



On adjacent eccentric distance sum index

Mingqiang An^a

^aCollege of Science, Tianjin University of Science and Technology,
Tianjin, 300457, P.R. China

Abstract. For a connected graph H , the adjacent eccentric distance sum index (AEDSI) is defined as

$$\xi^{sv}(H) = \sum_{v_x \in V(H)} \frac{\varepsilon_H(v_x) \cdot D_H(v_x)}{\deg_H(v_x)},$$

where $\varepsilon_H(v_x)$ denotes the eccentricity of the vertex v_x , $\deg_H(v_x)$ is the degree of v_x and $D_H(v_x) = \sum_{v_y \in V(H)} d(v_x, v_y)$ is the sum of all distances from v_x in H . AEDSI is proven to be very helpful on predicting anti-HIV activity. In this paper, we give a best possible upper bound on the AEDSI of H with given radius that guarantees H is ω -connected, β -deficient, ω -Hamiltonian, ω -path-coverable and ω -edge-Hamiltonian, respectively. This supplies a continuation of the results presented by Feng et al. (2017).

1. Introduction

We study simple, undirected, connected and finite graphs throughout this paper. Let H be a graph with vertex set $V(H) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p\}$, i.e., $p = |V(H)|$. For a vertex $v_s \in V(H)$, the degree $\deg_H(v_s)$ ($= d_s$) of v_s is the number of edges incident with v_s in H and denote by (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_p) the *degree sequence* of H with $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_p$. A degree sequence $\pi = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_p)$ is called *graphical* if there exists a graph H having π as its vertex degree sequence. Let $d_H(v_s, v_t)$ be the distance between two vertices v_s and v_t in H , and $D_H(v_s) = \sum_{v_t \in V(H)} d(v_s, v_t)$ the sum of all distances from the vertex v_s in H . The *eccentricity* $\varepsilon_H(v_s)$ of v_s is the maximum distance from v_s to any other vertex in H . The *radius* $r(H)$ of H is the minimum eccentricity among the vertices of H . We write $\alpha(H)$ for the independence number of H . In the following context, we usually delete the footnote H from the symbols if there is no ambiguity, and refer the reader to [10] for undefined notation and terminologies.

We shall use $H_1 + H_2$, $H_1 \nabla H_2$ to denote the union, the join of two vertex-disjoint graphs H_1 and H_2 , respectively. We use K_p to denote the complete graph of order p , and by \overline{H} we denote the complement of H .

A connected graph H with more than ω vertices, remains connected whenever fewer than ω vertices are removed, then H is said to be ω -connected. The *deficiency* of H is the number of vertices that are not matched under a maximum matching in H , and is denoted by $\text{def}(H)$. Particularly, H has a 1-factor if and only if $\text{def}(H) = 0$. We say H , β -deficient if $\text{def}(H) \leq \beta$. For a connected graph H and $X \subseteq V(H)$ with $|X| \leq \omega$, $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C07, 05C35

Keywords. adjacent eccentric distance sum index, ω -connected, β -deficient, ω -Hamiltonian, ω -path-coverable, ω -edge-Hamiltonian

Received: 14 August 2023; Accepted: 03 November 2023

Communicated by Paola Bonacini

Email address: anmq@tust.edu.cn (Mingqiang An)

if the subgraph induced by $V(H) \setminus X$ is Hamiltonian, then H is called to be ω -Hamiltonian. Thus the notions “0-Hamiltonian” and “Hamiltonian” are equivalent.

A path (cycle) with $|V(H)|$ vertices is called a *Hamiltonian path (cycle)* of H . If H contains a Hamiltonian path, then H is *traceable*. We say a graph H , ω -*path-coverable*, if the vertex set of H can be covered by ω or fewer vertex-disjoint paths. In particular, the notions “1-path-coverable” and “traceable” are equivalent. A graph H is ω -*edge-Hamiltonian* if any collection of pairwise vertex-disjoint paths altogether with at most ω edges belong to a Hamiltonian cycle in H .

Molecular structure descriptors, also regarded as topological indices, are used in theoretical chemistry to depict the characteristics of chemical compounds [17]. Up to now, a large number of topological indices have been found to have a wide range of practical applications [12], such as the connective eccentricity index (CEI) [15], eccentric connectivity index (ECI) [31] and eccentric distance sum (EDS) [16].

The *adjacent eccentric distance sum index* (AEDSI), which was first introduced in [29], is defined as

$$\xi^{sv}(H) = \sum_{v_x \in V(H)} \frac{\varepsilon_H(v_x) \cdot D_H(v_x)}{\deg_H(v_x)}.$$

On the above eccentricity-based topological indices one can refer to [6, 7, 14, 19, 28, 30] for more details.

According to the definition of $D_H(v_x)$, we get

$$D_H(v_x) \geq \deg(v_x) + 2(n - 1 - \deg(v_x)). \quad (1)$$

A popular research topic in graph theory is the study of whether a given graph has some important property (such as Hamiltonicity or traceability). It shows that [20] determining whether a graph has a Hamiltonian cycle is NP-complete. Although there are some literatures [5, 13, 18, 23–27, 33] using the bounds of topological indices to confirm the structure of graphs, there are still few results related to them. Recently, based on the first Zagreb index or reciprocal degree distance, the κ -connectivity, β -deficiency [2, 4], Hamiltonian-connectedness [1] and \hbar -Hamiltonicity, \hbar -path-coverability and \hbar -edge-Hamiltonicity [3] of graphs have been discussed. By employing the Wiener index, some vulnerability parameters (such as integrity, toughness, tenacity and binding number) of graphs have been studied [34]. However, it is still unknown to judge a graph with the above graph properties by means of eccentricity-based topological indices.

In this paper, we have partially solved the problems above, that is to say, we give a best possible upper bound on the AEDSI of a graph H with given radius that guarantees H is ω -connected, β -deficient, ω -Hamiltonian, ω -path-coverable and ω -edge-Hamiltonian, respectively.

In Section 2, we give some necessary lemmas. The major results and their proofs will be given in Section 3.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, some useful lemmas will be given.

Lemma 2.1. [9] Let (t_1, \dots, t_p) be a graphical sequence with $1 \leq \omega \leq p - 1$ and $p \geq 2$. If

$$t_j \leq \omega + j - 2 \Rightarrow t_{p+1-\omega} \geq p - j \text{ for } j \in \left[1, \frac{p - \omega + 1}{2}\right],$$

then any graph with this degree sequence is ω -connected.

Lemma 2.2. [32] Let (t_1, \dots, t_p) be a graphical sequence, $0 \leq \beta \leq p$ and $p \equiv \beta \pmod{2}$. If

$$t_{j+1} \leq j - \beta \Rightarrow t_{p+\beta-j} \geq p - j - 1 \text{ for } j \in \left[1, \frac{p + \beta - 2}{2}\right],$$

then any graph with this degree sequence is β -deficient.

Lemma 2.3. Let (t_1, \dots, t_p) be a graphical sequence, $\omega \in [0, p - 3]$.

(i) [11] If

$$t_j \leq \omega + j \Rightarrow t_{p-j-\omega} \geq p - j \text{ for } j \in \left[1, \frac{p-\omega}{2}\right),$$

then any graph with this degree sequence is ω -hamiltonian.

(ii) [21] If

$$t_{j-\omega} \leq j \Rightarrow t_{p-j} \geq p + \omega - j \text{ for } j \in \left[\omega + 1, \frac{\omega + p}{2}\right),$$

then any graph with this degree sequence is ω -edge-hamiltonian.

Lemma 2.4. [8, 22] Let (t_1, \dots, t_p) be a graphical sequence with $\omega \geq 1$. If

$$t_{j+\omega} \leq j \Rightarrow t_{p-j} \geq p - \omega - j \text{ for } j \in \left[1, \frac{p-\omega}{2}\right),$$

then any graph with this degree sequence is ω -path-coverable.

Lemma 2.5. [8] Let (t_1, \dots, t_p) be a graphical sequence with $\omega \geq 1$. If $t_{\omega+1} \geq p - \omega$, then any graph with this degree sequence satisfies $\alpha(H) \leq \omega$.

3. Results

Now, we give a best possible upper bound on the AEDSI of a graph H with given radius that guarantees H is ω -connected, β -deficient, ω -Hamiltonian, ω -path-coverable and ω -edge-Hamiltonian, respectively.

We first denote $\mathcal{H}_1 = \{K_{\omega-1} \nabla (K_1 + K_{p-\omega}), K_{\frac{p-1}{2}} + K_{\frac{p+1}{2}}, H_1\}$, $\mathcal{H}_2 = \{H_2, K_{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla (K_2 + \overline{K_{\frac{p-2}{2}}}), K_{\frac{p-1}{2}} \nabla \overline{K_{\frac{p+1}{2}}}\}$, $\mathcal{H}_3 = \{K_{\frac{p-2-\omega}{2}} \nabla (K_2 + \overline{K_{\frac{p-2+\omega}{2}}}), K_{\frac{p-\omega-1}{2}} \nabla (K_1 + \overline{K_{\frac{p+\omega-1}{2}}})\}$ and $\mathcal{H}_4 = \{H_2, K_{\frac{p-2+\omega}{2}} \nabla (K_2 + \overline{K_{\frac{p-2-\omega}{2}}}), K_{\frac{p+\omega-1}{2}} \nabla (K_1 + \overline{K_{\frac{p-\omega-1}{2}}})\}$, where p ($p > 2$) is an integer, H_1 is the $\left(\frac{p}{2} - 1\right)$ -regular graph and $H_2 = K_{\omega+1} \nabla (K_1 + K_{p-\omega-2})$.

Theorem 3.1. Let H be a graph on $p \geq \omega + 1$ vertices such that $\omega \geq 1$ and with radius r . If $\xi^{sv}(H) \leq r\Gamma_1(p, \omega)$, then H is ω -connected if and only if $H \notin \mathcal{H}_1$, where

$$\Gamma_1(p, \omega) = \begin{cases} \frac{3p^2-5p-4}{p-3} & \text{if } \omega = 1 \text{ and } p \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{p(3p-2)}{p-2} & \text{if } \omega = 1 \text{ and } p \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{2(p-1)}{\omega-1} + \frac{2(p-1)(p-\omega)}{p-2} + 2\omega - p - 2 & \text{if } \omega \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Sufficiency. Assume H is not ω -connected for some s in $1 \leq s \leq \frac{p-\omega+1}{2}$. Therefore according to Lemma 2.1, we know that $d_s \leq s + \omega - 2$ and $d_{p-\omega+1} \leq p - s - 1$. Notice that $1 \leq \omega \leq p - 1$. Then by inequality (1) and the fact that $\varepsilon(v_x) \geq r$, for any vertex $v_x \in V(H)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^{sv}(H) &= \sum_{v_j \in V(H)} \frac{\varepsilon(v_j) \cdot D(v_j)}{\deg(v_j)} \geq r \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{d_j + 2(p-1-d_j)}{d_j} \\ &= 2r(p-1) \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{1}{d_j} - pr \\ &\geq 2(p-1)r \left(\frac{s}{s+\omega-2} + \frac{p-\omega-s+1}{p-s-1} + \frac{\omega-1}{p-1} \right) - pr. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Now we consider the following function

$$f(x) = \frac{x}{x + \omega - 2} + \frac{p - \omega - x + 1}{p - x - 1}$$

with $1 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}(p - \omega + 1)$. So we get

$$f'(x) = (\omega - 2) \left(\frac{1}{(x + \omega - 2)^2} - \frac{1}{(p - 1 - x)^2} \right). \tag{3}$$

Since $1 \leq x \leq \frac{p - \omega + 1}{2}$ and $\omega \geq 1$, we have $0 \leq x + \omega - 2 \leq p - 1 - x$. Thus $\frac{1}{(x + \omega - 2)^2} - \frac{1}{(p - 1 - x)^2} \geq 0$.

Case 1 : $\omega \geq 2$. Then by Eq. (3), $f'(x) \geq 0$, which follows that $f(x)$ is increasing on $x \in [1, \frac{p - \omega + 1}{2}]$. So $f(x) \geq f(1)$, and

$$\xi^{sv}(H) \geq \left(\frac{2(p - 1)}{\omega - 1} + \frac{2(p - 1)(p - \omega)}{p - 2} + 2\omega - p - 2 \right) r = r\Gamma_1(p, \omega).$$

In combination with the conditions of the theorem, the above inequality is true if and only if we take an equal sign. So $s = 1$, and correspondingly $d_1 = \omega - 1, d_2 = \dots = d_{p+1-\omega} = p - 2, d_{p-\omega+2} = \dots = d_p = p - 1$. Therefore $H \cong K_{\omega-1} \nabla (K_1 + K_{p-\omega})$, contrary to the assumption.

Case 2 : $\omega = 1$. Then from Eq. (3), $f'(x) \leq 0$. Implying that $f(x)$ is decreasing on $x \in [1, \frac{p}{2}]$. So $f_{min}(x) = f(\lfloor \frac{p}{2} \rfloor)$ as x is an integer.

Subcase 2.1 : p is odd. Thus $f(x) \geq f(\frac{p-1}{2})$. Hence

$$\xi^{sv}(H) \geq r \left(\frac{3p^2 - 5p - 4}{p - 3} \right) = r\Gamma_1(p, \omega).$$

In combination with the conditions of the theorem, the inequality above can only be true if equality holds. Thus $s = \frac{p-1}{2}$, and correspondingly $d_1 = \dots = d_{\frac{p-1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2}(p - 3), d_{\frac{p+1}{2}} = \dots = d_p = \frac{p-1}{2}$. So $H \cong K_{\frac{p-1}{2}} + K_{\frac{p+1}{2}}$, a contradiction.

Subcase 2.2 : p is even. Thus $f(x) \geq f(\frac{p}{2})$. So

$$\xi^{sv}(H) \geq \frac{rp(3p-2)}{p-2} = r\Gamma_1(p, \omega).$$

In combination with the conditions of the theorem, the above inequality is true if and only if we take an equal sign. Therefore $s = \frac{p}{2}$, and correspondingly $d_1 = \dots = d_p = \frac{p}{2} - 1$. Thus $H \cong H_1$, contrary to the assumption. Hence H is ω -connected.

Conversely, suppose that $H \in \mathcal{H}_1$. Then one can check that H is not ω -connected. \square

Theorem 3.2. Let H be a graph on $p \geq 10$ vertices and with radius r and matching number $\nu, p \equiv \beta \pmod{2}$ and $0 \leq \beta \leq p$. If

$$\xi^{sv}(H) \leq \left(\frac{2(p - 1)(p + \beta + 2)}{p - \beta - 2} - \beta - 2 \right) r,$$

then H is β -deficient if and only if $H \cong (p - \nu + 1)K_1 \nabla K_{\nu-1}$.

Proof. Sufficiency. Assume that H is not β -deficient. Therefore according to Lemma 2.2, there exists an integer s with $1 \leq s \leq \frac{p+\beta-2}{2}$ such that $d_{s+1} \leq s - \beta$ and $d_{p+\beta-s} \leq p - s - 2$. Note that $\beta + 1 \leq s \leq p - 2$ as H is connected. So by inequality (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^{sv}(H) &\geq 2r(p-1) \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{1}{d_j} - pr \\ &\geq 2(p-1)r \left(\frac{s+1}{s-\beta} + \frac{p+\beta-2s-1}{p-s-2} + \frac{s-\beta}{p-1} \right) - pr. \end{aligned}$$

We define

$$g(x) = \frac{x+1}{x-\beta} + \frac{p+\beta-2x-1}{p-x-2} + \frac{x-\beta}{p-1}$$

with $x \in [1, \frac{1}{2}(p-2+\beta)]$. Obviously $\beta+1 \leq x \leq p-2$. Then

$$g'(x) = \frac{(x-\beta)^2[(p-2-x)^2 - (p-1)(p-\beta-3)] - (p-1)(\beta+1)(p-2-x)^2}{(p-1)(x-\beta)^2(p-2-x)^2}.$$

Since $1 \leq \beta+1 \leq x \leq p-2$, we have $0 \leq p-2-x \leq p-3 < p-1$ and $p-2-x \leq p-\beta-3$. So $(p-2-x)^2 - (p-1)(p-\beta-3) < 0$, and consequently $g'(x) < 0$ when $x \in [1, \frac{p+\beta-2}{2}]$. Implying that $g(x)$ is decreasing on $x \in [1, \frac{p+\beta-2}{2}]$. Thus $g(x) \geq g(\frac{p+\beta-2}{2})$. So

$$\xi^{sv}(H) \geq \left(\frac{2(p-1)(p+\beta+2)}{p-\beta-2} - \beta - 2 \right) r.$$

In combination with the conditions of the theorem, the inequality above can only be true if equality holds. Hence $s = \frac{p+\beta-2}{2}$. Note that $\frac{p-\beta}{2} = \nu$. Then $\beta = p - 2\nu$ and $s = p - \nu - 1$. So $d_1 = \dots = d_{p-\nu+1} = \nu - 1$, $d_{p-\nu+2} = \dots = d_p = p - 1$. Thus $H \cong (p - \nu + 1)K_1 \nabla K_{\nu-1}$, contradicting the assumption. Therefore H is β -deficient.

Conversely, suppose that $H \cong (p - \nu + 1)K_1 \nabla K_{\nu-1}$. Then one can check that H is not β -deficient. \square

Theorem 3.3. Let H be a graph on p (p is sufficiently large) vertices and with radius r , $0 \leq \omega \leq p - 3$. If $\xi^{sv}(H) \leq r\Gamma_2(p, \omega)$, then H is ω -Hamiltonian if and only if $H \notin \mathcal{H}_2$, where

$$\Gamma_2(p, \omega) = \begin{cases} 2(p+2-\frac{4}{p}) & \text{if } \omega = 0 \text{ and } p \text{ is even,} \\ 2p+1 & \text{if } \omega = 0 \text{ and } p \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{2(p-1)}{\omega+1} + \frac{2(p-1)(p-\omega-2)}{p-2} + 2\omega - p + 2 & \text{if } \omega \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Sufficiency. Assume H is not ω -Hamiltonian for some s in $1 \leq s < \frac{p-\omega}{2}$. Therefore according to Lemma 2.3 (i), we know that $d_s \leq s + \omega$ and $d_{p-s-\omega} \leq p - s - 1$. Thus by inequality (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^{sv}(H) &\geq 2r(p-1) \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{1}{d_j} - pr \\ &\geq 2(p-1)r \left(\frac{s}{s+\omega} + \frac{p-2s-\omega}{p-s-1} + \frac{s+\omega}{p-1} \right) - pr. \end{aligned}$$

Since s is an integer, we have $1 \leq s \leq \frac{p-\omega-1}{2}$. Denote

$$h(x) = \frac{x}{x+\omega} + \frac{p-2x-\omega}{p-x-1} + \frac{x+\omega}{p-1}$$

with $1 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}(p - 1 - \omega)$. Thus

$$h'(x) = \frac{\omega(p - 1)(p - 1 - x)^2 - (p - 1)(p + \omega - 2)(x + \omega)^2 + (x + \omega)^2(p - 1 - x)^2}{(p - 1)(x + \omega)^2(p - 1 - x)^2}.$$

Case 1 : $\omega \geq 1$. Denote

$$\eta(x) = \omega(p - 1)(p - 1 - x)^2 - (p - 1)(p + \omega - 2)(x + \omega)^2 + (x + \omega)^2(p - 1 - x)^2$$

with $x \in [1, \frac{1}{2}(p - 1 - \omega)]$. Simplifying the expression of $\eta(x)$, we obtain

$$\eta(x) = x^4 - 2(p - \omega - 1)x^3 + (p - 4\omega p + 4\omega + \omega^2 - 1)x^2 - 2\omega(p - 1)(2\omega + p - 2)x + \omega(p - 1)((p - 1)^2 - \omega(\omega - 1)).$$

Take the first and second derivatives of $\eta(x)$ on $x \in [1, \frac{p - \omega - 1}{2}]$ respectively, we have

$$\eta'(x) = 4x^3 - 6(p - \omega - 1)x^2 + 2(p - 4\omega p + 4\omega + \omega^2 - 1)x - 2\omega(p - 1)(p + 2\omega - 2),$$

and

$$\eta''(x) = 2[6x^2 - 6(p - \omega - 1)x + p - 4\omega p + 4\omega + \omega^2 - 1] \doteq 2\rho(x).$$

Then $\rho'(x) = 6[2x - (p - \omega - 1)] \leq 0$ as $x \leq \frac{p - \omega - 1}{2}$. So $\rho(x)$ is decreasing on $1 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}(p - \omega - 1)$. It follows that $\rho(x) \leq \rho(1)$. Note that $\rho(1) = -(4\omega + 5)p + \omega^2 + 10\omega + 11 < 0$ when p is sufficiently large. So $\rho(x) < 0$ and consequently $\eta''(x)$ is negative. Therefore $\eta(x)$ is concave up for $x \in [1, \frac{1}{2}(p - 1 - \omega)]$. Hence $\eta(x)$ attains its minimum value at $x = 1$ or $x = \lfloor \frac{p - \omega - 1}{2} \rfloor$. Direct calculations yield $\eta(1) \leq \eta(\lfloor \frac{p - \omega - 1}{2} \rfloor)$. Thus $\eta(x) \geq \eta(1)$. So

$$\xi^{sv}(H) \geq r\left(\frac{2(p - 1)}{\omega + 1} + \frac{2(p - 1)(p - \omega - 2)}{p - 2} + 2\omega - p + 2\right) = r\Gamma_2(p, \omega).$$

In combination with the conditions of the theorem, the inequality above can only be true if equality holds. Hence $s = 1$ and correspondingly $d_1 = \omega + 1, d_2 = \dots = d_{p - \omega - 1} = p - 2, d_{p - \omega} = \dots = d_p = p - 1$. Thus $H \cong K_{\omega + 1} \nabla (K_1 + K_{p - \omega - 2}) = H_2$, a contradiction.

Case 2 : $\omega = 0$. Therefore $1 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}(p - 1)$ and

$$h'(x) = \frac{(p - 1 - x)^2 - (p - 1)(p - 2)}{(p - 1)(p - 1 - x)^2}.$$

Since $1 \leq x \leq p - 1$, we have $0 \leq p - 1 - x \leq p - 2 < p - 1$. So $(p - 1 - x)^2 - (p - 1)(p - 2) < 0$. Thus $h'(x) < 0$ when $1 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}(p - 1)$, implying that $h(x)$ is decreasing on $x \in [1, \frac{p - 1}{2}]$. Hence $h_{\min}(x) = h(\lfloor \frac{p - 1}{2} \rfloor)$ as $x \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Subcase 2.1 : p is even. It follows that $h(x) \geq h(\frac{p - 2}{2})$. Thus

$$\xi^{sv}(H) \geq 2r\left(p + 2 - \frac{4}{p}\right) = r\Gamma_2(p, \omega).$$

In combination with the conditions of the theorem, the inequality above can only be true if equality holds. Hence $s = \frac{p - 2}{2}$, and correspondingly $d_1 = \dots = d_{\frac{p - 2}{2}} = \frac{1}{2}(p - 2), d_{\frac{p}{2}} = d_{\frac{p + 2}{2}} = \frac{p}{2}, d_{\frac{p + 4}{2}} = \dots = d_p = p - 1$. Thus $H \cong K_{\frac{p - 2}{2}} \nabla (K_2 + \overline{K_{\frac{p - 2}{2}}})$, contrary to the assumption.

Subcase 2.2 : p is odd. Thus $h(x) \geq h(\frac{p - 1}{2})$. Then

$$\xi^{sv}(H) \geq r(2p + 1) = r\Gamma_2(p, \omega).$$

In combination with the conditions of the theorem, the above inequality is true if and only if we take an equal sign. Hence $s = \frac{p - 1}{2}$, and correspondingly $d_1 = \dots = d_{\frac{p - 1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2}(p - 1), d_{\frac{p + 3}{2}} = \dots = d_p = p - 1$. Thus $H \cong K_{\frac{p - 1}{2}} \nabla \overline{K_{\frac{p + 1}{2}}}$, a contradiction. Therefore H is ω -Hamiltonian.

Conversely, suppose that $H \in \mathcal{H}_2$. Then one can check that H is not ω -Hamiltonian. \square

The following corollary can be obtained directly from Theorem 3.3 by setting $\omega = 0$. Note that in this case $\mathcal{H}_2 = \left\{ K_{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla (K_2 + \overline{K_{\frac{p-2}{2}}}), K_{\frac{p-1}{2}} \nabla \overline{K_{\frac{p+1}{2}}} \right\}$.

Corollary 3.4. *Let H be a graph on p (p is sufficiently large) vertices and with radius r . If $\xi^{sv}(H) \leq r\Gamma_2(p, 0)$, then H is Hamiltonian if and only if $H \notin \mathcal{H}_2$, where*

$$\Gamma_2(p, 0) = \begin{cases} 2(p + 2 - \frac{4}{p}) & \text{if } p \text{ is even,} \\ 2p + 1 & \text{if } p \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.5. *Let H be a graph on $p \geq 3$ vertices and with radius r , $\omega \in [1, p - 3]$. If $\xi^{sv}(H) \leq r\Gamma_3(p, \omega)$, then H is ω -path-coverable if and only if $H \notin \mathcal{H}_3$, where*

$$\Gamma_3(p, \omega) = \begin{cases} \frac{2(p-1)(p+\omega-2)}{p-\omega-2} + \frac{8(p-1)}{p-\omega} - \omega - 2 & \text{if } p - \omega \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{2(p-1)(p+\omega+1)}{p-\omega-1} - \omega - 1 & \text{if } p - \omega \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Sufficiency. Assume H is not ω -path-coverable for some s in $1 \leq s < \frac{p-\omega}{2}$. Thus from Lemma 2.4, we know that $d_{s+\omega} \leq s$ and $d_{p-s} \leq p - s - \omega - 1$. Hence by inequality (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^{sv}(H) &\geq 2r(p-1) \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{1}{d_j} - pr \\ &\geq 2r(p-1) \left(\frac{s+\omega}{s} + \frac{p-2s-\omega}{p-s-\omega-1} + \frac{s}{p-1} \right) - pr. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $1 \leq s \leq \frac{p-\omega-1}{2}$. Define

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{x+\omega}{x} + \frac{p-2x-\omega}{p-x-\omega-1} + \frac{x}{p-1}$$

with $x \in [1, \frac{p-\omega-1}{2}]$. Then

$$\varphi'(x) = -\frac{\omega}{x^2} - \frac{p-2-\omega}{(p-1-\omega-x)^2} + \frac{1}{p-1}.$$

Note that $0 \leq p - \omega - 1 - x \leq p - \omega - 2 < p - 1$. Hence $\frac{p-\omega-2}{(p-\omega-1-x)^2} \geq \frac{1}{p-\omega-2}$, and consequently $-\frac{p-\omega-2}{(p-\omega-1-x)^2} + \frac{1}{p-1} \leq -\frac{1}{p-\omega-2} + \frac{1}{p-1} < 0$. So $\varphi'(x) < 0$ implies $\varphi(x)$ is decreasing for $x \in [1, \frac{1}{2}(p - \omega - 1)]$. Thus $\varphi_{\min}(x) = \varphi(\lfloor \frac{p-\omega-1}{2} \rfloor)$ as $x \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Case 1 : $p - \omega$ is even. Thus $\varphi(x) \geq \varphi(\frac{p-\omega-2}{2})$. Therefore

$$\xi^{sv}(H) \geq r \left(\frac{2(p-1)(p+\omega-2)}{p-\omega-2} + \frac{8(p-1)}{p-\omega} - \omega - 2 \right) = r\Gamma_3(p, \omega).$$

In combination with the conditions of the theorem, the inequality above can only be true if equality holds. Hence $s = \frac{p-\omega-2}{2}$, and correspondingly $d_1 = \dots = d_{\frac{\omega+p-2}{2}} = \frac{1}{2}(p - 2 - \omega)$, $d_{\frac{p+\omega}{2}} = d_{\frac{p+\omega+2}{2}} = \frac{p-\omega}{2}$, $d_{\frac{p+\omega+4}{2}} = \dots = d_p = p - 1$. Thus $H \cong K_{\frac{p-2-\omega}{2}} \nabla (K_2 + \overline{K_{\frac{p-2+\omega}{2}}})$, contradicting the assumption.

Case 2 : $p - \omega$ is odd. Therefore $\varphi(x) \geq \varphi(\frac{p-\omega-1}{2})$. Thus

$$\xi^{sv}(H) \geq r \left(\frac{2(p-1)(p+\omega+1)}{p-\omega-1} - \omega - 1 \right) = r\Gamma_3(p, \omega).$$

In combination with the conditions of the theorem, the above inequality is true if and only if we take an equal sign. Hence $s = \frac{p-\omega-1}{2}$, and correspondingly $d_1 = \dots = d_{\frac{p+\omega-1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2}(p-1-\omega)$, $d_{\frac{\omega+p+1}{2}} = \frac{p-\omega-1}{2}$, $d_{\frac{p+\omega+3}{2}} = \dots = d_p = p-1$. Thus $H \cong K_{\frac{p-\omega-1}{2}} \nabla (K_1 + \overline{K_{\frac{p+\omega-1}{2}}})$, contrary to the assumption. Hence H is ω -path-coverable.

Conversely, suppose that $H \in \mathcal{H}_3$. Then one can check that H is not ω -path-coverable. \square

The following corollary can be obtained directly from Theorem 3.5 by setting $\omega = 1$. Evidently, in this case $\mathcal{H}_3 = \left\{ K_{\frac{p-3}{2}} \nabla (K_2 + \overline{K_{\frac{p-1}{2}}}), K_{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla (K_1 + \overline{K_{\frac{p}{2}}}) \right\}$.

Corollary 3.6. *Let H be a graph on $p \geq 3$ vertices and with radius r . If $\xi^{sv}(H) \leq r\Gamma_3(p, 1)$, then H is traceable if and only if $H \notin \mathcal{H}_3$, where*

$$\Gamma_3(p, 1) = \begin{cases} \frac{2p^2+p-13}{p-3} & \text{if } p \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{2p^2}{p-2} & \text{if } p \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.7. *Let H be a graph on p (p is sufficiently large) vertices and with radius r , $\omega \in [0, p-3]$. If $\xi^{sv}(H) \leq r\Gamma_4(p, \omega)$, then H is ω -edge-Hamiltonian if and only if $H \notin \mathcal{H}_4$, where*

$$\Gamma_4(p, \omega) = \begin{cases} \frac{2(p-1)(p-\omega-2)}{p+\omega-2} + \frac{8(p-1)}{p+\omega} + \omega - 2 & \text{if } \omega \in [0, 1] \text{ and } p + \omega \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{2(p-1)(p-\omega+1)}{p+\omega-1} + \omega - 1 & \text{if } \omega \in [0, 1] \text{ and } p + \omega \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{2(p-1)}{\omega+1} + \frac{2(p-1)(p-\omega-2)}{p-2} + 2\omega - p + 2 & \text{if } \omega \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Sufficiency. Assume H is not ω -edge-Hamiltonian for some s in $\omega+1 \leq s < \frac{p+\omega}{2}$. Then from Lemma 2.3 (ii), we see that $d_{s-\omega} \leq s$, $d_{p-s} \leq p-s+\omega-1$. Therefore by inequality (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^{sv}(H) &\geq 2r(p-1) \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{1}{d_j} - pr \\ &\geq 2r(p-1) \left(\frac{s-\omega}{s} + \frac{p-2s+\omega}{p-s+\omega-1} + \frac{s}{p-1} \right) - pr. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $1 \leq s \leq \frac{p+\omega-1}{2}$. We define

$$\psi(x) = \frac{x-\omega}{x} + \frac{p-2x+\omega}{p-x+\omega-1} + \frac{x}{p-1}$$

with $\omega+1 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}(\omega-1+p)$. Then

$$\psi'(x) = \frac{\omega(p-1)(p+\omega-1-x)^2 - (p-1)x^2(\omega-2+p) + x^2(\omega-1+p-x)^2}{x^2(p-1)(p+\omega-1-x)^2}. \tag{4}$$

Denote

$$\zeta(x) = \omega(p-1)(p+\omega-1-x)^2 - (p-1)x^2(\omega-2+p) + x^2(\omega-1+p-x)^2$$

with $x \in [\omega + 1, \frac{1}{2}(\omega + p - 1)]$. It can be deformed to

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(x) = & x^4 - 2(p + \omega - 1)x^3 + [(p + \omega - 1)^2 - (p - 1)(p - 2)]x^2 \\ & - 2\omega(p - 1)(p + \omega - 1)x + \omega(p - 1)(p + \omega - 1)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Take the first, second and third derivatives of $\zeta(x)$ respectively, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta'(x) = & 4x^3 - 6(p + \omega - 1)x^2 + 2[(p + \omega - 1)^2 - (p - 1)(p - 2)]x \\ & - 2\omega(p - 1)(p + \omega - 1), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\zeta''(x) = 2[6x^2 - 6(\omega - 1 + p)x + (\omega - 1 + p)^2 - (p - 1)(p - 2)],$$

and

$$\zeta'''(x) = 12[2x - (p + \omega - 1)].$$

It is easy to know that $\zeta'''(x) \leq 0$ as $x \leq \frac{1}{2}(p + \omega - 1)$, which implies that $\zeta''(x)$ is decreasing for $\omega + 1 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}(\omega + p - 1)$. So $\zeta''(x) \leq \zeta''(\omega + 1)$. By direct calculation,

$$\phi(\omega) := \zeta''(\omega + 1) = 2[\omega^2 + 2(5 - 2p)\omega + 11 - 5p].$$

Note that $\phi'(\omega) = 4(\omega + 5 - 2p) \leq 4[(p - 3) + 5 - 2p] = 4(2 - p) < 0$ when $p \geq 5$. Thus $\phi(\omega)$ is decreasing on $\omega \in [0, p - 3]$. So $\phi(\omega) \leq \phi(0)$. Evidently, $\phi(0) = 2(11 - 5p) < 0$ when $p \geq 5$. Hence $\zeta''(\omega + 1) = \phi(\omega) < 0$ and consequently $\zeta''(x) < 0$. Implying that $\zeta'(x)$ is decreasing on $\omega + 1 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}(\omega - 1 + p)$. Thus $\zeta'(x) \leq \zeta'(\omega + 1)$. Note that

$$\zeta'(\omega + 1) = (8 - 4p) + 2\omega^2(3 - 2p) + 2\omega(5 - p) - 2p^2\omega < 0,$$

when $p \geq 5$ and $\omega \geq 0$. So $\zeta'(x) < 0$, and consequently $\zeta(x)$ is decreasing on $\omega + 1 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}(\omega - 1 + p)$. Thus $\zeta(\frac{p+\omega-1}{2}) \leq \zeta(x) \leq \zeta(\omega + 1)$. Evidently,

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta\left(\frac{p + \omega - 1}{2}\right) = & -\frac{1}{8}\left[2(p - 1)(p + \omega - 1)^3 - (p + \omega + 1)^2(p + \omega - 1)^2\right. \\ & \left. - 2(\omega + 1)(p - 1)(p + \omega + 1)^2\right] < 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\zeta(\omega + 1) = \omega(p - 1)(p - 2)^2 - (\omega + 1)^2(p - 1)(p + \omega - 2) + (\omega + 1)^2(p - 2)^2 > 0,$$

when p is sufficiently large. Also notice that $\zeta(x)$ is continuous for $x \in [\omega + 1, \frac{p+\omega-1}{2}]$. Then by the zero point theorem, there exists some σ in $\sigma \in (\omega + 1, \frac{p+\omega-1}{2})$ such that $\zeta(\sigma) = 0$. So $\zeta(x) \geq 0$ when $x \in [\omega + 1, \sigma)$ and $\zeta(x) < 0$ when $x \in [\sigma, \frac{p+\omega-1}{2}]$. By Eq. (4), $\psi'(x) \geq 0$ when $x \in [\omega + 1, \sigma)$ and $\psi'(x) < 0$ when $x \in [\sigma, \frac{p+\omega-1}{2}]$. Consequently, $\psi(x)$ is increasing on $x \in [\omega + 1, \sigma)$ and decreasing on $x \in [\sigma, \frac{p+\omega-1}{2}]$. Therefore $\psi(x) \geq \min\{\psi(\omega + 1), \psi(\lfloor \frac{p+\omega-1}{2} \rfloor)\}$.

Case 1 : $\omega \geq 2$. Then by direct computation, $\psi_{\min}(x) = \psi(\omega + 1)$. Thus

$$\xi^{sv}(H) \geq r\left(\frac{2(p - 1)}{\omega + 1} + \frac{2(p - 1)(p - \omega - 2)}{p - 2} + 2\omega - p + 2\right) = r\Gamma_4(p, \omega).$$

In combination with the conditions of the theorem, the inequality above can only be true if equality holds. Hence $s = \omega + 1$, and correspondingly $d_1 = \omega + 1, d_2 = \dots = d_{p-\omega-1} = p - 2, d_{p-\omega} = \dots = d_p = p - 1$. Thus $H \cong K_{\omega+1} \nabla (K_1 + K_{p-2-\omega}) = H_2$, contrary to the assumption.

Case 2 : $\omega \in [0, 1]$. Thus $\psi_{min}(x) = \psi(\lfloor \frac{p+\omega-1}{2} \rfloor)$.

Subcase 2.1 : $p + \omega$ is even. Hence $\psi(x) \geq \psi(\frac{p+\omega-2}{2})$. So

$$\xi^{sv}(H) \geq r \left(\frac{2(p-1)(p-\omega-2)}{p+\omega-2} + \frac{8(p-1)}{p+\omega} + \omega - 2 \right) = r\Gamma_4(p, \omega).$$

In combination with the conditions of the theorem, the inequality above can only be true if equality holds. Thus $s = \frac{p-2+\omega}{2}$, and correspondingly $d_1 = \dots = d_{\frac{1}{2}(p-2-\omega)} = \frac{1}{2}(p-2+\omega)$, $d_{\frac{1}{2}(p-\omega)} = d_{\frac{1}{2}(p+2-\omega)} = \frac{1}{2}(p+\omega)$, $d_{\frac{1}{2}(p+4-\omega)} = \dots = d_p = p-1$. Hence $H \cong K_{\frac{p-2+\omega}{2}} \nabla (K_2 + \overline{K_{\frac{p-2-\omega}{2}}})$, contrary to the assumption.

Subcase 2.2 : $p + \omega$ is odd. Thus $\psi(x) \geq \psi(\frac{p+\omega-1}{2})$. So

$$\xi^{sv}(H) \geq r \left(\frac{2(p-1)(p-\omega+1)}{p+\omega-1} + \omega - 1 \right) = r\Gamma_4(p, \omega).$$

In combination with the conditions of the theorem, the inequality above can only be true if equality holds. Therefore $s = \frac{p+\omega-1}{2}$, and correspondingly $d_1 = \dots = d_{\frac{p-\omega-1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2}(p+\omega-1)$, $d_{\frac{p+1-\omega}{2}} = \frac{1}{2}(p-1+\omega)$, $d_{\frac{1}{2}(p+3-\omega)} = \dots = d_p = p-1$. Thus $H \cong K_{\frac{p+\omega-1}{2}} \nabla (K_1 + \overline{K_{\frac{p-\omega-1}{2}}})$, contradicting the assumption. Hence H is ω -edge-Hamiltonian.

Conversely, suppose that $H \in \mathcal{H}_4$. Then one can check that H is not ω -edge-Hamiltonian. \square

Theorem 3.8. Let H be a graph on p vertices and with radius r . If $\xi^{sv}(H) \leq \left(\frac{2(p-1)(\omega+1)}{p-\omega-1} + p - 2\omega - 2 \right)r$, then H satisfies $\alpha(H) \leq \omega$ if and only if $H \not\cong \overline{K_{\omega+1}} \nabla K_{p-\omega-1}$.

Proof. Sufficiency. Assume that $\alpha(H) > \omega$. Thus from Lemma 2.5, $d_{\omega+1} \leq p - \omega - 1$. So by inequality (2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^{sv}(H) &\geq 2r(p-1) \sum_{j=1}^p \frac{1}{d_j} - pr \\ &\geq 2r(p-1) \left(\frac{\omega+1}{p-1-\omega} + \frac{p-1-\omega}{p-1} \right) - pr \\ &= \left(\frac{2(p-1)(\omega+1)}{p-\omega-1} + p - 2\omega - 2 \right)r. \end{aligned}$$

In combination with the conditions of the theorem, the inequality above can only be true if equality holds. Thus $d_1 = \dots = d_{\omega+1} = p - 1 - \omega$, $d_{\omega+2} = \dots = d_p = p - 1$. Therefore $H \cong \overline{K_{\omega+1}} \nabla K_{p-\omega-1}$, contrary to the assumption. Hence H satisfies $\alpha(H) \leq \omega$.

Conversely, suppose that $H \cong \overline{K_{\omega+1}} \nabla K_{p-\omega-1}$. Then one can check that $\alpha(H) > \omega$. \square

4. Conclusion

In this paper, by employing the adjacent eccentric distance sum index, we present sufficient conditions for a graph with given radius to possess certain properties. These results partially solved the problems raised at the end of [13]. How to apply the method in this paper to other eccentricity-based topological indices, such as CEI, ECI and EDS, is still unknown. These are all interesting questions for future study.

Statements and Declarations

The author has no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

References

- [1] M. An, The first Zagreb index, reciprocal degree distance and Hamiltonian-connectedness of graphs, *Inform. Process. Lett.* **176** (2022) 106247.
- [2] M. An, K.C. Das, First Zagreb index, k -connectivity, β -deficiency and k -hamiltonicity of graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **80** (2018) 141-151.
- [3] M. An, Y. Zhang, K.C. Das, Y. Shang, On reciprocal degree distance of graphs, *Heliyon* **9** (2023) e17914.
- [4] M. An, Y. Zhang, K.C. Das, L. Xiong, Reciprocal degree distance and graph properties, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **258** (2019) 1-7.
- [5] M. Andelić, T. Koledin, Z. Stanić, Bounds on signless Laplacian eigenvalues of hamiltonian graphs, *Bull Braz Math Soc, New Series* **52** (2021) 467-476.
- [6] A.T. Balaban, Highly discriminating distance-based topological index, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **89** (1982) 399-404.
- [7] A.T. Balaban, Topological indices based on topological distances in molecular graphs, *Pure Appl. Chem.* **55** (1983) 199-206.
- [8] D. Bauer, H.J. Broersma, J. van den Heuvel, N. Kahl, A. Nevo, E. Schmeichel, D.R. Woodall, M. Yatauro, Best monotone degree conditions for graph properties: a survey, *Graphs Combin.* **31** (2015) 1-22.
- [9] J.A. Bondy, Properties of graphs with constraints on degrees, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* **4** (1969) 473-475.
- [10] J.A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications*, MacMillan Press, New York, 1978.
- [11] V. Chvátal, On Hamiltons ideals, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **12** (1972) 163-168.
- [12] A.A. Dobrynin, R. Entringer, I. Gutman, Wiener index of trees: theory and applications, *Acta Appl. Math.* **66** (2001) 211-249.
- [13] L. Feng, X. Zhu, W. Liu, Wiener index, Harary index and graph properties, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **223** (2017) 72-83.
- [14] S. Gupta, M. Singh, Application of graph theory: Relationship of eccentric connectivity index and Wiener's index with anti-inflammatory activity, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **266** (2002) 259-268.
- [15] S. Gupta, M. Singh, A.K. Madan, Connective eccentricity index: a novel topological descriptor for predicting biological activity, *J. Mol. Graph. Model.* **18** (2000) 18-25.
- [16] S. Gupta, M. Singh, A.K. Madan, Eccentric distance sum: A novel graph invariant for predicting biological and physical properties, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **275** (2002) 386-401.
- [17] I. Gutman, O.E. Polansky, *Mathematical Concepts in Organic Chemistry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- [18] H. Hua, M. Wang, On Harary index and traceable graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **70** (2013) 297-300.
- [19] A. Ilić, G. Yu, L. Feng, On the eccentric distance sum of graphs, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **381** (2011) 590-600.
- [20] R.M. Karp, *Reducibility among combinatorial problems*, in: *Complexity of Computer Computations* (eds. R.E. Miller and J.M. Thatcher) (Plenum, New York, 1972) 85-103.
- [21] H.V. Kronk, A note on k -path hamiltonian graphs, *J. Combin. Theory* **7** (1969) 104-106.
- [22] L. Lesniak, On n -hamiltonian graphs, *Discrete Math.* **14** (1976) 165-169.
- [23] R. Li, Harary index and some Hamiltonian properties of graphs, *AKCE Int. J. Graphs Comb.* **12** (2015) 64-69.
- [24] R. Li, Wiener Index and Some Hamiltonian Properties of Graphs, *Int. J. Math. Soft Comput.* **5** (2015) 11-16.
- [25] R.F. Liu, X. Du, H.C. Jia, Wiener index on traceable and Hamiltonian graphs, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **94** (2016) 362-372.
- [26] R.F. Liu, X. Du, H.C. Jia, Some observations on Harary index and traceable graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **77** (2017) 195-208.
- [27] W. Liu, M. Liu, P. Zhang, L. Feng, Spectral conditions for graphs to be k -Hamiltonian or k -path-coverable, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* **40** (2020) 161-179.
- [28] S. Sardana, A.K. Madan, Application of graph theory: Relationship of antimycobacterial activity of quinolone derivatives with eccentric connectivity index and Zagreb group parameters, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* **45** (2002) 35-53.
- [29] S. Sardana, A.K. Madan, Predicting anti-HIV activity of TIBO derivatives: computational approach using novel topological descriptor, *Journal of Mol. Modeling* **8** (2002) 258-265.
- [30] S. Sardana, A.K. Madan, Relationship of Wiener's index and adjacent eccentric distance sum index with nitroxide free radicals and their precursors as modifiers against oxidative damage, *J. Mol. Struct. (Theochem)* **624** (2003) 53-59.
- [31] V. Sharma, R. Goswami, A.K. Madan, Eccentric connectivity index: A novel highly discriminating topological descriptor for structure property and structure activity studies, *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* **37** (1997) 273-282.
- [32] M. Las Vergnas, *Problèmes de Couplages et Problèmes Hamiltoniens en Théorie des Graphes* (Ph.D. thesis), Université Paris VI CPierre et Marie Curie, 1972.
- [33] L. Yang, Wiener index and traceable graphs, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **88** (2013) 380-383.
- [34] M. Yatauro, Wiener index and vulnerability parameters of graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* **338** (2023) 56-68.