Corollary 2.7 we obtain the estimates for $|P_n^{(m)}(z)|$, at each $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

3. Some auxiliary results

For $a > 0$ and $b > 0$ we use expressions “ $a \leq b$ ” and “ $a \asymp b$ ” if $a \leq cb$ and $c_1a \leq b \leq c_2a$ for some constants c, c_1, c_2 , respectively.

Lemma 3.1. ([5]) *Let G be a quasidisk, $z_1 \in L, z_2, z_3 \in \Omega \cap \{z : |z - z_1| \leq d(z_1, L_{r_0})\}$; $w_j = \Phi(z_j), j = 1, 2, 3$. Then*

a) *The statements $|z_1 - z_2| \leq |z_1 - z_3|$ and $|w_1 - w_2| \leq |w_1 - w_3|$ are equivalent. Therefore, $|z_1 - z_2| \asymp |z_1 - z_3|$ and $|w_1 - w_2| \asymp |w_1 - w_3|$ also are equivalent.*

b) *If $|z_1 - z_2| \leq |z_1 - z_3|$, then*

$$\left| \frac{w_1 - w_3}{w_1 - w_2} \right|^{c_1} \leq \left| \frac{z_1 - z_3}{z_1 - z_2} \right| \leq \left| \frac{w_1 - w_3}{w_1 - w_2} \right|^{c_2},$$

where $0 < r_0 < 1$ a constant, depending on G .

Corollary 3.2. *Under the conditions of Lemma 3.1, we have*

$$|w_1 - w_2|^{c_1} \leq |z_1 - z_2| \leq |w_1 - w_2|^\varepsilon$$

where $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(G) < 1$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $G \in Q(\kappa)$ for some $0 \leq \kappa < 1$. Then*

$$|\Psi(w_1) - \Psi(w_2)| \geq |w_1 - w_2|^{1+\kappa}$$

for all $w_1, w_2 \in \bar{\Delta}$.

This fact follows from an appropriate result for the mapping $f \in \Sigma(\kappa)$ [40, p.287] and estimation for the Ψ' [24, Th.2.8]:

$$\frac{d(\Psi(\tau), L)}{|\tau| - 1} \asymp |\Psi'(\tau)|. \tag{18}$$

Let $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^l$ be a fixed system of the points on L and the weight function $h(z)$ defined as (1).

Lemma 3.4. ([2]) *Let $L = G$ be a rectifiable Jordan curve and $P_n(z)$, be an arbitrary polynomial with $\deg P_n \leq n, n = 1, 2, \dots$, and $h(z)$ satisfies the condition (1). Then for any $R > 1, p > 0$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$*

$$\|P_n\|_{\mathcal{L}_p(h, L_R)} \leq R^{n + \frac{1+\gamma^*}{p}} \|P_n\|_{\mathcal{L}_p(h, L)}, \quad \gamma^* = \max\{0; \gamma_j : 1 \leq j \leq l\}.$$

4. Proofs of theorems

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Assume that $G \in \widetilde{PQ}(\kappa; f_i, g_i)$, for some $0 < \kappa < 1, f_i(x) = C_i x^{1+\alpha_i}, \alpha_i \geq 0, i = \overline{1, l_1}$, and $g_i(x) = C_i x^{1+\beta_i}, \beta_i > 0, i = \overline{l_1 + 1, l}$. Moreover, let $R_1 := 1 + \frac{R-1}{2}$ where $R = 1 + \frac{1}{n}$ and $H_n(z) := \frac{P_n(z)}{\Phi^{n+1}(z)}$ for $z \in \Omega$. The m -th derivative of $H_n(z)$ is in the form

$$H_n^{(m)}(z) = \sum_{v=0}^m C_m^v \left(\frac{1}{\Phi^{n+1}(z)} \right)^{(v)} P_n^{(m-v)}(z) = \frac{P_n^{(m)}(z)}{\Phi^{n+1}(z)} + \sum_{v=1}^m C_m^v \left(\frac{1}{\Phi^{n+1}(z)} \right)^{(v)} P_n^{(m-v)}(z),$$

where $C_m^v := \frac{m(m-1)\dots(m-v+1)}{v!}$. After the transition to modulus, we get that

$$|P_n^{(m)}(z)| \leq |\Phi^{n+1}(z)| \left\{ \left| \left(\frac{P_n(z)}{\Phi^{n+1}(z)} \right)^{(m)} \right| + \sum_{v=1}^m C_m^v \left| \left(\frac{1}{\Phi^{n+1}(z)} \right)^{(v)} \right| |P_n^{(m-v)}(z)| \right\}. \tag{19}$$

Therefore, it is sufficient to evaluate the cases A) $\left| \left(\frac{P_n(z)}{\Phi^{n+1}(z)} \right)^{(m)} \right|$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$; B) $\left| \left(\Phi^{-n-1}(z) \right)^{(v)} \right|$, $v = \overline{1, m}$ for calculation of $|P_n^{(m)}(z)|$ at the points $z \in \Omega$. Now let us consider the evaluations of the cases A) and B).

A) Since the function $H_n(z)$ is analytic in Ω , continuous on $\overline{\Omega}$ and $H_n(\infty) = 0$, then the Cauchy integral representation for the m -th derivative gives that

$$H_n^{(m)}(z) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{L_{R_1}} H_n(\zeta) \frac{d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)^{m+1}}, \quad z \in \Omega_R, \quad m \geq 1.$$

Then we get

$$\left| \left(\frac{P_n(z)}{\Phi^{n+1}(z)} \right)^{(m)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{L_{R_1}} \left| \frac{P_n(\zeta)}{\Phi^{n+1}(\zeta)} \right| \frac{|d\zeta|}{|\zeta - z|^{m+1}} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi d(z, L_{R_1})} \int_{L_{R_1}} |P_n(\zeta)| \frac{|d\zeta|}{|\zeta - z|^m}. \tag{20}$$

Now let us estimate the integral denoted by

$$A_n(z) := \int_{L_{R_1}} |P_n(\zeta)| \frac{|d\zeta|}{|\zeta - z|^m}. \tag{21}$$

Multiplying the numerator and denominator of the integrand by $h^{1/p}(\zeta)$, according to the Hölder inequality, we obtain that

$$A_n(z) \leq \|P_n\|_p \left(\int_{L_{R_1}} \frac{|d\zeta|}{h^{\frac{q}{p}}(\zeta) |\zeta - z|^{qm}} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}. \tag{22}$$

For the last integral denote by $J_n(z)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} [J_n(z)]^q & : = \int_{L_{R_1}} \frac{|d\zeta|}{h^{q-1}(\zeta) |\zeta - z|^{qm}} = \sum_{i=1}^l \int_{L_{R_1}^i} \frac{|d\zeta|}{\prod_{j=1}^l |\zeta - z_j|^{(q-1)\gamma_j} |\zeta - z|^{qm}} \\ & \leq \sum_{i=1}^l \int_{L_{R_1}^i} \frac{|d\zeta|}{|\zeta - z_i|^{(q-1)\gamma_i} |\zeta - z|^{qm}} =: \sum_{i=1}^l J_n^i(z) \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

since the points $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^l$ are distinct on L . First of all, we need to introduce some notations to estimate the integrals $J_n^i(z)$, $i = \overline{1, l}$. Let $w_j := \Phi(z_j)$, $\varphi_j := \arg w_j$. Without loss of generality, we will assume that $\varphi_l < 2\pi$. For $\eta := \min\{\eta_j, j = \overline{1, l}\}$, where $\eta_j = \min_{t \in \partial\Phi(\Omega(z_j, \delta_j))} |t - w_j| > 0$, let us set

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\eta_j) & : = \{t : |t - w_j| \leq \eta_j\} \subset \Phi(\Omega(z_j, \delta_j)), \\ \Delta(\eta) & : = \bigcup_{j=1}^l \Delta_j(\eta), \quad \widehat{\Delta}_j = \Delta \setminus \Delta(\eta_j); \widehat{\Delta}(\eta) := \Delta \setminus \Delta(\eta); \Delta'_1 := \Delta'_1(1), \\ \Delta'_1(\rho) & : = \left\{ t = Re^{i\theta} : R \geq \rho > 1, \frac{\varphi_0 + \varphi_1}{2} \leq \theta < \frac{\varphi_1 + \varphi_2}{2} \right\}, \\ \Delta'_j & : = \Delta'_j(1), \Delta'_j(\rho) := \left\{ t = Re^{i\theta} : R \geq \rho > 1, \frac{\varphi_{j-1} + \varphi_j}{2} \leq \theta < \frac{\varphi_j + \varphi_0}{2} \right\}, \quad j = 2, 3, \dots, l, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\varphi_0 : = 2\pi - \varphi_l; \Omega_j := \Psi(\Delta'_j), L_{R_1}^j := L_{R_1} \cap \Omega_j; \Omega = \bigcup_{j=1}^l \Omega_j.$$

It remains to estimate the integrals $J_n^i(z)$ for each $i = \overline{1, l}$. For simplicity of our next calculations, we assume that

$$l_1 = 1, l_2 = 2, i = 1, 2; z_1 = -1, z_2 = 1; (-1, 1) \subset G; R = 1 + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{n}, \tag{24}$$

and let us consider $L = L^+ \cup L^-$, where $L^+ := \{z \in L : \text{Im}z \geq 0\}$, $L^- := \{z \in L : \text{Im}z < 0\}$; by taking local coordinate axis in Definition 1.2. Moreover, let $w^\pm := \{w = e^{i\theta} : \theta = \frac{\varphi_1 \pm \varphi_2}{2}\}$, $z^\pm \in \Psi(w^\pm)$ and let L^i be arcs which is connecting the points $z^+, z_i, z^- \in L$ and $L^{i,\pm} := L^i \cap L^\pm$ for $i = 1, 2$. For simplicity, without loss of generality, we assume that $z_0 = z^+$ ($z_0 = z^-$) where z_0 is taken as an arbitrary point on L^+ (or on L^- subject to the chosen direction). Analogously to the previous notations, we introduce $L_R = L_R^+ \cup L_R^-$, where $L_R^+ := \{z \in L_R : \text{Im}z \geq 0\}$, $L_R^- := \{z \in L_R : \text{Im}z < 0\}$ and let $w_R^\pm := \{w = Re^{i\theta} : \theta = \frac{\varphi_1 \pm \varphi_2}{2}\}$, $z_R^\pm \in \Psi(w_R^\pm)$. On the other hand, we set $z_{i,R} \in L_R$ such that $d_{i,R} = |z_i - z_{i,R}|$ and $d(z_{2,R}, L^2 \cap L^\pm) := d(z_{2,R}, L^\pm)$; $z_i^\pm := \{\zeta \in L^i : |\zeta - z_i| = c_i d(z_i, L_R)\}$, $z_{i,R}^\pm := \{\zeta \in L_R^i : |\zeta - z_{i,R}| = c_i d(z_{i,R}, L_R)\}$, $w_{i,R}^\pm = \Phi(z_{i,R}^\pm)$. Let $L_{i,R}^\pm := L_R^i \cap L_R^\pm$ where $L_{i,R}^i$, $i = 1, 2$, denote arcs, connecting the points $z_{i,R}^+, z_{i,R}, z_{i,R}^- \in L_R$, and $|L_{i,R}^\pm| := \text{mes } L_{i,R}^\pm(z_{i,R}^+, z_{i,R}^-)$, where $|L_{i,R}^\pm(z_{i,R}^+, z_{i,R}^-)|$ denote arcs connecting the points $z_{i,R}^+$ with $z_{i,R}^-$, and let $d_{i,R,R_1} := d(L_{i,R}^\pm, L_{i,R_1}^\pm)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Besides, we use the notations given by

$$\begin{aligned} E_{1,R_1}^{i,\pm} & : = \{\zeta \in L_{R_1}^{i,\pm} : |\zeta - z_i| < c_i d_{i,R_1}\}, \\ E_{2,R_1}^{i,\pm} & : = \{\zeta \in L_{R_1}^{i,\pm} : c_i d_{i,R_1} \leq |\zeta - z_i| \leq |L_{i,R_1}^\pm|\}, F_{j,R_1}^{i,\pm} := \Phi(E_{j,R_1}^{i,\pm}); \\ E_1^{i,\pm} & : = \{\zeta \in L^{i,\pm} : |\zeta - z_i| < c_i d_{i,R_1}\}, \\ E_2^{i,\pm} & : = \{\zeta \in L^{i,\pm} : c_i d_{i,R_1} \leq |\zeta - z_i| \leq |L_{i,R_1}^\pm|\}, F_j^{i,\pm} := \Phi(E_j^{i,\pm}), i, j = 1, 2. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Taking into consideration these designations and replacing the variable $\tau = \Phi(\zeta)$, from (18) and (23), we have

$$J_n^i(z) \asymp \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \int_{F_{j,R_1}^{i,+} \cup F_{j,R_1}^{i,-}} \frac{|\Psi'(\tau)| |d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_i)|^{(q-1)\gamma_i} |\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w)|^{qm}} =: \sum_{i,j=1}^2 [J(F_{j,R_1}^{i,+}) + J(F_{j,R_1}^{i,-})], \tag{26}$$

and we have

$$A_n(z) \leq \|P_n\|_p \sum_{i=1}^2 [J_n^i(z)]^{\frac{1}{q}} =: \|P_n\|_p \sum_{i=1}^2 [I_1^i(E_{1,R_1}^{i,+}) + I_2^i(E_{2,R_1}^{i,-})] =: \|P_n\|_p \sum_{i,k=1}^2 [I_{k,R_1}^{i,+} + I_{k,R_1}^{i,-}], \tag{27}$$

from (26) where

$$\begin{aligned} I_{k,R_1}^{i,\pm} & : = I_{k,R_1}^i(E_{k,R_1}^{i,\pm}) := \int_{F_{k,R_1}^{i,\pm}} \frac{|\Psi'(\tau)| |d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_i)|^{(q-1)\gamma_i} |\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w)|^{qm}} \\ & \asymp \int_{F_{k,R_1}^{i,\pm}} \frac{d(\Psi(\tau), L) |d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_i)|^{(q-1)\gamma_i} |\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w)|^{qm} (|\tau| - 1)}; i, k = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

According to (26) and (27), it is sufficient to estimate the integrals $I_{n,k}^{i,\pm}$ for each $i = 1, 2$ and $k = 1, 2$.

Therefore, we consider the notations given below

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{k,R_1,1}^{i,\pm} & : = \left\{ \tau \in F_{k,R_1}^{i,\pm} : |\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_i)| \geq |\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w)| \right\}, \quad F_{k,R_1,2}^{i,\pm} := F_{k,R_1}^{i,\pm} \setminus F_{k,R_1,1}^{i,\pm}, \\
 I_{k,R_1,1}^{i,\pm} & : = I\left(F_{k,R_1,1}^{i,\pm}\right) := \begin{cases} \int_{F_{k,R_1,1}^{i,\pm}} \frac{d(\Psi(\tau),L)|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau)-\Psi(w)|^{\gamma_i(q-1)+qm}(|\tau|-1)}, & \text{if } \gamma_i > 0, \\ \int_{F_{k,R_1,1}^{i,\pm}} \frac{|\Psi(\tau)-\Psi(w_1)|^{(-\gamma_i)(q-1)}d(\Psi(\tau),L)|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau)-\Psi(w)|^{qm}(|\tau|-1)}, & \text{if } \gamma_i \leq 0, \end{cases} \\
 I_{k,R_1,2}^{i,\pm} & : = I\left(F_{k,R_1,2}^{i,\pm}\right) := \int_{F_{k,R_1,2}^{i,\pm}} \frac{d(\Psi(\tau),L)|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_i)|^{\gamma_i(q-1)+qm}(|\tau| - 1)},
 \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

for any $k = 1, 2$, and we will evaluate the integrals here. Given the possible values γ_i ($-1 < \gamma_i \leq 0$ and $\gamma_i > 0$), we will discuss the estimates for the $I_{n,k}^{i,\pm}$ separately. Now, we can start this estimations.

1. Let $z \in \Omega_R(\delta)$.

1.1. Let us calculate the integral $I_{k,R_1,j}^{1,+} + I_{k,R_1,j'}^{1,-}$ for $k, j = 1, 2$ in case of $i = 1$.

1.1.1.1. For the integral $I_{1,R_1,1}^{1,+} + I_{1,R_1,1'}^{1,-}$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{1,R_1,1}^{1,+} + I_{1,R_1,1'}^{1,-} & \leq n \cdot \int_{F_{1,R_1,1}^{1,+} \cup F_{1,R_1,1'}^{1,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w)|^{\gamma_1(q-1)+qm-1}} \leq n \cdot \int_{F_{1,R_1,1}^{1,+} \cup F_{1,R_1,1'}^{1,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\tau - w|^{(\gamma_1(q-1)+qm-1)(1+\bar{\kappa})}} \\
 & \leq n \cdot n^{(\gamma_1(q-1)+qm-1)(1+\bar{\kappa})} \cdot \text{mes}\left(F_{1,R_1,1}^{1,+} \cup F_{1,R_1,1'}^{1,-}\right) \leq n^{[\gamma_1(q-1)+qm-1](1+\bar{\kappa})}
 \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

for $\gamma_1 > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{1,R_1,1}^{1,+} + I_{1,R_1,1'}^{1,-} & \leq n \cdot d_{1,R_1}^{(-\gamma_1)(q-1)} d_{1,R,R_1}^{1-qm} \int_{F_{1,R_1,1}^{1,+} \cup F_{1,R_1,1'}^{1,-}} |d\tau| \\
 & \leq n^{1+\gamma_1(q-1)(1-\kappa)+(qm-1)(1+\bar{\kappa})} \cdot \text{mes}\left(F_{1,R_1,1}^{1,+} \cup F_{1,R_1,1'}^{1,-}\right) \leq n^{(qm-1)(1+\bar{\kappa})+\gamma_1(q-1)(1-\kappa)}
 \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

for $-1 < \gamma_1 \leq 0$.

1.1.1.2. Analogously to the (29) and (30), for the integral $I_{1,R_1,2}^{1,+} + I_{1,R_1,2'}^{1,-}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{1,R_1,2}^{1,+} + I_{1,R_1,2'}^{1,-} & \leq n \int_{F_{1,R_1,2}^{1,+} \cup F_{1,R_1,2'}^{1,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_1)|^{\gamma_1(q-1)+qm-1}} \\
 & \leq n \int_{F_{2,R_1,2}^{1,+} \cup F_{2,R_1,2'}^{1,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\tau - w_1|^{[\gamma_1(q-1)+qm-1](1+\bar{\kappa})}} \leq \begin{cases} n^{[\gamma_1(q-1)+qm-1](1+\bar{\kappa})}, & [\gamma_1(q-1) + qm - 1](1 + \bar{\kappa}) > 1, \\ n \ln n, & [\gamma_1(q-1) + qm - 1](1 + \bar{\kappa}) = 1, \\ n, & [\gamma_1(q-1) + qm - 1](1 + \bar{\kappa}) < 1, \end{cases}
 \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

for $\gamma_1 > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{1,R_1,2}^{1,+} + I_{1,R_1,2'}^{1,-} & \leq n \cdot \int_{F_{1,R_1,2}^{1,+} \cup F_{1,R_1,2'}^{1,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\tau - w_1|^{[\gamma_1(q-1)+qm-1](1+\bar{\kappa})}} \\
 & \leq \begin{cases} n^{[\gamma_1(q-1)+qm-1](1+\bar{\kappa})}, & \gamma_1(q-1) + qm - 1 > \frac{1}{1+\bar{\kappa}}, \\ n \ln n, & \gamma_1(q-1) + qm - 1 = \frac{1}{1+\bar{\kappa}}, \\ n, & \gamma_1(q-1) + qm - 1 < \frac{1}{1+\bar{\kappa}}, \end{cases}
 \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

for $-1 < \gamma_1 \leq 0$.

1.1.2.1. In case $I_{2,R_1,1}^{1,+} + I_{2,R_1,1}^{1,-}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{2,R_1,1}^{1,+} + I_{2,R_1,1}^{1,-} &\leq n \cdot \int_{F_{2,R_1,1}^{1,+} \cup F_{2,R_1,1}^{1,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w)|^{\gamma_1(q-1)+qm-1}} \\
 &\leq n \cdot \int_{F_{2,R_1,1}^{1,+} \cup F_{2,R_1,1}^{1,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\tau - w|^{\gamma_1(q-1)+qm-1(1+\bar{\kappa})}} \leq \begin{cases} n[\gamma_1(q-1)+qm-1]^{(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & \gamma_1(q-1) + qm - 1 > \frac{1}{1+\bar{\kappa}}, \\ n \ln n, & \gamma_1(q-1) + qm - 1 = \frac{1}{1+\bar{\kappa}}, \\ n, & \gamma_1(q-1) + qm - 1 < \frac{1}{1+\bar{\kappa}}, \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{33}$$

for $\gamma_1 > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{2,R_1,1}^{1,+} + I_{2,R_1,1}^{1,-} &\leq n \cdot \int_{F_{2,R_1,1}^{1,+} \cup F_{2,R_1,1}^{1,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\tau - w|^{[qm-1]^{(1+\bar{\kappa})}}} \leq \begin{cases} n[qm-1]^{(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & qm - 1 > \frac{1}{1+\bar{\kappa}}, \\ n \ln n, & qm - 1 = \frac{1}{1+\bar{\kappa}}, \\ n, & qm - 1 < \frac{1}{1+\bar{\kappa}}, \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{34}$$

for $-1 < \gamma_1 \leq 0$.

1.1.2.2. By analogy, for the integral $I_{2,R_1,2}^{1,+} + I_{2,R_1,2}^{1,-}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{2,R_1,2}^{1,+} + I_{2,R_1,2}^{1,-} &\leq n \cdot \int_{F_{2,R_1,2}^{1,+} \cup F_{2,R_1,2}^{1,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\tau - w_1|^{[\gamma_1(q-1)+qm-1]^{(1+\bar{\kappa})}}} \leq \begin{cases} n[\gamma_1(q-1)+qm-1]^{(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & \gamma_1(q-1) + qm - 1 > \frac{1}{1+\bar{\kappa}}, \\ n \ln n, & \gamma_1(q-1) + qm - 1 = \frac{1}{1+\bar{\kappa}}, \\ n, & \gamma_1(q-1) + qm - 1 < \frac{1}{1+\bar{\kappa}}, \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{35}$$

for $\gamma_1 > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{2,R_1,2}^{1,+} + I_{2,R_1,2}^{1,-} &\leq n \cdot \int_{F_{2,R_1,2}^{1,+} \cup F_{2,R_1,2}^{1,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\tau - w_1|^{[\gamma_1(q-1)+qm-1]^{(1+\bar{\kappa})}}} \leq \begin{cases} n[\gamma_1(q-1)+qm-1]^{(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & \gamma_1(q-1) + qm - 1 > \frac{1}{1+\bar{\kappa}}, \\ n \ln n, & \gamma_1(q-1) + qm - 1 = \frac{1}{1+\bar{\kappa}}, \\ n, & \gamma_1(q-1) + qm - 1 < \frac{1}{1+\bar{\kappa}}, \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{36}$$

for $-1 < \gamma_1 \leq 0$.

Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{k,j=1}^2 I_{k,R_1,j}^{1,+} + I_{k,R_1,j}^{1,-} \leq \begin{cases} n[\gamma_1^*(q-1)+qm-1]^{(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & \gamma_1^*(q-1) + qm - 1 > \frac{1}{1+\bar{\kappa}}, \\ n \ln n, & \gamma_1^*(q-1) + qm - 1 = \frac{1}{1+\bar{\kappa}}, \\ n, & \gamma_1^*(q-1) + qm - 1 < \frac{1}{1+\bar{\kappa}}, \end{cases}
 \tag{37}$$

from (29)-(36) for any $\gamma_1 > -1$ and $i = 1$.

1.2. Let us estimate the integrals $I_{k,R_1,j}^{2,+} + I_{k,R_1,j}^{2,-}$ for $k, j = 1, 2$ in case of $i = 2$.

1.2.1.1. Analogously to the previous cases, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{1,R_1,1}^{2,+} + I_{1,R_1,1}^{2,-} &\leq n \int_{F_{1,R_1,1}^{2,+} \cup F_{1,R_1,1}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w)|^{\gamma_2(q-1)+qm-1}} \leq n \int_{F_{1,R_1,1}^{2,+} \cup F_{1,R_1,1}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_2^+)|^{\frac{\gamma_2(q-1)+qm-1}{1+\beta_2}}}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{38}$$

$$\leq n \int_{F_{1,R_1,1}^{2,+} \cup F_{1,R_1,1}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\tau - w_2^+|^{\frac{\gamma_2(q-1)+qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa)}} \leq \begin{cases} n^{\frac{\gamma_2(q-1)+qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa)}, & \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)+qm-1}{1+\beta_2} > \frac{1}{1+\kappa}, \\ n \ln n, & \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)+qm-1}{1+\beta_2} = \frac{1}{1+\kappa}, \\ n, & \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)+qm-1}{1+\beta_2} < \frac{1}{1+\kappa}, \end{cases}$$

for $\gamma_2 > 0$ and

$$I_{1,R_1,1}^{2,+} + I_{1,R_1,1}^{2,-} \leq n \int_{F_{1,R_1,1}^{2,+} \cup F_{1,R_1,1}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w)|^{qm-1}} \tag{39}$$

$$\leq n \int_{F_{1,R_1,1}^{2,+} \cup F_{1,R_1,1}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_2^+)|^{\frac{qm-1}{1+\beta_2}}} \leq n \int_{F_{1,R_1,1}^{2,+} \cup F_{1,R_1,1}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\tau - w_2^+|^{\frac{qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa)}} \leq \begin{cases} n^{\frac{qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa)}, & \frac{qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) > 1, \\ n \ln n, & \frac{qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) = 1, \\ n, & \frac{qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) < 1, \end{cases}$$

for $\gamma_2 \leq 0$.

1.2.1.2. For the integral $I_{1,R_1,2}^{2,+} + I_{1,R_1,2}^{2,-}$, we have

$$I_{1,R_1,2}^{2,+} + I_{1,R_1,2}^{2,-} \leq n \int_{F_{1,R_1,2}^{2,+} \cup F_{1,R_1,2}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_2)|^{\gamma_2(q-1)+qm-1}} \tag{40}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq n \int_{F_{1,R_1,2}^{2,+} \cup F_{1,R_1,2}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_2^+)|^{\frac{\gamma_2(q-1)+qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa)}} \leq n \int_{F_{1,R_1,2}^{2,+} \cup F_{1,R_1,2}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\tau - w_2^+|^{\frac{\gamma_2(q-1)+qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa)}} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} n^{\frac{\gamma_2(q-1)+qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa)}, & \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)+qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) > 1, \\ n \ln n, & \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)+qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) = 1, \\ n, & \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)+qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) < 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for $\gamma_2 > 0$, and

$$I_{1,R_1,2}^{2,+} + I_{1,R_1,2}^{2,-} \leq n \int_{F_{1,R_1,2}^{2,+} \cup F_{1,R_1,2}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_2)|^{qm-1}} \tag{41}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq n \int_{F_{1,R_1,2}^{2,+} \cup F_{1,R_1,2}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_2)|^{qm-1}} \leq n \int_{F_{1,R_1,2}^{2,+} \cup F_{1,R_1,2}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_2^+)|^{\frac{qm-1}{1+\beta_2}}} \\ &\leq n \int_{F_{1,R_1,2}^{2,+} \cup F_{1,R_1,2}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\tau - w_2^+|^{\frac{qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa)}} \leq \begin{cases} n^{\frac{qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa)}, & \frac{qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) > 1, \\ n \ln n, & \frac{qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) = 1, \\ n, & \frac{qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) < 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for $-1 < \gamma_2 \leq 0$.

1.2.2.1. For the integral $I_{2,R_1,1}^{2,+} + I_{2,R_1,1}^{2,-}$, we write

$$I_{2,R_1,1}^{2,+} + I_{2,R_1,1}^{2,-} \leq n \int_{F_{2,R_1,1}^{2,+} \cup F_{2,R_1,1}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_2)|^{\gamma_2(q-1)+qm-1}} \tag{42}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq n \int_{F_{2,R_1,1}^{2,+} \cup F_{2,R_1,1}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_2^+)|^{\frac{\gamma_2(q-1)+qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa)}} \leq n \int_{F_{2,R_1,1}^{2,+} \cup F_{2,R_1,1}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\tau - w_2^+|^{\frac{\gamma_2(q-1)+qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa)}} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} n \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)+qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa), & \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)+qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) > 1, \\ n \ln n, & \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)+qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) = 1, \\ n, & \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)+qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) < 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for $\gamma_2 > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} I_{2,R_1,1}^{2,+} + I_{2,R_1,1}^{2,-} &\leq n \int_{F_{2,R_1,1}^{2,+} \cup F_{2,R_1,1}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_2)|^{qm-1}} \leq n \int_{F_{2,R_1,1}^{2,+} \cup F_{2,R_1,1}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_2)|^{qm-1}} \tag{43} \\ &\leq n \int_{F_{2,R_1,1}^{2,+} \cup F_{2,R_1,1}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_2^+)|^{\frac{qm-1}{1+\beta_2}}} \leq \begin{cases} n \frac{qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa), & \frac{qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) > 1, \\ n \ln n, & \frac{qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) = 1, \\ n, & \frac{qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) < 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for $-1 < \gamma_2 \leq 0$.

1.2.2.2. For the integral $I_{2,R_1,2}^{2,+} + I_{2,R_1,2}^{2,-}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_{2,R_1,2}^{2,+} + I_{2,R_1,2}^{2,-} &\leq n \int_{F_{2,R_1,2}^{2,+} \cup F_{2,R_1,2}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_2^+)|^{\frac{\gamma_2(q-1)+qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa)}} \tag{44} \\ &\leq n \int_{F_{2,R_1,2}^{2,+} \cup F_{2,R_1,2}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\tau - w_2^+|^{\frac{\gamma_2(q-1)+qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa)}} \leq \begin{cases} n \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)+qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa), & \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)+qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) > 1, \\ n \ln n, & \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)+qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) = 1, \\ n, & \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)+qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) < 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for $\gamma_2 > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} I_{2,R_1,2}^{2,+} + I_{2,R_1,2}^{2,-} &\leq n \int_{F_{2,R_1,2}^{2,+} \cup F_{2,R_1,2}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_2)|^{qm-1}} \tag{45} \\ &\leq n \int_{F_{2,R_1,2}^{2,+} \cup F_{2,R_1,2}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_2)|^{qm-1}} \leq n \int_{F_{2,R_1,2}^{2,+} \cup F_{2,R_1,2}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_2^+)|^{\frac{qm-1}{1+\beta_2}}} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} n \frac{qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa), & \frac{qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) > 1, \\ n \ln n, & \frac{qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) = 1, \\ n, & \frac{qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) < 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for $-1 < \gamma_2 \leq 0$. So, we obtain

$$\sum_{k,j=1}^2 \left[I_{k,R_1,j}^{2,+} + I_{k,R_1,j}^{2,-} \right] \leq \begin{cases} n \frac{\gamma_2^*(q-1)+qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa), & \frac{\gamma_2^*(q-1)+qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) > 1, \\ n \ln n, & \frac{\gamma_2^*(q-1)+qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) = 1, \\ n, & \frac{\gamma_2^*(q-1)+qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) < 1, \end{cases} \tag{46}$$

from (38) - (45) for any $\gamma_2 > -1$ and $i = 2$. Comparing (27), (37) and (46) for the $z \in \Omega_R(\delta)$, we have

$$A_n(z) \leq \|P_n\|_p \times \left[\begin{array}{l} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} n^{\frac{[\gamma_1^*(q-1)+qm-1]}{q}(1+\tilde{\kappa})}, \quad \gamma_1^*(q-1) + qm - 1 > \frac{1}{1+\tilde{\kappa}}, \\ (n \ln n)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad \gamma_1^*(q-1) + qm - 1 = \frac{1}{1+\tilde{\kappa}}, \\ n^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad \gamma_1^*(q-1) + qm - 1 < \frac{1}{1+\tilde{\kappa}}. \end{array} \right. + \left\{ \begin{array}{l} n^{\frac{\gamma_2^*(q-1)+qm-1}{q(1+\beta_2)}(1+\kappa)}, \quad \frac{\gamma_2^*(q-1)+qm-1}{1+\beta_2} > \frac{1}{1+\kappa}, \\ (n \ln n)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad \frac{\gamma_2^*(q-1)+qm-1}{1+\beta_2} = \frac{1}{1+\kappa}, \\ n^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad \frac{\gamma_2^*(q-1)+qm-1}{1+\beta_2} < \frac{1}{1+\kappa}. \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right] \quad (47)$$

2. Let $z \in \widehat{\Omega}_R(\delta)$. Then, it is clear that $|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w)| \geq c_1$ and so we must evaluate the above integrals for each $i, k = 1, 2$.

2.1. Let $i = 1$.

2.1.1.1. For the integral $I_{1,R_1,1}^{1,+} + I_{1,R_1,1}^{1,-}$, we obtain

$$I_{1,R_1,1}^{1,+} + I_{1,R_1,1}^{1,-} \leq n \cdot \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{(1-\kappa)} \cdot \text{mes}\left(F_{1,R_1,1}^{1,+} \cup F_{1,R_1,1}^{1,-}\right) \leq 1, \quad (48)$$

for $\gamma_1 > 0$ and

$$I_{1,R_1,1}^{1,+} + I_{1,R_1,1}^{1,-} \leq n \cdot d_{1,R_1}^{(-\gamma_1)(q-1)+1} \int_{F_{1,R_1,1}^{1,+} \cup F_{1,R_1,1}^{1,-}} |d\tau| \leq n \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{(1-\gamma_1)(q-1)(1-\kappa)} \cdot \text{mes}\left(F_{1,R_1,1}^{1,+} \cup F_{1,R_1,1}^{1,-}\right) \leq 1, \quad (49)$$

for $-1 < \gamma_1 \leq 0$.

2.1.1.2. Similar to the (48) and (49), for the integral $I_{1,R_1,2}^{1,+} + I_{1,R_1,2}^{1,-}$, we find that

$$I_{1,R_1,2}^{1,+} + I_{1,R_1,2}^{1,-} \leq n \int_{F_{1,R_1,2}^{1,+} \cup F_{1,R_1,2}^{1,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_1)|^{\gamma_1(q-1)-1}} \quad (50)$$

$$\leq n \int_{F_{1,R_1,2}^{1,+} \cup F_{1,R_1,2}^{1,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\tau - w_1|^{[\gamma_1(q-1)-1](1+\tilde{\kappa})}} \leq \begin{cases} n^{[\gamma_1(q-1)-1](1+\tilde{\kappa})}, & [\gamma_1(q-1)-1](1+\tilde{\kappa}) > 1, \\ n \ln n, & [\gamma_1(q-1)-1](1+\tilde{\kappa}) = 1, \\ n, & [\gamma_1(q-1)-1](1+\tilde{\kappa}) < 1, \end{cases}$$

for $\gamma_1 > 0$ and

$$I_{1,R_1,2}^{1,+} + I_{1,R_1,2}^{1,-} \leq n \cdot \int_{F_{1,R_1,2}^{1,+} \cup F_{1,R_1,2}^{1,-}} d(\Psi(\tau), L) |d\tau| \leq n \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{(1-\kappa)} \leq n^\kappa,$$

for $-1 < \gamma_1 \leq 0$.

2.1.2.1. For the integral $I_{2,R_1,1}^{1,+} + I_{2,R_1,1}^{1,-}$, we get

$$I_{2,R_1,1}^{1,+} + I_{2,R_1,1}^{1,-} \leq n \cdot \int_{F_{2,R_1,1}^{1,+} \cup F_{2,R_1,1}^{1,-}} d(\Psi(\tau), L) |d\tau| \leq n^\kappa, \quad (51)$$

for $\gamma_1 > 0$ and

$$I_{2,R_1,1}^{1,+} + I_{2,R_1,1}^{1,-} \leq n \cdot \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{(1-\kappa)} \leq n^\kappa,$$

for $-1 < \gamma_1 \leq 0$.

2.1.2.2. For the integral $I_{2,R_1,2}^{1,+} + I_{2,R_1,2}^{1,-}$, we obtain

$$I_{2,R_1,2}^{1,+} + I_{2,R_1,2}^{1,-} \leq n \cdot \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{(1-\kappa)} \leq n^\kappa, \tag{52}$$

for $\gamma_1 > 0$ and

$$I_{2,R_1,2}^{1,+} + I_{2,R_1,2}^{1,-} \leq n \cdot \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{(1-\kappa)} \leq n^\kappa,$$

for $-1 < \gamma_1 \leq 0$.

Taking into account (48)-(52), we have

$$\sum_{k,j=1}^2 I_{k,R_1,j}^{1,+} + I_{k,R_1,j}^{1,-} \leq \begin{cases} n^{[\gamma_1(q-1)-1](1+\bar{\kappa})}, & [\gamma_1(q-1)-1](1+\bar{\kappa}) > 1, \\ n \ln n, & [\gamma_1(q-1)-1](1+\bar{\kappa}) = 1, \\ n, & [\gamma_1(q-1)-1](1+\bar{\kappa}) < 1, \end{cases}$$

for $\gamma_1 > 0$ and

$$\sum_{k,j=1}^2 I_{k,R_1,j}^{1,+} + I_{k,R_1,j}^{1,-} \leq n^\kappa,$$

for $-1 < \gamma_1 \leq 0$.

Therefore, we reach

$$\sum_{k,j=1}^2 I_{k,R_1,j}^{1,+} + I_{k,R_1,j}^{1,-} \leq \begin{cases} n^{[\gamma_1(q-1)-1](1+\bar{\kappa})}, & [\gamma_1(q-1)-1](1+\bar{\kappa}) > 1; \gamma_1 > 0, \\ n \ln n, & [\gamma_1(q-1)-1](1+\bar{\kappa}) = 1; \gamma_1 > 0, \\ n, & [\gamma_1(q-1)-1](1+\bar{\kappa}) < 1; \gamma_1 > 0, \\ n^\kappa, & -1 < \gamma_1 \leq 0, \end{cases} \tag{53}$$

for any $\gamma_1 > -1$ and $i = 1$.

2.2. Now, let us calculate the integrals $I_{k,R_1,j}^{2,+} + I_{k,R_1,j}^{2,-}$, for $k, j = 1, 2$ in case of $i = 2$.

2.2.1.1. Similar to previous evaluations for the integral $I_{1,R_1,1}^{2,+} + I_{1,R_1,1}^{2,-}$, $k, j = 1, 2$, we obtain

$$I_{1,R_1,1}^{2,+} + I_{1,R_1,1}^{2,-} \leq n \cdot \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{(1-\kappa)} \left[mes(I_{1,R_1,1}^{2,+} + I_{1,R_1,1}^{2,-})\right] \leq n^{\kappa - \frac{1-\kappa}{1+\beta_2}}, \tag{54}$$

for $\gamma_2 > 0$ and

$$I_{1,R_1,1}^{2,+} + I_{1,R_1,1}^{2,-} \leq n \cdot \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{(1-\kappa)} d^{(-\gamma_2)(q-1)}(z_2, L_{R_1}) \left[mes(I_{1,R_1,1}^{2,+} + I_{1,R_1,1}^{2,-})\right] \leq n^\kappa,$$

for $-1 < \gamma_2 \leq 0$.

2.2.1.2. For the integral $I_{1,R_1,2}^{2,+} + I_{1,R_1,2}^{2,-}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{1,R_1,2}^{2,+} + I_{1,R_1,2}^{2,-} &\leq n \int_{F_{1,R_1,2}^{2,+} \cup F_{1,R_1,2}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_2)|^{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}} \leq n \int_{F_{1,R_1,2}^{2,+} \cup F_{1,R_1,2}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_2^+)|^{\frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa)}} \\ &\leq n \int_{F_{1,R_1,2}^{2,+} \cup F_{1,R_1,2}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\tau - w_2^+|^{\frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa)}} \leq \begin{cases} n^{\frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa)}, & \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) > 1, \\ n \ln n, & \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) = 1, \\ n, & \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) < 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

for $\gamma_2 > 0$ and

$$I_{1,R_{1,2}}^{2,+} + I_{1,R_{1,2}}^{2,-} \leq n \int_{F_{1,R_{1,2}}^{2,+} \cup F_{1,R_{1,2}}^{2,-}} d(\Psi(\tau), L) |d\tau| \leq n \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{(1-\kappa)} \left[\text{mes}(I_{1,R_{1,2}}^{2,+} + I_{1,R_{1,2}}^{2,-}) \right] \leq n^{\kappa - \frac{1}{1+\beta_2}}$$

for $-1 < \gamma_2 \leq 0$.

2.2.2.1. For the integral $I_{2,R_{1,1}}^{2,+} + I_{2,R_{1,1}}^{2,-}$, we get

$$I_{2,R_{1,1}}^{2,+} + I_{2,R_{1,1}}^{2,-} \leq n \int_{F_{2,R_{1,1}}^{2,+} \cup F_{2,R_{1,1}}^{2,-}} d(\Psi(\tau), L) |d\tau| \leq n \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{(1-\kappa)} \leq n^\kappa \tag{56}$$

for $\gamma_2 > 0$ and

$$I_{2,R_{1,1}}^{2,+} + I_{2,R_{1,1}}^{2,-} \leq n \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{(1-\kappa)} \leq n^\kappa$$

for $-1 < \gamma_2 \leq 0$.

2.2.2.2. For the integral $I_{2,R_{1,2}}^{2,+} + I_{2,R_{1,2}}^{2,-}$, we find that

$$I_{2,R_{1,2}}^{2,+} + I_{2,R_{1,2}}^{2,-} \leq n \int_{F_{2,R_{1,2}}^{2,+} \cup F_{2,R_{1,2}}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_2)|^{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}} \leq n \int_{F_{2,R_{1,2}}^{2,+} \cup F_{2,R_{1,2}}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_2^+)|^{\frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa)}} \tag{57}$$

$$\leq n \int_{F_{2,R_{1,2}}^{2,+} \cup F_{2,R_{1,2}}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\tau - w_2^+|^{\frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa)}} \leq \begin{cases} n^{\frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa)}, & \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) > 1, \\ n \ln n, & \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) = 1, \\ n, & \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) < 1, \end{cases}$$

for $\gamma_2 > 0$ and

$$I_{2,R_{1,2}}^{2,+} + I_{2,R_{1,2}}^{2,-} \leq n^\kappa,$$

for $-1 < \gamma_2 \leq 0$.

Thus, we reach

$$\sum_{k,j=1}^2 I_{k,R_{1,j}}^{2,+} + I_{k,R_{1,j}}^{2,-} \leq \begin{cases} n^{\frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa)}, & \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) > 1; \gamma_2 > 0, \\ n \ln n, & \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) = 1; \gamma_2 > 0, \\ n, & \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) < 1; \gamma_2 > 0, \\ n^\kappa, & -1 < \gamma_2 \leq 0, \end{cases} \tag{58}$$

from (54) - (57) for any $\gamma_2 > -1$ and $i = 2$. Taking into consideration (27), (53) and (58) for the $z \in \widehat{\Omega}_R(\delta)$, we obtain

$$A_n(z) \leq \|P_n\|_p \left[\begin{cases} n^{\frac{[\gamma_1(q-1)-1](1+\bar{\kappa})}{q}}, & [\gamma_1(q-1)-1](1+\bar{\kappa}) > 1; \gamma_1 > 0, \\ (n \ln n)^{\frac{1}{q}}, & [\gamma_1(q-1)-1](1+\bar{\kappa}) = 1; \gamma_1 > 0, \\ n^{\frac{1}{q}}, & [\gamma_1(q-1)-1](1+\bar{\kappa}) < 1; \gamma_1 > 0, \\ n^{\frac{\kappa}{q}}, & -1 < \gamma_1 \leq 0, \end{cases} + \begin{cases} n^{\frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{q(1+\beta_2)}(1+\kappa)}, & \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) > 1; \gamma_2 > 0, \\ (n \ln n)^{\frac{1}{q}}, & \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) = 1; \gamma_2 > 0, \\ n^{\frac{1}{q}}, & \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) < 1; \gamma_2 > 0, \\ n^{\frac{\kappa}{q}}, & -1 < \gamma_2 \leq 0. \end{cases} \right] \tag{59}$$

B) By Cauchy integral representation of the $v - th$ derivatives $\left(\frac{1}{\Phi^{n+1}(z)}\right)^{(v)}$, we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{\Phi^{n+1}(z)}\right)^{(v)} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{L_{R_1}} \frac{1}{\Phi^{n+1}(\zeta)} \frac{d\zeta}{(\zeta - z)^{v+1}}, \quad z \in \Omega_R.$$

Then, using notation for $L_R^\pm, E_{1,R_1}^{i,\pm}, E_{2,R_1}^{i,\pm}$ and $F_{j,R_1}^{i,\pm} := \Phi(E_{j,R_1}^{i,\pm})$ from (25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B_{n,v}(z) &: = \left| \left(\Phi^{-n-1}(z)\right)^{(v)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{L_{R_1}} \left| \frac{1}{\Phi^{n+1}(\zeta)} \right| \frac{|d\zeta|}{|\zeta - z|^{v+1}} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{L_{R_1}} \frac{|d\zeta|}{|\zeta - z|^{v+1}} \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \int_{F_{j,R_1}^{i,+} \cup F_{j,R_1}^{i,-}} \frac{|\Psi'(\tau)| |d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w)|^{v+1} (|\tau - 1|)} \asymp \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \int_{F_{j,R_1}^{i,+} \cup F_{j,R_1}^{i,-}} \frac{d(\Psi(\tau), L) |d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w)|^{v+1} (|\tau - 1|)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us calculate the integrals in the final sum for the cases $z \in \Omega_R(\delta)$ and $z \in \widehat{\Omega}_R(\delta)$.

Let $z \in \Omega_R(\delta)$.

3.1. For the integrals over the $F_{j,R_1}^{1,+} \cup F_{j,R_1}^{1,-}, j = 1, 2$, in case of $i = 1$ we get

$$\int_{F_{1,R_1}^{1,+} \cup F_{1,R_1}^{1,-}} \frac{d(\Psi(\tau), L) |d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w)|^{v+1} (|\tau - 1|)} \leq n \cdot \int_{F_{1,R_1}^{1,+} \cup F_{1,R_1}^{1,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w)|^v} \leq n \cdot \int_{F_{1,R_1}^{1,+} \cup F_{1,R_1}^{1,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\tau - w|^{v(1+\bar{\kappa})}} \leq n^{v(1+\bar{\kappa})}$$

and

$$\int_{F_{2,R_1}^{1,+} \cup F_{2,R_1}^{1,-}} \frac{d(\Psi(\tau), L) |d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w)|^{v+1} (|\tau - 1|)} \leq n \cdot \int_{F_{2,R_1}^{1,+} \cup F_{2,R_1}^{1,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w)|^v} \leq n \cdot \int_{F_{2,R_1}^{1,+} \cup F_{2,R_1}^{1,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\tau - w|^{v(1+\kappa)}} \leq n^{v(1+\kappa)}.$$

3.2. For the integrals over the $F_{j,R_1}^{2,+} \cup F_{j,R_1}^{2,-}, j = 1, 2$, in case of $i = 2$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{F_{1,R_1}^{2,+} \cup F_{1,R_1}^{2,-}} \frac{d(\Psi(\tau), L) |d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w)|^{v+1} (|\tau - 1|)} &\leq n \cdot \int_{F_{1,R_1}^{2,+} \cup F_{1,R_1}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_2^+)|^{\frac{v}{1+\beta_2}}} \leq n \cdot \int_{F_{1,R_1}^{2,+} \cup F_{1,R_1}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\tau - w_2^+|^{\frac{v}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa)}} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} n^{\frac{v}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa)}, & \frac{v}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) > 1, \\ n \ln n, & \frac{v}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) = 1, \\ n, & \frac{v}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) < 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{F_{2,R_1}^{2,+} \cup F_{2,R_1}^{2,-}} \frac{d(\Psi(\tau), L) |d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w)|^{v+1} (|\tau - 1|)} \leq n \cdot \int_{F_{2,R_1}^{2,+} \cup F_{2,R_1}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w)|^v} \leq n \cdot \int_{F_{2,R_1}^{2,+} \cup F_{2,R_1}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\tau - w|^{v(1+\kappa)}} \leq n^{v(1+\kappa)}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$B_{n,v}(z) \leq n^{v(1+\bar{\kappa})} + \begin{cases} n^{\frac{v}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa)}, & \frac{v}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) > 1, \\ n \ln n, & \frac{v}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) = 1, \\ n, & \frac{v}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) < 1, \end{cases} + n^{v(1+\kappa)}. \tag{60}$$

for the $z \in \Omega_R(\delta)$.

3.3. Let $z \in \widehat{\Omega}_R(\delta)$. Then, we obtain that

$$B_{n,v}(z) \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \int_{F_{j,R_1}^{i,+} \cup F_{j,R_1}^{i,-}} |\Psi'(\tau)| |d\tau| \asymp \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \int_{F_{j,R_1}^{i,+} \cup F_{j,R_1}^{i,-}} \frac{d(\Psi(\tau), L) |d\tau|}{|\tau| - 1} \leq n^\kappa. \tag{61}$$

Now, combining (19), (20), (21), (47), (59), (60) and (61), we reach

$$|P_n^{(m)}(z)| \leq |\Phi^{n+1}(z)| \left[\frac{\|P_n\|_p}{d(z, L)} A_{n,p}(z) + \sum_{v=1}^m C_m^v B_{n,v}(z) |P_n^{(m-v)}(z)| \right], \tag{62}$$

where

$$A_{n,p}(z) \leq \begin{cases} n^{(\frac{\gamma_1+1}{p}+m-1)(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & p > 1, \quad m \geq 2, \\ n^{\frac{\gamma_1+1}{p}(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & p < p_4^1, \quad m = 1, \\ (n \ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = p_4^1, \quad m = 1, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p > p_4^1, \quad m = 1, \end{cases} + \begin{cases} n^{(\frac{\gamma_2+1}{p}+m-1)\frac{1+\kappa}{1+\beta_2}}, & p > 1, \\ n^{(\frac{\gamma_2+1}{p}+m-1)\frac{1+\kappa}{1+\beta_2}}, & 1 < p < p_6^2(m), \\ n^{\frac{\gamma_2+1}{p} \frac{1+\kappa}{1+\beta_2}}, & p < p_5^2, \\ (n \ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = p_5^2, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p > p_5^2, \end{cases} \begin{cases} m \geq 2, \\ \beta_2 < (m-1)(1+\kappa) - 1, \\ m \geq 2, \\ \beta_2 \geq (m-1)(1+\kappa) - 1, \\ m = 1, \\ m = 1, \\ m = 1, \end{cases}$$

if $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > 0$ and

$$A_{n,p}(z) \leq \begin{cases} n^{(\frac{1}{p}+m-1)(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & p > 1, \quad m \geq 2, \\ n^{\frac{1}{p}(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & p < 2 + \bar{\kappa}, \quad m = 1, \\ (n \ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = 2 + \bar{\kappa}, \quad m = 1, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p > 2 + \bar{\kappa}, \quad m = 1, \end{cases} + \begin{cases} n^{(\frac{1}{p}+m-1)\frac{1+\kappa}{1+\beta_2}}, & p > 1, \\ n^{(\frac{1}{p}+m-1)\frac{1+\kappa}{1+\beta_2}}, & 1 < p < p_8^2, \\ n^{\frac{1}{p} \frac{1+\kappa}{1+\beta_2}}, & p < 1 + \frac{1+\kappa}{1+\beta_2}, \\ (n \ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = 1 + \frac{1+\kappa}{1+\beta_2}, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p > 1 + \frac{1+\kappa}{1+\beta_2}, \end{cases} \begin{cases} m \geq 2, \\ \beta_2 < (m-1)(1+\kappa) - 1, \\ m \geq 2, \\ \beta_2 \geq (m-1)(1+\kappa) - 1, \\ m = 1, \\ m = 1, \\ m = 1, \end{cases}$$

if $-1 < \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \leq 0$,

$$B_{n,v}(z) \leq n^{v(1+\bar{\kappa})} + \begin{cases} n^{\frac{v}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa)}, & \frac{v}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) > 1, \\ n \ln n, & \frac{v}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) = 1, \\ n, & \frac{v}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) < 1, \end{cases} + n^{v(1+\kappa)}.$$

for $z \in \Omega_R(\delta)$ and

$$A_{n,p}(z) \leq \begin{cases} n^{(\frac{\gamma_1+1}{p}-1)(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & p < p_1^1, \quad \gamma_1 > 0, \\ (n \ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = p_1^1, \quad \gamma_1 > 0, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p > p_1^1, \quad \gamma_1 > 0, \\ n^{\kappa(1-\frac{1}{p})}, & p > 1, \quad -1 < \gamma_1 \leq 0, \end{cases} + \begin{cases} n^{\frac{\gamma_2+1-p}{p(1+\beta_2)}(1+\kappa)}, & p < p_2^2, \quad \gamma_2 > 0, \\ (n \ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = p_2^2, \quad \gamma_2 > 0, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p > p_2^2, \quad \gamma_2 > 0, \\ n^{\kappa(1-\frac{1}{p})}, & p > 1, \quad -1 < \gamma_2 \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$B_{n,v}(z) \leq n^\kappa$$

for $z \in \widehat{\Omega}_R(\delta)$.

Therefore, it remains to show that $d(z, L_{R_1}) \geq d(z, L)$ for the complete the proof of the Theorem 2.1. Let us show that $d(z, L_{R_1}) \geq d(z, L)$ holds for all $z \in \Omega_R$. Really, we have $d(z, L_{R_1}) \geq \delta \geq d(z, L)$ for the points $z \in \widehat{\Omega}_R(\delta)$. Now, let $z \in \Omega(L_{R_1}, d(L_{R_1}, L_R))$. Then, we find that $|w - w_1| \geq \|w - w_2\| - |w_2 - w_1| \geq \|w - w_2\| - \frac{1}{2} \|w - w_2\| \geq \frac{1}{2} \|w - w_2\|$ where $d(z, L_{R_1}) = |z - \xi_1|$ for $\xi_1 \in L_{R_1}$ and $d(z, L) = |z - \xi_2|$ for $\xi_2 \in L$ and $w = \Phi(z), w_i = \Phi(\xi_i), i = 1, 2$. According to Lemma 3.1, we obtain the inequality $d(z, L_{R_1}) \geq d(z, L)$ that ends the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Suppose that $G \in \widetilde{PQ}(\kappa; f_1, g_2)$, for some $0 < \kappa < 1, f_1(x) = C_1 x^{1+\alpha_1}, \alpha_1 \geq 0$ and $g_2(x) = C_2 x^{1+\beta_2}, \beta_2 > 0$ and $h(z)$ is defined as in (1). By applying Cauchy integral formula to $H_n(z) = \frac{P_n(z)}{\Phi^{n+1}(z)}$ for $z \in \Omega_R$, we write

$$\left| \frac{P_n(z)}{\Phi^{n+1}(z)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{L_{R_1}} \left| \frac{P_n(\zeta)}{\Phi^{n+1}(\zeta)} \right| \frac{|d\zeta|}{|\zeta - z|} \leq \frac{1}{d(z, L_{R_1})} \int_{L_{R_1}} |P_n(\zeta)| |d\zeta| =: \frac{1}{d(z, L_{R_1})} A_n. \tag{63}$$

Therefore, according to the above reason $d(z, L_{R_1}) \geq d(z, L)$ for $z \in \Omega_R$, we obtain

$$|P_n(z)| \leq \frac{|\Phi^{n+1}(z)|}{d(z, L)} A_n, \text{ where } A_n = \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{L_{R_1}^i} |P_n(\zeta)| |d\zeta|. \tag{64}$$

Let us evaluate the integrals in A_n . By first multiplying the numerator and denominator of the integrand by $h^{1/p}(\zeta)$ and then applying the Hölder inequality, we get

$$A_n \leq \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(\int_{L_{R_1}^i} h(\zeta) |P_n(\zeta)|^p |d\zeta| \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_{L_{R_1}^i} \frac{|d\zeta|}{\prod_{j=1}^l |\zeta - z_j|^{\frac{q}{p} \gamma_j}} \right)^{1/q} =: \sum_{i=1}^2 (\widetilde{J}_{1,R_1}^i \cdot \widetilde{J}_{2,R_1}^i), \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1. \tag{65}$$

According to Lemma 3.3, we obtain

$$\widetilde{J}_{1,R_1}^i \leq \|P_n\|_p, \quad i = 1, 2 \tag{66}$$

for the integral \widetilde{J}_{1,R_1}^i . Then, from (65) and (66) we have

$$A_n \leq \|P_n\|_p \sum_{i=1}^2 (\widetilde{J}_{2,R_1}^i).$$

For the integral \widetilde{J}_{2,R_1}^i , we find that

$$\widetilde{J}_{2,R_1}^i := \int_{L_{R_1}^i} \frac{|d\zeta|}{\prod_{j=1}^l |\zeta - z_j|^{\frac{q}{p} \gamma_j}} \asymp \int_{L_{R_1}^i} \frac{|d\zeta|}{|\zeta - z_i|^{\frac{q}{p} \gamma_i}}, \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{67}$$

Then, from (67), we write

$$A_n \leq \|P_n\|_p \sum_{i=1}^2 (\widetilde{J}_{2,R_1}^i), \text{ where } \widetilde{J}_{2,R_1}^i = \int_{L_{R_1}^i} \frac{|d\zeta|}{|\zeta - z_i|^{(q-1)\gamma_i}}, \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{68}$$

Taking into consideration above notations, replacing the variable $\tau = \Phi(\zeta)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{J}_{2,R_1}^i &= \int_{L_{R_1}^i} \frac{|d\zeta|}{|\zeta - z_i|^{\gamma_i}} = \int_{\Phi(L_{R_1}^i)} \frac{|\Psi'(\tau)| |d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_i)|^{(q-1)\gamma_i}} \asymp \sum_{i,j=1}^2 \int_{F_{j,R_1}^{i,+} \cup F_{j,R_1}^{i,-}} \frac{|\Psi'(\tau)| |d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_i)|^{(q-1)\gamma_i}} \\ &=: \sum_{i,j=1}^2 [\widetilde{J}(F_{j,R_1}^{i,+}) + \widetilde{J}(F_{j,R_1}^{i,-})], \end{aligned}$$

and herefrom we obtain

$$A_n \leq \|P_n\|_p \sum_{i=1}^2 [\widetilde{J}_{2,R_1}^i] =: \|P_n\|_p \sum_{i=1}^2 [\widetilde{I}_1^i(E_{1,R_1}^{i,+}) + \widetilde{I}_2^i(E_{2,R_1}^{i,-})] =: \|P_n\|_p \sum_{i,k=1}^2 [\widetilde{I}_{k,R_1}^{i,+} + \widetilde{I}_{k,R_1}^{i,-}] \tag{69}$$

owing to (68) where

$$\widetilde{I}_{k,R_1}^{i,\pm} := \widetilde{I}_{k,R_1}^i(E_{k,R_1}^{i,\pm}) := \int_{F_{k,R_1}^{i,\pm}} \frac{|\Psi'(\tau)| |d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_i)|^{(q-1)\gamma_i}} \asymp \int_{F_{k,R_1}^{i,\pm}} \frac{d(\Psi(\tau), L) |d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_i)|^{(q-1)\gamma_i} (|\tau| - 1)}; \quad i, k = 1, 2,$$

from (18) and (25). According to (63) and (64), it is sufficient to estimate the integrals $\widetilde{I}_{k,R_1}^{i,\pm}$ for each $i = 1, 2$ and $k = 1, 2$.

1. Let us estimate the integrals $\widetilde{I}_{k,R_1}^{1,+} + \widetilde{I}_{k,R_1}^{1,-}$, for $k = 1, 2$ in case of $i = 1$.

1.1. For the integral $\widetilde{I}_{1,R_1}^{1,+} + \widetilde{I}_{1,R_1}^{1,-}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{I}_{1,R_1}^{1,+} + \widetilde{I}_{1,R_1}^{1,-} &\leq n \cdot \int_{F_{1,R_1}^{1,+} \cup F_{1,R_1}^{1,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_1)|^{\gamma_1(q-1)-1}} \leq n \cdot \int_{F_{1,R_1}^{1,+} \cup F_{1,R_1}^{1,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\tau - w_1|^{(\gamma_1(q-1)-1)(1+\bar{\kappa})}} \\ &\leq n \cdot n^{(\gamma_1(q-1)-1)(1+\bar{\kappa})} \cdot \text{mes}(F_{1,R_1}^{1,+} \cup F_{1,R_1}^{1,-}) \leq n^{[\gamma_1(q-1)-1](1+\bar{\kappa})} \end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

for $\gamma_1 > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{I}_{1,R_1}^{1,+} + \widetilde{I}_{1,R_1}^{1,-} &\leq n \cdot d_{1,R_1}^{(-\gamma_1)(q-1)} d_{1,R_1} \int_{F_{1,R_1}^{1,+} \cup F_{1,R_1}^{1,-}} |d\tau| \\ &\leq n \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{(-\gamma_1)(q-1)+1(1-\kappa)} \cdot \text{mes}(F_{1,R_1}^{1,+} \cup F_{1,R_1}^{1,-}) \leq 1 \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

for $-1 < \gamma_1 \leq 0$.

1.2. For the integral $\widetilde{I}_{2,R_1}^{1,+} + \widetilde{I}_{2,R_1}^{1,-}$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{I}_{2,R_1}^{1,+} + \widetilde{I}_{2,R_1}^{1,-} &\leq n \cdot \int_{F_{2,R_1}^{1,+} \cup F_{2,R_1}^{1,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_1)|^{\gamma_1(q-1)-1}} \\ &\leq n \cdot \int_{F_{2,R_1}^{1,+} \cup F_{2,R_1}^{1,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\tau - w_1|^{(\gamma_1(q-1)-1)(1+\bar{\kappa})}} \leq \begin{cases} n^{[\gamma_1(q-1)-1](1+\bar{\kappa})}, & [\gamma_1(q-1)-1](1+\bar{\kappa}) > 1, \\ n \ln n, & [\gamma_1(q-1)-1](1+\bar{\kappa}) = 1, \\ n, & [\gamma_1(q-1)-1](1+\bar{\kappa}) < 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

for $\gamma_1 > 0$ and

$$\widetilde{I}_{2,R_1}^{1,+} + \widetilde{I}_{2,R_1}^{1,-} \asymp \int_{F_{2,R_1}^{1,+} \cup F_{2,R_1}^{1,-}} \frac{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_1)|^{(-\gamma_1)(q-1)} d(\Psi(\tau), L) |d\tau|}{(|\tau| - 1)} \leq n^\kappa \int_{F_{2,R_1}^{1,+} \cup F_{2,R_1}^{1,-}} |d\tau| \leq n^\kappa \tag{73}$$

for $-1 < \gamma_1 \leq 0$. Therefore, we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^2 [\tilde{I}_{k,R_1}^{1,+} + \tilde{I}_{k,R_1}^{1,-}] \leq \begin{cases} n^{[\gamma_1(q-1)-1](1+\kappa)}, & [\gamma_1(q-1)-1](1+\kappa) > 1, \\ n \ln n, & [\gamma_1(q-1)-1](1+\kappa) = 1, \\ n, & [\gamma_1(q-1)-1](1+\kappa) < 1, \\ n^\kappa & -1 < \gamma_1 \leq 0, \end{cases} \tag{74}$$

for any $\gamma_1 > -1$.

2. Let us estimate the integrals $\tilde{I}_{k,R_1}^{2,+} + \tilde{I}_{k,R_1}^{2,-}$, for $k = 1, 2$ in case of $i = 2$.

2.1. For the integral $\tilde{I}_{1,R_1}^{2,+} + \tilde{I}_{1,R_1}^{2,-}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I}_{1,R_1}^{2,+} + \tilde{I}_{1,R_1}^{2,-} &\leq n \int_{F_{1,R_1}^{2,+} \cup F_{1,R_1}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_2)|^{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}} \\ &\leq n \int_{F_{1,R_1}^{2,+} \cup F_{1,R_1}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_2^+)|^{\frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2}}} \leq \begin{cases} n^{\frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa)}, & \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) > 1, \\ n \ln n, & \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) = 1, \\ n, & \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) < 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

for $\gamma_2 > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I}_{1,R_1}^{2,+} + \tilde{I}_{1,R_1}^{2,-} &\leq n \int_{F_{1,R_1}^{2,+} \cup F_{1,R_1}^{2,-}} |\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_2)|^{(-\gamma_2)(q-1)+1} |d\tau| \\ &\leq n \int_{F_{1,R_1}^{2,+} \cup F_{1,R_1}^{2,-}} |\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_2^+)|^{\frac{(-\gamma_2)(q-1)+1}{1+\beta_2}} |d\tau| \leq n \cdot d_{2,R_1}^{\frac{(-\gamma_2)(q-1)+1}{1+\beta_2}} \text{mes}(F_{1,R_1}^{2,+} \cup F_{1,R_1}^{2,-}) \leq 1 \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

for $-1 < \gamma_2 \leq 0$.

2.2. For the integral $\tilde{I}_{2,R_1}^{2,+} + \tilde{I}_{2,R_1}^{2,-}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{I}_{2,R_1}^{2,+} + \tilde{I}_{2,R_1}^{2,-} &\leq n \int_{F_{2,R_1}^{2,+} \cup F_{2,R_1}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_2)|^{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}} \\ &\leq n \int_{F_{2,R_1}^{2,+} \cup F_{2,R_1}^{2,-}} \frac{|d\tau|}{|\Psi(\tau) - \Psi(w_2^+)|^{\frac{\gamma_2(q-1)+qm-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa)}} \leq \begin{cases} n^{\frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa)}, & \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) > 1, \\ n \ln n, & \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) = 1, \\ n, & \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) < 1, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for $\gamma_2 > 0$ and

$$\tilde{I}_{2,R_1}^{2,+} + \tilde{I}_{2,R_1}^{2,-} \leq \int_{F_{2,R_1}^{2,+} \cup F_{2,R_1}^{2,-}} \frac{d(\Psi(\tau), L) |d\tau|}{(|\tau| - 1)} \leq n^\kappa \tag{77}$$

for $-1 < \gamma_2 \leq 0$. Therefore, we find

$$\sum_{k=1}^2 [\tilde{I}_{k,R_1}^{2,+} + \tilde{I}_{k,R_1}^{2,-}] \leq \begin{cases} n^{\frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa)}, & \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) > 1, \\ n \ln n, & \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) = 1, \\ n, & \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2}(1+\kappa) < 1, \\ n^\kappa, & -1 < \gamma_2 \leq 0, \end{cases} \tag{78}$$

from (75) - (77) for any $\gamma_2 > -1$ and $i = 2$.

By combining the results (69), (74) and (78), we write

$$A_n \leq \|P_n\|_p \left[\begin{array}{l} \left(n^{\frac{[\gamma_1(q-1)-1](1+\bar{\kappa})}{q}}, \quad \gamma_1(q-1) - 1 > \frac{1}{1+\bar{\kappa}}, \right. \\ (n \ln n)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad \gamma_1(q-1) - 1 = \frac{1}{1+\bar{\kappa}}, \\ n^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad \gamma_1(q-1) - 1 < \frac{1}{1+\bar{\kappa}}. \\ n^{\frac{\kappa}{q}}, \quad -1 < \gamma_1 \leq 0. \end{array} \right. + \left. \left[\begin{array}{l} n^{\frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{q(1+\beta_2)}(1+\kappa)}, \quad \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2} > \frac{1}{1+\kappa}, \\ (n \ln n)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2} = \frac{1}{1+\kappa}, \\ n^{\frac{1}{q}}, \quad \frac{\gamma_2(q-1)-1}{1+\beta_2} < \frac{1}{1+\kappa}, \\ n^{\frac{\kappa}{q}}, \quad -1 < \gamma_2 \leq 0, \end{array} \right] \right] \quad (79)$$

for the $p > 1$ and $z \in \Omega_R$. Taking (64) and (79) together, we have

$$|P_n(z)| \leq c \frac{|\Phi(z)|^{n+1}}{d(z, L)} B_{n,1} \|P_n\|_p, \quad (80)$$

for any $p > 1$ and $z \in \Omega_R$ where $c = c(L, p, \gamma_i) > 0$, $i = 1, 2$, is the constant independent from n and z and

$$B_{n,1} := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} n^{\frac{(\gamma_1+1)-1}{p}(1+\bar{\kappa})}, \quad p < p_1^1, \quad \gamma_1 > 0, \\ (n \ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, \quad p = p_1^1, \quad \gamma_1 > 0, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, \quad p > p_1^1, \quad \gamma_1 > 0, \\ n^{(1-\frac{1}{p})\kappa}, \quad p > 1, \quad -1 < \gamma_1 \leq 0, \end{array} \right. + \left\{ \begin{array}{l} n^{\frac{(\gamma_2+1)-1}{p}(1+\kappa)}, \quad p < p_2^2, \quad \gamma_2 > 0, \\ (n \ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, \quad p = p_2^2, \quad \gamma_2 > 0, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, \quad p > p_2^2, \quad \gamma_2 > 0, \\ n^{(1-\frac{1}{p})\kappa}, \quad p > 1, \quad -1 < \gamma_2 \leq 0. \end{array} \right.$$

Therefore, the proof of Theorem 2.3 is completed.

Proof of Theorem 2.4. From Corollary 2.2 and Theorem 2.3, we get

$$|P'_n(z)| \leq \frac{|\Phi^{n+1}(z)|}{d(z, L)} \left[A_{n,p}^2(z, 1) + |P_n(z)| \begin{cases} n^{1+\bar{\kappa}}, & \text{if } z \in \Omega_R(\delta), \\ n^\kappa, & \text{if } z \in \widehat{\Omega}_R(\delta), \end{cases} \right] \quad (81)$$

where for $m = 1$ and any $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > -1, \beta_2 > 0$.

Taking into account estimates (12) for $A_{n,p}^2(z, 1)$ and (13) for $|P_n(z)|$ and then substituting them into (81), we have

$$|P'_n(z)| \leq \frac{|\Phi^{2(n+1)}(z)|}{d(z, L)} \|P_n\|_p \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left(\begin{array}{l} n^{\frac{\gamma_1+1}{p}(1+\bar{\kappa})}, \quad 1 < p < p_4^1, \quad \gamma_1 \geq \widetilde{\gamma}_5, \quad \gamma_2 > 0, \\ n^{\frac{\gamma_2+1}{p} \frac{1+\kappa}{1+\beta_2}}, \quad 1 < p < p_5^2, \quad 0 < \gamma_1 < \widetilde{\gamma}_5, \quad \gamma_2 > 0, \\ (n \ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, \quad p = \max\{p_4^1; p_5^2\}, \quad \gamma_1 > 0, \quad \gamma_2 > 0, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, \quad p > \max\{p_4^1; p_5^2\}, \quad \gamma_1 > 0, \quad \gamma_2 > 0, \\ n^{\frac{1}{p}(1+\bar{\kappa})}, \quad p < 2 + \bar{\kappa}, \quad -1 < \gamma_1 \leq 0, \quad -1 < \gamma_2 \leq 0, \\ (n \ln n)^{2-\frac{1}{p}}, \quad p = 2 + \bar{\kappa}, \quad -1 < \gamma_1 \leq 0, \quad -1 < \gamma_2 \leq 0, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, \quad p > 2 + \bar{\kappa}, \quad -1 < \gamma_1 \leq 0, \quad -1 < \gamma_2 \leq 0, \end{array} \right. \\ + \left(\begin{array}{l} n^{\frac{\gamma_1+1}{p}(1+\bar{\kappa})}, \quad 1 < p < p_1^1, \quad \gamma_1 \geq \widetilde{\gamma}_3, \quad \gamma_2 > 0, \\ n^{\frac{[\gamma_2+1]-1}{p} \frac{1+\kappa}{1+\beta_2} + 1 + \bar{\kappa}}, \quad 1 < p < p_2^2, \quad 0 < \gamma_1 < \widetilde{\gamma}_3, \quad \gamma_2 > 0, \\ n^{1+\bar{\kappa}} (n \ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, \quad p = p_1^1, \quad \gamma_1 \geq \widetilde{\gamma}_2, \quad \gamma_2 > 0, \\ n^{1+\bar{\kappa}} (n \ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, \quad p = p_2^2, \quad 0 < \gamma_1 < \widetilde{\gamma}_2, \quad \gamma_2 > 0, \\ n^{2-\frac{1}{p}+\bar{\kappa}}, \quad p > p_1^1, \quad \gamma_1 \geq \widetilde{\gamma}_2, \quad \gamma_2 > 0, \\ n^{2-\frac{1}{p}+\bar{\kappa}}, \quad p > p_2^2, \quad 0 < \gamma_1 < \widetilde{\gamma}_2, \quad \gamma_2 > 0, \\ n^{\kappa(1-\frac{1}{p})+1+\bar{\kappa}}, \quad p > 1, \quad -1 < \gamma_1 \leq 0, \quad -1 < \gamma_2 \leq 0, \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right.$$

for the $z \in \Omega_R(\delta)$;

$$|P'_n(z)| \leq \frac{|\Phi^{2(n+1)}(z)|}{d(z, L)} \|P_n\|_p \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left(\begin{array}{lll} n^{(\frac{\gamma_1+1}{p}-1)(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & 1 < p < p_1^1, & \gamma_1 \geq \bar{\gamma}_3, & \gamma_2 > 0, \\ n^{[\frac{\gamma_2+1}{p}-1]_{1+\beta_2}^{1+\bar{\kappa}}}, & 1 < p < p_2^2, & 0 < \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_3, & \gamma_2 > 0, \\ (n \ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = p_1^1, & \gamma_1 \geq \bar{\gamma}_2, & \gamma_2 > 0, \\ (n \ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = p_2^2, & 0 < \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_2, & \gamma_2 > 0, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p > p_1^1, & \gamma_1 \geq \bar{\gamma}_2, & \gamma_2 > 0, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p > p_2^2, & 0 < \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_2, & \gamma_2 > 0, \\ n^{\kappa(1-\frac{1}{p})}, & p > 1, & -1 < \gamma_1 \leq 0 & -1 < \gamma_2 \leq 0, \end{array} \right. \\ + \\ \left(\begin{array}{lll} n^{(\frac{\gamma_1+1}{p}-1)(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & 1 < p < p_1^1, & \gamma_1 \geq \bar{\gamma}_3, & \gamma_2 > 0, \\ n^{[\frac{\gamma_2+1}{p}-1]_{1+\beta_2}^{1+\bar{\kappa}}}, & 1 < p < p_2^2, & 0 < \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_3, & \gamma_2 > 0, \\ n^{\bar{\kappa}} (n \ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = p_1^1, & \gamma_1 \geq \bar{\gamma}_2, & \gamma_2 > 0, \\ n^{\bar{\kappa}} (n \ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = p_2^2, & 0 < \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_2, & \gamma_2 > 0, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}+\bar{\kappa}}, & p > p_1^1, & \gamma_1 \geq \bar{\gamma}_2, & \gamma_2 > 0, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}+\bar{\kappa}}, & p > p_2^2, & 0 < \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_2, & \gamma_2 > 0, \\ n^{\kappa(1-\frac{1}{p})+\bar{\kappa}}, & p > 1, & -1 < \gamma_1 \leq 0, & -1 < \gamma_2 \leq 0, \end{array} \right. \end{array} \right.$$

if $z \in \widehat{\Omega}_R(\delta)$. Performing addition on the right-hand sides, after simple calculations we find the corresponding estimate for the modulus of the first-order derivative.

Proof of Theorem 2.5. From Corollary 2.2, we know that

$$P''_n(z) \leq |\Phi^{n+1}(z)| \left[\frac{\|P_n\|_p}{d(z, L)} A_{n,p}^2(z, 2) + C_2^1 B_{n,1}^1 |P'_n(z)| + C_2^2 B_{n,2}^1 |P_n(z)| \right].$$

In this last inequality, by considering the estimates (12) for $A_{n,p}^2(z, 2)$, (13) for $|P_n(z)|$, (14) for $|P'_n(z)|$ and previously given related for $B_{n,v}^1, v = 1, 2$, we obtain

$$|P''_n(z)| \leq \frac{|\Phi^{3(n+1)}(z)| \|P_n\|_p}{d(z, L)} A_{n,p}^6(z)$$

with the simple calculations where

$$A_{n,p}^6(z) := \left\{ \begin{array}{lll} n^{(\frac{\gamma_1+1}{p}+1)(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & 1 < p < p_3, & \gamma_1 \geq \bar{\gamma}_1(2), \quad \beta_2 \leq \kappa, \\ n^{(\frac{\gamma_1+1}{p}+1)(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & p \geq p_3, & \gamma_1 > 0, \quad \beta_2 \leq \kappa, \\ n^{(\frac{\gamma_2+1}{p}+1)_{1+\beta_2}^{1+\bar{\kappa}}}, & p_3 \leq p < p_6(2), & \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_1(2), \quad \beta_2 > \kappa, \\ + \\ n^{(\frac{\gamma_1+1}{p}+2)(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & 1 < p < p_1^1, & \gamma_1 \geq \bar{\gamma}_3, \\ n^{[\frac{\gamma_2+1}{p}-1]_{1+\beta_2}^{1+\bar{\kappa}}+3(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & 1 < p < p_1^1, & \bar{\gamma}_5 \leq \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_3, \\ n^{(1-\frac{1}{p})+3(1+\bar{\kappa})} (\ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = p_1^1, & \gamma_1 \geq \bar{\gamma}_2, \\ n^{(1-\frac{1}{p})+3(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & p > p_1^1, & \gamma_1 \geq \bar{\gamma}_2, \\ n^{(1-\frac{1}{p})+3(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & p > q_1, & \bar{\gamma}_5 \leq \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_2, \\ n^{(1-\frac{1}{p})+2(1+\bar{\kappa})} (\ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = p_2^2, & \bar{\gamma}_5 \leq \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_2, \\ n^{[\frac{\gamma_2+1}{p}-1]_{1+\beta_2}^{1+\bar{\kappa}}+3(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & 1 < p < p_2^2, & \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_5, \\ n^{(1-\frac{1}{p})+3(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & p > p_2^2, & \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_2, \end{array} \right.$$

if $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > 0$,

$$A_{n,p}^6(z) = \begin{cases} n^{\kappa(1-\frac{1}{p})+3(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & p > 1, & \beta_2 \leq \kappa, \\ n^{\kappa(1-\frac{1}{p})+3(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & 1 < p < \frac{(1+\kappa)+(1+\beta_2)}{(1+\beta_2)-(1+\kappa)}, & \beta_2 > \kappa, \end{cases}$$

if $-1 < \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \leq 0$, for the $z \in \Omega_R(\delta)$;

$$A_{n,p}^6(z) = \begin{cases} n^{\frac{(\gamma_1+1)}{p}-1(1+\bar{\kappa})}, & 1 < p < p_1^1, & \gamma_1 \geq \bar{\gamma}_3, \\ n^{\lceil \frac{\gamma_2+1}{p}-1 \rceil \frac{1+\bar{\kappa}}{1+\beta_2}}, & 1 < p < p_2^2, & 0 < \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_3, \\ (n \ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = p_1^1, & \gamma_1 \geq \bar{\gamma}_2, \\ (n \ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = p_2^2, & 0 < \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_2, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p > p_1^1, & \gamma_1 \geq \bar{\gamma}_2, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p > p_2^2, & 0 < \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_2, \end{cases} + \begin{cases} n^{\frac{(\gamma_1+1)}{p}-1(1+\bar{\kappa})+2\kappa}, & 1 < p < p_1^1, & \gamma_1 \geq \bar{\gamma}_3, \\ n^{\lceil \frac{\gamma_2+1}{p}-1 \rceil \frac{1+\bar{\kappa}}{1+\beta_2}+2\kappa}, & 1 < p < p_2^2, & 0 < \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_3, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}+2\kappa} (\ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = p_1^1, & \gamma_1 \geq \bar{\gamma}_2, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}+2\kappa} (\ln n)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}, & p = p_2^2, & 0 < \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_2, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}+2\kappa}, & p > p_1^1, & \gamma_1 \geq \bar{\gamma}_2, \\ n^{1-\frac{1}{p}+2\kappa}, & p > p_2^2, & 0 < \gamma_1 < \bar{\gamma}_2, \end{cases}$$

if $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > 0$,

$$A_{n,p}^6(z) := n^{\kappa(1-\frac{1}{p})+2\kappa},$$

if $-1 < \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \leq 0$ for the $z \in \widehat{\Omega}_R(\delta)$. Therefore, the proof of Theorem 2.5 is completed.

Proof of Theorem 2.6. Assume that $G \in \widetilde{PQ}(\kappa; f_i, g_i)$, for some $0 \leq \kappa < 1$, $f_i(x) = c_i x^{1+\alpha_i}$, $\alpha_i \geq 0$, $i = \overline{1, l_1}$, and $g_i(x) = c_i x^{1+\beta_i}$, $\beta_i > 0$, $i = \overline{l_1 + 1, l}$. By the Cauchy integral formula for $m - th$ derivatives, we have

$$P_n^{(m)}(z) = \frac{m!}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial B(z,d(z,L_R))} \frac{P_n(t)}{(t-z)^{m+1}} dt, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

According to (3), we get

$$|P_n^{(m)}(z)| \leq \frac{m!}{2\pi} \max_{z \in \partial B(z,d(z,L_R))} |P_n(t)| \int_{\partial B(z,d(z,L_R))} \frac{|dt|}{|t-z|^{m+1}} \leq \max_{t \in \overline{G}} |P_n(t)| \frac{1}{d^m(z, L_R)}.$$

Applying [14, Th.2.1] and using Lemma 3.3, we find that

$$|P_n^{(m)}(z)| \leq \|P_n\|_p \cdot \left\{ n^{m(1+\bar{\kappa})} + n^{m \frac{1+\bar{\kappa}}{1+\beta_2}} \right\} \cdot \left\{ n^{\frac{(\gamma_1^*+1)(1+\bar{\kappa})}{p}} + n^{\left(\frac{\gamma_2^*}{1+\beta_2}+1\right) \frac{1+\bar{\kappa}}{p}} \right\}.$$

So, we complete the proof of Theorem 2.6, since $z \in L$ is arbitrary.

Acknowledgment

This work was reported at the International Conference “Modern Problems of Mathematics and Mechanics” dedicated to the 100-th anniversary of the National Leader Heydar Aliyev, which was held under the organization of the Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics Ministry of Science and Education of the Azerbaijan Republic, April 26-28, 2023, Baku (Azerbaijan). We thank the Organizers for their hospitality. We express our deep gratitude to the respected Referee for his/her valuable comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] F. G. Abdullayev, *On the some properties on orthogonal polynomials over the regions of complex plane. I*, Ukr. Math. J. **52** (2000), 1807–1817.

- [2] F. G. Abdullayev, *On some properties of the orthogonal polynomials over the region of the complex plane (Part III)*, Ukr. Math. J. **53** (2001), 1934–1948.
- [3] F. G. Abdullayev, *Polynomial inequalities in regions with corners in the weighted Lebesgue spaces*, Filomat **31** (2017), 5647–5670.
- [4] F. G. Abdullayev, *Bernstein-Walsh-type inequalities for derivatives of algebraic polynomials in quasidisks*, Open Math. **19** (2021), 1847–1876.
- [5] F. G. Abdullayev, V. V. Andrievskii, *On the orthogonal polynomials in the domains with K -quasiconformal boundary*, Izv. Akad. Nauk Azerb. SSR Ser. Fiz.-Tekhn. Mat. Nauk **4** (1983), 7–11 (in Russian).
- [6] F. G. Abdullayev, N. D. Aral, *On Bernstein-Walsh-type Lemmas in Regions of the Complex Plane*, Ukr. Math. J. **63**(3) (2011) 337–350.
- [7] F. G. Abdullayev, U. Değer, *On the orthogonal polynomials with weight having singularities on the boundary of regions in the complex plane*, Bul. Belg. Math. Soc., **16** (2009), 235–250.
- [8] F. G. Abdullayev, C. D. Gün, *Bernstein-Nikolskii-type inequalities for algebraic polynomials in Bergman space in regions of complex plane*, Ukr. Math. J. **73** (2021), 513–531.
- [9] F. G. Abdullayev, C. D. Gün, *Bernstein-Walsh -type inequalities for derivatives of algebraic polynomials*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **59** (2022), 45–72.
- [10] F. G. Abdullayev, M. Imashkyzy, *On the growth of m -th derivatives of algebraic polynomials in the weighted Lebesgue space*, Appl. Math. Sci. and Eng. **30** (1) (2022) 249–282,
- [11] F. G. Abdullayev, M. Imashkyzy, G. A. Abdullayev, *Bernstein-Walsh type inequalities in unbounded regions with piecewise asymptotically conformal curve in the weighted Lebesgue space*, J. Math. Sci. **234** (2018), 35–48.
- [12] F. G. Abdullayev, P. Özkartepe, *An analogue of the Bernstein-Walsh lemma in Jordan regions of the complex plane*, J. Ineq. Appl. **570** (2013), 7 p.
- [13] F. G. Abdullayev, P. Özkartepe, *On the behavior of the algebraic polynomial in unbounded regions with piecewise Dini-smooth boundary*, Ukr. Math. J. **66** (2014), 645–665.
- [14] F. G. Abdullayev, P. Özkartepe, *Uniform and pointwise polynomial inequalities in regions with cusps in the weighted Lebesgue space*, Jaen J. Approx. **7** (2015), 231–261.
- [15] F. G. Abdullayev, P. Özkartepe, *On the growth of algebraic polynomials in the whole complex plane*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **52** (2015), 699–725.
- [16] F. G. Abdullayev, P. Özkartepe, *Uniform and pointwise Bernstein-Walsh-type inequalities on a quasidisk in the complex plane*, Bul. Belg. Math. Soc. **23** (2016), 285–310.
- [17] F. G. Abdullayev, N. P. Özkartepe, *Polynomial inequalities in Lavrentiev regions with interior and exterior zero angles in the weighted Lebesgue space*, Publ. Inst. Math.(Beograd) **100** (114) (2016), 209–227.
- [18] F. G. Abdullayev, N. P. Özkartepe, *Interference of the weight and boundary contour for algebraic polynomials in the weighted Lebesgue spaces L* , Ukr. Math. J. **68** (2017), 1574–1590.
- [19] F. G. Abdullayev, N. P. Özkartepe, *The uniform and pointwise estimates for polynomials on the weighted Lebesgue spaces in the general regions of complex plane*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. **48** (2019), 87–101.
- [20] F. G. Abdullayev, P. Özkartepe, T. Tunç, *Uniform and pointwise estimates for algebraic polynomials in regions with interior and exterior zero angles*, Filomat **33** (2019), 403–413.
- [21] F. G. Abdullayev, T. Tunc, G. A. Abdullayev, *Polynomial inequalities in quasidisks on weighted Bergman space*, Ukr. Math. J. **69** (2017), 675–695.
- [22] V. V. Andrievskii, *Weighted polynomial inequalities in the complex plane* J. Approx.Theory **164** (2012), 1165–1183.
- [23] V. V. Andrievskii, V. I. Belyi, *Approximation of functions in domains with a quasiconformal boundary*, Math. Notes **29** (1981), 214–219.
- [24] V. V. Andrievskii, V. I. Belyi, V. K. Dzyadyk, *Conformal invariants in constructive theory of functions of complex plane*, Atlanta, World Federation Publ.Com., 1995.
- [25] S. Balci, M. Imashkyzy, F. G. Abdullayev, *Polynomial inequalities in regions with interior zero angles in the Bergman space*, Ukr. Math. J. **70** (2018), 362–384.
- [26] P. P. Belinskii, *General Properties of Quasiconformal Mappings*, Nauka, Sib. otd., Novosibirsk, 1974 (in Russian).
- [27] D. Benko, P. Dragnev, V. Totik, *Convexity of harmonic densities*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam, **28** (2012), 1–14.
- [28] S. N. Bernstein, *On the best approximation of continuous functions by polynomials of given degree*, Izd. Akad. Nauk SSSR I (1952) II 1954 (O nailuchshem priblizhenii nepreryvnykh funktsii posredstvom mnogochlenov dannoi stepeni), Sobraniye sochinenii, **I**(4) (1912), 11–96.
- [29] S. N. Bernstein, *Sur la limitation des derivees des polnomes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **190** (1930), 338–341.
- [30] U. Değer, F. G. Abdullayev, *On the behavior of derivatives of algebraic polynomials in unbounded regions with piecewise quasismooth boundary having cusps*, Filomat, in press.
- [31] V. K. Dzyadyk, *Introduction to the Theory of Uniform Approximation of Function by Polynomials*, Nauka, Moscow, 1977 (in Russian).
- [32] E. Hille, G. Szegö, J. D. Tamarkin, *On some generalization of a theorem of A. Markoff*, Duke Math. J. **3** (1937), 729–739.
- [33] O. Lehto, K. I. Virtanen, *Quasiconformal Mapping in the Plane*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
- [34] D. I. Mamedhanov, *Inequalities of S.M.Nikol'skii type for polynomials in the complex variable on curves*, Soviet Math. Dokl. **15** (1974), 34–37.
- [35] G. V. Milovanovic, D. S. Mitrinovic, Th. M. Rassias, *Topics in Polynomials: Extremal Problems, Inequalities, Zeros*, Singapore, World Scientific, 1994.
- [36] P. Nevai, V. Totik, *Sharp Nikolskii inequalities with exponential weights*, Anal. Math. **13** (1987), 261–267.
- [37] S. M. Nikol'skii, *Approximation of Function of Several Variable and Imbedding Theorems*, New-York, Springer-Verlag, 1975.
- [38] P. Özkartepe, F. G. Abdullayev, *On the growth of m -th derivatives of algebraic polynomials in regions with corners in a weighted Bergman space*, Proc. Inst. Math. Mech. Nat. Acad. Sci. Azerb **49** (2023), 109–153.
- [39] P. Özkartepe, M. Imashkyzy, F. G. Abdullayev, *Bernstein-Nikolskii-Markov-type inequalities for algebraic polynomials in a weighted Lebesgue space*, Filomat **37** (2023), 5701–5715.

- [40] Ch. Pommerenke, *Univalent Functions*, Göttingen, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 1975.
- [41] Ch. Pommerenke, *Boundary Behaviour of Conformal Maps*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [42] I. Pritsker, *Comparing norms of polynomials in one and several variables*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **216** (1997), 685–695.
- [43] N. Stylianopoulos, *Strong asymptotics for Bergman polynomials over domains with corners and applications*, *Const. Approx.* **38** (2012), 59–100.
- [44] G. Szegő, A. Zygmund, *On certain mean values of polynomials*, *J. Anal. Math.* **3** (1953), 225–244.
- [45] J. L. Walsh, *Interpolation and Approximation by Rational Functions in the Complex Domain*, AMS, Rhode Island, 1960.