Filomat 38:13 (2024), 4553–4567 https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2413553Z

Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

Geometries of a manifold with a symmetric-type quarter-symmetric non-metric connection

Di Zhaoa,[∗] **, Talyun Ho^b , Cholyong Jon^b**

^aCollege of Science, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, 200093 Shanghai, P. R. China. ^bFaculty of Mathematics, Kim Il Sung University, Pyongyang, D. P. R. K.

Abstract. We introduce a symmetric-type quarter-symmetric non-metric connection family in a Riemannian manifold and study its geometrical properties. We also study the Schur's theorem of the symmetrictype quarter-symmetric projective non-metric connection family and the symmetric-type quarter-symmetric conformal non-metric connection family.

1. Introduction

The study of geometries of a manifold with certain connection has been an active field over the seven decades. The research in the area goes back to the concept of metric connection with torsion introduced by A. Hayden in the early 1932 in [18]. K. Yano in [28] introduced a semi-symmetric metric connection and studied the properties of the curvature tensor *w*.*r*.*t*. this connection.

K. yano in [29] posed a quarter-symmetric metric connection and interpreted some examples of these connections, and S. Golab in [14] introduced and investigated a quarter-symmetric connection. Further, Agache et al and De et al in [1, 7] respectively defined and studied the topologies of a manifold with the semi-symmetric non-metric connection. On the one hand, some geometries of a manifold with a semisymmetric no-metric connection and by the Schur's theorem of a semi-symmetric non-metric connection are well known([8, 10, 11, 19–23]) based only on the second Bianchy identity. A semi-symmetric non-metric connection that is a geometrical model for scalar-tensor theories of gravitation was studied ([9]). Afterwards, several types of semi-symmetric non-metric connections were studied ([2–6, 30, 33–35]). Afterwards, several types of a quarter-symmetric non-metric connection were studied ([15–17, 31, 32]). And then Tang et al in [24–27] a generalized quarter-symmetric metric recurrent connection and a projective conformal quarter-symmetric metric connection were studied. In fact, there were few results about quarter-symmetric non-metric connections because of its formal complexity and computational difficulty. In Fu et al in [12, 13], the geometrical and physical properties of conformal and projective semi-symmetric metric recurrent connections were studied.

Keywords. Symmetric-type quarter-symmetric connection; Schur's theorem; Projective invariant.

²⁰²⁰ *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 53C20; Secondary 53D11

Received: 10 November 2022; Accepted: 23 November 2023 Communicated by Ljubica Velimirovic´

The authors were supported in part by the NNSF of China(No.11671193).

^{*} Corresponding author: Di Zhao

Email addresses: jszhaodi@126.com (Di Zhao), cioc1@ryongnamsan.edu.kp (Talyun Ho), 15904330621@163.com (Cholyong Jon)

Motivated by the previous researches we proposed newly in this note a symmetric-type quartersymmetric non-metric connection family and its mutual connection family and study its projective invariant. And we also derive the conformal invariant of the symmetric-type quarter-symmetric non-metric connection which has the same geodesic as the Levi-Civita connection.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 1 considers the previous study results. Section 2 studies the symmetric-type quarter-symmetric non-metric connection family and its mutual connection family. Section 3 studies a symmetric-type quarter-symmetric projective non-metric connection family and its mutual connection family. Section 4 studies an invariant of the symmetric-type quarter-symmetric conformal nonmetric connection which has the same geodesic as the Levi-Civita connection and its mutual connection.

2. A symmetric-type quarter-symmetric non-metric connection and its mutual connection

Let (\mathcal{M},g) be a Riemannian manifold (dim $\mathcal{M}\geq 3$), g be Riemannian metric on $\mathcal M$ and $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}$ be the Levi-Civita connection with respect to g . Let $T(M)$ denote the collection of all vector fields on M .

Definition 2.1. A connection \bar{V} is called a symmetry-type quarter-symmetric non-metric connection if it satisfies *the relations*

$$
(\stackrel{t}{\nabla}_Z g)(X,Y)=-2(t-1)\pi(Z)U(X,Y)-t\pi(X)U(Z,Y)-t\pi(Y)U(Z,X),\;T(X,Y)=\pi(Y)UX-\pi(X)UY \eqno(2.1)
$$

where π is a 1-form and *U* is a (1, 1)-type symmetric tensor field in (M , q) and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is a parameter of the connection family.

This connection family is expressed as follows

$$
\nabla_X Y = \nabla_X Y + (t - 1)\pi(X)UY + t\pi(Y)UX \tag{2.2}
$$

Let (x^i) be a local coordinate system in M such that g , $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}$, $\overset{t}{\nabla}$, π , T with the local expressions respectively, by g_{ij} , $\{{}^{k}_{ij}\}$, Γ^{k}_{ij} , π_i , T^{k}_i . At the same time, the formulas (2.1) and (2.2) can be rewritten as

$$
\nabla_k g_{ij} = -2(t-1)\pi_k U_{ij} - t\pi_i U_{jk} - t\pi_j U_{ik}, \quad T^k_{ij} = \pi_j U^k_i - \pi_i U^k_j. \tag{2.3}
$$

and

$$
\Gamma_{ij}^k = \{_{ij}^k\} + (t-1)\pi_i U_j^k + t\pi_j U_i^k.
$$
\n(2.4)

From the expression (2.4), the curvature tensor of *t* ∇ is given as

$$
\stackrel{t}{R}_{ijk}^l = K_{ijk}^l + U_j^l \stackrel{t}{a}_{ik}^l - U_i^l \stackrel{t}{a}_{jk}^l + \stackrel{t}{b}_{jk}^l \pi_i + \stackrel{t}{b}_{ik}^l \pi_j + t(U_{ij}^l - U_{ji}^l) \pi_k + (t-1)U_k^l \pi_{ij}
$$
\n(2.5)

where K_{ij}^k is the curvature tensor of $\hat{\nabla}$ with respect to g_{ij} and the other notations are given as below

$$
\begin{cases}\n\dot{t}_{ik} &= t(\hat{\nabla}_i \pi_k - (t-1)U_k^p \pi_i - tU_i^p \pi_p \pi_k), \\
\dot{t}_i^l &= (t-1)(\hat{\nabla}_i U_j^l - tU_i^p U_p^l \pi_j), \\
U_{ij}^l &= \hat{\nabla}_i U_{j'}^l, \\
\pi_{ij} &= \hat{\nabla}_i \pi_j - \hat{\nabla}_j \pi_i.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(2.6)

Remark 2.1. If $U_{ij} = g_{ij}$ *, then this connection family is a special type of the semi-symmetric metric recurrent connection family that was studied in [30, 35] and its physical model was studied in [9].*

Remark 2.2. *When U is the Ricci tensor, then this connection family studied in [25, 26].*

By (2.2) and (2.3) it is obvious that there holds the following. ◦

When *t* = 0, we denote $\overrightarrow{\nabla}$ as \overrightarrow{L} *D*. Then the connection *D* satisfies the relation

$$
\tilde{D}_k g_{ij} = 2\pi_k U_{ij}, T^k_{ij} = \pi_j U^k_i - \pi_i U^k_j.
$$
\n(2.7)

and the coefficient of ◦ *D* is

◦

$$
\mathop{\Gamma}\limits^{\circ}_{ij} = \{ _{ij}^k \} - \pi_i U_j^k.
$$

When $t = 1$, we denote \overline{V} as \overline{D} . Then the connection \overline{D} satisfies the relation

$$
\stackrel{l}{D_k} g_{ij} = -\pi_i U_{jk} - \pi_j U_{ik}, \quad T^k_{ij} = \pi_j U^k_i - \pi_i U^k_j. \tag{2.8}
$$

and the coefficient of \overline{D} is

l

$$
\Gamma_{ij}^k = \{_{ij}^k\} + \pi_j U_i^k.
$$

When $t = \frac{1}{2}$, we denote \overline{V} as *D*. Then the connection *D* satisfies the relation

$$
D_k g_{ij} = \pi_k U_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} \pi_i U_{jk} - \frac{1}{2} \pi_j U_{ik}, T^k_{ij} = \pi_j U^k_i - \pi_i U^k_j.
$$
 (2.9)

and the coefficient of *D* is

$$
\widetilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k = \{i_j\} + \frac{1}{2}\pi_j U_i^k - \frac{1}{2}\pi_i U_j^k.
$$
\n(2.10)

From this expression, the curvature tensor of *D* is as below

$$
\tilde{R}_{ijk}{}^{l} = K_{ijk}{}^{l} + U_{j}^{l}\tilde{a}_{ik} - U_{i}^{l}\tilde{a}_{jk} - \tilde{b}_{jk}^{l}\pi_{i} + \tilde{b}_{ik}^{l}\pi_{j} + \frac{1}{2}(U_{ij}^{l} - U_{ji}^{l})\pi_{k} - \frac{1}{2}U_{k}^{l}\pi_{ij},
$$
\n(2.11)

where

$$
\begin{cases}\n\tilde{a}_{ik} = \frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\nabla}_i \pi_k + \frac{1}{2} U_k^p \pi_p \pi_i - \frac{1}{2} U_i^p \pi_p \pi_k), \\
\tilde{b}_{ij}^l = -\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\nabla}_i U_j^l - \frac{1}{2} U_i^p U_p^l \pi_j).\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(2.12)

The symmetric-type quarter-symmetric non-metric connection *D* is a connection which has the same geodesic as the Levi-Civita connection in a Riemannian manifold.

Let

$$
\stackrel{t}{A}\!\!{}^l_{ijk}=U_j^l\stackrel{t}{a}_{ik}-\pi_i\stackrel{t}{b}\!\!{}^l_{jk}+tU^l_{ij}\pi_k+(t-1)U^l_k\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_i\pi_j.
$$

Then from the expression (2.5), we get

$$
\overset{t}{R}{}_{ijk}^{l} = K \, \frac{l}{ijk} + \overset{t}{A} \, \frac{l}{ijk} - \overset{t}{A} \, \frac{l}{jik}.
$$

So there exists the following

Theorem 2.1. When $A^l_{ijk} = A^l_{jik}$, then the curvature tensor of ∇ will keep unchanged under the connection trans*formation* $\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla} \rightarrow \stackrel{t}{\nabla}$.

Let α and β be two 1-form with the components

$$
\alpha_i = U_i^k \pi_k, \beta_i = U_k^k \pi_i, \tag{2.13}
$$

Theorem 2.2. In a Riemannian manifold (*M, q*), if the 1-form α and β are of closed, then the volume curvature *tensor of t* ∇ *is zero, namely*

$$
\stackrel{t}{P}_{ij} = 0,\tag{2.14}
$$

where $\Pr^t_{ij} \triangleq \Pr^t_{ijkl} g^{kl}$ is a volume curvature tensor of $\stackrel{t}{\nabla}$.

Proof. Contracting the indices *k* and *l* of (2.5), then we have

$$
\stackrel{t}{P}_{ij} = \stackrel{\circ}{P}_{ij} + U^k_j a_{ik} - U^k_i a_{jk} - \stackrel{t}{b}_{jk}^k \pi_i - \stackrel{t}{b}_{ik}^k \pi_j + t(U^k_{ij} - U^k_{ji}) \pi_k + (t-1)U^k_k \pi_{ij},
$$

where *Pij* is the volume curvature tensor of $\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}$ to g_{ij} .

On the other hand, there holds

$$
U_{j}^{k}{}_{a_{jk}}^{t} - U_{i}^{k}{}_{a_{jk}}^{t} = t(U_{j}^{k} \tilde{\nabla}_{i} \pi_{k} - U_{i}^{k} \tilde{\nabla}_{j} \psi_{k}) - t(t-1)(\pi_{i}U_{j}^{k} - \pi_{j}U_{i}^{k})U_{k}^{p}\pi_{p} - \pi_{i}^{k}{}_{jk}^{k} + -\pi_{j}^{k}{}_{ik}^{k}
$$

\n
$$
= -(t-1)(\pi_{i} \tilde{\nabla}_{j}U_{k}^{k} - \pi_{j} \tilde{\nabla}_{i}U_{k}^{k}) + t(t-1)(\pi_{i}U_{j}^{p} - \pi_{j}U_{i}^{p})U_{p}^{k}\pi_{k},
$$

\n
$$
t(U_{ij}^{k} - U_{ji}^{k})\pi_{k} = t(\tilde{\nabla}_{i}U_{j}^{k} - \tilde{\nabla}_{j}U_{i}^{k})\pi_{k},
$$

\n
$$
(t-1)U_{k}^{k}\pi_{ij} = (t-1)(U_{k}^{k} \tilde{\nabla}_{i} \pi_{j} - U_{k}^{k} \tilde{\nabla}_{j} \pi_{i}),
$$

\n
$$
\tilde{P}_{ij} = 0.
$$

Hence using these expressions and the expression (2.13), we obtain

$$
\stackrel{t}{P}_{ij} = t(\nabla_i \alpha_j - \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_j \alpha_i) - (t-1)(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_i \beta_j - \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_j \beta_i). \tag{2.15}
$$

If 1-form α and 1-form β are closed, then $\hat{\nabla}_i \alpha_j - \hat{\nabla}_j \alpha_i = 0$ and $\hat{\nabla}_i \beta_j - \hat{\nabla}_j \beta_i = 0$. Hence from the expression (2.15), we know that the formula (2.14) is tenable. \Box

It is well known that if the sectional curvature $k(E)$ at a point p is independent of E (a 2-dimensional subspace of $T_p(\mathcal{M})$, then the curvature tensor is

$$
R_{ijk}^l = k(p)(\delta_{i}^l g_{jk} - \delta_{j}^l g_{ik}).
$$
\n(2.16)

In this case, if $k(p) = const$, then the Riemannian manifold (M, q) is a constant curvature manifold.

Theorem 2.3. *Suppose that* $(M, q)(\dim M \ge 3)$ *is a connected Riemannian manifold associated with an isotropic symmetric-type quarter-symmetric non-metric connection family t* ∇*, If there holds*

$$
s_h = 0,\t\t(2.17)
$$

 $where$ s_h $\hat{=}$ $\frac{1}{n-1}T^p_{hp}$ (The Schur's theorem of the symmetric-type quarter- symmetric non-metric connection family), then the Riemannian manifold (M, g, $\stackrel{t}{\nabla}$) is a constant curvature manifold.

Proof. Substituting the expression (2.16) into the second Bianchi identity of the curvature tensor of the symmetric-type quarter-symmetric non-metric connection family, we get

$$
\bigtriangledown_{h}^{t} \bigtriangledown_{h}^{t} R_{ijk}^{l} + \bigtriangledown_{i}^{t} R_{jhk}^{l} + \bigtriangledown_{j}^{t} R_{hik}^{l} = T_{hi}^{p} R_{jpk}^{l} + T_{ij}^{p} R_{hpk}^{l} + T_{jh}^{p} R_{ipk}^{l},
$$

and using the expression (2.3), then we arrive at

$$
\frac{t}{\nabla_{h}k(p)(\delta_{i}^{l}g_{jk} - \delta_{j}^{l}g_{ik}) + \nabla_{i}k(p)(\delta_{j}^{l}g_{hk} - \delta_{h}^{l}g_{jk}) + \nabla_{j}k(p)(\delta_{h}^{l}g_{ik} - \delta_{i}^{l}g_{hk}) \n-(t-2)k(p)[\pi_{h}(\delta_{i}^{l}U_{jk} - \delta_{j}^{l}U_{ik}) + \pi_{i}(\delta_{j}^{l}U_{hk} - \delta_{h}^{l}U_{jk}) + \pi_{j}(\delta_{h}^{l}U_{ik} - \delta_{i}^{l}U_{hk})] \n= k(p)[\pi_{h}(\delta_{i}^{l}U_{jk} - \delta_{j}^{l}U_{ik}) + \pi_{i}(\delta_{j}^{l}U_{hk} - \delta_{h}^{l}U_{jk}) + \pi_{j}(\delta_{h}^{l}U_{ik} - \delta_{i}^{l}U_{hk}) \n+ \pi_{h}(\delta_{i}^{l}g_{jk} - \delta_{j}^{l}g_{ik}) + \pi_{i}(\delta_{j}^{l}g_{hk} - \delta_{h}^{l}g_{jk}) + \pi_{j}(\delta_{h}^{l}g_{ik} - \delta_{i}^{l}g_{hk})].
$$

Contracting the indices *i*, *l* of this expression, then we have

t

t

$$
(n-2)[g_{jk}\nabla_h k(p) - g_{hk}\nabla_j k(p) - (t-2)k(p)(\pi_h U_{jk} - \pi_j U_{hk})]
$$

= $k(p)[(n-3)(\pi_h U_{jk} - \pi_j U_{hk}) + g_{hk}(U_j^i \pi_i - U_i^i \pi_j) + g_{jk}(U_i^i \pi_h - U_h^i \pi_i)].$

Multiplying both sides of this expression by g^{jk} , then we obtain

$$
(n-1)\nabla_h k(p) - (t-2)k(p)(\pi_h U_i^i - \pi_i U_h^i) = 2k(p)(\pi_h U_i^i - \pi_i U_h^i).
$$

From this expression above we obtain

t

$$
\nabla_h k(p) + t s_h k(p) = 0.
$$
\n(2.18)\nConsequently, if $s_h = 0$, then $k(p) = const.$

Remark 2.3. *Theorem 2.3 is independent of t. From the expression (2.18), if* $t = 0$ *, then* $k(p) = const.$

Thus we have the following

t

Theorem 2.4. *(Schur's theorem)* Suppose $(M, q)(dim M \ge 3)$ *is a connected Riemannian manifold associated with an isotropic symmetric-type quarter-symmetric non-metric connection* $\stackrel{\circ}{D}$. Then the Riemannian manifold $(\mathcal{M}, g, \stackrel{\circ}{L}$ *D*) *is a constant curvature manifold.*

Remark 2.4. *The connection D satisfies the relation (2.7). From Theorem 2.4, this connection is a symmetric-type quarter-symmetric non-metric connection with a constant curvature.*

From the expression (2.4), the coefficient of the mutual connection family $\stackrel{tm}{V}$ of the symmetric-type quartersymmetric non-metric connection family $\overset{t}{\nabla}$ is

$$
\Gamma_{ij}^{tm} = \left\{k_{ij}\right\} + (t-1)\pi_j U_i^k,\tag{2.19}
$$

and the mutual connection family *tm* ∇ satisfies the relation

◦

$$
\nabla_k g_{ij} = -2t\pi_k U_{ij} - (t-1)\pi_i U_{jk} - (t-1)\pi_j U_{ik}, \quad\n\begin{aligned}\n\frac{tm}{T_{ij}} &= \pi_i U_j^k - \pi_j U_i^k,\n\end{aligned}\n\tag{2.20}
$$

Using the expression (2.19), the curvature tensor of $\stackrel{tm}{V}$ is

$$
K_{ijk}^{tm} = K_{ijk}^{l} + \frac{tm}{a_{ik}}U_{j}^{l} - \frac{tm}{a_{jk}}U_{i}^{l} - \pi_{i}^{tm}{}_{jk}^{l} + \pi_{j}^{tm}{}_{ik}^{l} + (t-1)(U_{ij}^{l} - U_{ji}^{l})\pi_{k} + tU_{k}^{l}\pi_{ij},
$$
\n(2.21)

where

$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{tm}{a_{ik}} &= (t-1)[(\tilde{\nabla}_i \pi_k - tU_k^p \pi_p \pi_i) - (t-1)U_i^p \pi_i \pi_k], \\
\frac{tm}{b_{ij}} &= t(\tilde{\nabla}_i U_j^l - (t-1)U_i^p U_p^l \pi_j).\n\end{cases}\n\tag{2.22}
$$

If $t = \frac{1}{2}$, then from the above two expressions we find the curvature tensor of the mutual connection $\stackrel{m}{D}$ of the connection *D*.

$$
\tilde{R}_{ijk}^{l} = K_{ijk}^{l} + \tilde{a}_{ik}^{l} U_{j}^{l} - \tilde{a}_{jk}^{l} U_{i}^{l} - \pi_{i}^{l} \tilde{b}_{jk}^{l} + \pi_{j}^{l} \tilde{b}_{ik}^{l} - \frac{1}{2} (U_{ij}^{l} - U_{ji}^{l}) \pi_{k} + \frac{1}{2} U_{k}^{l} \pi_{ij},
$$
\n(2.23)

where

$$
\begin{cases}\n \stackrel{m}{\tilde{a}_{ik}} = -\frac{1}{2} [(\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_i \pi_k - \frac{1}{2} U_k^p \pi_p \pi_i) + \frac{1}{2} U_p^p \pi_i \pi_k], \\
 \stackrel{m}{\tilde{b}_{ij}}^l = \frac{1}{2} (\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_i U_j^l + \frac{1}{2} U_i^p U_p^l \pi_j).\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(2.24)

The expressions (2.23) and (2.22) are not different in their forms from the expressions (2.5) and (2.6). Hence the volume flat and the constant curvature condition of the mutual connection family coincide with those of the symmetric-type quarter-symmetric connection family.

Thus, by using Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3, we have the following

Theorem 2.5. *In a Riemannian manifold* $(M, g)(dim M \ge 3)$, *if 1-form* α *and* β *are closed, then the volume curvature tensor of tm* ∇ *is zero, namely*

$$
\overset{tm}{P}_{ij}=0,
$$

*tm*_{*i*j = R _{*ijkl*} g^{kl} *is a volume curvature tensor of* \bar{V} *.*}

Theorem 2.6. *Suppose that* $(M, q)(\dim M \ge 3)$ *is a connected Riemannian manifold associated with an isotropic mutual connection family tm* ∇ *of t* ∇*. If*

$$
s_h=0,
$$

then the Riemannian manifold (\mathcal{M},g,∇) is a constant curvature manifold.

Remark 2.5. *In this case, the expression (2.18) becomes*

$$
{tmatom}\nabla_h k(p) + (t-1)s_h k(p) = 0,
$$

Hence if t = 1*, then* $k = const.$

So there exists the following

Theorem 2.7. *(Schur's theorem)* Suppose $(M, g)(dim M \ge 3)$ *is a connected Riemannian manifold associated with an isotropic mutual connection lm D of the symmetric-type quarter-symmetric non-metric connection l D. Then the Riemannian manifold* (M, 1, *lm D*) *is a constant curvature manifold.*

3. Geometries of manifolds associated with a symmetric-type quarter-symmetric projective non-metric connection

Definition 3.1. Definition. A connection family ∇ is called a symmetric-type quarter-symmetric projective non*metric connection family if* $\stackrel{p}{\nabla}$ *is a projective equivalent to* $\stackrel{t}{\nabla}$ *.*

From the expression (2.4), the coefficient of $\stackrel{p}{\nabla}$ is

$$
\Gamma_{ij}^k = \{_{ij}^k\} + \delta_j^k \psi_i + \delta_i^k \psi_j + (t-1)\pi_i U_j^k + t\pi_j U_i^k, \tag{3.1}
$$

where ψ_i is a projective component of \overline{V} . And from the expression (3.1), the connection family \overline{V} satisfies the relation

$$
\nabla_k g_{ij} = -2\psi_k g_{ij} - \psi_i g_{jk} - 2(t-1)\pi_k U_{ij} - t\pi_i U_{jk} - t\pi_j U_{ik}, \quad T^k_{ij} = \pi_j U^k_i - \pi_i U^k_j.
$$
\n(3.2)

From (3.1), the curvature tensor of $\stackrel{p}{\nabla}$ is

$$
P_{ijk}^{p} = K_{ijk}^{l} + U_{j}^{l} a_{ik} - U_{i}^{l} a_{jk} + \delta_{j}^{l} c_{ik} - \delta_{i}^{l} c_{jk} - \pi_{i}^{l} b_{jk}^{l} + \pi_{j}^{l} b_{ik}^{l} + t(U_{ij}^{l} - U_{ji}^{l}) \pi_{k} + (t - 1)U_{k}^{l} \pi_{ij} + T_{ij}^{l} \psi_{k} + \delta_{k}^{l} \psi_{ij},
$$
\n(3.3)

where

p

$$
\begin{cases}\n c_{ik} &= \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_i \psi_k - \psi_i \psi_k - (t-1)\pi_i U_k^p \psi_p - t\pi_k U_i^p \psi_p, \\
 \psi_{ij} &= \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_i \psi_j - \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_j \psi_i.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(3.4)

Using (2.5), the expression (3.3) becomes

t

$$
P_{ijk}^{p} = R_{ijk}^{l} + \delta_{j}^{l} c_{ik} - \delta_{i}^{l} c_{jk} + T_{ij}^{l} \psi_{k} + \delta_{k}^{l} \psi_{ij},
$$
\n(3.5)

Theorem 3.1. *In a Riemannian manifold* (*M, q*) *if* 1-form ψ, α and β are closed, then the volume curvature tensor of *p* ∇ *is zero, namely*

$$
\stackrel{p}{P}_{ij} = 0,\tag{3.6}
$$

where $\overline{P}_{ij} = \overline{R}_{ijkl} g^{kl}$ is the volume curvature tensor of \overline{V} .

Proof. Contracting the indices *k* and *l* of the expression (3.5), then we have *p*

$$
P_{ij} = P_{ij} + c_{ij} - c_{ji} + T_{ij}^{k} \psi_k + n \psi_{ij}.
$$

On the other hand, from (3.4), we obtain

$$
c_{ij}-c_{ji}=\psi_{ij}-T_{ij}^p\psi_p.
$$

Substituting this expression into the above expression, we obtain

$$
\overset{p}{P}_{ij} = \overset{t}{P}_{ij} + (n+1)\psi_{ij}.\tag{3.7}
$$

If 1-form $ψ$, α and β are closed, then $P_{ij} = 0$ by Theorem 2.1 and $ψ_{ij} = 0$. Hence from the expression (3.7), we obtain the expression (3.6) is tenable. \Box

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that* $(M, q)(dim M \ge 3)$ *is a connected Riemannian manifold associated with an isotropic symmetric-type quarter-symmetric projective non-metric connection family p* ∇*. If there holds*

$$
\psi_h - t s_h = 0,\tag{3.8}
$$

then the Riemannian manifold (M, g, $\stackrel{p}{\nabla}$) is a constant curvature manifold.

Proof. Proof. Substituting (2.16) into the second Bianchi identity of the curvature tensor of the symmetrictype quarter-symmetric projective non-metric connection family ∇, we get

$$
\nabla_{h}R_{ijk}^{l} + \nabla_{i}R_{jhk}^{l} + \nabla_{j}R_{hik}^{l} = T_{hi}^{m}R_{jmk}^{p} + T_{ij}^{m}R_{hmk}^{l} + T_{jh}^{m}R_{imk}^{l},
$$

and using the expression (3.2), then we have

$$
\begin{split}\n&\frac{p}{V_{h}k(p)}(\delta_{i}^{l}g_{jk}-\delta_{j}^{l}g_{ik})+\nabla_{i}k(p)(\delta_{j}^{l}g_{hk}-\delta_{h}^{l}g_{jk})+\nabla_{j}k(p)(\delta_{h}^{l}g_{ik}-\delta_{i}^{l}g_{hk}) \\
&-k(p)[\psi_{h}(\delta_{i}^{l}g_{jk}-\delta_{j}^{l}g_{ik})+\psi_{i}(\delta_{j}^{l}g_{hk}-\delta_{h}^{l}g_{jk})+\psi_{j}(\delta_{h}^{l}g_{ik}-\delta_{i}^{l}g_{hk})] \\
&-(t-2)k(p)[\pi_{h}(\delta_{i}^{l}U_{jk}-\delta_{j}^{l}U_{ik})+\pi_{i}(\delta_{j}^{l}U_{hk}-\delta_{h}^{l}U_{jk})+\pi_{j}(\delta_{h}^{l}U_{ik}-\delta_{i}^{l}U_{hk})] \\
&=k(p)[\pi_{h}(\delta_{i}^{l}U_{jk}-\delta_{j}^{l}U_{ik})+\pi_{i}(\delta_{j}^{l}U_{hk}-\delta_{h}^{l}U_{jk})+\pi_{j}(\delta_{h}^{l}U_{ik}-\delta_{i}^{l}U_{hk}) \\
&+\pi_{h}(U_{i}^{l}g_{jk}-U_{j}^{l}g_{ik})+\pi_{i}(U_{j}^{l}g_{hk}-U_{h}^{l}g_{jk})+\pi_{j}(U_{h}^{l}g_{ik}-U_{i}^{l}g_{hk})].\n\end{split}
$$

Contracting the indices *i*, *l* of this expression, then we have

$$
(n-2)(g_{jk}\nabla_{h}k(p) - g_{hk}\nabla_{j}k(p)) - (n-2)k(p)(\psi_{h}U_{jk} - \psi_{j}U_{hk}) - (n-2)(t-2)k(p)(\pi_{h}U_{jk} - \pi_{j}U_{hk})
$$

= $k(p)[(n-3)(\pi_{h}U_{jk} - \pi_{j}U_{hk}) + g_{hk}(U_{j}^{i}\pi_{i} - U_{i}^{i}\pi_{j}) + g_{jk}(U_{i}^{i}\pi_{h} - U_{h}^{i}\pi_{i})].$

Multiplying both sides of this expression by g^{jk} , then we obtain

p

$$
(n-1)(n-2)\nabla_h k(p) - (n-1)(n-2)k(p)\psi_h - (n-2)(t-2)k(p)(\pi_h U_i^i - \pi_i U_h^i)
$$

= 2(n-2)k(p)k(p)(U_i^i \pi_h - U_h^i \pi_i).

From this expression above we obtain

p

$$
\nabla_h k(p) - k(p)(\psi_h - t s_h) = 0.
$$

Consequently, if $\psi_h - ts_h = 0$, then $k = const.$ \Box

p

From the expression (3.1), the coefficient of the mutual connection family $\stackrel{pm}{\nabla}$ of $\stackrel{p}{\nabla}$ is

$$
\Gamma_{ij}^{pm} = \{_{ij}^k\} + \delta_j^k \psi_i + \delta_i^k \psi_j + t \pi_i U_j^k + (t-1) \pi_j U_i^k,
$$

and from this expression, the curvature tensor of the mutual connection family ∇ is

$$
P_{ijk}^{m}{}^{l} = K_{ijk}{}^{l} + \delta_{j}^{l}{}^{m}_{ik} - \delta_{i}^{l}{}^{m}_{jk} + U_{j}^{l}{}^{m}_{ik} - U_{i}^{l}{}^{m}_{jk} - \pi_{i}^{l}{}^{b}{}_{jk}^{l} + \pi_{j}^{l}{}^{b}{}_{ik}^{l} + (t - 1)(U_{ij}^{l} - U_{ji}^{l})\pi_{k} + tU_{k}^{l}\pi_{ij} + T_{ij}^{l}\psi_{k} + \delta_{k}^{l}\psi_{ij},
$$
\n(3.9)

where

$$
C_{ij}^{m} = \hat{\nabla}_{i} \psi_{j} - \psi_{i} \psi_{j} - t \pi_{i} U_{j}^{p} \pi_{p} - (t - 1) U_{i}^{p} \psi_{p} \pi_{j}.
$$
\n(3.10)

By (2.23) and (3.9), we get

$$
R_{ijk}^{pm} = R_{ijk}^{tm} + \delta_j^l C_{ik} - \delta_i^l C_{jk} - T_{ij}^l \psi_k + \delta_k^l \psi_{ij}.
$$
\n(3.11)

The expression (3.10) and (3.11) are not different in their forms from the expressions (3.4) and (3.5). Hence the volume flat the constant curvature condition of the mutual connection family coincide with those of the symmetric-type quarter-symmetric projective non-metric connection family.

So using Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, we have the following

Theorem 3.3. *In a Riemannian manifold* (M, 1)*, if 1-form* ψ, α *and* β *are closed, then the volume curvature tensor of pm* ∇ *is zero, namely*

$$
\overset{pm}{P}_{ij} = 0,\tag{3.12}
$$

*v*here $P_{ij} = R_{ijkl}g^{kl}$ is the volume curvature tensor of ∇ .

Theorem 3.4. *Suppose that* $(M, q)(\dim M \ge 3)$ *is a connected Riemannian manifold associated with an isotropic mutual connection family tm* ∇ *of t* ∇*. If*

$$
\psi_h - (t-1)s_h = 0,\t\t(3.13)
$$

then the Riemannian manifold $(\mathcal{M},g,\overset{tm}{\nabla})$ is a constant curvature manifold.

Theorem 3.5. In a Riemannian manifold $(M, q)(dim M \ge 3)$, if a 1-form ψ is a closed form, then the tensor below

$$
W_{ijk}^{l} + W_{ijk}^{l}
$$
 (3.14)

is an invariant under the projective connection transformation $\stackrel{t}{\nabla}\rightarrow\stackrel{p}{\nabla},\stackrel{tm}{\nabla}\rightarrow\stackrel{pm}{\nabla}$ *, where*

$$
\begin{cases}\n\stackrel{t}{W}{}_{ijk}^{l} = \stackrel{t}{R}{}_{ijk}^{l} - \frac{1}{n-1} (\delta_{i}^{l} \stackrel{t}{R}_{jk} - \delta_{j}^{l} \stackrel{t}{R}_{ik}),\n\stackrel{tm}{W}{}_{ijk}^{l} = \stackrel{tm}{R}{}_{ijk}^{l} - \frac{1}{n-1} (\delta_{i}^{l} \stackrel{tm}{R}_{jk} - \delta_{j}^{l} \stackrel{tm}{R}_{ik}).\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(3.15)

where $\textstyle \stackrel{t}{W}_{ijk}^l$, $\textstyle \stackrel{l}{W}_{ijk}^l$ are Weyl projective curvature tensor of $\overset{t}{\nabla}$ and $\overset{tm}{\nabla}$ respectively.

Proof. Adding the expressions (3.5) and (3.11), we obtain

$$
\overset{p}{R}{}_{ijk}^{l} + \overset{pm}{R}{}_{ijk}^{l} = \overset{t}{R}{}_{ijk}^{l} + \overset{tm}{R}{}_{ijk}^{l} + \delta_{j}^{l} - \alpha_{ik} - \delta_{i}^{l} \alpha_{jk} + 2\delta_{k}^{l} \psi_{ij}, \tag{3.16}
$$

where $\alpha_{ij} = c_{ij} + \alpha_{ij}^m$, If ψ is closed, then $\psi_{ij} =$ $\int \sqrt{\vec{v}}_i \psi_i - \int \sqrt{\vec{v}}_j \psi_i = 0$. From this fact, the expression (3.16) is

$$
\stackrel{p}{R}_{ijk}^l + \stackrel{pm}{R}_{ijk}^l = \stackrel{t}{R}_{ijk}^l + \stackrel{tm}{R}_{ijk}^l + \delta_j^l - \alpha_{ik} - \delta_i^l \alpha_{jk}.
$$
\n(3.17)

Contracting the indices *i*, *l* of (3.17), we get

$$
R_{jk} + R_{jk} = R_{jk} + R_{jk} - (n-1)\alpha_{jk}.
$$
\n(3.18)

From this expression above we find

$$
\alpha_{jk} = \frac{1}{n-1} (\stackrel{t}{R}_{jk} + \stackrel{tm}{R}_{jk} - \stackrel{p}{R}_{jk} - \stackrel{pm}{R}_{jk})
$$

Substituting this expression above into (3.17) and putting

$$
\begin{cases}\n\stackrel{p}{W}_{ijk}^l = \stackrel{p}{R}_{ijk}^l - \frac{1}{n-1} (\delta_{i}^l \stackrel{p}{R}_{jk} - \delta_{j}^l \stackrel{p}{R}_{ik}),\\
\stackrel{pm}{W}_{ijk}^l = \stackrel{p}{R}_{ijk}^l - \frac{1}{n-1} (\delta_{i}^l \stackrel{p}{R}_{jk} - \delta_{j}^l \stackrel{pm}{R}_{ik}).\n\end{cases} \tag{3.19}
$$

Then by a direct computation, we obtain

$$
\stackrel{p}{W}_{ijk}^l + \stackrel{pm}{W}_{ijk}^l = \stackrel{t}{W}_{ijk}^l + \stackrel{tm}{W}_{ijk}^l. \tag{3.20}
$$

This ends the proof of Theorem 3.5. \Box

Remark 3.1. *The expression (3.19) is a Weyl projective curvature tensor of p* ∇ *and pm* ∇ *respectively. And the expression (3.20) is independent of the parameter t.*

Theorem 3.6. *In a Riemannian manifold* $(M, g)(dim M \ge 3)$ *, the tensor below*

$$
\frac{t}{W} \frac{t}{i j k} + \frac{tm}{W} \frac{t}{i j k'},\tag{3.21}
$$

is an invariant under the projective connection transformation $\stackrel{t}{\nabla}\to\stackrel{p}{\nabla}$ and $\stackrel{tm}{\nabla}\to\stackrel{pm}{\nabla}$, where

$$
\begin{cases} \frac{t}{W} \big|_{ijk} = \mathring{R} \big|_{ijk} - \frac{1}{n-1} (\delta^l_i \mathring{R}_{jk} - \delta^l_j \mathring{R}_{ik}) + \frac{1}{n^2-1} [\delta^l_i (\mathring{R}_{jk} - \mathring{R}_{kj}) - \delta^l_j (\mathring{R}_{ik} - \mathring{R}_{ki}) + (n-1) \delta^l_k (\mathring{R}_{ij} - \mathring{R}_{ji}) \big] \right, \\ \frac{tm}{W} \big|_{ijk} = \mathring{R} \big|_{ijk} - \frac{1}{n-1} (\delta^l_i \mathring{R}_{jk} - \delta^l_j \mathring{R}_{ik}) + \frac{1}{n^2-1} [\delta^l_i (\mathring{R}_{jk} - \mathring{R}_{kj}) - \delta^l_j (\mathring{R}_{ik} - \mathring{R}_{ki}) + (n-1) \delta^l_k (\mathring{R}_{ij} - \mathring{R}_{ji}) \big] \end{cases} \tag{3.22}
$$

where t \overline{W}_{ijk}^l *tm* $\frac{m}{W}$ *l*_{ijk} are the generalized Weyl projective curvature tensor of $\stackrel{t}{\nabla}$ and $\stackrel{tm}{\nabla}$, respectively.

Proof. Contracting the indices *i*, *l* of (3.16) and using $\psi_{ij} = -\psi_{ji}$, we get

$$
R_{jk} + R_{jk} = R_{jk} + R_{jk} - (n-1)\alpha_{jk} - 2\psi_{jk}.
$$
\n(3.23)

Alternating the indices *j* and *k* of this expression and using $\alpha_{jk} - \alpha_{kj} = 2\psi_{jk}$, we obtain

$$
\overset{p}{R}_{jk} - \overset{p}{R}_{kj} + \overset{pm}{R}_{jk} - \overset{pm}{R}_{kj} = \overset{t}{R}_{jk} - \overset{t}{R}_{kj} + \overset{tm}{R}_{jk} - \overset{tm}{R}_{kj} - 2(n+1)\psi_{jk}.
$$

By a similar computation just as that in Theorem 3.5, we then have

$$
\frac{t}{W}l_{ijk} + \frac{tm}{W}l_{ijk} = \frac{p}{W}l_{ijk} + \frac{pm}{W}l_{ijk}.
$$
\n(3.24)

where

$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{p}{W} \bigg|_{ijk} = R \bigg|_{ijk} - \frac{1}{n-1} (\delta_i^j R_{jk} - \delta_j^j R_{ik}) + \frac{1}{n^2-1} \bigg[\delta_i^j (R_{jk} - R_{kj}) - \delta_j^j (R_{ik} - R_{ki}) + (n-1) \delta_k^j (R_{ij} - R_{ji}) \bigg], \\
\frac{pm}{W} \bigg|_{ijk} = \frac{pm}{R} \bigg|_{ijk} - \frac{1}{n-1} (\delta_i^j (R_{jk} - \delta_j^j R_{ik}) + \frac{1}{n^2-1} \bigg[\delta_i^j (R_{jk} - R_{kj}) - \delta_j^j (R_{ik} - R_{ki}) + (n-1) \delta_k^j (R_{ij} - R_{ji}) \bigg].\n\end{cases} (3.25)
$$

This completes the proof Theorem 3.6. \Box

Remark 3.2. *Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.6 show that the proposed invariant is independent of the parameter t.*

4. Geometries of manifolds with a symmetric-type quarter-symmetric conformal non-metric connection

 $\mathbf D$ efinition 4.1. A connection family $\overset{c}{\nabla}$ is called a symmetric-type quarter-symmetric conformal non-metric connec- $\stackrel{\circ}{\text{tion}}$ family, if the connection $\stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}$ is a conformal equivalent to $\stackrel{t}{\nabla}$.

From (2.4), the coefficient of is

$$
\Gamma_{ij}^{k} = \{^{k}_{ij}\} + \delta_{j}^{k}\sigma_{i} + \delta_{i}^{k}\sigma_{j} - g_{ij}\sigma^{k} + (t-1)\pi_{i}U_{j}^{k} + t\pi_{j}U_{i}^{k},
$$
\n(4.1)

where σ_i is a conformal component of the connection family $\stackrel{c}{\nabla}$ with respect to the conformal transformation of g_{ij} , namely $\bar{g}_{ij} = e^{2\sigma} g_{ij}(\sigma_i = \partial_i \sigma)$. From (4.1), the connection family $\bar{\nabla}$ satisfies the relation

$$
\mathring{\nabla}_k g_{ij} = -2\sigma_k g_{ij} - 2(t-1)\pi_k U_{ij} - t\pi_i U_{jk} - t\pi_j U_{ik}, \quad T^k_{ij} = \pi_j U^k_i - \pi_i U^k_j. \tag{4.2}
$$

The curvature tensor of $\stackrel{c}{\nabla}$ is

$$
\hat{R}_{ijk}^{l} = K_{ijk}^{l} + \delta_{j}^{l} e_{ik} - \delta_{i}^{l} e_{jk} + g_{ik} f_{j}^{l} - g_{jk} f_{i}^{l} + U_{j}^{l} a_{ik} - U_{i}^{l} a_{jk} - \pi_{i} b_{jk}^{l} + \pi_{j} b_{ik}^{l} + t(U_{ij}^{l} - U_{ji}^{l}) \pi_{k} + (t-1)U_{k}^{l} \pi_{ij} - T_{ij}^{l} \sigma_{k} - t T_{ijk} \sigma^{l},
$$
\n(4.3)

where

$$
\begin{cases}\n e_{ik} &= \nabla_i \sigma_k - \sigma_i \sigma_k - (t-1)\pi_i U_k^p \sigma_p - t\pi_k U_i^p \sigma_p + \frac{1}{2} g_{ik} \sigma^p \sigma_p, \\
 f_{ij} &= \nabla_i \sigma_k - \sigma_i \sigma_k + (t-1)\pi_i U_k^p \sigma_p + t\pi_k U_i^p \sigma_p + \frac{1}{2} g_{ik} \sigma^p \sigma_p.\n\end{cases}\n\tag{4.4}
$$

Using (2.5), the expression (4.3) becomes

$$
\stackrel{c}{R}_{ijk}{}^{l} = \stackrel{t}{R}_{ijk}{}^{l} + \delta^l_j e_{ik} - \delta^l_i e_{jk} + g_{ik} f^l_j - g_{jk} f^l_i + T^l_{ij} \sigma_k - (t - 1) T_{ijk} \sigma^l. \tag{4.5}
$$

Theorem 4.1. *In a Riemannian manifold* $(M, g)(dim M \ge 3)$ *if 1-form* α *and* β *are closed form, then the volume curvature tensor of c* ∇ *is zero, namely*

$$
\mathcal{E}_{ij} = 0. \tag{4.6}
$$

where $\overset{c}{P}_{ij} = \overset{c}{R}_{ijkl} g^{kl}$ is the volume curvature tensor of $\overset{c}{\nabla}.$

Proof. Contracting the indices *k* and *l* of the expression (4.5), then we have

$$
\overset{c}{P}_{ij} = \overset{t}{P}_{ij} + e_{ij} - e_{ji} + f_{ji} - f_{ij} + T^{k}_{ij}\sigma_k - (t-1)T_{ijk}\sigma^k.
$$

On the other hand, by (4.4), we have

$$
e_{ij} - e_{ji} = -T_{ij}^{k} \sigma_{k}; \quad f_{ij} - f_{ji} = (t - 1)T_{ijk}^{k} \sigma^{k}.
$$

Substituting these expressions into the above expression, we obtain

$$
\varepsilon_{ij} = \varepsilon_{ij}.\tag{4.7}
$$

If 1-form *α* and *β* are closed, then $\overrightarrow{P}_{ij} = 0$ (Theorem 2.2). Hence from the expression (4.7), we obtain the expression (4.6) . \Box

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose that* $(M, q)(dim M \ge 3)$ *is a connected Riemannian manifold associated with an isotropic symmetric-type quarter-symmetric conformal non-metric connection family c* ∇*. If there holds*

$$
2\sigma_h - t s_h = 0,\t\t(4.8)
$$

then the Riemannian manifold (M, g, $\stackrel{c}{\nabla}$) is a constant curvature manifold.

Proof. Substituting the expression (2.16) into the second Bianchi identity of the curvature tensor of the symmetric-type quarter-symmetric conformal non-metric connection family *c* ∇, we get

$$
\mathop{\nabla}\limits^c_{h} \mathop{R}\limits^c_{ijk}{}^l + \mathop{\nabla}\limits^c_{i} \mathop{R}\limits^c_{jhk}{}^l + \mathop{\nabla}\limits^c_{j} \mathop{R}\limits^c_{hik}{}^l = T^m_{hi} \mathop{R}\limits^c_{jmk}{}^l + T^m_{ij} \mathop{R}\limits^c_{hmk}{}^l + T^m_{jh} \mathop{R}\limits^c_{imk}{}^l,
$$

and using the expression (4.2), then we have

c

$$
\begin{split} &\stackrel{\mathcal{C}}{\nabla}_{h}k(p)(\delta_{i}^{l}g_{jk}-\delta_{j}^{l}g_{ik})+\stackrel{\mathcal{C}}{\nabla}_{i}k(p)(\delta_{j}^{l}g_{hk}-\delta_{h}^{l}g_{jk})+\stackrel{\mathcal{C}}{\nabla}_{j}k(p)(\delta_{h}^{l}g_{ik}-\delta_{i}^{l}g_{hk})\\ &-2k(p)[\sigma_{h}(\delta_{i}^{l}g_{jk}-\delta_{j}^{l}g_{ik})+\sigma_{i}(\delta_{j}^{l}g_{hk}-\delta_{h}^{l}g_{jk})+\sigma_{j}(\delta_{h}^{l}g_{ik}-\delta_{i}^{l}g_{hk})]\\ &- (t-2)k(p)[\pi_{h}(\delta_{i}^{l}U_{jk}-\delta_{j}^{l}U_{ik})+\pi_{i}(\delta_{j}^{l}U_{hk}-\delta_{h}^{l}U_{jk})+\pi_{j}(\delta_{h}^{l}U_{ik}-\delta_{i}^{l}U_{hk})]\\ &=k(p)[\pi_{h}(\delta_{i}^{l}U_{jk}-\delta_{j}^{l}U_{ik})+\pi_{i}(\delta_{j}^{l}U_{hk}-\delta_{h}^{l}U_{jk})+\pi_{j}(\delta_{h}^{l}U_{ik}-\delta_{i}^{l}U_{hk})\\ &+\pi_{h}(U_{i}^{l}g_{jk}-U_{j}^{l}g_{ik})+\pi_{i}(U_{j}^{l}g_{hk}-U_{h}^{l}g_{jk})+\pi_{j}(U_{h}^{l}g_{ik}-U_{i}^{l}g_{hk})]. \end{split}
$$

Contracting the indices *i*, *l* of this expression, then we arrive at *c*

$$
(n-2)(g_{jk}\tilde{\nabla}_{h}k(p) - g_{hk}\tilde{\nabla}_{j}k(p)) - 2(n-2)k(p)(\sigma_{h}g_{jk} - \sigma_{j}g_{hk}) - (n-2)(t-2)k(p)(\pi_{h}U_{jk} - \pi_{j}U_{hk})
$$

= $k(p)[(n-3)(\pi_{h}U_{jk} - \pi_{j}U_{hk}) + g_{hk}(U_{j}^{i}\pi_{i} - U_{i}^{i}\pi_{j}) + g_{jk}(U_{i}^{i}\pi_{h} - U_{h}^{i}\pi_{i})].$

Multiplying both sides of this expression by g^{jk} , then we obtain

$$
(n-1)(n-2)\tilde{\nabla}_{h}k(p) - 2(n-1)(n-2)k(p)\sigma_{h} - (n-2)(t-2)k(p)(\pi_{h}U_{i}^{i} - \pi_{i}U_{h}^{i})
$$

= 2(n-2)k(p)k(p)(U_{i}^{i}\pi_{h} - U_{h}^{i}\pi_{i}).

From this equation above we obtain

$$
\mathop{\nabla}\limits^c_{h} k(p) - k(p)(2\sigma_h - t s_h) = 0.
$$

Consequently, if $2\sigma_h - ts_h = 0$, then $k(p) = const.$

From the expression (4.1), the coefficient of the mutual connection $\stackrel{cm}{V}$ of $\stackrel{c}{V}$ as

$$
\Gamma_{ij}^{cm} = \{_{ij}^k\} + \delta_j^k \sigma_i + \delta_i^k \sigma_j - g_{ij} \sigma^k + t \pi_i U_j^k + (t-1) \pi_j U_i^k,
$$

and from this expression, the curvature tensor of the mutual connection family ∇ is

$$
R_{ijk}^{m} = K_{ijk}^{m} + \delta_{j}^{m} e_{ik} - \delta_{i}^{m} e_{jk} + g_{ik}^{m} f_{j}^{m} - g_{jk}^{m} f_{i}^{m} + U_{j}^{m} a_{ik} - U_{i}^{m} a_{jk} - \pi_{i}^{m} b_{jk}^{m} + \pi_{j}^{m} b_{ik}^{m} + (t-1)(U_{ij}^{l} - U_{ji}^{l})\pi_{k} + tU_{k}^{l}\pi_{ij} - T_{ij}^{l}\sigma_{k} - tT_{ijk}\sigma^{l}, \qquad (4.9)
$$

where

$$
\begin{cases}\n m \\
 c_{ij} &= \hat{\nabla}_i \sigma_j - \sigma_i \sigma_j - t \pi_i U_j^p \pi_p - (t-1) U_i^p \sigma_p \pi_j + \frac{1}{2} g_{ij} \sigma_p \sigma^p, \\
 m \\
 f_{ij} &= \hat{\nabla}_i \sigma_j - \sigma_i \sigma_j + t \pi_i U_{jp} \sigma^p + (t-1) U_{ij} \sigma^p \pi_p + \frac{1}{2} g_{ij} \sigma_p \sigma^p\n\end{cases}\n\tag{4.10}
$$

Using the expression (2.11) and (4.9), we have

$$
R_{ijk}^{cm}{}^{l} = R_{ijk}^{cm}{}^{l} + \delta_{j}^{lm}{}^{m}{}_{k} - \delta_{i}^{lm}{}^{m}{}_{k} + g_{ik}f^{m}{}^{l} - g_{jk}f^{m}{}^{l} - T_{ij}^{l}\sigma_{k} - tT_{ijk}\sigma^{l}.
$$
\n(4.11)

The expressions (4.10) and (4.11) are not different in the form from the expressions (4.4) and (4.5). Hence the volume flat and constant curvature condition of the mutual connection family coincide with those of the symmetric-type quarter-symmetric conformal connection family.

Thus, we know that by Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 there holds

Theorem 4.3. *In a Riemannian manifold* (M, 1) *if 1-form* α *and* β *are closed, then the volume curvature tensor of cm* ∇ *is zero, namely*

$$
\overset{cm}{P}_{ij} = 0 \tag{4.12}
$$

where $\overset{cm}{P}_{ij} = \overset{cm}{R}_{ijkl} g^{kl}$ is the volume curvature tensor of $\overset{cm}{\nabla}$.

Theorem 4.4. Suppose that (M, q) is a connected Riemannian manifold associated with an isotropic mutual connec*tion family cm* ∇ *of c* ∇*. If*

$$
\sigma_h - (t - 1)s_h = 0,\tag{4.13}
$$

then the Riemannian manifold $(\mathcal{M},g,\overset{cm}{\nabla})$ is a constant curvature manifold.

If $t = \frac{1}{2}$, and using Remark. 2.3, the expression (4.5) becomes

$$
\tilde{\tilde{R}}_{ijk}{}^{l} = \tilde{R}_{ijk}{}^{l} + \delta_{j}^{l} \tilde{e}_{ik} - \delta_{i}^{l} \tilde{e}_{jk} + g_{ik} \tilde{f}_{j}^{l} - g_{jk} \tilde{f}_{i}^{l} + T_{ij}^{l} \sigma_{k} + \frac{1}{2} T_{ijk} \sigma^{l}.
$$
\n(4.14)

where

$$
\begin{cases}\n\tilde{e}_{ij} = \tilde{\nabla}_i \sigma_j - \sigma_i \sigma_j + \frac{1}{2} \pi_i U_j^p \sigma_p - \frac{1}{2} \pi_j U_i^p \pi_p + \frac{1}{2} g_{ij} \sigma_p \sigma^p, \\
\tilde{f}_{ij} = \tilde{\nabla}_i \sigma_j - \sigma_i \sigma_j + \frac{1}{2} \pi_i U_{jp} \sigma^p + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^p U_{ij} \pi_p + \frac{1}{2} g_{ij} \sigma_p \sigma^p.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(4.15)

From the expressions (4.10) and (4.11), we have

$$
\tilde{\tilde{R}}_{ijk}^{m}{}^{l} = \tilde{\tilde{R}}_{ijk}^{m}{}^{l} + \delta_{j}^{l} \tilde{\tilde{e}}_{ik}^{m} - \delta_{i}^{l} \tilde{\tilde{e}}_{jk}^{m} + g_{ik} \tilde{\tilde{f}}_{j}^{l} - g_{jk} \tilde{\tilde{f}}_{i}^{l} + T_{ij}^{l} \sigma_{k} - \frac{1}{2} T_{ijk} \sigma^{l}.
$$
\n(4.16)

where

$$
\begin{cases}\n\stackrel{m}{\tilde{e}}_{ij} &= \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_i \sigma_j - \sigma_i \sigma_j - \frac{1}{2} \pi_i U_j^p \sigma_p + \frac{1}{2} \pi_j U_i^p \pi_p + \frac{1}{2} g_{ij} \sigma_p \sigma^p, \\
\stackrel{m}{\tilde{f}}_{ij} &= \stackrel{\circ}{\nabla}_i \sigma_j - \sigma_i \sigma_j + \frac{1}{2} \pi_i U_{jp} \sigma^p - \frac{1}{2} \sigma^p U_{ij} \pi_p + \frac{1}{2} g_{ij} \sigma_p \sigma^p.\n\end{cases}\n\tag{4.17}
$$

Theorem 4.5. In a Riemannian manifold (M, q) , the tensor below

$$
\tilde{C}_{ijk}^{\ \ l} + \tilde{C}_{ijk}^{\ \ l} \tag{4.18}
$$

is an invariant under the conformal connection transformation $\tilde{D}\rightarrow\tilde{\tilde{D}}$; $\tilde{\tilde{D}}\rightarrow\tilde{\tilde{D}}$, where

 C˜ *ijk ^l* = *R*˜ *ijk ^l* − 1 *n*−2 (δ *l i R*˜ *jk* − δ *l j R*˜ *ik* + 1*jkR*˜ *^l i* − 1*ikR*˜ *^l j*) − *R*˜ (*n*−1)(*n*−2) (δ *l j* 1*ik* − δ *l i* 1*jk*), *m C*˜ *ijk ^l* = *m R*˜ *ijk ^l* − 1 *n*−2 (δ *l i m R*˜ *jk* − δ *l j m R*˜ *ik* + 1*jk m R*˜ *l i* − 1*ik m R*˜ *l j*) − *m R*˜ (*n*−1)(*n*−2) (δ *l j* 1*ik* − δ *l i* 1*jk*). (4.19)

 $(\tilde{C}_{ijk}^{\ \ l}$, $\tilde{C}_{ijk}^{\;\;l}$ are called the so-called Weyl conformal curvature tensor of D, *m D, respectively)* ˜ *Proof.* Adding the expressions (4.14) and (4.16), we obtain

$$
\tilde{\tilde{R}}_{ijk}^{l} + \tilde{\tilde{R}}_{ijk}^{l} = \tilde{R}_{ijk}^{l} + \tilde{\tilde{R}}_{ijk}^{l} + \delta_{j}^{l}\beta_{ik} - \delta_{i}^{l}\beta_{jk} + g_{ik}\beta_{j}^{l} - g_{jk}\beta_{i}^{l}
$$
\n(4.20)

where β_{ij} from the expressions (4.15) and (4.17) satisties

$$
\beta_{ij} = \tilde{e}_{ij} + \tilde{\tilde{e}}_{ij} = \tilde{f}_{ij} + \tilde{\tilde{f}}_{ij} = 2 \tilde{\nabla}_i \sigma_j - 2 \sigma_i \sigma_j + g_{ij} \sigma^k \sigma_k.
$$

Contracting the indices *i*, *l* of the expression (4.20), we get

$$
\tilde{\tilde{R}}_{jk} + \tilde{\tilde{R}}_{jk} = \tilde{R}_{jk} + \tilde{\tilde{R}}_{jk} - (n-2)\beta_{jk} - g_{jk}\beta_i^i.
$$
\n(4.21)

Multiplying both sides of expression (4.21) by g^{jk} , then we arrive at

$$
\mathop{\tilde{R}}\limits^c + \mathop{\tilde{R}}\limits^c = \mathop{\tilde{R}}\limits^m + \mathop{\tilde{R}}\limits^m - 2(n-1)\beta_i^i.
$$

From this expression above we have

$$
\beta_i^i = \frac{1}{2(n-1)} (\tilde{R} + \tilde{\tilde{R}} - \tilde{\tilde{R}} - \tilde{\tilde{R}}).
$$

By a direct computation similar to that in Theorem 3.4, we obtain

$$
\tilde{\tilde{C}}_{ijk}^l + \tilde{\tilde{C}}_{ijk}^l = \tilde{C}_{ijk}^l + \tilde{\tilde{C}}_{ijk}^l. \tag{4.22}
$$

where \int_{ijk}^{c} + *cm C*˜ *l ijk* are Weyl conformal curvature tensors of symmetric-type quarter-symmetric conformal non-metric connection $\stackrel{c}{D}$ and its mutual connection $\stackrel{cm}{D}$, respectively. This ends the proof of Theorem 4.5.

5. Ackonowedement

The authors would like to thank Professors U. C. De and Y. Wang for their encouragement and help!

References

- [1] N. S. Agache and M. R. Chafle: *A semi-symmetric non- metric connection in a Riemannian manifold*, Idian J. Pure Appl. Math. 1992, **23**, 399-409.
- [2] A. Barman and U. C. De: *On a type of quarter-symmetric non-metric* ξ*-connection on 3-dimensional quasi-Sasakian manifolds*, Differ. Geom. Dyn. Syst. 2020, **22**, 26-41.
- [3] S. K. Chaubey and R. H. Ojha:*On semi-symmetric non-metric connection*, Filomat, 2021, **26**(2), 269-275
- [4] S. K. Chaubey, Y. J. Suh and U. C. De: *Characterizations of the Lorentzian manifolds admitting a type of semi-symmetric metric connection*, Anal. Math. Phys. 2020, **10**(4), Paper No. 61, 15pp
- [5] S. K. Chaubey and U. C. De: *Characterization of three-dimensional Riemannian manifolds with a type of semi-symmetric metric connection admitting Yamabe soliton*, J. Geom. Phys. 2020, **157**, 103846, 8pp
- [6] S. S. Chern, W. H. Chen and K. S. Lam: *Lectures on Di*ff*erential Geometry*, World Scientific Press, 2000, 155-162
- [7] U. C. De and D. Kamila: *On a type of semi-symmetric non-metric connection on a Riemannian manifold*, J. Indian Inst. Sci, 1995, **75**, 707-710.
- [8] U. C. De, P. Zhao, K. Mandal and Y. L. Han: *Certain curvature conditions on P-Sasakian manifolds admitting a quarter-symmetric metric connection*, Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B, 2020, **41**(1): 133-146.
- [9] K. A. Dunn: *A Geometric model for scalar-tensor theories of gravitation*, Tensor. N. S, 1975, **29**, 214-216
- [10] S. Brian Edgar: *Curvature copies and uniqueness of Bianchi-type equations: the symmetric connection case,* Class. Quantum. Grav. 1993, **10**, 2445-2555
- [11] U. C. De, Y. L. Han and P. Zhao: *A special type of semi-symmetric non-metric connection on a Riemannian manifold*, FACTA UNIVERSITATIS, Series: Math and Informatics, 2016, **31**(2): 529-541
- [12] F. Fu and P. Zhao: *A Property on Geodesic Mappings of Pseudo-Symmetric Riemannian Manifolds*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., 2010, **33**(2), 265–272
- [13] F. Fu, X. Yang and P. Zhao: *Geometrical and physical characteristics of a class of conformal mappings*, Journal of Geometry and Physics, 2012, **62**(6), 1467-1479
- [14] S. Golab: *On semi-symmetric and quarter-symmetric linear connections*, Tensor. N. S. 1975, **29**, 249-254
- [15] Y. Han, T. Ho and P. Zhao: *Some invariants of quarter-symmetric metric connections under the projective transformation*, Filomat, 2013, 27(4): 679-691
- [16] Y. Han, T. Ho and P. Zhao: *A Schur's Lemma based on a semi-symmetric non-metric connection*, International Journal of Geometry, 2016, **5**:1, 47-53
- [17] Y. Han, T. Ho and F. Fu: *A classification of conformal Weyl manofolds in view of non-metric connections*, Facta Unversitatis (Nis), Ser. Math. Inform. 2016, **31**:2, 513-527
- [18] H. A. Hayden: *Subspace of a space with torsion,* Proc, London Math. Soc. 1932, **34**, 27-50
- [19] T. Ho: *On the projective semi-symmetric connection and conformal semi-symmetric connection on the Riemannian manifold*, Journal of Kim Il Sung university, Natural Science, 2013, **2**:2, 3-10
- [20] T. Ho: *On a semi-symmetric non-metric connection satisfying the Schur's theorem on a Riemannian manifold*, arXiv : 1212 4748 V1
- [21] T. Ho, C. An and C. An: *Some properties of mutual connection of semi-symmetric metric connection and its dual connection in a Riemannian manifold*, Acta Scientiarum Naturalium Universitatis Nankaiensis, 2013, **46**(4) : 1-8
- [22] T. Ho, C. Jen and G. Jin: *A semi-symmetric projective conformal connection satisfying the Schur's theorem on a Riemannian manifold*, J. of Yanbian University (Natural Science), 2014, **40**(4) : 290-294
- [23] E. S. Stepanova: *Dual symmetric statistical manifold.* J. of Mathematical Sciences, 2007, **147**(1): 6507-6509
- [24] W. Tang, T. Ho, F. Fu and P. Zhao: *On a Quarter-symmetric Projective conformal connection*, IEJG, 2017, **10**, 2, 37-45
- [25] W. Tang, T. Ho, K. Ri, F. Fu and P. Zhao: *On a Generalized quarter-symmetric metric recurrent connection,* Filomat, 2018, **32**:1, 207-215
- [26] W. Tang, C. Jon, T. Ho, G. He and P. Zhao: *Geometrics of manifolds equipped with a Ricci (projection-Ricci) quarter-symmetric connection,* Filomat, 2019, **332**:16, 5237-5248
- [27] M. M. Tripathi: *A new connection in a Riemannian manifold*, IEJG, 2008, **1**, 1, 15-24
- [28] K. Yano: *On semi-symmetric metric connection*, Rev, Roum, Math. Pureset Appl, 1971, **15**, 1579-1586
- [29] K. Yano and J. Imai: *Quarter-symmetric connection and their curvature tensor*, Tensor, N. S., 1982, **38**, 13-18
- [30] D. Zhao, T. Y. Ho and J. H. An: *Geometries for a mutual connection of semi-symmetric metric recurrent connections*, Filomat, 2020, **34**(13): 4367-4374
- [31] D. Zhao, T. Y. Ho, K. Y. Kwak and C. Y. Jon: *Geometric characteristics of a manifold with a symmetric-type quarter-symmetric projective conformal non-metric connection*, Filomat, 2021, **35**(15): 5137-5147
- [32] D. Zhao, C. Y. Jen and T. Y. Ho: *On a Ricci quarter-symmetric metric recurrent connection and a projective Ricci quarter-symmetric metric recurrent connection in a Riemannian manifold*, Filomat, 2020, **34**(3): 795-806
- [33] P. Zhao: *The invariant of projective transformation of semi-symmetric metric recurrent connection and curvature tensor expression*, J. of Engineering Math, 2000, **17**:2, 105-108
- [34] P. Zhao and H. Z. Song: *An invariant of projective semi-symmetric connection*, Chinese Quarterly J. of Math. 2001, **16**:4, 49-54
- [35] P. Zhao, H. Song and X. Yang: *Some invariant properties of semi-symmetric recurrent connections and curvature tensor expression,* Chin. Quart. J. of Math, 2004, **14**(4): 355-361