Filomat 38:16 (2024), 5761–5778 https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2416761A

Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

A note on the delay nonlinear parabolic diff**erential equations**

Allaberen Ashyralyeva,b,c, Deniz Agirsevend,[∗] **, Sa'adu Bello Mu'azue,f**

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Bahcesehir University, 34353 Istanbul, T¨urkiye ^b Peoples Friendship University of Russia (RUDN University), Moscow 117198, Russian Federation c Institute of Mathematics and Mathematical Modeling, 050010 Almaty, Kazakhstan ^dDepartment of Mathematics, Trakya University, Edirne, T¨urkiye ^eDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Near East University, TRNC, Mersin 10, T¨urkiye

^fDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Physical Sciences, Kebbi State University of Science and Technology, Aliero, Nigeria

Abstract. In this paper, the initial boundary value problem (IBVP) for nonlinear delay differential equations (DEs) in a Banach space with strongly unbounded operators is studied. The theorem on the existence and uniqueness of a bounded solution (BS) of this problem is established. The application of the main theorem to nonlinear delay parabolic equations is provided. Theorems on the existence and uniqueness of a bounded solution of the initial boundary value problems for three types of nonlinear delay parabolic equations are established. The first and second order of accuracy difference schemes (FSOADSs) for the solution of one dimensional nonlinear parabolic equation with time delay are presented. Finally, certain numerical experiments are given to confirm the agreement between experimental and theoretical results and to make clear how effective the proposed approach is.

1. Introduction

In general, the inclusion of an unbounded delay term in DEs makes it difficult to analyse these types of equations. Additionally, there are a couple of works for which analytical solutions are provided. Because of this reason, the studies on numerical approaches compensate for the dearth of theoretical research. Particularly, one of the primary techniques employed in this field is the finite difference method.

Lu [13] investigates monotone iterative schemes for finite-difference solutions of reaction-diffusion systems with time delays and provides improved iterative schemes using the upper-lower solutions approach with the Gauss-Seidel or the Jacobi method.

The initial value problem (IVP) for linear delay parabolic differential equations (DPDEs) was studied by Ashyralyev and Sobolevskii [8]; they provide a sufficient condition for the stability of the solution of this problem and obtain the stability estimates in Hölder norms. Different types of problems involving linear DPDEs were investigated by Ashyralyev and Ağırseven [1]-[6]. They provide convergence and stability theorems.

²⁰²⁰ *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 65M06; Secondary 35G10.

Keywords. Delay nonlinear parabolic equation, difference scheme.

Received: 27 July 2023; Revised: 22 January 2024; Accepted: 24 January 2024

Communicated by Ljubiša D. R. Kočinac

^{*} Corresponding author: Deniz Agirseven

Email addresses: allaberen.ashyralyev@bau.edu.tr (Allaberen Ashyralyev), denizagirseven@gmail.com (Deniz Agirseven), saadbm13.sbm@gmail.com (Sa'adu Bello Mu'azu)

Finally, the existence and uniqueness of a BS of nonlinear delay parabolic equation was established by Ashyralyev, Agirseven and Ceylan in [7]. They provide sufficient conditions for the existence of a unique BS of nonlinear delay parabolic equation.

It is clear that several initial-boundary value problems for nonlinear delay parabolic equations can be reduced to an initial-value problem for the differential equation

$$
\begin{cases} \frac{du}{dt} + Au(t) = g(t, u(t), u(t - \omega)), t \in [0, \infty), \\ u(t) = \varphi(t), t \in [-\omega, 0] \end{cases}
$$
\n(1)

in an arbitrary Banach space *E* with the unbounded operators *A*(*t*) in *E* with dense domains *D*(*A*(*t*)) ⊂ *E*. Suppose that for each $t \in [0, \infty)$ the operator $-A(t)$ generates an analytic semi-group exp{ $-sA(t)$ }($s \ge 0$) with exponentially decreasing norm when $s \rightarrow +\infty$, i.e. the following estimates

$$
\left\| \exp(-sA(t)) \right\|_{E \to E}, \left\| sA(t) \exp(-sA(t)) \right\|_{E \to E} \le Me^{-\delta s}(s > 0)
$$
\n(2)

hold for some $M \in [1, +\infty)$, $\delta \in (0, +\infty)$. From this inequality it follows the operator $A^{-1}(t)$ exists and bounded and hence $A(t)$ is closed in $E_1 \subset E$, such that $A(t) : D(A(t)) \to E$ and $D(A(t)) = D(A(0))$ for $0 \leq t < \infty$.

Assume that the operator $A(t)A^{-1}(s)$ is Holder continuous in *t* in the uniform operator topology for each fixed *s*, that is,

$$
\left\| [A(t) - A(\tau)] A^{-1}(s) \right\|_{E \to E} \le M|t - \tau|^{\varepsilon}, 0 < \varepsilon \le 1,\tag{3}
$$

where *M* and ε are positive constants independent of *t*, *s* and τ for $0 \le t$, *s*, $\tau < \infty$.

An operator-valued function $V(t, y)$, defined and strongly continuous jointly in *t*, *y* for $0 \le y < t < \infty$, is called a fundamental solution of (1) if

- (1) the operator *V*(*t*, *y*) is strongly continuous in *t* and *y* for $0 \le y < t < \infty$,
- (2) the following identity holds:

$$
V(t, y) = V(t, \tau)V(\tau, y), V(y, y) = I
$$
\n
$$
(4)
$$

for $0 \le y \le \tau \le t < \infty$, where, *I* is the identity operator,

- (3) the operator *V*(*t*, *y*) maps the region *D* into itself. The operator $U(t, y) = A(t)V(t, y)A^{-1}(y)$ is bounded and strongly continuous in *t* and *y* for $0 \le y < t < \infty$,
- (4) on the region *D* the operator $V(t, y)$ is differentiable relative to *t* and *y*, while

$$
V_t(t, y) + A(t)V(t, y) = 0,\t\t(5)
$$

and

$$
V_y(t, y) - V(t, y)A(y) = 0,\t\t(6)
$$

(5) the subsequent estimates hold:

$$
||V(t, y)||_{E \to E} \le P e^{-\delta(t - y)}, \quad t \ge y \ge 0
$$
\n⁽⁷⁾

for some $\delta \in [0, \infty)$ and $P \in [1, \infty)$.

A function $u(t)$ is called a solution of problem (1) if the conditions below are satisfied:

1. *u*(*t*) is continuously differentiable on $[-\omega, \infty)$.

- 2. The element $u(t) \in D(A(t))$, $\forall t \in [-\omega, \infty)$, and the function $A(t)u(t)$ is continuous on $[-\omega, \infty)$.
- 3. *u*(*t*) satisfies the equation and the initial condition (1).

In the present work, we aim at providing sufficient conditions for the existence of a unique BS of problem (1).

The main theorem on the existence and uniqueness of a BS of problem (1) is established for a nonlinear DPDE. The application of the main theorem for three types of nonlinear DPDEs is illustrated. It is precisely difficult to obtain the solution of nonlinear problems. Consequently, FSOADSs for the solution of nonlinear one dimensional DPDE are shown. Numerical results are provided. It should be noted that in past publications [9]-[27], BSs of nonlinear parabolic and hyperbolic partial differential equations (PHPDEs) with or without delay have been investigated. However, due to the generality of the strategy used in this research, a larger class of nonlinear parabolic equations can be treated.

2. Existence and uniqueness theorem

We reduced problem (1) into an integral equation of the form

$$
u(t) = V(t, m\omega)u(m\omega) + \int_{m\omega}^{t} V(t, y)g(y, u(y), u(y - \omega))dy,
$$

$$
m\omega \leq t \leq (m+1)\,\omega, m=0,1,...,u(t)=\varphi(t), -\omega \leq t \leq 0
$$

in [−ω, ∞)×*E* and using successive approximations, obtained recursive formula for the solution of problem (1) is

$$
u_i(t) = V(t, m\omega)u_i(m\omega) + \int_{m\omega}^t V(t, y)g(y, u_{i-1}(y), u_i(y - \omega))dy,
$$

\n
$$
u_0(t) = V(t, m\omega)u(m\omega), m\omega \le t \le (m + 1)\omega, m = 0, 1, ...,
$$

\n
$$
i = 1, 2, ..., u(t) = \varphi(t), -\omega \le t \le 0.
$$
\n(8)

Theorem 2.1. *Assume the hypotheses below:*

1. $\varphi : [-\omega, 0] \times E \longrightarrow E$ be continuous function and

$$
\|\varphi(t)\|_{E} \le M. \tag{9}
$$

2. $q : [0, \infty) \times E \times E \longrightarrow E$ *be bounded and continuous function, i.e.*;

$$
||g(t, u, v)||_{E} \leq \bar{M}
$$
\n⁽¹⁰⁾

and with respect to z, the Lipschitz condition holds uniformly

$$
||g(t, v, z) - g(t, u, z)||_{E} \le L||v - u||_{E},
$$
\n(11)

where L, M, \overline{M} *are positive constants. Then problem (1) has a unique bounded solution in* $[0, \infty) \times E$.

Proof. Using the interval $t \in [0, \omega]$, problem (1) can be written as

$$
\frac{du}{dt} + A(t)u(t) = g(t, u(t), \varphi(t - \omega)), u(0) = \varphi(0)
$$

which in an equivalent integral form, becomes

$$
u(t) = V(t,0)\varphi(0) + \int_0^t V(t,y)g(y,u(y),\varphi(y-\omega))dy.
$$
 (12)

In accordance with the recursive approximation approach (8), we get

$$
u_i(t) = V(t,0)\varphi(0) + \int_0^t V(t,y)g(y, u_{i-1}(y), \varphi(y-\omega))dy, i = 1, 2,
$$
\n(13)

Therefore,

$$
u(t) = u_0(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (u_i(t) - u_{i-1}(t)),
$$
\n(14)

where

 $u_0(t) = V(t, 0)\varphi(0).$

From (7) and (9), we obtain

$$
||u_0(t)||_E = ||V(t,0)|| ||\varphi(0)||_E \le MP.
$$

Using formula (13) along with estimates (7) and (10), we get

$$
||u_1(t) - u_0(t)||_E \le \int_0^t ||V(t, y)|| ||g(y, u_0, \varphi(y - \omega))||_E dy \le \bar{M}Pt.
$$

By the triangle inequality, we have

$$
||u_1(t)||_E \le MP + \bar{M}Pt.
$$

Applying formula (13) along with estimates (11),(7) and (10), we obtain

$$
||u_2(t) - u_1(t)||_E \le \int_0^t ||V(t, y)|| ||g(y, u_1(y), \varphi(y - \omega)) - g(y, u_0(y), \varphi(y - \omega))||_E dy
$$

$$
\le LP \int_0^t ||u_1(y) - u_0(y)||_E dy \le LP^2 \bar{M} \int_0^t y dy = \frac{\bar{M}}{L} \frac{(PLt)^2}{2!}.
$$

Then, by the triangle inequality, we have

$$
||u_2(t)||_E\leq MP+\bar{M}Pt+\frac{\bar{M}}{L}\frac{(PLt)^2}{2!}.
$$

Let

$$
||u_i(t) - u_{i-1}(t)||_E \leq \frac{\bar{M}}{L} \frac{(LPt)^i}{i!}.
$$

Then, we obtain

$$
||u_{i+1}(t) - u_i(t)||_E \le \int_0^t ||V(t, y)|| ||g(y, u_i(y), \varphi(y - \omega)) - g(y, u_{i-1}(y), \varphi(y - \omega))||_E dy
$$

$$
\le P \int_0^t L ||u_i(y) - u_{i-1}(y)||_E dy \le P \int_0^t L \frac{\bar{M}}{L} \frac{(LPy)^i}{i!} dy = \frac{\bar{M}}{L} \frac{(LPt)^{i+1}}{(i+1)!}.
$$

Consequently, for any $i, i \geq 1$, we have that

$$
||u_{i+1}(t) - u_i(t)||_E \leq \frac{\bar{M}}{L} \frac{(LPt)^{i+1}}{(i+1)!}
$$

and

$$
||u_{i+1}(t)||_E \leq PM + \bar{M}Pt + \frac{\bar{M}}{L} \frac{(PLt)^2}{2!} + ... + \frac{\bar{M}}{L} \frac{(LPt)^{i+1}}{(i+1)!}
$$

by mathematical induction. It is implied by that and formula (14)

$$
\begin{aligned} ||u(t)||_{E} &\le ||u_{0}(t)||_{E} + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} ||u_{i}(t) - u_{i-1}(t)||_{E} \le MP + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\bar{M}}{L} \frac{(LPt)^{i}}{i!} \\ &\le MP + \frac{\bar{M}}{L} e^{LPt}, 0 \le t \le \omega \end{aligned}
$$

which shows a solution of problem (1) exists and is bounded in $[0, \omega] \times E$. Next, for *t* ∈ [ω , 2 ω], note that $0 \le t - \omega \le \omega$. We denote that

$$
\varphi_1(t) = u(t - \omega), t \in [\omega, 2\omega].
$$

and suppose that problem (1) has a BS in $[\omega, 2\omega] \times E$. Replacing *t* and *t* − ω and assuming that

 $||g(t, u_0(t), \varphi_1(t))||_E \leq \bar{M}_1$

and

$$
\|\varphi_1(t)\|_E \leq M_1.
$$

Hence,

$$
u_0(t) = V(t, \omega)\varphi_1(\omega),
$$

$$
u_i(t) = V(t, \omega)\varphi_1(\omega) + \int_{\omega}^t V(t, y)g(y, u_{i-1}(y), \varphi_1(y))dy, i = 1, 2, ...
$$

In the same way, for any $i, i \geq 1$, we have

$$
||u_{i+1}(t)-u_i(t)||_E\leq \frac{\bar{M}_1}{L}\frac{(LPt)^{i+1}}{(i+1)!},
$$

and

$$
||u_{i+1}(t)||_E \leq PM_1 + \bar{M}_1 Pt + \frac{\bar{M}_1}{L} \frac{(LPt)^2}{2!} + \dots + \frac{\bar{M}_1}{L} \frac{(LPt)^{i+1}}{(i+1)!}.
$$

Then it follows that

$$
||u(t)||_E\leq M_1P+\frac{\bar{M_1}}{L}e^{LP(t-\omega)}, \omega\leq t\leq 2\omega.
$$

This proves a solution of problem (1) exists and is bounded in $[\omega, 2\omega] \times E$. In the same procedure, we can obtain that

$$
||u(t)||_{E} \leq M_{m}P + \frac{M_{m}}{L}e^{LP(t-m\omega)}, m\omega \leq t \leq (m+1)\omega,
$$

where M_m and \bar{M}_m are bounded. This proves the existence of a BS of problem (1) in $[m\omega, (m+1)\omega] \times E$. The function *u*(*t*) constructed for problem (1) has a BS in [0, ∞) \times *E*.

We shall now prove that this solution of problem (1) is unique. Assume that problem (1) has a BS *v*(*t*) and that $v(t) \neq u(t)$. We denote $w(t) = v(t) - u(t)$. Hence for $w(t)$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{cases} \frac{dw}{dt} + A(t)w(t) = g(t, v(t), v(t - \omega)) - g(t, u(t), u(t - \omega)), t \in (0, \infty), \\ w(t) = 0, t \in [-\omega, 0]. \end{cases}
$$

We consider $0 \le t \le \omega$. As $v(t - \omega) = u(t - \omega) = \varphi(t - \omega)$, we get

$$
\begin{cases} \frac{dw}{dt} + A(t)w(t) = g(t, v(t), \varphi(t - \omega)) - g(t, u(t), \varphi(t - \omega)), t \in (0, \infty), \\ w(t) = 0, t \in [-\omega, 0]. \end{cases}
$$

Therefore,

$$
w(t) = \int_0^t V(t, y) \left[g(y, v(y), \varphi(y - \omega)) - g(y, u(y), \varphi(y - \omega)) \right] dy
$$

Applying estimates (7) and (10), we get

$$
\|w(t)\|_{E} \le \int_0^t \|V(t,s)\| \, \|g(y,v(y),\varphi(y-\omega)) - g(y,u(\omega),\varphi(y-\omega))\|_{E} dy
$$

$$
\le PL \int_0^t \|v(y) - u(y)\|_{E} dy \le PL \int_0^t \|w(y)\|_{E} dy.
$$

By means of integral inequality, we obtain

$$
||w(t)||_E\leq 0.
$$

This implies that, $w(t) = 0$ which proves that the solution of problem (1) is unique and bounded in $[0, \omega] \times E$. Using similar procedure and mathematical induction, we can prove that the solution of problem (1) is unique and bounded in $[0, \infty) \times E$. \square

Remark 2.2. The approach used in the current study also makes it possible to prove, under certain assumptions, that there exists a unique bounded solution of the IVP for nonlinear parabolic equations

$$
\begin{cases} \frac{du}{dt} + A(t)u(t) = g(t, B(t)u(t), B(t)u(t - \omega)), t \in [0, \infty), \\ u(t) = \varphi(t), t \in [-\omega, 0] \end{cases}
$$
\n(15)

in an arbitrary Banach space *E* with unbounded operators *A*(*t*) and *B*(*t*) with dense domains *D*(*A*(*t*)) ⊂ $D(B(t)).$

3. Applications

First, we consider the IBVP for non-linear one dimensional DPDEs with Dirichlet condition

$$
\begin{cases}\n u_t(t, x) - a(t, x)u_{xx}(t, x) + \delta u(t, x) = g(t, x, u(t, x), u(t - \omega, x)), \\
 t \in (0, \infty), x \in (0, b), \\
 u(t, x) = \varphi(t, x), \varphi(t, 0) = \varphi(t, b) = 0, t \in [-\omega, 0], x \in [0, b], \\
 u(t, 0) = u(t, b) = 0, t \in [0, \infty),\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(16)

where $\varphi(t, x)$, $a(t, x)$ are given sufficiently smooth functions (SSF) and $\delta > 0$ is the sufficiently large number. Assume that $a(t, x) \ge a > 0$.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume the hypotheses below:*

 $i \varphi : [-\omega, 0] \times C[0, b] \rightarrow C[0, b]$ *be continuous function and*

$$
\left\| \varphi(t,.) \right\|_{\mathcal{C}[0,b]} \le M. \tag{17}
$$

ii q : (0, ∞) × (0, *b*) × *C*[0, *b*] × *C*[0, *b*] → *C*[0, *b*] *be bounded and continuous function, i.e.;*

$$
\left\|g(t_{\cdot}, u, v)\right\|_{C[0,b]} \leq \overline{M} \tag{18}
$$

and with respect to z, the Lipschitz condition holds uniformly

$$
\left\|g(t_{\cdot}, u_{\cdot}, z) - g(t_{\cdot}, v_{\cdot}, z)\right\|_{C[0,b]} \le L \left\|u - v\right\|_{C[0,b]},
$$
\n(19)

where, L, M, \overline{M} *are positive constants. Then problem (16) has a unique BS in* [0, ∞) \times C [0, *b*].

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is based on the abstract Theorem 2.1, on the strong positivity of a differential operator *A x* in *C*[0, *b*] according to the following formula:

$$
A^{x}(t)v(x) = -a(t,x)\frac{d^{2}v(x)}{dx^{2}} + \delta v(x)
$$
\n(20)

with domain $D(A^x(0)) = \{v \in C^{(2)}[0, b] : v(0) = v(b) = 0\}$ [19] and on the estimate

$$
\left\|V(t,y)\right\|_{C[0,b]\to C[0,b]} \le M_1, \ \ t\ge y\ge 0. \tag{21}
$$

Second, we consider the IBVP for nonlinear one dimensional DPDEs with nonlocal conditions

$$
\begin{cases}\n u_t(t, x) - a(t, x)u_{xx}(t, x) + \delta u(t, x) = g(t, x, u(t, x), u(t - \omega, x)), \\
t \in (0, \infty), x \in (0, b), \\
u(t, x) = \varphi(t, x), \varphi(t, 0) = \varphi(t, b), \varphi_x(t, 0) = \varphi_x(t, b), \\
t \in [-\omega, 0], x \in [0, b], \\
u(t, 0) = u(t, b), u_x(t, 0) = u_x(t, b), t \in [0, \infty),\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(22)

where $\varphi(t, x)$, $a(t, x)$ are SSF given and $\delta > 0$ is the sufficiently large number. Assume that $a(t, x) \ge a > 0$.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose that the assumptions (17), (18), and (19) hold. Then problem (22) has a unique BS in* $[0, \infty) \times C[0, b].$

The proof of Theorem 3.2 is based on the abstract Theorem 2.1, on the strong positivity of a differential operator *A x* in *C*[0, *b*] according to the following formula:

$$
A^x(t)v(x) = -a(t,x)\frac{d^2v(x)}{dx^2} + \delta v(x)
$$
\n(23)

with domain $D(A^x(0)) = \{v \in C^{(2)}[0, b] : v(0) = v(b)$, $v'(0) = v'(b)\}$ [2] and on estimate (21). Third, we consider the initial value problem on the range

 $\{0 \le t < \infty, x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R^n, r = (r_1, \dots, r_n)\}$

for 2*m*-th order multidimensional nonlinear DPDEs

$$
\begin{cases}\n u_t(t, x) + \sum_{|r|=2m} a_r(t, x) u_{x_1^r \dots x_n^{r_n}}(t, x) + \delta u(t, x) \\
= g(t, x, u(t, x), u(t - \omega, x)), t \in (0, \infty), x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \\
 u(t, x) = \varphi(t, x), t \in [-\omega, 0], x \in \mathbb{R}^n,\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(24)

where $a_r(t, x)$ and $\varphi(t, x)$ are given SSFs and $\delta > 0$ is the sufficiently large number. We will suppose that the symbol $[\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in R^n]$ and $|r| = r_1 + ... + r_n$,

$$
A^{x}(t,\xi) = \sum_{|r|=2m} a_{r}(t,x) (i\xi_{1})^{r_{1}} \dots (i\xi_{n})^{r_{n}}
$$

of the differential operator of the form

$$
A_1^x(t) = \sum_{|r|=2m} a_r(t,x) \frac{\partial^{|r|}}{\partial x_1^{r_1} \cdots \partial x_n^{r_n}}
$$
(25)

acting on functions defined on the space $Rⁿ$, the inequalities are satisfied:

$$
0 < M_1 |\xi|^{2m} \leq (-1)^m A^x(t, \xi) \leq M_2 |\xi|^{2m} < \infty
$$

for $\xi \neq 0$, where $|\xi| = (|\xi_1|^2 + \cdots + |\xi_n|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. We can reduce the initial value problem (24) to the initial value problem (1) in Banach space $E = C(R^n)$ with a strongly positive operator $A^x(t) = A_1^x(t) + \delta I$ defined by (25) [23]-[24]. The corollary below follows from the abstract Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 3.3. *Assume the hypotheses below:*

 $i \varphi : [-\omega, 0] \times C(R^n) \to C(R^n)$ *be bounded and continuous function and*

$$
\left\|\varphi(t,.)\right\|_{C(R^n)} \le M.
$$

ii g : (0, ∞) × $C(R^n)$ × $C(R^n)$ → $C(R^n)$ *be bounded and continuous function, i.e.*;

$$
\left\|g(t,.,u,v))\right\|_{C(R^n)} \leq \overline{M},
$$

and with respect to z, the Lipschitz condition holds uniformly

$$
\left\|g(t,.,v,z)-g(t,.,u,z)\right\|_{C(R^n)}\leq L\left\|v-u\right\|_{C(R^n)},
$$

 π *where L,* M, \overline{M} are positive constants. Then problem (24) has a unique bounded solution in [0, ∞) \times C(Rⁿ).

The proof of Theorem 3.3 is based on the abstract Theorem 2.1, on the strong positivity of a differential operator A^x (*t*) in $C(R^n)$ according to the formula (25), and on the estimate

∥*V*(*t*, *y*)∥*^C*(*Rn*)→*C*(*Rn*) ≤ *M*3, *t* ≥ *y* ≥ 0.

4. Numerical results

 $\ddot{}$

Generally speaking, nonlinear problems cannot be solved precisely. Therefore the FSOADSs for the solution of nonlinear one-dimensional DPDE are presented. Numerical results are given. Consider the IBVP

$$
\begin{cases}\n u_t(t,x) - u_{xx}(t,x) = u(t,x) \left[u([t-1],x) \cos x - \frac{\partial u([t-1],x)}{\partial x} \sin x \right], \\
 t \in (0,\infty), \ x \in (0,\pi), \\
 u(0,x) = \sin x, x \in [0,\pi], \\
 u(t,0) = u(t,\pi) = 0, \ t \in [0,\infty)\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(26)

for the nonlinear delay parabolic equation. Here [·] is notation of integer function. The exact solution (ES) of this test example is $u(t, x) = e^{-t} \sin x$.

We get the following iterative first order of accuracy difference scheme (FOADS) for the approximate solution (AS) of the IBVP (26)

$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{m u_n^k - m u_n^{k-1}}{\tau} - \frac{m u_{n+1}^k - 2m u_n^k + m u_{n-1}^k}{h^2} - m - 1 u_n^k m u_n^{[k-N]} \cos x_n + m - 1 u_n^k \frac{m u_{n+1}^{[k-N]} - m u_{n-1}^{[k-N]}}{2h} \sin x_n = 0, \\
t_k = k\tau, x_n = nh, k \in \overline{1, \infty}, n \in \overline{1, M-1}, \\
m u_n^0 = \sin x_n, x_n = nh, n \in \overline{0, M}, \\
m u_0^k = m u_M^k = 0, k \in \overline{0, \infty}\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(27)

for the nonlinear delay parabolic equation.

Here *m* denotes the iteration number and an initial guess $_0u_n^k$, $k \in \overline{0,N}$, $n \in \overline{0,M}$ is to be made. For solving difference scheme (27),we follow the numerical steps given below. The algorithm is as follows for $k \in \overline{0, N}, n \in \overline{0, M}$:

$$
1. m=1,
$$

- 2. _{*m*−1} u_n^k is known,
- 3. mu_n^k is calculated,

4. if the max absolute error between $_{m-1}u_n^k$ and $_mu_n^k$ is greater than the given tolerance value, take $m = m + 1$ and go to step 2. Otherwise, terminate the iteration process and take $m u_n^k$ as the result of the given problem.

,

We write (27) in matrix form

$$
A_m U^k + B_m U^{k-1} = R \varphi ({}_{m-1} u^k, {}_m u^{k-N}), k \in \overline{1, N},
$$

$$
_mU^0 = \{\sin x_n\}_{n=0}^M
$$

where

$$
A = \n\begin{bmatrix}\n1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
a & c & a & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & a & c & a & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a & c & a & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & a & c & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & a & c & a \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\n\end{bmatrix}
$$

, (28)

and

$$
a = -\frac{1}{h^2}, \, b = \frac{1}{\tau}, c = \frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{2}{h^2},
$$

R is identity matrix of size $M+1_{m}u_n^k=e^{-t_k}\sin x_n$ for $k\in\overline{-N,0}$ and $\varphi_{m-1}u^k$, $_{m}u^{k-N}$) , U^s are $(M+1)\times 1$ column vectors as

$$
\varphi(m_{-1}u^{k}, m u^{k-N}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \varphi_1^{k} \\ \vdots \\ \varphi_{M-1}^{k} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}_{(M+1) \times 1} U^{s} = \begin{bmatrix} m U_{0}^{s} \\ m U_{1}^{s} \\ \vdots \\ m U_{M-1}^{s} \\ m U_{M}^{s} \\ \vdots \\ m U_{M}^{s} \end{bmatrix}_{(M+1) \times 1}
$$

where

$$
\varphi_n^k = {}_{m-1}u^k_{nm}u^{[k-N]}_n\cos x_n - {}_{m-1}u^k_n\frac{m u^{[k-N]}_{n+1} - {}_m u^{[k-N]}_{n-1}}{2h}\sin x_n,\; n\in\overline{1,M-1}.
$$

So, we have the first order difference equation with respect to *k* with matrix coefficients. From (28) it follows that

$$
{m}U^{k}=-A^{-1}B{m}U^{k-1}+A^{-1}R\varphi ^{k},k\in \overline{pN+1,(p+1)N},p=0,1,2,...,
$$

$$
{}_{m}U^{0} = \left\{ \sin x_{n} \right\}_{n=0}^{M} . \tag{29}
$$

Additionally, using the SOADS for the AS of problem (26), we obtain the following system of equations

$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{m_{n-1}^{k}m_{n-1}}{\tau} - \frac{m_{n+1}^{k} - 2m_{n+1}^{k}m_{n-1}}{h^{2}} + \tau \frac{m_{n+2}^{k} - 4m_{n+1}^{k} + 6m_{n+1}^{k} - 4m_{n-1}^{k} - 4m_{n-1}^{k} + 4m_{n-1}^{k} - 2h}{2h^{4}} \\
= \frac{1}{2}m u_{n}^{k} \left[m_{-1} u_{n}^{k-1} \cos x_{n} - \frac{m_{-1} u_{n-1}^{k} - 1m_{n-1}^{k} - 1m_{n-1}^{k} - 1m_{n-1}^{k} - 2h}{2h^{4}} \sin x_{n} \right] \\
+ \frac{1}{2}m u_{n}^{k-1} \left[m_{-1} u_{n}^{k-1} - N \cos x_{n-1} - \frac{m_{-1} u_{n-1}^{k} - 1m_{n-1} - 1m_{n-1}^{k} - 1m_{n-1}^{k} - 1m_{n-1}^{k} - 2h}{2h^{2}} \sin x_{n} \right] \\
- \frac{\tau}{4}m u_{n+1}^{k} \frac{m_{-1} u_{n-1}^{k} \cos x_{n+1} - \frac{m_{-1} u_{n-1}^{k} - 1m_{n-1}^{k} - 1m_{n-1}^{k} - 1m_{n-1}^{k} - 2h}{h^{2}} \sin x_{n-1}}{h^{2}} \sin x_{n-1} \\
- \frac{\tau}{4}m u_{n-1}^{k} \frac{2m_{-1} u_{n-1}^{k} \cos x_{n-1} - \frac{m_{-1} u_{n-1}^{k} - 1m_{n-1} u_{n-1}^{k} - 2m_{n-1} u_{n-1}^{k} - 2h}{2h^{2}} \sin x_{n-1}}{2h^{2}} \frac{2h}{2h} \sin x_{n-1} \\
- \frac{\tau}{4}m u_{n-1}^{k} \frac{1}{m^{2}} - \frac{2m_{-1} u_{n-1}^{k} - 1m_{n-1} v_{n-1}^{k} \cos x_{n+1} - \frac{m_{-1} u_{n-1}^{k} - 1m_{n-1} u_{n
$$

We obtain again $(M + 1) \times (M + 1)$ SLEs and we reformat them into matrix form (28), where

1

 ,

A = 1 0 0 0 0 0 . . . 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 . . . 0 0 0 0 1 *e f* 1 *f e* 0 . . . 0 0 0 0 0 0 *e f* 1 *f e* . . . 0 0 0 0 0 . 0 0 0 0 0 0 . . . *e f* 1 *f e* 0 0 0 0 0 0 . . . 0 −1 4 −5 0 0 −5 4 −1 0 0 . . . 0 0 0 0 0 *B* = 0 0 0 0 0 . . . 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 . . . 0 0 0 0 0 0 *l* 0 0 . . . 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 *l* 0 . . . 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 . . . 0 0 0 0 . 0 0 0 0 0 . . . 0 0 *l* 0 0 0 0 0 0 . . . 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 . . . 0 0 0 0 .

Here

$$
e = \frac{\tau}{2h^4}, f = -\frac{1}{h^2} - \frac{2\tau}{h^4},
$$

$$
g = \frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{2}{h^2} + \frac{3\tau}{h^4}, l = -\frac{1}{\tau},
$$

$$
\varphi({_{m-1}}{u^k}_{{},\;m}{u^{k-N}})=\left[\begin{array}{c} 0\\ \\ 0\\ \\ \vdots \\ \\ \varphi_{M-2}^k\\ 0\\ 0\\ \vdots \\ \\ 0 \end{array}\right],
$$

 \mathbf{r}

 \mathbf{r}

where

$$
\varphi_{n}^{k} = \frac{1}{2} m u_{n}^{k} \left[m_{-1} u_{n}^{k-N} \cos x_{n} - \frac{m_{-1} u_{n+1}^{k-N} - m_{-1} u_{n-1}^{k-N}}{2h} \sin x_{n} \right]
$$
\n
$$
+ \frac{1}{2} m u_{n}^{k-1} \left[m_{-1} u_{n}^{k-1} \cos x_{n} - \frac{m_{-1} u_{n+1}^{k-1-N} - m_{-1} u_{n-1}^{k-1-N}}{2h} \sin x_{n} \right]
$$
\n
$$
- \frac{\tau}{4} m u_{n+1}^{k} \frac{m_{-1} u_{n+1}^{k-N} \cos x_{n+1} - \frac{m_{-1} u_{n+2}^{k-N} - m_{-1} u_{n-1}^{k-N}}{2h} \sin x_{n+1}}{h^{2}} - \frac{\tau}{4} m u_{n}^{k} \frac{-2m_{-1} u_{n}^{k-N} \cos x_{n} + 2 \frac{m_{-1} u_{n+1}^{k-N} - m_{-1} u_{n-1}^{k-N}}{2h} \sin x_{n}}{h^{2}}
$$
\n
$$
- \frac{\tau}{4} m u_{n-1}^{k} \frac{m_{-1} u_{n-1}^{k-N} \cos x_{n-1} - \frac{m_{-1} u_{n}^{k-N} - m_{-1} u_{n-2}^{k-N}}{2h} \sin x_{n-1}}{h^{2}} - \frac{\tau}{4} m u_{n+1}^{k-1} \frac{m_{-1} u_{n+1}^{k-1-N} \cos x_{n+1} - \frac{m_{-1} u_{n-2}^{k-1-N} - m_{-1} u_{n-1}^{k-1-N}}{2h}}{h^{2}}
$$
\n
$$
- \frac{\tau}{4} m u_{n}^{k-1} \frac{-2m_{-1} u_{n}^{k-1-N} \cos x_{n} + 2 \frac{m_{-1} u_{n+1}^{k-1-N} - m_{-1} u_{n-1}^{k-1-N}}{2h} \sin x_{n}}{h^{2}} - \frac{\tau}{4} m u_{n-1}^{k-1} \frac{-2m_{-1} u_{n}^{k-1-N} \cos x_{n} + 2 \frac{m_{-1} u_{n-
$$

for $n \in \overline{2,M-2}$. Hence, we have a second order difference equation with respect to k matrix coefficients. Applying (28), we can obtain the solution of this difference scheme. In computations for both first and second order of accuracy difference schemes, the initial guess is chosen as $_0u_n^{\dot{k}} = e^{-t_k} \sin x_n$ and when the maximum errors between two consecutive results of iterative difference schemes (27) and (30) become less than 10⁻⁸, the iterative process is terminated.

We provide numerical results for various values of *M* and *N* and the numerical solutions of these difference schemes are represented by u_n^k at (t_k, x_n) . Table 1, Table 2, and Table 3 are constructed for $N = M =$ 30, 60, 120 in $t \in [n, n + 1]$, $n = 0, 1, 2$, respectively. The errors are calculated using the following formula.

$$
{}_{m}\left(E_{M}^{N}\right)_{p} = \max_{pN+1 \leq k \leq (p+1)N, p=0,1,...} \left| u\left(t_{k}, x_{n}\right) - u_{n}^{k} \right|.
$$
\n(31)

To finish iteration process it was used condition

$$
\max_{\substack{pN+1 \le k \le (p+1)N, p=0,1,\dots\\1 \le n \le M-1}} |m u_n^k - m_{-1} u_n^k| < 10^{-8} \tag{32}
$$

in each subinterval.

Table 1. Error comparison between difference schemes (27) and (30) in $t \in [0, 1]$ (Number of iterations=m)

Method	$M = N = 30$	$M = N = 60$	$M = N = 120$
(27) (30)		6.3783×10^{-3} , $m = 2$ 3.1279×10^{-2} , $m = 2$ 1.5485×10^{-3} , $m = 2$ 4.5864×10^{-4} , $m = 3$ 1.1212×10^{-4} , $m = 3$ 2.7577×10^{-5} , $m = 2$	

Table 2. Error comparison between difference schemes (27) and (30) in $t \in [1, 2]$ (Number of iterations=m)

Method	$M = N = 30$	$M = N = 60$	$M = N = 120$
(27) (30)		2.3464×10^{-3} , $m = 3$ 1.5070×10^{-3} , $m = 3$ 5.6964×10^{-4} , $m = 2$ 1.6358×10^{-4} , $m = 3$ 4.2149×10^{-5} , $m = 2$ 1.0698×10^{-5} , $m = 2$	

Table 3. Error comparison between difference schemes (27) and (30) in $t \in [2, 3]$ (Number of iterations=m)

We also consider the IBVP

$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{\partial u(t,x)}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial^2 u(t,x)}{\partial x^2} + \sin(u(t,x)) \\
= u(t,x) \left[2u \left([t-1], x \right) \cos 2x - \frac{\partial u([t-1],x)}{\partial x} \sin 2x \right] + f(t,x), \\
u(t,0) = u(t,\pi), u_x(t,0) = u_x(t,\pi), \ t \in [0,\infty)\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(33)

for the nonlinear delay PDE with nonlocal conditions where $f(t, x) = \sin(e^{-4t} \sin 2x)$. The ES of this test example is $u(t, x) = e^{-4t} \sin 2x$.

We get the following FOADS for the AS of the IBVP (33)

$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{m u_n^k - m u_n^{k-1}}{\tau} - \frac{m u_{n+1}^k - 2m u_n^k + m u_{n-1}^k}{h_n^2} - 2_{m-1} u_{nm}^k u_n^{[k-N]} \cos 2x_n \\
+_{m-1} u_n^k \frac{m u_{n+1}^{[k-N]} - m u_{n-1}^{[k-N]}}{2h} \sin 2x_n = \sin (m u_n^k) + f(t_k, x_n), \\
t_k = k\tau, x_n = nh, k \in \overline{1, \infty}, n \in \overline{1, M-1}, \\
m u_n^0 = \sin 2x_n, x_n = nh, n \in \overline{0, M}, \\
m u_0^k = m u_{M'}^k m u_1^k - m u_0^k = m u_{M'}^k - m u_{M-1'}^k, k \in \overline{pN+1, (p+1)N}, p = 0, 1, ... \n\end{cases}
$$
\n(34)

We write (34) in matrix form

$$
A_m U^k + B_m U^{k-1} = R\theta, k \in \overline{pN+1, (p+1)N}, p = 0, 1, ...,
$$

\n
$$
m U^0 = {\sin 2x_n}_{n=0}^M,
$$
\n(35)

1

where

$$
{}_{m}U^{k} = \left\{ {}_{m}u_{n}^{k} \right\}_{n=0}^{M}, \theta_{n}^{k} = \sin\left({_{m}}u_{n}^{k}\right) + f(t_{k}, x_{n}),
$$

$$
n = 0, ..., M, k \in \overline{pN + 1, (p + 1)N}, p = 0, 1, ...,
$$

A = 1 0 0 0 0 0 . . 0 0 0 1 *a c^k* 1 *a* 0 0 0 . . 0 0 0 0 0 *a c^k* 2 *a* 0 0 . . 0 0 0 0 0 0 *a c^k* 3 *a* 0 . . 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 *a c^k* 4 *a* . . 0 0 0 0 . 0 0 0 0 0 0 . . 0 *a c^k M*−1 *a* 1 −1 0 0 0 0 . . 0 0 −1 1 , *B* = 0 0 0 0 0 . . . 0 0 0 0 0 *l* 0 0 0 . . . 0 0 0 0 0 0 *l* 0 0 . . . 0 0 0 0 . 0 0 0 0 0 . . . *l* 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 . . . 0 *l* 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 . . . 0 0 *l* 0 0 0 0 0 0 . . . 0 0 0 0 ,

and

$$
a = -\frac{1}{h^2}, l = -\frac{1}{\tau},
$$

\n
$$
c_n^k = \frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{2}{h^2} - 2u_n^{[k-N]} \cos 2x_n + \frac{u_{n+1}^{[k-N]} - u_{n-1}^{[k-N]}}{2h} \sin 2x_n,
$$

and *R* is identity matrix of size $M + 1$, θ is zero matrix with $(M + 1) \times 1$ dimension. So, we have the first order difference equation with respect to *k* with matrix coefficients. From (35) it follows that

$$
{}_{m}U^{k} = -A^{-1}B_{m}U^{k-1} + A^{-1}R\theta^{k}, k \in \overline{pN+1, (p+1)N}, p = 0, 1, ...,
$$

$$
{}_{m}U^{0} = {\sin 2x_{n}}_{n=0}^{M}.
$$
 (36)

Furthermore, using the SOADS for the AS of problem (26), we obtain the following system of equations

$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{u_{n+1}^{k} - u_{n+1}^{k-1} - u_{n+1}^{k} - 2u_{n+1}^{k} + u_{n+1}^{k} - 4u_{n+1}^{k} - 4u_{n+1}^{k} - 4u_{n+1}^{k} - 4u_{n+1}^{k} - 2u_{n+1}^{k} - 2u_{n+1}^{k} \\
-\frac{1}{2} \left[u_{n+1}^{k-1} - u_{n+1}^{k-1} \right] \cos 2x_{n} - u_{n+1}^{k} \frac{u_{n+1}^{k-1} - u_{n+1}^{k-1} - 2u_{n+1}^{k-1}}{2n} \sin 2x_{n} \right] \\
-\frac{1}{2} \left[u_{n+1}^{k-1} - u_{n+1}^{k-1} \cos 2x_{n} - u_{n+1}^{k} - \frac{u_{n+1}^{k-1} - u_{n+1}^{k-1} - 2u_{n+1}^{k-1}}{2n} \sin 2x_{n} \right] \\
+\frac{1}{4} \frac{u_{n+1}^{k} - u_{n+1}^{k-1} \cos 2x_{n+1} - u_{n+1}^{k} - 4u_{n+1}^{k-1} - 2u_{n+1}^{k-1}}{h^2} \sin 2x_{n+1} \\
+\frac{1}{4} \frac{-2u_{n+1}^{k} - u_{n+1}^{k-1} \cos 2x_{n+1} - u_{n+1}^{k} - 2u_{n+1}^{k-1} - 2u_{n+1}^{k-1}}{h^2} \sin 2x_{n+1} \\
+\frac{1}{4} \frac{u_{n+1}^{k-1} - u_{n+1}^{k-1} \cos 2x_{n-1} - u_{n+1}^{k} - 2u_{n+1}^{k-1} - 2u_{n+1}^{k-1}}{h^2} \sin 2x_{n-1}}{h^2} \\
+\frac{1}{4} \frac{u_{n+1}^{k-1} - u_{n+1}^{k-1} - 2u_{n+1}^{k} - 2u_{n+1}^{k-1} - 2u_{n+1}^{k-1} - 2u_{n+1}^{k-1}}{h^2} \sin 2x_{n-1} \\
+\frac{1}{4} \frac{u
$$

We obtain another $(M + 1) \times (M + 1)$ SLEs they are then rewritten in matrix form (35), where

A = 1 0 0 0 0 . 0 0 0 0 −1 2 −5 4 −1 0 . 0 1 −4 5 −2 *e f ^k* 3 1 *k* ³ *w k* 3 *e* . 0 0 0 0 0 0 *e f ^k* 4 1 *k* 4 *w k* 4 . 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 . *f k M*−2 1 *k ^M*−² *w k M*−2 *e* 0 0 0 0 0 0 . *e f ^k M*−1 1 *k M*−1 *w k M*−1 *e* −3 4 −1 0 0 . 0 0 −1 4 −3 −5 18 −24 14 −3 . 3 −14 24 −18 5 , *B* = 0 0 0 0 0 0 . 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 . 0 0 0 0 0 0 *z k* 3 *l k* ³ *^m^k* 3 0 0 . 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 *z k* 3 *l k* ³ *^m^k* 3 0 . 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 *z k* 4 *l k* ⁴ *^m^k* 4 . 0 0 0 0 00 0. 0 0 0 0 . *z k M*−2 *l k ^M*−² *^m^k M*−2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 . 0 *z k M*−1 *l k ^M*−¹ *^m^k M*−1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 . 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0. . 0 0 0 0 0 .

Here

$$
\begin{array}{l} f_n^k=-\frac{1}{h^2}-\frac{2\tau}{h^4}+\frac{\tau}{2h^2}u_{n-1}^{[k-N]}\cos 2x_{n-1}-\frac{\tau}{8h^3}u_n^{[k-N]}\sin 2x_{n-1}+\frac{\tau}{8h^3}u_{n-2}^{[k-N]}\sin 2x_{n-1},\\ e=\frac{\tau}{2h^4},g_n^k=\frac{1}{\tau}+\frac{2}{h^2}+\frac{3\tau}{h^4}-u_n^{[k-N]}\cos 2x_n+\frac{1}{4h}u_{n+1}^{[k-N]}\sin 2x_n\\ -\frac{1}{4h}u_{n-1}^{[k-N]}\sin 2x_n-\frac{\tau}{h^2}u_n^{[k-N]}\cos 2x_n+\frac{\tau}{4h^3}u_{n+1}^{[k-N]}\sin 2x_n-\frac{\tau}{4h^3}u_{n-1}^{[k-N]}\sin 2x_n,\\ w_n^k=-\frac{1}{h^2}-\frac{2\tau}{h^4}+\frac{\tau}{2h^2}u_{n+1}^{[k-N]}\cos 2x_{n+1}-\frac{\tau}{8h^3}u_{n+2}^{[k-N]}\sin 2x_{n-1}+\frac{\tau}{8h^3}u_n^{[k-N]}\sin 2x_{n-1},\\ z_n^k=\frac{\tau}{2h^2}u_{n-1}^{[k-N]}\cos 2x_{n-1}-\frac{\tau}{8h^3}u_n^{[k-N]}\sin 2x_{n-1}+\frac{\tau}{8h^3}u_{n-2}^{[k-N]}\sin 2x_{n-1},\\ l_n^k=-\frac{\tau}{h^2}u_n^{[k-N]}\cos 2x_n+\frac{1}{4h}u_n^{[k-N]}\sin 2x_n-\frac{1}{4h}u_{n-1}^{[k-N]}\sin 2x_n\\ -\frac{\tau}{h^2}u_n^{[k-N]}\cos 2x_n+\frac{\tau}{4h^3}u_{n+1}^{[k-N]}\sin 2x_n-\frac{\tau}{4h^3}u_{n-1}^{[k-N]}\sin 2x_n,\\ m_n^k=\frac{\tau}{2h^2}u_{n+1}^{[k-N]}\cos 2x_{n+1}-\frac{\tau}{8h^3}u_{n+2}^{[k-N]}\sin 2x_{n+1}+\frac{\tau}{8h^3}u_n^{[k-N]}\sin 2x_n,
$$

We provide numerical results for a range of values of M and N and u_n^k represent the numerical solutions of these difference schemes at (t_k, x_n) . Table 4, Table 5, and Table 6 are constructed for $M = N = 30, 60, 120$ in *t* ∈ $[p, p + 1]$, $p = 0, 1, 2$, and the errors are computed by the formulas (31) and (32).

Table 4. Error comparison between difference schemes (34) and (37) in $t \in [0, 1]$ (Number of iterations=m)

Method	$M = N = 30$	$M = N = 60$	$M = N = 120$
(34) (37)		2.4431×10^{-2} , $m = 2$ 1.2259×10^{-2} , $m = 2$ 6.1304×10^{-3} , $m = 2$ 2.0589×10^{-3} , $m = 8$ 5.4628×10^{-4} , $m = 8$ 1.3865×10^{-4} , $m = 7$	

Table 5. Error comparison between difference schemes (34) and (37) in $t \in [1, 2]$ (Number of iterations=m)

Method	$M = N = 30$	$M = N = 60$	$M = N = 120$
(34) (37)		5.3731×10^{-3} , $m = 9$ 2.5664×10^{-3} , $m = 8$ 1.2517×10^{-3} , $m = 8$ 3.0514×10^{-4} , $m = 8$ 7.5756×10^{-5} , $m = 7$ 1.9241×10^{-5} , $m = 6$	

Table 6. Error comparison between difference schemes (34) and (37) in *(Number of iterations=m)*

As we doubled the values of *N* and *M* each time, beginning with *M* = *N* = 30. In the FOADSs (27) and (34) in Tables 1-6 respectively, the errors decrease roughly by a proportion of 1/2, while in the SOADSs (30) and (37) in Tables 1-6 respectively, the errors decrease roughly by a proportion of 1/4. Errors shown in the tables demonstrate the consistency of the different schemes and the reliability of the findings. Accordingly, the SOADS increases faster than the FOADS. These numerical experiments back up the theoretical claims as shown in the tables. With more grid points, the maximum errors can be reduced.

Acknowledgement

The authors acknowledge that the results of this paper were presented at the International Conference "Modern Problems of Mathematics and Mechanics" dedicated to the 100-th anniversary of the National Leader Heydar Aliyev, which was held under the organization of the Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics Ministry of Science and Education of the Azerbaijan Republic, April 26-28, 2023, Baku (Azerbaijan).

References

- [1] D. Agirseven, *Approximate solutions of delay parabolic equations with the Dirichlet condition*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. **2012** (2012), 682752. [2] A. Ashyralyev, *Fractional spaces generated by the positivity di*ff*erential and di*ff*erence operator in a Banach space*, In: K. Tas, J. A. Tenreiro
- Machado, D. Baleanu, (eds.), Proc. Conf. Mathematical Methods and Engineering, Springer, Netherlands (2007), 13–22. [3] A. Ashyralyev, D. Agirseven, *On convergence of di*ff*erence schemes for delay parabolic equations*, Comput. Math. Appl. **66** (2013),
- 1232–1244. [4] A. Ashyralyev, D. Agirseven, *Stability of parabolic equations with unbounded operators acting on delay terms*, Electron. J. Differ. Equ. **2014** (2014), 160, 1–13.
- [5] A. Ashyralyev, D. Agirseven, *Stability of delay parabolic di*ff*erence equations*, Filomat **28** (2014), 995–1006.
- [6] A. Ashyralyev, D. Agirseven, *Well-posedness of delay parabolic equations with unbounded operators acting on delay terms*, Bound. Value Probl. **2014** (2014), 126.
- [7] A. Ashyralyev, D. Agirseven, B. Ceylan, *Bounded solutions of delay nonlinear evolutionary equations*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **318** (2017), 69–78.
- [8] A. Ashyralyev, P. E. Sobolevskii, *On the stability of the linear delay di*ff*erential and di*ff*erence equations*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. **6(5)** (2001), 267–297.
- [9] T. Diagana, M. M. Mbaye, *Existence of bounded solutions for nonlinear hyperbolic partial di*ff*erential equations*, Electron. J. Differ. Equ. **2015** (2015), 241, 1–10.
- [10] K. Iasson, K. Miroslav, *On the relation of delay equations to first-order hyperbolic partial di*ff*erential equations*, ESAIM: Control Optim. Calc. Var. **20** (2014), 894–923.
- [11] J. Igbida, *Bounded solutions to nonlinear parabolic equations*, Int. Sch. Res. Notices **2011** (2011), Art. ID 574382.
- [12] T. Kiguradze, T. Kusano, *Bounded and periodic in a strip solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic systems with two independent variables*, Comput. Math. Appl. **49** (2005), 335–364.
- [13] X. Lu, *Combined iterative methods for numerical solutions of parabolic problems with time delays*, Appl. Math. Comput. **89** (1998), 213–224.
- [14] N. Mavinga, M. N. Nkashama, *Bounded solutions of nonlinear parabolic equations*, Electron. J. Differ. Equ. **Conf. 19** (2010), 207–220.
- [15] M. Nakao, *Bounded, periodic or almost-periodic solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic partial di*ff*erential equations*, J. Differ. Equ. **23** (1977), 368–386.
- [16] H. Poorkarimi, J. Wiener, *Bounded solutions of nonlinear parabolic equations with time delay*, Conference of Applied Mathematics, Univ. of Central Oklahoma, Electron. J. Differ. Equ. **02** (1999), 87–91.
- [17] H. Poorkarimi, J. Wiener, S. M. Shah, *On the exponential growth of solutions to non-linear hyperbolic equations*, Internat. Joun. Math. Math. Sci. **12** (1989), 539–546.
- [18] H. Poorkarimi, J. Wiener, *Bounded solutions of non-linear hyperbolic equations with delay*, Proceedings of the VII International Conference on Non-Linear Analysis, V. Lakshmikantham, Ed. **1** (1986), 471–478.
- [19] H. Poorkarimi, J. Wiener, *On the structure of fractional spaces*, Proc. XXVII All-Union Scientific Student Conference "The Student and Scientific-Technological Progress", Novosibirsk. Gos. Univ., Novosibirsk (1989), 3–7.
- [20] W. Sadkowski, *Boundedness of solutions of some non-linear hyperbolic equations*, Demonstr. Math. **11** (1978), 127–138.
- [21] S. M. Shah, H. Poorkarimi, J. Wiener, *Bounded solutions of retarded nonlinear hyperbolic equations*, Bull. Allahabad Math. Soc. **1** (1986), 1–14.
- [22] Q. Sheng, R. P. Agarwal, *Existence and uniqueness of periodic solutions for higher order hyperbolic partial di*ff*erential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **181** (1994), 392–406.
- [23] Yu. A. Smirnitskii, P. E. Sobolevskii, *Positivity of multidimensional di*ff*erence operators in the C*−*norm*, Usp. Mat.Nauk **(36)4** (1981), 202–203.
- [24] Yu.A. Smirnitskii, *Fractional powers of elliptic di*ff*erence operators*, PhD Thesis, Voronezh State University, Voronezh (Russian) (1993).
- [25] A. V. Vyazmin, V. G. Sorokin, *Exact solutions to nonlinear delay di*ff*erential equations of hyperbolic type*, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. **788 (012037)** (2017), 8pp.
- [26] J. Wiener, *Generalized Solutions of Functional Di*ff*erential Equations*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1993.
- [27] A. Youssfi, A. Benkirane, Y. E. Hadfi, *On bounded solutions for nonlinear parabolic equations with degenerate coercivity*, Mediterr. J. Math. **13** (2016), 3029–3040.