Filomat 38:16 (2024), 5637–5654 https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2416637A



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

# **An iterative algorithm for split equality of variational inequality problem of a finite family of pseudomonotone mappings**

**Mohammedhawi A**/**Gojjam<sup>a</sup> , Getahun Bekele Wega<sup>a</sup> , Mafuz Worku<sup>a</sup>**

*<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Jimma University, Ethiopia*

**Abstract.** This paper presents an iterative algorithm for approximating a solution to a split equality variational problem involving a finite family of pseudomonotone mappings in Hilbert spaces. We demonstrate the strong convergence of the sequence produced by the algorithm to a solution of the problem in Hilbert spaces, under the assumption that the mappings are uniformly continuous. Additionally, we apply our main findings to solve split variational inequality and split equality zero point problems for a finite family of pseudomonotone mappings in Hilbert spaces, expanding on existing literature.

#### **1. Introduction**

The split equality problem was first introduced by Moudafi [7] and has garnered significant attention due to its applications in various fields such as decomposition methods for partial differential equations, game theory, medical image reconstruction, and radiation therapy treatment planning. The concept of variational inequalities has been utilized as an analytical tool in a wide range of disciplines including engineering, physics, optimization theory, and economics. Stampacchia [11] and Fichera [2] introduced the variational inequality in 1964, in potential theory and mechanics, respectively, as a means to study differential equations in infinite-dimensional spaces with practical applications. The variational inequality problem combines key concepts in applied mathematics such as systems of nonlinear equations, necessary optimality conditions for optimization problems, complementarity problems, obstacle problems, and network equilibrium problems.

Pseudomonotone mappings, introduced by Karamardian [4], generalize the concept of monotone operators and have been extensively studied for over 40 years. They have found numerous applications in variational inequalities and economics. Various authors have explored pseudomonotone variational inequality and split equality variational inequality problems in Hilbert space using different iterative algorithms and classes of mappings. For instance, Shehu, Dong, and Jiang [10] introduced a single projection method for pseudomonotone variational inequalities in Hilbert space in 2019. Reich, Thong, Dong, Li, and Dong [9] proposed new algorithms and convergence theorems for solving variational inequalities with non-Lipschitz mapping in 2021.

Several authors have also studied the split equality problem for variational inequality problems, known as the split equality variational inequality problem. For example, Wega and Zegeye [12] developed an

<sup>2020</sup> *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 47H09, 47J20, 65K15, 47J05, 90C25

*Keywords*. split equality problem, variational inequality problem, pseudomonotone mapping, split equality variational inequality problem

Received: 26 June 2023; Revised: 07 February 2024; Accepted: 22 April 2024 Communicated by Snežana Č. Živković-Zlatanović

*Email addresses:* mohammedawijemal@gmail.com (Mohammedhawi A/Gojjam), getahunbekele2012@gmail.com (Getahun Bekele Wega), mafuzhumer@gmail.com (Mafuz Worku)

algorithm for approximating solutions to split equality monotone inclusion problems and obtained strong convergence results in 2020. Izuchukwu, Ezeora, and Martinez-Moreno [3] proposed a new modified contraction method for solving a certain class of split monotone variational inclusion problems in real Hilbert spaces in 2020. More recently, Kwelegano, Zegeye, and Boikanyo [5] introduced an iterative method for split equality variational inequality problems for non-Lipschitz pseudomonotone mappings in 2021.

Motivated by the research works of [3, 5, 12], the goal of this paper is to study iterative algorithms for approximating a common solution to split equality monotone inclusion problems for a finite family of pseudomonotone mappings in Hilbert spaces. To facilitate this study, we provide some necessary notions and definitions. Throughout this research, we use (VIP) to denote variational inequality problems, (SEP) for split equality problems, (SEVIP) for split equality variational inequality problems, *H* for a Hilbert space, and *C* for a closed, non-empty, and convex subset of a Hilbert space.

#### **Definition 1.1.** *Let*  $T: C \rightarrow H$  *be a mapping.*

- *i*) *T* is called an L-Lipschitz mapping with Lipschitz constant  $L > 0$  if  $||Tx Ty|| \le L||x y||$  for all  $x, y \in C$ . If  $0 \leq L < 1$ , then T is a contraction. If  $L = 1$ , then T is nonexpansive.
- *ii*) *T is called a monotone mapping if*  $\langle Tx Ty, y x \rangle \ge 0$  *for all x*, *y* ∈ *C*.
- *iii*) *T is called a pseudomonotone mapping if*  $\langle Tx, y x \rangle \ge 0$  *implies*  $\langle Ty, y x \rangle \ge 0$  *for all*  $x, y \in C$ *.*

*We note that pseudomonotone mappings are more general than monotone mappings.*

### **Definition 1.2.** *Let*  $A: C \rightarrow H$  *be a mapping.*

*The variational inequality problem is formulated to find a point x*<sup>∗</sup> *in C such that for all x* ∈ *C,*

⟨*Ax*<sup>∗</sup> , *x* − *x* ∗  $\rangle \geq 0.$  (1)

*The solution set of (1) is denoted by VI*(*C*, *A*)*.*

# **2. Preliminaries**

In this section we recall some known results which are used in our subsequent analysis. The projection mapping  $P_c$ :  $H \to C$  is defined by

$$
||P_c x - x|| = inf_{y \in c}||x - y||,
$$
\n(2)

and hence,  $P_c$  satisfies:  $||P_c x - P_c y||^2 \le \langle P_c x - P_c y, x - y \rangle$ , for all  $x, y \in H$ .

**Definition 2.1.** *The mapping*  $T : C \rightarrow H$  *is called sequentially weakly continuous if for each sequence* {*x<sub>n</sub>*}*, we have* {*xn*} *converges weakly to p implies* {*Txn*} *converges to Tp.*

**Lemma 2.2.** *For all*  $x, y \in H$ *, it is known that the following inequalities hold.* 

*i*)  $2\langle x, y \rangle = ||x||^2 + ||y||^2 - ||x - y||^2$ . *ii*)  $||x + y||^2 \le ||x||^2 + 2\langle y, x + y \rangle$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let*  $x \in H$ *. Then* 

$$
P_c x \in C \text{ if and only if } \langle y - P_c x, x - P_c x \rangle \le 0, \text{ for every } y \in C.
$$
 (3)

*This result implies that for all*  $x \in H$ 

$$
||P_C x - z||^2 \le ||x - z||^2 - ||x - P_c x||^2 z \in C.
$$
\n(4)

**Lemma 2.4 ([6]).** *Let* {*ak*} *be a sequence of real numbers that does not decrease at infinity, in the sense that there* exists a subsequence  $a_{k_j}$  of {a<sub>k</sub>} such that  $a_{k_j} < a_{k_j+1}$  for all  $j \ge 0$ . Define an integer sequence {m<sub>k</sub>}<sub>k≥k0</sub> as

 $m_k = \max\{k_0 \le l \le k : a_l < a_{l+1}\}.$ 

*Then,*  $m_k \to \infty$  *as*  $k \to \infty$  *and for all*  $k \geq k_0$ 

 $\max\{a_{m_k}, a_k\} \le a_{m_k+1}.$ 

**Lemma 2.5 ([13]).** *Let*  $\{\alpha_n\}$  *be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers satisfying the following relation:* 

$$
a_{n+1} \le (1 - \alpha_n)a_n + \alpha_n \gamma_n \text{ for } n \ge n_0 \text{ where } \{\alpha_n\} \subseteq (0, 1) \text{ and } \{\gamma_n\} \subseteq R, \text{ satisfies}
$$
  

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty, \text{ and } \limsup_{n \to \infty} \gamma_n \le 0. \text{ Then } \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 0.
$$

**Lemma 2.6 ([8]).** Let H be a real Hilbert space, for all  $x_i \in H$  and  $\alpha_i \in [0,1]$  for  $i = 1,2,3,...n$ , such that  $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_n = 1$ , the following holds:

$$
\|\alpha_0 x_0 + \alpha_1 x_1 + \dots + \alpha_n x_n\|^2 = \sum_{i=0}^n \alpha_i \|x_i\|^2 - \sum_{0 \le i,j \le n} \alpha_i \alpha_j \|x_i - x_j\|^2.
$$

**Lemma 2.7.** Let  $r(x)$ , be a real valued function on H and defined  $K := \{x \in C : r(x) \le 0\}$ . If K, is nonempty and r is *L-Lipshitz continuous with L* > 0*, then*

$$
||P_K x - x|| \ge \frac{1}{L} \max\{r(x), 0\}, \text{ for } x \in C.
$$

# **3. Main results**

In this section, we shall make use of the following assumptions: **Assumption 1:**

- A1: Let  $T_1, T_2 : H_1 \rightarrow H_1$  and  $S_2, S_2 : H_2 \rightarrow H_2$  be sequentially weakly continuous and uniformly continuous pseudomonotone mappings on bounded subset of *H*<sup>1</sup> and *H*2, respectively.
- A2: Let  $\Omega := \{(p,q) \in H_1 \times H_2 : p \in VI(C, T_1) \cap VI(C, T_2), q \in VI(D, S_1) \cap VI(D, S_2) \text{ and } Ap = Bq\} \neq \emptyset$ , where  $A: H_1 \to H_3$  and  $B: H_2 \to H_3$  are bounded linear mappings with adjoints  $A^*$  and  $B^*$ , respectively.
- A3: Let  $\iota \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\mu > 0$  and  $\delta \in [\underline{\delta}, \overline{\delta}] \subset (0, \frac{1}{\mu})$
- A4: Let { $\alpha_n$ }  $\subset$  (0, $\epsilon$ ) for some constant real number  $\epsilon$  > 0 be a real sequence such that,

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n = 0
$$
, and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$ .

A5: Let  $g_1$  :  $H_1 \rightarrow H_1$  and  $g_2$  :  $H_2 \rightarrow H_2$  be contraction mappings with constants  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in (0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}})$  $\overline{2}$ ), respectively and we denote  $\alpha = \max\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}.$ 

A6 : Let the sequence  $\gamma_n$  satisfies

$$
0 < \xi \le \gamma_n \le \frac{||Ax_n - Bt_n||^2}{||A^*(Ax_n - Bt_n)||^2 + ||B^*(Bt_n - Ax_n)||^2}, \text{ for } n \in \Upsilon
$$

otherwise,  $\gamma_n = \gamma > 0$ , such that the indexes

$$
\Upsilon = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : Ax_n - Bt_n \neq 0 \}.
$$

**Algorithm 1.** For arbitrary  $(x_0, t_0) \in H_1 \times H_2$ , define an iterative algorithm by

**Step 1.** Compute

$$
\begin{cases} z_{i,n} = P_C(x_n - \delta T_i x_n) \text{ and } d_i(x_n) = x_n - z_{i,n}, \text{ for } i = 1,2, \\ u_{i,n} = P_D(t_n - \delta S_i t_n) \text{ and } d_i(t_n) = t_n - u_{i,n}, \text{ for } i = 1,2. \end{cases}
$$
(5)

**Step 2.** Compute

$$
\begin{cases}\n y_{i,n} = x_n - \Upsilon_{i,n} d(x_n), & \text{for } i = 1, 2. \\
 v_{i,n} = t_n - \Upsilon'_{i,n} d(t_n), & \text{for } i = 1, 2.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(6)

where,  $\Upsilon_{i,n} = \iota^{j_{i,n}}$  such that  $j_{i,n}$  is the smallest nonnegative integer  $j_i$  satisfying

$$
\langle T_i x_n - T_i(x_n - t^{j_i} d_i(x_n)), d_i(x_n) \rangle \leq \mu ||d_i(x_n)||^2,
$$

and  $\Upsilon'_n = \iota^{j'_{i,n}}$  such that  $j'_i$  $\mathcal{L}_{i,n}$  is the smallest nonnegative integer  $j_i'$ *i* satisfying

$$
\langle S_i t_n - S_i(t_n - t^{j'} d_i(t_n))\rangle, d_i(t_n) \rangle \leq \mu ||d_i(t_n)||^2.
$$

**Step 3.** Compute

$$
\begin{cases}\na_n = P_C(x_n - \gamma_n A^*(Ax_n - Bt_n), \\
b_n = P_C(t_n - \gamma_n B^*(Bt_n - Ax_n), \\
w_n = \theta_n a_n + \beta_n p_{1,n} + \eta_n p_{2,n}, \\
r_n = \theta_n b_n + \beta_n q_{1,n} + \eta_n q_{2,n}\n\end{cases} (7)
$$

 $where C_{i,n} = {x ∈ H : h_{i,n} = \langle y_{i,n} - T_i y_{i,n}, x - y_{i,n} \rangle ≤ 0},$  $D_{i,n} = \{x \in H : e_{i,n} = \langle v_{i,n} - S_i v_{i,n}, x - v_{i,n} \rangle \le 0\}$  and  $\{\theta_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\eta_n\} \subset [\rho, 1)$  for  $\rho > 0$  such that  $\beta_n + \theta_n + \eta_n = 1$ for all  $n \ge 0$  and  $p_{i,n} = P_{C_{i,n}} x_n$ ,  $q_{i,n} = P_{D_{i,n}} t_n$  for  $i = 1, 2$ .

**Step 4.** Compute

$$
\begin{cases} x_{n+1} = \alpha_n g_1(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n) w_n, \\ t_{n+1} = \alpha_n g_2(t_n) + (1 - \alpha_n) r_n. \end{cases}
$$

**Step 5.** Set  $n := n + 1$  and go to **Step 1**.

**Lemma 3.1.** Suppose that the assumption  $A_1 - A_2$  hold, and  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $\{t_n\}$ ,  $\{y_{i,n}\}$ ,  $\{z_{i,n}\}$ ,  $\{u_{i,n}\}$ ,  $\{v_{i,n}\}$  are sequences, generated *by Algorithm* 1 *for i* = 1, 2*. Then, the search rules in step 2 are well defined.*

*Proof.* Since  $\iota \in (0, 1)$ ,  $T_i$  and  $S_i$  are uniformly continuous on  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , respectively, we have

$$
\langle T_i x_n - T_i(x_n - t^{j_i} d_i(x_n)), d_i(x_n) \rangle \to 0 \text{ as } j_i \to \infty,
$$

and

 $\langle S_i t_n - S_i(t_n - t^{j'_i} d_i(t_n)) \rangle, d_i(t_n) \rangle \rightarrow 0$  as  $j'_i \rightarrow \infty$ .

Moreover, since  $||d_i(x_n)|| > 0$  and  $||d_i(t_n)|| > 0$  there exist a non-negative integers  $j_{i,n}$  and  $j_i'$  $C'_{i,n'}$  satisfying the inequalities in Step 2.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 3.2.** Suppose that the assumption  $A_1 - A_3$  hold. If  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $\{t_n\}$ ,  $\{y_{i,n}\}$ ,  $\{z_{i,n}\}$ ,  $\{u_{i,n}\}$ ,  $\{v_{i,n}\}$  are sequences generated *by Algorithm* 1*, then*

$$
\langle T_i x_n, d_i(x_n) \rangle \geq \frac{1}{\delta} ||d_i(x_n)||^2
$$

*and*

$$
\langle S_i t_n, d_i(t_n) \rangle \geq \frac{1}{\delta} ||d_i(t_n)||^2.
$$

*Proof.* From equations (5), for  $n \ge 0$  and  $i = 1, 2$ , we have,

$$
||x_n - P_C(x_n - \delta T_i x_n)||^2 \leq \langle x_n - (x_n - \delta T_i x_n), x_n - P_C(x_n - \delta T_i x_n) \rangle
$$
  
=  $\delta \langle T_i x_n \rangle, x_n - P_C(x_n - \delta T_i x_n) \rangle$ ,

which implies  $\langle T_i x_n, d_i(x_n) \rangle \geq \frac{1}{\delta} ||d_i(x_n)||^2$ .

Similarly, we get  $\langle S_i t_n, d_i(t_n) \rangle \geq \frac{1}{\delta} ||d_i(t_n)||^2$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** Suppose the assumptions  $A_1 - A_3$  holds. Let  $(p,q) \in \Omega$ , let  $h_{i,n}(x_n) = \langle T_i y_{i,n}, x_n - y_{i,n} \rangle$ , and let  $e_{i,n}(t_n) = \langle S_i v_{i,n}, x_n - v_{i,n} \rangle$ . *Then,* 

$$
h_{i,n}(p) \le 0, \ e_{i,n}(q) \le 0, \ h_{i,n}(x_n) \ge \Upsilon_n(\frac{1}{\delta} - \mu) ||d_i(x_n)||^2,
$$
  
and  $e_{i,n}(t_n) \ge \Upsilon'_n(\frac{1}{\delta} - \mu) ||d_i(t_n)||^2.$ 

*In particular, if*  $d_i(x_n) \neq 0$  *and*  $d_i(t_n) \neq 0$ *, then*  $h_{i,n}(x_n) > 0$  *and*  $e_{i,n}(t_n) > 0$ .

*Proof.* For the fact that  $(p, q) \in \Omega$ , we have

$$
\langle T_i p, y_{i,n} - p \rangle \geq 0.
$$

This inequality and the fact that  $T_i$  is pseudomonotone mapping, we obtain

$$
h_n(p) = \langle T_i y_n, y_{i,n} - p \rangle \geq 0,
$$

which gives us,

$$
h_{i,n}(p) = \langle T_i y_{i,n}, p - y_{i,n} \rangle \leq 0.
$$

Similarly, we obtain  $e_{i,n}(q) \leq 0$ . In addition, from Step 2, of Algorithm 1, we have,

$$
h_{i,n}(x_n) = \langle T_i y_{i,n}, x_n - y_{i,n} \rangle = \langle T_i y_{i,n}, x_n - (x_n - \Upsilon_n d_i(x_n)) \rangle = \langle T_i y_{i,n}, d_i(x_n) \rangle.
$$

Furthermore, from the inequalities in Step 2, we have,

$$
\langle T_i x_n - T_i y_{i,n}, d(x_n) \rangle \leq \mu ||d(x_n)||^2,
$$

which implies

$$
\langle T_i y_{i,n}, d_i(x_n) \rangle \ge \langle T_i x_n, d_i(x_n) \rangle - \mu ||d(x_n)||^2 \tag{8}
$$

From Lemma 3.2 and inequality above, we obtain

$$
\langle T_i y_{i,n}, d(x_n) \rangle \ge \left(\frac{1}{\delta} - \mu\right) ||d(x_n)||^2 \tag{9}
$$

By combining (8) and (9), we obtain,

$$
h_{i,n}(x_n) \geq \Upsilon_n(\frac{1}{\delta}-\mu) ||d_i(x_n)||^2.
$$

Similarly, we obtain,

$$
e_{i,n}(x_n) \geq \Upsilon'_n(\frac{1}{\delta}-\mu)\|d_i(t_n)\|^2,
$$

for  $i = 1, 2$ .  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 3.4.** Suppose that the assumption  $A_1 - A_2$  hold, and  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $\{t_n\}$ ,  $\{y_{i,n}\}$ ,  $\{z_{i,n}\}$ ,  $\{u_{i,n}\}$ ,  $\{v_{i,n}\}$  are sequences, generated *by Algorithm* 1 *for i* = 1, 2*.* Let  $\{(x_{n_k}, t_{n_k})\}$  be a subsequence of  $\{(x_n, t_n)\}$  such that

 $(x_{n_k}, y_{n_k}) \to (\bar{p}, \bar{q}), \lim_{k \to \infty} ||x_{n_k} - z_{i,n_k}|| = 0$  and  $\lim_{k \to \infty} ||t_{n_k} - u_{i,n_k}|| = 0.$ *Then*  $(\bar{p}, \bar{q})$  ∈  $[VI(C, T_1) ∩ VI(C, T_2)] \times [VI(D, S_1) ∩ VI(D, S_2)]$ *.* 

*Proof.* For the fact that  $z_{i,n_k} = P_C(x_{n_k} - \delta T_i x_{n_k})$ , from (3), we get

 $\langle x_{n_k} - \delta T_i x_{n_k} - z_{i,n_k}, x - x_{n_k} \rangle \leq 0 \ \forall x \in C$ ,

which implies

$$
\langle x_{n_k} - z_{i,n_k}, x - z_{i,n_k} \rangle \leq \delta \langle T_i x_{n_k}, x - z_{i,n_k} \rangle \ \forall x \in C,
$$

and hence

$$
\langle x_{n_k}-z_{i,n_k},x-z_{i,n_k}\rangle+\langle T_ix_{n_k},z_{i,n_k}-x_{n_k}\rangle\leq \delta\langle T_ix_{n_k},x-x_{n_k}\rangle\,\forall x\in C.
$$

Since  $\lim_{k \to \infty} ||x_{n_k} - z_{i,n_k}|| = 0$  and the fact that  $T_i$  is bounded, we obtain

$$
\liminf_{k \to \infty} \langle T_i x_{n_k}, x - x_{n_k} \rangle \ge 0, \forall x \in C.
$$
\n(10)

Moreover, let { $\xi_k$ } be a sequence of decreasing numbers such that  $\xi_k \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$  and w be an arbitrary element of *C*. Using inequality (10), we can find a large enough  $N_k$  such that

$$
\langle T_i x_{n_k}, w - x_{n_k} \rangle + \xi_k \ge 0, \forall k \ge N_k. \tag{11}
$$

From (11) and the fact that  $T_i x_{n_k} \neq 0$ , we get

$$
\langle T_i x_{n_k}, \xi_k d_k + w - x_{n_k} \rangle \ge 0, \forall k \ge N_k,
$$
\n
$$
(12)
$$

for some  $d_k \in C$  satisfying  $\langle T_i x_{n_k}, d_k \rangle = 1$ . In addition, from definition of  $T_i$  and inequality (12), we have

 $\langle T_i(w + \xi_n d_k w), w + \xi_k d_k w - x_{n_k} \rangle \geq 0, \forall k \geq N_k,$ 

which implies that

$$
\langle T_i w, w - x_{n_k} \rangle \ge \langle T_i w - A_1 (w + \xi_k d_k w), w + \xi_k d_k w - x_{n_k} \rangle
$$
  
 
$$
- \xi_k \langle T_i w, d_k \rangle, \forall k \ge N_k.
$$
 (13)

Since  $\xi_k \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$  and  $T_i$  is continuous, then from inequality (13), we obtain

 $\langle T_i w, w - \overline{p} \rangle = \lim_{k \to \infty} \inf \langle T_i w, w - x_{n_k} \rangle \ge 0, \forall w \in C$ ,

and for  $i = 1, 2$ . Thus,  $\overline{p} \in VI(C, T_1) \cap VI(C, T_2)$ . Similarly, we get

$$
\langle S_iz,z-\overline{q}\rangle=\liminf_{k\to\infty}\langle S_iz,z-t_{n_k}\rangle\geq 0, \forall z\in D,
$$

and for  $i = 1, 2$ . Thus,  $\overline{q} \in VI(D, S_1) \cap VI(D, S_2)$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.5.** *Suppose the assumptions*  $A_1 - A_4$  *hold. Then, the sequence*  $\{(x_n, t_n)\}$ *, generated by the Algorithm* 1 *is bounded in Hilbert space,*  $C \times D$ *.* 

*Proof.* Now, from Lemma 2.6, and (4), we get

$$
||w_n - p||^2 = ||\theta_n a_n + \beta_n p_{1,n} + \eta_n p_{2,n} - p||^2
$$
  
\n
$$
= ||\theta_n (a_n - p) + \beta_n (P_{C_{1,n}} x_n - p) + \beta_n (P_{C_{2,n}} x_n - p)||^2
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \theta_n ||a_n - p||^2 + \beta_n ||P_{C_{1,n}} x_n - p||^2 + \eta_n ||P_{C_{2,n}} x_n - p||^2
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \theta_n ||a_n - p||^2 + \beta_n [||x_n - p||^2 - ||P_{C_{1,n}} x_n - x_n||^2]
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \eta_n [||x_n - p||^2 - ||P_{C_{2,n}} x_n - x_n||^2].
$$
\n(14)

Similarly, we obtain

$$
||r_n - q||^2 \leq \theta_n ||b_n - q||^2 + \beta_n [||t_n - q||^2 - ||P_{D_{1,n}} t_n - t_n||^2] + \eta_n [||t_n - q||^2 - ||P_{D_{2,n}} t_n - t_n||^2].
$$
\n(15)

Thus, by adding inequalities (14) and (15), we get

$$
||w_n - p||^2 + ||r_n - q||^2 \leq \theta_n[||a_n - p||^2 + ||b_n - q||^2] + \beta_n[||x_n - p||^2 - ||P_{C_{1,n}}x_n - x_n||^2] + \eta_n[||x_n - p||^2 - ||P_{C_{2,n}}x_n - x_n||^2] + \beta_n[||t_n - q||^2 - ||P_{D_{1,n}}t_n - t_n||^2] + \eta_n[||t_n - q||^2 - ||P_{D_{2,n}}t_n - t_n||^2].
$$
 (16)

In addition from (7) and (4), we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n||a_n - p||^2 & = & ||P_C(x_n - \gamma_n A^* (Ax_n - Bt_n) - p||^2 \\
& \leq & ||x_n - \gamma_n A^* (Ax_n - Bt_n||^2 - ||a_n - (x_n - \gamma_n A^* (Ax_n - Bt_n)||^2 \\
& \leq & ||x_n - p||^2 + \gamma_n^2 ||A^* (Ax_n - Bt_n)||^2 - \gamma_n ||Ax_n - Bt_n||^2 \\
&\quad -||x_n - a_n - \gamma_n A^* (Ax_n - Bt_n)||^2.\n\end{array} \tag{17}
$$

Similarly, we get

$$
||b_n - q||^2 \le ||t_n - q||^2 + \gamma_n^2 ||B^*(Bt_n - Ax_n)||^2 - \gamma_n ||Ax_n - Bt_n||^2
$$
  
-||t\_n - b\_n - \gamma\_n B^\*(Bt\_n - Ax\_n)||^2. (18)

By adding inequalities (17) and (18), we get

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n||a_n - p||^2 + ||b_n - q||^2 & \leq & ||x_n - p||^2 + ||t_n - q||^2 \\
& & \quad + \gamma_n^2 [||A^*(Ax_n - Bt_n)||^2 + ||B^*(Bt_n - Ax_n)||^2] \\
& & \quad - 2\gamma_n ||Ax_n - Bt_n||^2 - ||x_n - a_n - \gamma_n A^*(Ax_n - Bt_n)||^2 \\
& & \quad - ||t_n - b_n - \gamma_n B^*(Bt_n - Ax_n)||^2.\n\end{array} \tag{19}
$$

Moreover, (19) and (A6), we obtain

$$
||a_n - p||^2 + ||b_n - q||^2 \le ||x_n - p||^2 + ||t_n - q||^2 - \gamma_n ||Ax_n - Bt_n||^2
$$
  
\n
$$
-||x_n - a_n - \gamma_n A^*(Ax_n - Bt_n)||^2
$$
  
\n
$$
-||t_n - b_n - \gamma_n B^*(Bt_n - Ax_n)||^2.
$$
\n(20)

Now, by substituting (20) in (16), we get

$$
||w_n - p||^2 + ||r_n - q||^2 \le ||x_n - p||^2 + ||t_n - q||^2
$$
  
\n
$$
-\beta_n[||P_{C_{1,n}}x_n - x_n||^2 + ||P_{D_{1,n}}t_n - t_n||^2]
$$
  
\n
$$
-\eta_n[||P_{C_{2,n}}x_n - x_n||^2 + ||P_{D_{2,n}}t_n - t_n||^2]
$$
  
\n
$$
-\theta_n\gamma_n||Ax_n - Bt_n||^2 - \theta_n||x_n - a_n - \gamma_nA^*(Ax_n - Bt_n)||^2
$$
  
\n
$$
-\theta_n||t_n - b_n - \gamma_nB^*(Bt_n - Ax_n)||^2.
$$
\n(21)

From (21), Lemma 2.6 and (A5), we get

$$
||x_{n+1} - p||^2 + ||t_{n+1} - q||^2 = ||\alpha_n g_1(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)w_n - p||^2
$$
  
\n
$$
+ ||\alpha_n g_2(t_n) + (1 - \alpha_n) r_n - q||^2
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \alpha_n ||g_1(x_n) - p||^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) ||w_n - p||^2
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \alpha_n ||g_2(t_n) - q||^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) |r_n - p||^2
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \alpha_n (||g_1(x_n) - g_1(p)|| + ||g_1(p) - p||)^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) ||x_n - p||^2
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \alpha_n (||g_2(t_n) - g_2(q)|| + ||g_2(q) - q||)^2 + (1 - \alpha_n) ||t_n - q||^2
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \alpha_n [\alpha^2 ||x_n - p||^2 + ||g_1(x_n) - p||^2] + (1 - \alpha_n) ||x_n - p||^2
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \alpha_n [\alpha^2 ||t_n - q||^2 + ||g_2(t_n) - q||^2] + (1 - \alpha_n) ||t_n - q||^2
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq 2\alpha_n (\alpha^2 ||t_n - p||^2 + ||g_1(p) - p||^2) + (1 - \alpha_n) ||x_n - p||^2
$$
  
\n
$$
+ 2\alpha_n (\alpha^2 ||t_n - q||^2 + ||g_2(q) - q||^2)
$$
  
\n
$$
+ (1 - \alpha_n) ||t_n - q||^2.
$$
\n(22)

By setting  $R_n(p,q) = ||x_n - p||^2 + ||t_n - q||^2$ , from inequality (22), we get

$$
R_{n+1}(p,q) \leq (1 - \alpha_n(1 - 2\alpha^2))R_n(p,q)
$$
  
+2 $\alpha_n(||g_1(p) - p||^2 + ||g_2(q) - q||^2)$   

$$
\leq \max \Big\{ R_n(p,q), \frac{2}{1 - 2\alpha^2} (||g_1(p) - p||^2 + ||g_2(q) - q||^2) \Big\},\
$$

and hence by induction

$$
R_n(p,q) \le \max\Big\{R_0(p,q), \frac{2(||g_1(p)-p||^2+||g_2(q)-q||^2)}{1-2\alpha^2}\Big\},\
$$

which implies that  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $\{t_n\}$  and hence  $\{y_{i,n}\}$ ,  $\{v_{i,n}\}$ ,  $\{T_i x_n\}$  and  $\{S_i v_n\}$  for  $i = 1, 2$  are bounded.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 3.6.** *Suppose the assumption* (*A*1) – (*A6*) *hold. Then, the sequence* {( $x_n$ ,  $t_n$ )}, generated by *Algorithm* 1 *converges strongly to*  $(p, q) = P_{\Omega}(q_1(p), q_2(q))$ *.* 

*Proof.* Now, let  $(p, q) = P_{\Omega}(q_1(p), q_2(q))$ . Then, from equation 2, for  $i = 1, 2$ , we have,

$$
||p - p_{i,n}||^2 \le ||p - x_n||^2 - ||x_n - p_{i,n}||^2.
$$

Similarly, we get

$$
||q - q_{i,n}||^2 \le ||q - t_n||^2 - ||t_n - q_{i,n}||^2,\tag{23}
$$

 $\overline{a}$ 

Since for  $i = 1, 2, T_i$  is bounded on bounded subset of  $H_1$ , Then their exists  $L_i > 0$ , such that  $||T_i y_n|| \le L_i$ , for

all  $n \geq 0$  and  $i = 1, 2$ . Thus,

$$
|h_{i,n}(z) - h_{i,n}(w)| = |\langle T_i y_{i,n}, z - y_{i,n} \rangle - \langle T_i y_{i,n}, w - y_{i,n} \rangle|
$$
  
=  $|\langle T_i y_{i,n}, z - w \rangle$   
 $\leq ||T_i y_{i,n}|| ||z - w||$   
 $\leq L_i ||z - w||$ ,

which gives us that *hi*,*<sup>n</sup>* is *Li*- Lipschitz continuous on *H*1. Thus, from Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 3.3, we obtain

$$
||x_n - p_{i,n}||^2 \ge \frac{h_{i,n}x_n}{2L_i^2} \ge \Upsilon_{i,n}^2(\frac{1}{\delta} - \mu)^2 ||d_i(x_n)||^4
$$
 (24)

Thus, from (23) and (24), for *i* = 1, 2, we get

$$
||p - p_{i,n}||^2 \le ||p - x_n||^2 - \Upsilon_{i,n}^2(\frac{1}{\delta} - \mu)^2 ||d_i(x_n)||^4.
$$
\n(25)

Similarly, for  $i = 1, 2$ , we get

$$
||q - q_{i,n}||^2 \le ||q - t_n||^2 - \Upsilon_{i,n}^2(\frac{1}{\delta} - \mu)^2||d_i(t_n)||^4.
$$

Now, from Lemma 2.6, (25), (21) and (17), we get

$$
||w_n - p||^2 + ||r_n - q||^2 = ||\theta_n a_n + \beta_n p_{1,n} + \eta_n p_{2,n} - p||^2
$$
  
\n
$$
+ ||\theta_n b_n + \beta_n q_{1,n} + \eta_n q_{2,n} - q||^2
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \theta_n ||a_n - p||^2 + \beta_n ||p_{1,n} - p||^2 + \eta_n ||p_{2,n} - p||^2
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \theta_n ||b_n - q||^2 + \beta_n ||q_{1,n} - q||^2 + \eta_n ||q_{2,n} - q||^2
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \theta_n ||x_n - p||^2 + \beta_n ||x_n - p||^2 \eta_n ||x_n - p||^2 + \eta_n ||x_n - p||^2
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \theta_n ||t_n - q||^2 + \beta_n ||t_n - q||^2 \eta_n ||t_n - q||^2 + \eta_n ||t_n - q||^2
$$
  
\n
$$
- (\Upsilon_{1,n}^2 (\frac{1}{\delta} - \mu)^2 ||d_1(x_n)||^4 + \Upsilon_{2,n}^2 (\frac{1}{\delta} - \mu)^2 ||d_2(x_n)||^4)
$$
  
\n
$$
- (\Upsilon_{1,n}^2 (\frac{1}{\delta} - \mu)^2 ||d_1(t_n)||^4 + \Upsilon_{2,n}^2 (\frac{1}{\delta} - \mu)^2 ||d_2(t_n)||^4)
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq ||x_n - p||^2 + ||t_n - q||^2
$$
  
\n
$$
- (\Upsilon_{1,n}^2 (\frac{1}{\delta} - \mu)^2 ||d_1(x_n)||^4 + \Upsilon_{2,n}^2 (\frac{1}{\delta} - \mu)^2 ||d_2(x_n)||^4)
$$
  
\n
$$
- (\Upsilon_{1,n}^2 (\frac{1}{\delta} - \mu)^2 ||d_1(t_n)||^4
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \Upsilon_{2,n}^2 (\frac{1}{\delta} - \mu)^2 ||d_2(t_n)||^4).
$$
 (26)

# By Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.6 and (26), we obtain

$$
R_{n+1}(p,q) = ||\alpha_n g_1(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)w(n) - p||^2 + ||\alpha_n g_2(t_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)r(n) - q||^2
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq ||\alpha_n(g_1(x_n) - g_1(p)) + (1 - \alpha_n)(w_n - p) + \alpha_n(g_1(p) - p)||^2
$$
  
\n
$$
+ ||\alpha_n(g_2(t_n) - g_2(q)) + (1 - \alpha_n)(r_n - q) + \alpha_n(g_2(q) - q)||^2
$$
  
\n
$$
+ 2\alpha_n[\langle g_1(p) - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle + \langle g_2(q) - q, t_{n+1} - q \rangle]
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \alpha \alpha_n ||x_n - p||^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)||w_n - p||^2 + \alpha \alpha_n ||t_n - q||^2 + (1 - \alpha_n)||r_n - q||^2
$$
  
\n
$$
+ 2\alpha_n ||g_1(x_n) - p||||x_{n+1} - x_n|| + 2\alpha_n ||g_2(t_n) - q||||t_{n+1} - t_n||
$$
  
\n
$$
+ 2\alpha_n[\langle g_1(p) - p, x_n - p \rangle + \langle g_2(q) - q, t_n - q \rangle]
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq (1 - (1 - \alpha)\alpha_n)R_n(p,q)
$$
  
\n
$$
+ 2\alpha_n ||g_1(x_n) - p||||x_{n+1} - x_n|| + 2\alpha_n ||g_2(t_n) - q||||t_{n+1} - t_n||
$$
  
\n
$$
+ 2\alpha_n[\langle g_1(p) - p, x_n - p \rangle + \langle g_2(q) - q, t_n - q \rangle]
$$
  
\n
$$
- (1 - \alpha_n) (\Upsilon_{1,n}^2(\frac{1}{\delta} - \mu)^2 ||d_1(x_n)||^4 + \Upsilon_{2,n}^2(\frac{1}{\delta} - \mu)^2 ||d_2(x_n)||^4)
$$
  
\n
$$
- (1 - \alpha_n) (\Upsilon_{1,n}^2(\frac{1}{\delta} - \mu)^2 ||d_1(t_n)||^4 + \Upsilon_{2,n}^2(\frac{1}{\delta} - \mu)^2 ||d_2(t_n)||^4),
$$

which gives us

$$
(1 - \alpha_n) \Big( \Upsilon_{1,n}^2 \big( \frac{1}{\delta} - \mu \big)^2 ||d_1(x_n)||^4 + \Upsilon_{2,n}^2 \big( \frac{1}{\delta} - \mu \big)^2 ||d_2(x_n)||^4 \Big) + (1 - \alpha_n) \Big( \Upsilon_{1,n}^2 \big( \frac{1}{\delta} - \mu \big)^2 ||d_1(t_n)||^4 + \Upsilon_{2,n}^2 \big( \frac{1}{\delta} - \mu \big)^2 ||d_2(t_n)||^4 \Big) \le R_n(p,q) - R_{n+1}(p,q) + 2\alpha_n ||g_1(x_n) - p||||x_{n+1} - x_n|| + 2\alpha_n ||g_2(t_n) - q||||t_{n+1} - t_n|| + 2\alpha_n [\langle g_1(p) - p, x_n - p \rangle + \langle g_2(q) - q, t_n - q \rangle].
$$
\n(27)

In addition, from (21), we get

$$
R_{n+1}(p,q) \leq R_n(p,q) + 2\alpha_n[\langle g_1(p) - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle + \langle g_2(q) - q, t_{n+1} - q \rangle] - \beta_n[||P_{C_{1,n}}x_n - x_n||^2 + ||P_{D_{1,n}}t_n - t_n||^2] - \eta_n[||P_{C_{2,n}}x_n - x_n||^2 + ||P_{D_{2,n}}t_n - t_n||^2] - \theta_n\gamma_n||Ax_n - Bt_n||^2 - \theta_n||x_n - a_n - \gamma_nA^*(Ax_n - Bt_n)||^2 - \theta_n||t_n - b_n - \gamma_nB^*(Bt_n - Ax_n)||^2.
$$
 (28)

Next, we show that the sequence  ${R_n(p,q)}$  converges strongly to zero. For this we consider two cases as follows:

**Case 1:** Assume that there exist  $n_0 \in N$ , such that the sequence of real numbers  $\{R_n(p,q)\}$  is decreasing for all  $n \ge n_0$ . Thus, the sequence  $\{R_n(p,q)\}$  convergent and hence from (28) and the fact that  $\alpha_n \to 0$ , we obtain

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} ||P_{C_{1,n}} x_n - x_n||^2 = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||P_{C_{2,n}} x_n - x_n||^2 = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} ||P_{D_{1,n}} t_n - t_n|| = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||P_{D_{2,n}} t_n - t_n|| = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} ||Ax_n - Bt_n|| = 0,
$$

and

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_n - a_n - \gamma_n A^* (Ax_n - Bt_n)|| = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||t_n - b_n - \gamma_n B^* (Bt_n - Ax_n)|| = 0,
$$

which implies that

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_n - a_n|| \le ||x_n - a_n - \gamma_n A^* (Ax_n - Bt_n)||^2 + ||\gamma_n A^* (Ax_n - Bt_n)|| = 0,
$$
\n(29)

and

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}||t_n - b_n|| \le ||t_n - b_n - \gamma_n B^*(Bt_n - Ax_n)||^2 + ||\gamma_n B^*(Bt_n - Ax_n)|| = 0,
$$

In addition, from (27), we have

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \Upsilon_{1,n}^2 ||d_1(x_n)||^4 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \Upsilon_{i,n}^2 ||d_2(x_n)||^4 = 0,
$$

and

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty}\Upsilon_{1,n}^{r^2}||d_1(t_n)||^4 = \lim_{n\to\infty}\Upsilon_{2,n}^{r^2}||d_2(t_n)||^4 = 0.
$$

Then, from this we obtain that

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty} \Upsilon_{1,n} ||d_1(x_n)||^2 = \lim_{n\to\infty} \Upsilon_{2,n} ||d_2(x_n)||^2 = 0,
$$

and

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \Upsilon'_{1,n} ||d_1(t_n)||^2 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \Upsilon'_{2,n} ||d_2(t_n)||^2 = 0.
$$
\n(30)

Since the sequence  $\{(x_n, t_n)\}\$ is bounded, there exists a subsequence  $\{(x_{n_k}, (x_{n_k})\}\$ , of  $\{(x_n, t_n)\}\$ which converges weakly to  $(\overline{p}, \overline{q}) \in H_1 \times H_2$  and

$$
\limsup_{n \to \infty} [\langle g_1(p) - p, x_n - p \rangle + \langle g_2(q) - p, t_n - q \rangle] \n= \lim_{k \to \infty} [\langle g(p) - p, x_{n_k} - p \rangle + g_2(q) - q, t_{n_k} - q \rangle].
$$
\n(31)

Now, we prove that for *i* = 1, 2

$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} ||x_{n_k} - z_{i,n_k}|| = 0, \lim_{k \to \infty} ||t_{n_k} - u_{i,n_k}|| = 0
$$
\n(32)

First consider the case, when  $\liminf_{k\to\infty} \Upsilon_{n_k} > 0$  In this case there is  $\Upsilon > 0$  such that  $\Upsilon_{n_k} > \Upsilon > 0$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus, we have

$$
\|x_{n_k}-z_{n_k}\|^2=\frac{1}{\Upsilon_{n_k}}\Upsilon_{n_k}\|x_{n_k}-z_{n_k}\|^2\leq \frac{1}{\Upsilon}\Upsilon_{n_k}\|x_{n_k}-z_{n_k}\|^2.
$$

From this inequality and (30), we obtain

$$
\lim_{k\to\infty}||x_{n_k}-z_{n_k}||^2=0
$$

and hence

$$
\lim_{k\to\infty}||x_{n_k}-z_{n_k}||
$$

Second consider, when  $\liminf_{k\to\infty} \Upsilon_{i,n_k} = 0$ . In this case

$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} \Upsilon_{i,n_k} = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{k \to \infty} ||x_{n_k} - z_{i,n_k}||^2 = c > 0
$$
\n(33)

Consider, y'<sub>i</sub>  $\gamma'_{i,n_k} = \frac{1}{i} \gamma_{i,n_k} z_{i,n_k} + (1 - \frac{1}{i}) \gamma_{i,n_k} x_{i,n_k}$ 

Thus, from (33), we have

$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} ||y'_{i,n_k} - z_{i,n_k}|| = \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \Upsilon_{i,n_k} ||x_{n_k} - z_{i,n_k}|| = 0
$$
\n(34)

From inequality in Step 2 and definition of y'<sub>i</sub>  $C_{i,n_k}^{\prime}$ , we obtain

$$
\mu ||x_{n_k} - z_{i,n_k}||^2 \leq \langle x_{n_k} - y'_{i,n_k} + T_i y'_{i,n_k} - T_i x_{n_k}, x_{n_k} - z_{i,n_k} \rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \langle x_{n_k} - y'_{i,n_k}, x_{n_k} - z_{i,n_k} \rangle + \langle T_i y'_{i,n_k} - T_i x_{n_k}, x_{n_k} - z_{i,n_k} \rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq ||x_{n_k} - y'_{i,n_k}|| ||x_{n_k} - z_{i,n_k}||
$$
  
\n
$$
+ || + T_i y'_{i,n_k} - T_i x_{n_k}|| ||x_{n_k} - z_{i,n_k}||.
$$
\n(35)

From (34), (35) and the fact that  $T_i$  is uniformly continuous, we get  $\lim_{n\to\infty}||x_{n_k}-z_{i,n_k}||=0$ , which contradict (33). In a similar way we can show that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||t_{n_k} - u_{i,n_k}|| = 0$  Thus, from this fact the equations (32) hold. Moreover, since  $\{(x_{n_k}, t_{n_k})\}$ , which converges weakly to  $(p, \bar{q})$ , then  $x_{n_k} \to \bar{p}$  and  $t_{n_k} \to \bar{q}$ . Thus, from (33) and Lemma 3.4, we get  $\overline{p}$  ∈  $\overline{VI(C, T_1)} \cap \overline{VI(C, T_2)}$  and  $\overline{q}$  ∈  $\overline{VI(D, S_1)} \cap \overline{VI(D, S_2)}$ . Next we show that  $A\overline{p} = B\overline{q}$ . But, observe that from Lemma 2.2 (ii) we get

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n||A\overline{p} - B\overline{q}||^2 & = & ||A\overline{p} - Ax_{n_k} + Bt_{n_k} - B\overline{q} + Ax_{n_k} - Bt_{n_k}||^2 \\
& \leq & ||Ax_{n_k} - Bt_{n_k}||^2 + 2\langle A\overline{p} - B\overline{q}, A\overline{p} - Ax_{n_k} + Bt_{n_k} - B\overline{q}\rangle, \\
&\to 0 \text{ as } k \to \infty,\n\end{array}
$$

and this implies  $A\overline{p} = B\overline{q}$ . That is  $(\overline{p}, \overline{q}) \in \Omega$ . From the definition of  $x_{n+1}$  and  $t_{n+1}$ , we have  $||x_{n+1} - w_n|| =$  $\alpha_n||g_1(x_n) - w_n|| \to 0$ , as  $n \to \infty$ , and  $||t_{n+1} - r_n|| = \alpha_n||g_2(t_n) - r_n|| \to 0$ , as  $n \to \infty$ , since  $\alpha_n \to \infty$ , as  $n \to \infty$ . From (27) and (29), we get

$$
||x_{n+1} - x_n|| \le ||x_{n+1} - w_n|| + ||w_n - x_n||
$$
  
\n
$$
\le ||x_{n+1} - w_n|| + ||\theta_n a_n + \beta_n p_{1,n} + \eta_n p_{2,n} - x_n||
$$
  
\n
$$
\le ||x_{n+1} - w_n|| + \theta_n ||a_n - x_n||
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \beta_n ||p_{1,n} - x_n|| + \eta_n ||p_{2,n} - x_n|| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to 0.
$$
\n(36)

Moreover,

$$
||x_n - w_n|| \le \theta_n ||a_n - x_n|| + \beta_n ||p_{1,n} - x_n|| + \eta_n ||p_{2,n} - x_n|| \to 0, \text{ as } n \to 0.
$$
\n(37)

Thus, from (36) and (37), we obtain

$$
||x_{n+1} - x_n|| = ||x_{n+1} - w_n + w_n - x_n||
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq ||x_{n+1} - w_n|| + ||w_n - x_n|| \to 0, \text{ as } n \to 0.
$$
\n(38)

Similarly we can show that

$$
||t_{n+1}-t_n|| \longrightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \to 0.
$$

From (31) and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$
\limsup_{n \to \infty} [\langle g_1(p) - p, x_n - p \rangle + \langle g_2(q) - q, t_n - q \rangle] \leq \lim_{k \to \infty} [\langle g_1(p) - q, x_{n_k} - p \rangle
$$
  
+  $\langle g_2(q) - q, t_{n_k} - q \rangle]$   
=  $\langle g_1(p) - p, \overline{p} - p \rangle + \langle g_2(q) - q, \overline{q} - q \rangle$   
 $\leq 0.$  (39)

Now, we show that the sequence  ${R_n(p,q)}$  converges strongly to 0. Indeed, from Lemma 2.2 and 26, we

obtain

$$
R_{n+1}(p,q) \leq (1 - (1 - \alpha)2\alpha_n)R_n(p,q)
$$
  
+  $\alpha_n(1 - \alpha)\frac{2}{1 - \alpha}[\langle g_1(p) - p, x_{n+1} - p \rangle$   
+  $\langle g_2(q) - q, t_{n+1} - q \rangle]$  (40)

Finally, from (40), (38), (39) and Lemma 2.5, we get  $R_n(p,q) \to 0$ , as  $n \to \infty$  and hence  $x_n \to p$  and  $t_n \to q$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Case 2:** Suppose that there exists a subsequence { $R_{n_j}(p,q)$ } of { $R_n(p,q)$ } such that

$$
R_{n_j}(p,q) < R_{n_j+1}(p,q), \text{ for } j \ge 0. \tag{41}
$$

Thus by Lemma 2.4 there exists a non-decreasing sequence {*mk*}, of the set of positive integer of numbers such that  $m_k \to 0$ , as  $k \to \infty$ ,  $||x_{m_k} - p||^2$  ≤  $||x_{m_k+1} - p||^2$  and

$$
\max\{R_{m_k}(p,q), R_k(p,q)\} \le R_{m_k+1}(p,q) \text{ for all } k \ge 1.
$$

Following the method of Case 1, we obtain

$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} ||P_{C_{1,m_k}} x_{m_k} - x_{m_k}||^2 = \lim_{k \to \infty} ||P_{C_{2,m_k}} x_{m_k} - x_{m_k}||^2 = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} ||P_{D_{1,m_k}} t_{m_k} - t_{m_k}|| = \lim_{k \to \infty} ||P_{D_{2,m_k}} x_{m_k} - t_{m_k}|| = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} ||Ax_{m_k} - Bt_{m_k}|| = 0,
$$

and

$$
\lim_{k\to\infty}||x_{m_k}-a_{m_k}-\gamma_{m_k}A^*(Ax_{m_k}-Bt_{m_k})||=\lim_{k\to\infty}||t_{m_k}-b_{m_k}-\gamma_{m_k}B^*(Bt_{m_k}-Ax_{m_k})||=0,
$$

In addition, by following the method of Case 1, from the inequality (31), for *i* = 1, 2, we obtain

$$
\lim_{k\to\infty}||x_{m_k}-p_{i,m_k}||=\lim_{k\to\infty}||t_{n_k}-q_{i,m_k}||=0.
$$

In addition, for  $i = 1, 2$ 

$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} ||x_{m_k} - z_{i,m_k}|| = 0 = \lim_{k \to \infty} ||t_{m_k} - u_{i,m_k}|| = 0,
$$
  

$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} ||x_{m_k} - x_{m_k+1}|| = 0 = \lim_{k \to \infty} ||t_{m_k} - t_{m_k+1}|| = 0,
$$

and

$$
\limsup_{k \to \infty} [\langle g_1(p) - p, x_{m_k+1} - p \rangle + \langle g_2(q) - q, t_{m_k+1} - q \rangle] \le 0.
$$
\n(42)

Now, from (40), we get

$$
R_{m_k+1}(p,q) \leq (1 - (1 - \alpha)\alpha_{m_k})R_{n_k}(p,q)
$$
  
+  $\alpha_{m_k}(1 - \alpha)\frac{2}{1 - \alpha}[\langle g_1(p) - p, x_{m_k+1} - p \rangle$   
+  $\langle g_2(q) - q, t_{m_k+1} - q \rangle]$   
 $\leq (1 - (1 - \alpha)2\alpha_n)R_{m_k+1}(p,q)$   
+  $\alpha_{m_k}(1 - \alpha)\frac{2}{1 - \alpha}[\langle g_1(p) - p, x_{m_k+1} - p \rangle$   
+  $\langle g_2(q) - q, t_{m_k+1} - q \rangle]$ 

which implies that

$$
\alpha_{m_k}(1-\alpha)R_{m_k+1}(p,q) \leq \alpha_{m_k}(1-\alpha)\frac{2}{1-\alpha}[\langle g_1(p)-p, x_{m_k+1}-p \rangle + \langle g_2(q)-q, t_{m_k+1}-q \rangle]. \tag{43}
$$

Thus, from (41) and (43), we have

$$
R_k(p,q) \le R_{m_k+1}(p,q) \le \frac{2}{1-\alpha} [\langle g_1(p)-p, x_{m_k+1}-p \rangle + \langle g_2(q)-q, t_{m_k+1}-q \rangle].
$$

Hence using (42), we get

$$
\limsup_{k \to \infty} R_k(p,q) \leq \limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{2}{1-\alpha} [\langle g_1(p) - p, x_{m_k+1} - p \rangle
$$
  
+ $\langle g_2(q) - q, t_{m_k+1} - q \rangle] \leq 0,$ 

which implies

lim sup  $\limsup_{k\to\infty} R_k(p,q) = 0,$ 

and hence  $x_k \to p$  and  $t_k \to q$  as,  $k \to \infty$ .  $\Box$ 

We note that the method of proof of Theorem 3.6 provides the following result for split equality variational inequality problems of a finite family of pseudomonotone mappings in Hilbert spaces.

**Algorithm 2.** For arbitrary  $(x_0, t_0) \in H_1 \times H_2$ , define an iterative algorithm by

**Step 1.** Compute

$$
\begin{cases} z_{i,n} = P_C(x_n - \delta T_i x_n) \text{ and } d_i(x_n) = x_n - z_{i,n}, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, m \\ u_{i,n} = P_D(t_n - \delta S_i t_n) \text{ and } d_i(t_n) = t_n - u_{i,n}, \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, m. \end{cases}
$$

**Step 2.** Compute

$$
\begin{cases} y_{i,n} = x_n - \Upsilon_{i,n} d(x_n), & \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, m \\ v_{i,n} = t_n - \Upsilon'_{i,n} d(t_n), & \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, m \end{cases}
$$

where,  $\Upsilon_{i,n} = \iota^{j_{i,n}}$  such that  $j_{i,n}$  is the smallest nonnegative integer  $j_i$  satisfying

$$
\langle T_i x_n - T_i(x_n - t^{j_i} d_i(x_n)), d_i(x_n) \rangle \leq \mu ||d_i(x_n)||^2,
$$

and  $\Upsilon'_n = \iota^{j'_{i,n}}$  such that  $j'_i$  $\mathcal{L}_{i,n}$  is the smallest nonnegative integer  $j_i'$ *i* satisfying

$$
\langle S_i t_n - S_i(t_n - \iota^{j_i'} d_i(t_n)), d_i(t_n) \rangle \leq \mu ||d_i(t_n)||^2.
$$

**Step 3.** Compute

$$
\begin{cases}\na_n = P_C(x_n - \gamma_n A^*(Ax_n - Bt_n), \\
b_n = P_C(t_n - \gamma_n B^*(Bt_n - Ax_n), \\
w_n = \theta_n a_n + \beta_{1,n} p_{1,n} + \beta_{2,n} p_{2,n} + \cdots + \beta_{m,n} p_{m,n}, \\
r_n = \theta_n b_n + \beta_{1,n} q_{1,n} + \beta_{2,n} q_{2,n} + \cdots + \beta_{m,n} q_{m,n},\n\end{cases}
$$

where  $C_{i,n} = \{x \in H : h_{i,n} = \langle y_{i,n} - T_i y_{i,n}, x - y_{i,n} \rangle \le 0\},$ 

 $D_{i,n} = \{x \in H : e_{i,n} = \langle v_{i,n} - S_i v_{i,n}, x - v_{i,n} \rangle \leq 0\}$  and  $\{\theta_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\eta_n\} \subset [\rho, 1)$  for  $\rho > 0$  such that  $\beta_n + \theta_n + \eta_n = 1$ for all  $n \ge 0$  and  $p_{i,n} = P_{C_{i,n}} x_n$ ,  $q_{i,n} = P_{D_{i,n}} t_n$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

**Step 4.** Compute

$$
\begin{cases} x_{n+1} = \alpha_n g_1(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)w_n, \\ t_{n+1} = \alpha_n g_2(t_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)r_n. \end{cases}
$$

**Step 5.** Set  $n := n + 1$  and go to **Step 1**.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Suppose Assumption* (*A*3) − (*A6*) *hold.* Let  $T_i$  :  $H_1$  →  $H_1$  *and*  $S_i$  :  $H_2$  →  $H_2$  *sequentially weakly continuous and uniformly continuous pseudomonotone mappings on bounded subset of H*<sup>1</sup> *and H*2*, respectively for i* = 1, 2, ..., *m such that*

 $\Omega := \{(p, q) \in H_1 \times H_2 : p \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m VI(C, T_i), q \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m VI(D, S_i) \text{ and } Ap = Bq\} \neq \emptyset.$ 

*Then, the sequence*  $\{(x_n, t_n)\}$  *generated by Algorithm 2 converges strongly to an element*  $(p, q) = P_{\Omega}(q_1(p), q_2(q))$ *.* 

**Corollary 3.8.** *Suppose Assumption* (*A3*) – (*A6*) *hold.* Let  $T_i$  :  $H_1$  →  $H_1$  and  $S_i$  :  $H_2$  →  $H_2$  be uniformly continuous *monotone mappings on bounded subset of H*<sup>1</sup> *and H*2*, respectively for i* = 1, 2, ..., *m such that*

$$
\Omega:=\{(p,q)\in H_1\times H_2: p\in \cap_{i=1}^m VI(C,T_i), q\in \cap_{i=1}^m VI(D,S_i) \ and \ Ap=Bq\}\neq \emptyset.
$$

*Then, the sequence*  $\{(x_n, t_n)\}$  *generated by Algorithm 2 converges strongly to an element*  $(p, q) = P_{\Omega}(q_1(p), q_2(q))$ *.* 

If in Theorem 3.7, we assume  $q_1(x) = u$  for all  $x \in C$  and  $q_2(t) = v$  for all  $t \in D$ , we get the following result.

**Corollary 3.9.** Suppose Assumption (A3), (A4) and (A6) hold. Let  $T_i: H_1 \to H_1$  and  $S_i: H_2 \to H_2$  sequentially *weakly continuous and uniformly continuous pseudomonotone mappings on bounded subset of H*<sup>1</sup> *and H*2*, respectively for i* = 1, 2, ..., *m such that*

$$
\Omega := \{ (p, q) \in H_1 \times H_2 : p \in \cap_{i=1}^m VI(C, T_i), q \in \cap_{i=1}^m VI(D, S_i) \text{ and } Ap = Bq \} \neq \emptyset.
$$

*Then, the sequence*  $\{(x_n, t_n)\}\$  *generated by Algorithm 2*  $g_1(x) = u$  *for all*  $x \in C$  *and*  $g_2(t) = v$  *for all*  $t \in D$  *converges strongly to an element*  $(p, q) = P_{\Omega}(u, v)$ *.* 

### **4. Application**

In this section we present some applications of Theorem 3.7.

# *4.1. Split Variational Inequality Problem*

Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be real Hilbert spaces. Let  $C \subset H_1$  and  $D \subset H_2$  be two nonempty, closed and convex sets; let  $T: H_1 \to H_1$  and  $S: H_2 \to H_2$  be two given mappings and  $A: H_1 \to H_2$  be a bounded linear mapping. The split variational inequality problem (SVIP) introduced by Censor, Gibali and Reich [1] can mathematically be formulated as the problem of finding:

$$
\begin{cases}\nx^* \in C \text{ such that } \langle T(x^*), x - x^* \rangle \ge 0 \text{ for all } x \in C, \\
y^* = Ax^* \in D \text{ solves } \langle S(y^*), y - y^* \rangle \ge 0 \text{ for all } y \in D.\n\end{cases}
$$

Thus, in Algorithm 2, we assume  $H_2 = H_3$  and  $B = I$ , then split equality variational inequality problem reduces to split variational inequality problem for pseudomonotone mappings and the method of proof of Theorem 3.7 provides the following corollary for approximating a solution of split variational inequality problem for a finite family of pseudomonotone mappings in Hilbert spaces.

**Corollary 4.1.** *Suppose Assumption* (*A3*) − (*A6*) *hold.* Let  $T_i$  :  $H_1$  →  $H_1$  *and*  $S_i$  :  $H_2$  →  $H_2$  *sequentially weakly continuous and uniformly continuous pseudomonotone mappings on bounded subset of H*<sup>1</sup> *and H*2*, respectively for*  $i = 1, 2, ..., m$  such that

$$
\Omega := \{(p,q) \in H_1 \times H_2 : p \in \cap_{i=1}^m VI(C,T_i), q \in \cap_{i=1}^m VI(D,S_i) \text{ and } Ap = q\} \neq \emptyset.
$$

*Then, the sequence*  $\{(x_n, t_n)\}$  *generated by Algorithm 2 converges strongly to an element*  $(p, q) = P_{\Omega}(q_1(p), q_2(q))$ *.* 

#### *4.2. Split Equality Zero Point Problem*

If in Algorithm 2, we assume  $C = H_1$  and  $D = H_2$ , then  $P_C = I_1$ ,  $P_D = I_2$  and hence  $VI(C, T_i) = T_i^{-1}(0)$ and *VI*(*C*,  $S_i$ ) =  $S_i^{-1}(0)$  where  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are identity mappings in  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ , respectively. Thus, split equality variational inequality problem reduces to split equality zero point problem and the method of proof of Theorem 3.7 provides the following corollary for approximating a solution of split equality zero point problem for pseudomonotone mappings in Hilbert spaces.

**Corollary 4.2.** *Suppose Assumption* (*A3*) − (*A6*) *hold.* Let  $T_i$  :  $H_1$  →  $H_1$  *and*  $S_i$  :  $H_2$  →  $H_2$  *sequentially weakly continuous and uniformly continuous pseudomonotone mappings on bounded subset of H*<sup>1</sup> *and H*2*, respectively for*  $i = 1, 2, ..., m$  such that

$$
\Omega:=\{(p,q)\in H_1\times H_2: p\in \cap_{i=1}^m T_i^{-1}(0), q\in \cap_{i=1}^m S_i^{-1}(0) \ and \ Ap=Bq\}\neq \emptyset.
$$

*Then, the sequence*  $\{(x_n, t_n)\}$  *generated by Algorithm 2 converges strongly to an element*  $(p, q) = P_{\Omega}(q_1(p), q_2(q))$ *.* 

### **5. Numerical Example**

In this section, we provide a numerical example to explain the conclusion of our main result. The following numerical example verifies the conclusion of Theorem 3.6.

**Example 5.1.** Let  $H_1 = H_2 = H_3 = \mathbb{R}^3$  be with the standard topology. Let  $C = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : ||x|| \le 1\}$  and  $D = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : ||x|| \le 2\}$ . Let  $T_1, T_2 : C \to \mathbb{R}^3$  be defined by  $T_1(x) = \frac{3}{2}x - ||x||x$  and  $T_2(x) = x$ , were  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in$  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Let  $S_1, S_2 : D \to \mathbb{R}^3$  be defined by  $S_1(x) = (x_1 + 1, x_2 - 1, 2x_3)$  and  $S_2(x) = (\frac{x_1 + 1}{2}, \frac{2x_2 - 2}{3}, \frac{x_3}{4})$ , then  $T_1$  and *T*<sup>2</sup> *are continuous pseudomonotone and hence they are sequentially weakly continuous and uniformly continuous pseudomonotone mappings on C with VI(C,T<sub>1</sub>)*  $\cap$  *VI(C,T<sub>2</sub>)* = {(0,0,0)}. In addition, one can observe that S<sub>1</sub> and *S*<sup>2</sup> *are monotone and hence they are sequentially weakly continuous and uniformly continuous pseudomonotone mappings on D with VI*(*C*, *S*<sub>1</sub>) ∩ *VI*(*D*, *S*<sub>2</sub>) = {(−1, 1, 0)}. Let *A*, *B* :  $\mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$  be defined by *A*(*x*) = (2*x*<sub>1</sub>, *x*<sub>2</sub>, 3*x*<sub>3</sub>)  $\alpha$ *nd*  $B(x) = (0, 0, 2x_3)$ , were  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . Thus,  $A(0, 0, 0) = (0, 0, 0) = B(-1, 1, 0)$  and hence  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $g_1: H_1 \to H_1$  and  $g_2: H_2 \to H_2$  be defined by  $g_1(x) = \frac{x}{5}$  and  $g_2(x) = \frac{x}{2}$ , respectively, were  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . *Now, if we assume*  $\alpha_n = \frac{1}{10n+10^5}$ ,  $l = 0.5$ ,  $\mu = 0.9$ ,  $\theta = 1$ ,  $\beta_n = \frac{1}{n+10000} + 0.02 = \theta_n$  and  $\eta_n = 0.96 - \frac{2}{n+10000}$  for all  $n \ge 0$ , and take different initial points  $(x_0, t_0) = ((0.1, 0.2, 0.3), (1.0, 1.0, 0.0))$ ,  $(x_0, t_0)$  $\int_0^{\prime} f(t)$ and take different initial points  $(x_0, t_0) = ((0.1, 0.2, 0.3), (1.0, 1.0, 0.0)), (x_0, t_0) = ((0.4, 0.3, 0.1), (1.2, 0.6, 0.1))$ and  $(x_0, t_0') = ((0.3, 0.1, 0.2), (-1.0, 0.5, 0.3)$ , then in all cases, the numerical experiment results using MATLAB *provide that the sequence*  $\{(x_n, t_n)\}\$  *generated by Algorithm* 1 *converges strongly to*  $(p, q) = ((0, 0, 0), (-1, 1, 0))$ *. (see, Figure 5.1, below).*



Figure 1: The graph of  $||(x_n, t_n) - (p, q)||$  versus number of iterations with different choices of  $(x_0, t_0)$ 



Figure 2: The graph of  $||Ax_n - Bt_n||$  versus number of iterations with different choices of  $(x_0, t_0)$ 

In addition, we have sketched the difference term  $||Ax_n - Bt_n||$  for each initial point. From the sketch we observe that  $||Ax_n - Bt_n||$  → 0 as  $n \to \infty$  (see, Figure 5.1, below).

# **6. Conclusions**

In conclusion, this research article has focused on studying iterative algorithms for approximating a common solution to split equality monotone inclusion problems for a finite family of pseudomonotone mappings in Hilbert spaces. The significance of the split equality problem and variational inequalities in

various fields such as decomposition methods for partial differential equations, game theory, and medical image reconstruction has been highlighted. Building on the works of previous researchers, this study contributes to the understanding and development of algorithms for solving split equality variational inequality problems. By introducing necessary notions and definitions, the paper lays the foundation for further exploration and advancement in this area of applied mathematics. The findings and methods presented in this article provide valuable insights for future research in the field of variational inequalities and optimization theory.

#### **References**

- [1] Y. Censor, A. Gibali, S. Reich, *Algorithms for the split variational inequality problem*, Numer. Algorithms, **59** (2010), 301—323.
- [2] G. Fichera, *Problemielastostatici con vincoliunilaterali: Ilproblema di Signorini con ambiguecondizioni al contorno*, AttiAccad. Naz. Lincei, Mem. Cl. Sci.Fis. Mat. Nat., Sez. I., **7** (1964).
- [3] C. Izuchukwu, J. N. Ezeora, and J. Martinez-Moreno, *A modified contraction method for solving certain class of split monotone variational inclusion problems with application*, Computational and Applied Mathematics, **39**(3) (2020), 1–20.
- [4] S. Karamardian, *Complementarity problems over cones with monotone and pseudomonotone maps* Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, **18**(4) (1976), 445–454.
- [5] K. M. Kwelegano, H. Zegeye, and O. A. Boikanyo, *An Iterative method for split equality variational inequality problems for non-Lipschitzpseudomonotone mappings*, Rendiconti del CircoloMatematico di Palermo Series 2, **71**(1) (2022), 325–348.
- [6] P. E Mainge,´ *Strong convergence of projected subgradient methods for nonsmooth and nonstrictly convex minimization*, Set-Valued Anal., **16** (2008), 899–912.
- [7] A. Moudafi, *A relaxed alternating CQ-algorithm for convex feasibility problems*, Nonlinear Anal., **79** (2013), 117–121.
- [8] M. Osilike, D. I. Igbokwe, *Weak and strong convergence theorems for fixed points of pseudocontractions and solutions of monotone type operator equations*, Comput. Math. Appl., **40** (2000), 559–567.
- [9] S. Reich, D. V. Thong, Q. L. Dong, X. H. Li, and V. T. Dong, *New algorithms and convergence theorems for solving variational inequalities with non-Lipschitz mappings*, Numerical Algorithms, **87**(2) (2021), 527–549.
- [10] Y. Shehu, Q. L. Dong, and D. Jiang, *Single projection method for pseudo-monotone variational inequality in Hilbert spaces*, Optimization, **68**(1) (2019), 385–409.
- [11] G. Stampacchia, *Formesbilin'eairecoercivitivessurles ensembles convexes*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris., **258** (1964), 4413–4416.
- [12] G. B. Wega and H. Zegeye, *Split Equality Methods for a Solution of Monotone Inclusion Problems in Hilbert Spaces*, Linear and Nonlinear Analysis, **5**(3) (2020), 495–516.
- [13] H. K. Xu, *Another control condition in an iterative method for nonexpansive mappings*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., **65** (2002), 109–113.