Filomat 38:21 (2024), 7551–7562 https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2421551M

Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

Existence and fractional inequalities for hybrid ρ**-Caputo fractional integro-di**ff**erential equations with non-local conditions**

Manal Menchiha,[∗] **, Khalid Hilal^a , Ahmed Kajouni^a**

^aLaboratory of Applied Mathematics and Scientific Computing, Sultan Moulay Slimane University, Beni Mellal, Morocco

Abstract. This paper focuses on investigating the existence of solutions and fractional inequalities for a hybrid ρ-Caputo fractional differential equation accompanied by a non-local condition. By leveraging the Dhage fixed point theorem, we establish specific criteria that guarantee the existence of solutions for our problem. Furthermore, through the application of the ρ -Caputo derivative techniques, we illustrate various fractional inequalities.

1. Introduction

The theory of fractional differential equations plays a significant role in modeling systems across a wide range of disciplines, including biology, physics, rheology, electrodynamics, chemistry, and signal and image processing, among others (see references [6, 7, 9, 10, 13, 15]). As a result of their diverse applications, fractional differential equations have garnered extensive research attention, yielding noteworthy theoretical, solution-oriented, and applicative outcomes (see references [2, 11, 12]). In this context, Almeida introduced the ρ-Caputo derivative as a generalization of approaches like Caputo and Caputo-Hadamard derivatives [14]. For further insights and recent advancements in problems involving the ρ -Caputo derivative, we refer readers to [1, 4, 5]. Hybrid differential equations find their relevance in modeling several nonhomogeneous physical processes, encompassing various dynamical systems as specific cases. This area has seen substantial interest due to its broad applications, with recent attention in works such as [3, 8, 11].

In 2010, Dhage and Lakschmikantham extended the concept of hybrid differential equations to the integer domain with the following equation[3]:

$$
\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\nu(s)}{h(s,\nu(s))} \right) = g(s,\nu(s)), \quad s \in [0,T],\\ \nu(0) = \nu_0. \end{cases}
$$

Under mixed Lipschitz and Carathéodory conditions, they established existence results and differential inequalities. In 2015, Hilal and Kajouni extended this problem to the fractional domain [8]. They provided sufficient conditions for the existence of solutions to the following problem:

$$
\begin{cases} \begin{array}{l} {^C}D^{\gamma} \Big(\frac{\nu(s)}{h(s,\nu(s))}\Big) = g(s,\nu(s)), & s \in [0,T], \\ a\nu(0) + b\nu(T) = c. \end{array} \end{cases}
$$

²⁰²⁰ *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 35R11, 47Gxx, 34K37.

Keywords. Fractional hybrid differential equations, fractional inequalities, nonlinear problems, Non-local conditions.

Received: 30 November 2023; Revised: 02 December 2023; Accepted: 03 December 2023

Communicated by Maria Alessandra Ragusa

^{*} Corresponding author: Manal Menchih

Email addresses: menchih.manal@gmail.com (Manal Menchih), hilalkhalid2005@yahoo.fr (Khalid Hilal), kajjouni@gmail.com (Ahmed Kajouni)

where *^CD*^γ denotes the Caputo fractional derivative, *f* and 1 are appropriately defined functions. In 2020, Hannabou and Kajouni utilized Dhage's fixed point theorem to investigate a hybrid fractional integrodifferential equation [11]. This equation, given by

$$
\begin{cases} C_{D^{\gamma}}\left[\frac{\nu(s)-\sum_{j=1}^{m}l^{\beta_{i}},\vartheta_{i}(s,\nu(s))}{h(s,\nu(s))}\right]=g(s,\nu(t)), \ \ s\in J=[0,T],\\ a_{\frac{\nu(0)}{h(0,\nu(0))}}+b_{\frac{\nu(T)}{h(T,\nu(T))}}=c, \end{cases}
$$

expanded the scope of study in this area.

Building upon these works, this paper extends the results obtained in [11] to the ρ -Caputo fractional setting. Specifically, our focus lies on the hybrid ρ -Caputo fractional integro-differential boundary value problem:

$$
\begin{cases} C_{D^{1/p}}\left[\frac{\nu(t)-\sum_{j=1}^{m} I^{\beta_i,\rho}\vartheta_j(t,\nu(t))}{h(t,\nu(t))}\right] = g(t,\nu(t)), \ t \in J = [0,T], 0 < \rho < 1, \\ a_{\frac{\nu(0)}{h(0,\nu(0))}} + b_{\frac{\nu(T)}{h(T,\nu(T))}} = c. \end{cases}
$$
(1)

where ^{*C*}*D*^{γ}, ρ represents the ρ -Caputo fractional derivative of order γ , ϑ _{*j*} are appropriately defined functions, and *a*, *b*, and *c* are real constants with $a + b \neq 0$.

The rest of this paper is structured as follows: In Section 2, we furnish fundamental insights into fractional calculus and fixed-point theorems that hold pertinence to our investigation. Building upon Dhage's fixed-point theorem, Section 3 is dedicated to establishing the existence of solutions for the hybrid ρ -Caputo fractional integro-differential boundary value problem. Section 4 delves into the fractional inequalities that are associated with our derived solution. Concluding our study, the final section succinctly summarizes the outcomes and contributions of this work.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we lay the groundwork by introducing fundamental definitions and key findings that will be utilized subsequently.

Consider *X* = *C*(*J*, R), which represents a Banach space consisting of continuous functions mapping from $J = [0, T]$ to R. The norm in this space is defined as:

$$
\parallel y \parallel = \sup_{t \in J} |y(t)|.
$$

Moreover, we define multiplication in *X* as:

$$
(xy)(t) = x(t)y(t)
$$

Evidently, X functions as a Banach algebra in accordance with the aforementioned supremum norm and multiplication operation. We denote by $C(J \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ the collection of functions $y : J \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) For each $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the mapping $s \to q(s, x)$ is measurable.
- ii) For each *t* ∈ *J*, the mapping x → $q(s, x)$ is continuous.

The set $C(J \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ is referred to as the Caratheodory class of functions defined on $J \times \mathbb{R}$. These functions possess the property of being Lebesgue integrable when they are bounded by another Lebesgue integrable function defined on the interval *J*.

The space of real-valued Lebesgue functions on the interval J is denoted as $L^1(J,\mathbb{R})$. This space is equipped with a norm ∥ · ∥*^L* ¹ defined as:

$$
\|v\|_{L^1} = \int_0^T |v(s)| ds.
$$

Definition 2.1. [14](ρ -Riemann-Liouiville fractional integral) Consider $\rho > 0$, where f belongs to the space L¹([J, R), a nd $\rho \in C^1([a,b])$ is an increasing function with the property that $\rho'(s) \neq 0$ for all $s \in [a,b]$. The ρ -Riemann-Liouville *fractional integral of order* ρ *acting on the function f is defined as follows:*

$$
(I^{\gamma,\rho}f)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^t (\rho(t) - \rho(s))^{\gamma-1} \rho'(s) f(s) ds.
$$
\n(2)

It's evident that when $\rho(t) = t$, the expression given in (2) corresponds to the classical Riemann-Liouville fractional integral.

Definition 2.2. [14]($ρ$ -Caputo fractional derivative) Assume that $n − 1 < ρ ≤ n$, and f belongs to the function s pace Cⁿ([a, b]), while ρ is an increasing function in the space Cⁿ([a, b]), satisfying the condition that ρ' (s) $\neq 0$ for all *s* ∈ [*a*, *b*]*. The* ρ*-Caputo fractional derivative of order* γ *acting on the function f is defined as follows:*

$$
\begin{aligned} \n(^{C}D^{\gamma,\rho}f)(s) &= (I^{n-\gamma,\rho}f^{[n]})(s) \\ \n&= \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\gamma)} \int_0^s (\rho(s) - \rho(u))^{n-\gamma-1} \rho'(u) f^{[n]}(u) du, \n\end{aligned} \tag{3}
$$

where $f^{[n]}(u) := \left(\frac{1}{\rho'(u)}\frac{d}{du}\right)^n f(u)$ defined over the interval [a, b].

By virtue of this definition, it becomes evident that when $\gamma = n \in \mathbb{N}$, the expression $(D^{\gamma,\rho}f)(s)$ simplifies to $f^{[n]}(s)$.

Remark 2.3. [14] In particular, when $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$
({}^{C}D^{\gamma,\rho}f)(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^s (\rho(s) - \rho(u))^{\gamma-1} f'(u) du.
$$
 (4)

Lemma 2.4. *[4]* Let $\gamma > 0$, if $f \in C^{n-1}([a, b])$, then we have

$$
I^{\gamma,\rho}(\mathcal{C}D^{\gamma,\rho}f(s)) = f(s) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{f^{[k]}(0)}{k!} (\rho(s) - \rho(0))^k.
$$
 (5)

In particular, when $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ *, then*

.

$$
I^{\gamma,\rho}(\mathcal{C}D^{\gamma,\rho}f(s)) = f(s) - f(0) \tag{6}
$$

Lemma 2.5. *[4]* Let $\gamma > 0$ and $f \in C^1([a, b])$, we have

 ${}^C D^{\gamma, \rho} I^{\gamma, \rho} f(s) = f(s)$

Lemma 2.6. *[11](Dhage fixed point theorem)*

Consider a Banach algebra X and let S *be a non-empty, closed, convex, and bounded subset of X. Within this context, three operators* $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{G}: X \to X$, and $\mathcal{B}: S \to X$ are introduced and satisfy the following conditions:

(a) The operators A *and* G *possess Lipschitz properties with Lipschitz constants* δ *and* φ*, respectively.*

(b) The operator B *is both compact and continuous.*

(c) For any $x \in S$, if $v = \mathcal{A}v\mathcal{B}x + \mathcal{G}v$, then v belongs to the set S.

(d) The constants δ *and* φ *are chosen such that* $\delta M + \varphi < 1$ *, where* $M = \mathcal{B}(S) \mathcal{I}$.

Under these conditions, the equation $v = AvBv + Gv$ *possesses at least one solution.*

3. Existence results

Prior to delving into the existence result, we establish a crucial auxiliary lemma. This lemma assumes a pivotal role in the process of converting the presented problem into a fixed-point formulation.

Lemma 3.1. *Given* $0 < y < 1$ *and real constants a, b, and c with a* + *b* $\neq 0$ *, consider an* $\chi \in L^1(J, \mathbb{R})$ *. The* ρ *-Caputo fractional integro-di*ff*erential boundary value problem, denoted as:*

$$
\begin{cases} C_{D^{\gamma,\rho}}\left[\frac{\nu(t)-\sum_{j=1}^{m}B^{(\gamma,\rho,\varphi)}\nu(t,\nu(t))}{h(t,\nu(t))}\right] = \chi(t), & t \in J = [0,T], 0 < \gamma < 1, \\ a_{\frac{\nu(0)}{h(0,\nu(0))}} + b_{\frac{\nu(T)}{h(T,\nu(T))}} = c. \end{cases}
$$
\n(7)

can be equivalently expressed as the integral equation

$$
v(t) = h(t, v(t)) \Big[\int_0^t \frac{\left(\rho(t) - \rho(s)\right)^{\gamma - 1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \rho'(s) \chi(s) ds - \frac{1}{a + b} \Big(b \int_0^T \frac{(\rho(T) - \rho(s))^{\gamma - 1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \rho'(s) \chi(s) ds \Big) ds
$$

\n
$$
-c + \frac{b \sum_{j=1}^m I^{\beta_{i}, \rho} \vartheta_j(T, v(T))}{h(T, v(T))} \Big) \Big] + \sum_{j=1}^m I^{\beta_{j}, \rho} \vartheta_j(t, v(t)), \quad t \in J.
$$
\n(8)

Proof. Let's consider an $\chi \in L^1(J, \mathbb{R})$. We want to demonstrate the equivalence between the *ρ*-Caputo fractional integro-differential boundary value problem given in (7) and the integral equation (8).

• **First Direction: From Problem to Equation** Let υ be a solution of the problem stated in (7). Applying the *γ*-Riemann-Liouville fractional integral operator *I^{γ,ρ}* to both sides of the first equation in (7), we arrive at

$$
\frac{\nu(t)}{h(t,\nu(t))} = I^{\gamma,\rho}\chi(t) + \frac{\nu(0)}{h(0,\nu(0))} + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{m} I^{\beta_{j},\rho}\vartheta_{j}(t,\nu(t))}{h(t,\nu(t))}.
$$
\n(9)

Consequently,

$$
a\frac{\upsilon(0)}{h(0,\upsilon(0))}+b\frac{\upsilon(T)}{h(T,\upsilon(T))}=bI^{\gamma,\rho}\chi(T)+(a+b)\frac{\upsilon(0)}{h(0,\upsilon(0))}+\frac{b\sum_{j=1}^{m}I^{\beta_{j},\rho}\vartheta_{j}(T,\upsilon(T))}{h(T,\upsilon(T))}.
$$

Utilizing the nonlocal condition, we can deduce that

$$
\frac{\upsilon(0)}{h(0,\upsilon(0))} = \frac{1}{a+b} \Big(c - bI^{\gamma,\rho} \chi(T) - \frac{b \sum\limits_{j=1}^{m} I^{\beta_j,\rho} \vartheta_j(T,\upsilon(T))}{h(T,\upsilon(T))} \Big).
$$

Substituting the value of $\frac{v(0)}{h(0, v(0))}$ into (8), we obtain

$$
\frac{\upsilon(t)}{h(t,\upsilon(t))} = \int_0^t \frac{\left(\rho(t)-\rho(s)\right)^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)}\rho'(s)\chi(s)ds - \frac{1}{a+b}\left(b\int_0^T \frac{(\rho(T)-\rho(s))^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)}\rho'(s)\chi(s)ds\right. \\ -c + \frac{b\sum_{j=1}^m I^{\beta_j,\rho}\vartheta_j(T,\upsilon(T))}{h(T,\upsilon(T))}\Big)\Big] + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m I^{\beta_j,\rho}\vartheta_j(t,\upsilon(t))}{h(t,\upsilon(t))}.
$$

This implies that $v(t)$ satisfies the integral equation (8).

• **Second Direction: From Equation to Problem**

Now, let υ(*t*) be a solution of the integral equation (8). Upon applying the ρ-Caputo fractional derivative *^CD*γ,ρ to both sides of equation (8), we obtain the first equation of (7). Substituting $t = 0$ and $t = T$ into (8), we obtain:

$$
\frac{\nu(0)}{h(0,\nu(0))} = \frac{-1}{a+b} \Big(\frac{b}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^T \Big(\rho(t) - \rho(s) \Big)^{\gamma-1} \rho'(s) \chi(s) ds - c + \frac{b \sum_{j=1}^m I^{\beta_{j,\rho}} \vartheta_i(T,\nu(T))}{h(T,\nu(T))} \Big) \n+ \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m I^{\beta_{j,\rho}} \vartheta_i(0,\nu(0))}{h(0,\gamma(0))},
$$

and

$$
\frac{\nu(T)}{h(T,\nu(T))} = \int_0^T \frac{(\rho(t) - \rho(s))^{{\gamma-1}}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \rho'(s) \chi(s) ds - \frac{1}{a+b} \left(\frac{b}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^T (\rho(T) - \rho(s))^{{\gamma-1}} \rho'(s) \chi(s) ds - \frac{b \sum\limits_{j=1}^m I^{\beta_{j},\rho} \vartheta_i(T,\nu(T))}{\frac{b \sum\limits_{j=1}^m I^{\beta_{j},\rho} \vartheta_i(T,\nu(T))}{h(T,\nu(T))}}\right) + \frac{\sum\limits_{j=1}^m I^{\beta_{j},\rho} \vartheta_i(T,\nu(T))}{h(T,\nu(T))}.
$$

Through straightforward calculations, we find that

$$
a\frac{v(0)}{h(0, v(0))} + b\frac{v(T)}{h(T, v(T))} = c.
$$

Thus, the proof is complete.

 \Box

We present our main result regarding the existence of solutions for problem (1). To proceed, we introduce the following assumptions:

(H1) TThe function $h: J \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \setminus 0$ and $\vartheta_j: J \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, where $\vartheta_j(0, v(0)) = 0$ for $j = 1, 2, ..., m$, are continuous. Additionally, there exist two positive functions ρ and φ_j for $j = 1, 2, ...$, *m*, with bounds ∥ρ∥ and ∥φ*j*∥, such that:

$$
|h(t, v_1(t)) - h(t, v_2(t))| \le \phi(t)|v_1(t) - v_2(t)|,
$$
\n(10)

and

$$
|\vartheta_j(t, v_1(t)) - \vartheta_j(t, v_2(t))| \le \varphi_j(t)|v_1(t) - v_2(t)|, \ \ j = 1, 2, ..., m,
$$
\n(11)

hold for all *t* \in *J* and $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

(H2) A function $\theta \in L^1(J, \mathbb{R})$ exists such that:

$$
|g(t, v(t))| \leq \theta(t) \quad \text{a.e. } t \in J,
$$
\n
$$
(12)
$$

for all $v \in \mathbb{R}$.

(H3) There exists a number $\varsigma > 1$ such that:

$$
\zeta \ge \frac{H_0 \Delta + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m \Theta_{0,j}(\rho(T) - \rho(0))^{\beta_j}}{\Gamma(\beta_j + 1)}}{1 - ||\phi|| \Delta - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m ||\rho_j||(\rho(T) - \rho(0))^{\beta_j}}{\Gamma(\beta_j + 1)}}.
$$
\n(13)

Where:

$$
\Delta = \Big(1 + \frac{|b|}{|a+b|}\Big)\Big(||\theta||_{L^1}\frac{(\rho(T) - \rho(0))^\gamma}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)}\Big) + \frac{|c|}{|a+b|} + \left|\frac{b\sum\limits_{j=1}^m||\varphi_j||\Big(\rho(T) - \rho(0)\Big)^\beta}{\Gamma(\beta_j + 1)h(T, \upsilon(T))}\right|,
$$

 $H_0 = \sup_{t \in J} |h(t, 0)|$ and $\Theta_{0,j} = \sup_{t \in J} |\vartheta_j(t, 0)|, j = 1, 2, ..., m$.

Theorem 3.2. *Under the assumptions (H1)-(H3), If the inequality:*

$$
\|\phi\| \left[\left(1 + \frac{|b|}{|a+b|} \right) \left(\|\theta\|_{L^1} \frac{(\rho(T) - \rho(0))^{\rho}}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} \right) \right] + \frac{|c|}{|a+b|} \left| \frac{b \sum_{j=1}^m I^{\beta_{j}, \rho} \vartheta_j(T, \nu(T))}{h(T, \nu(T))} \right| \right] + \frac{\sum_{j=1}^m \|\varphi_j\| \left(\rho(T) - \rho(0) \right)^{\beta_j}}{\Gamma(\beta_j + 1)} < 1. \tag{14}
$$

holds, then the hybrid ρ*-Caputo fractional integro-di*ff*erential boundary value problem* (1) *possesses at least one solution on the interval J.*

Proof. We consider the following set

$$
\mathcal{S} = \{ v \in X; ||v|| \leq \varsigma \},
$$

where ς satisfies the inequality (13).

It's evident that S constitutes a nonempty, closed, convex, and bounded subset of the space *X*. In order to establish our result, we introduce the operators $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{G} : X \to X$, and $\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{S} \to X$ as defined below:

$$
\mathcal{A}v(t) = h(t, v(t)); t \in J,
$$

$$
\mathcal{B}v(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^t (\rho(t) - \rho(s))^{\gamma - 1} \rho'(s) g(s, v(s)) ds \n- \frac{1}{a + b} \Big(\frac{b}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^T (\rho(T) - \rho(s))^{\gamma - 1} \rho'(s) g(s, v(s)) ds - c + \frac{b \sum_{j=1}^m I^{\beta_{j}, \rho} \vartheta_j(T, v(T))}{h(T, v(T))} \Big); \quad t \in J,
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{B}v(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m I^{\beta_{j}, \rho} \vartheta_j(t, v(t)) = \sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^t \frac{(\rho(t) - \rho(s))^{\beta_j - 1}}{\Gamma(\beta_j)} \rho'(s) \vartheta_j(s, v(s)) ds; \quad t \in J.
$$

Now, we will demonstrate that A , B , and G meet the conditions of Lemma 2.6. To achieve this, we will break down the proof into several steps.

(i) A *and* G *are Lipschitzian on X.*

Let $v_1, v_2 \in X$. According to assumption (H1), we have:

$$
|\mathcal{A}v_1(t) - \mathcal{A}v_2(t)| = |h(t, v_1(t)) - h(t, v_2(t))|
$$

\n
$$
\leq |\phi(t)||y_1(t) - y_2(t)|
$$

\n
$$
\leq ||\phi||||v_1 - v_2||,
$$

which, upon taking the norm for $t \in J$, leads to:

$$
\|\mathcal{A}v_1 - \mathcal{A}v_2\| \le \|\phi\| \|v_1 - v_2\|, \text{ for all } v_1, v_2 \in X.
$$

Consequently, $\mathcal A$ is Lipschitz continuous on X with a Lipschitz constant of $\|\phi\|$.

Continuing in a similar manner, consider v_1 and v_2 in *X*. We observe:

$$
|Gv_1(t) - Gv_2(t)| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^m I^{\beta_{j}, \rho} h_i(t, v(t)) - \sum_{j=1}^m I^{\beta_{j}, \rho} \vartheta_i(t, v(t)) \right|
$$

$$
\leq \sum_{j=1}^m \int_0^t \left| \frac{\left(\rho(t) - \rho(s) \right)^{\beta_j - 1}}{\Gamma(\beta_j)} \rho'(s) \right| |\varphi_j(s)| |v_1(s) - v_2(s)| ds
$$

$$
\leq \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{||\varphi_j||}{\Gamma(\beta_j + 1)} \left(\rho(T) - \rho(0) \right)^{\beta_j} ||v_1 - v_2||,
$$

which implies:

$$
\|Gv_1 - Gv_2\| \le \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\|\varphi_{\parallel}(\rho(T) - \rho(0))^{\beta_j}}{\Gamma(\beta_j + 1)} \|v_1 - v_2\|.
$$

Hence, we conclude that G is Lipschitz continuous with a Lipschitz constant of \sum^{m}_{λ} *j*=1 $\|\varphi_j\| \big(\rho(T) - \rho(0)\big)^{\beta_j}$ $\frac{\Gamma(\beta_j+1)}{\Gamma(\beta_j+1)}$.

(ii) *The operator* B *continuous on X*.

 $\begin{array}{c} \hline \end{array}$

We first show that G is continuous. Let v_n be a sequence in S converging to $v \in S$. Due to the continuity of g, it's easy to see that $g(s, v_n(s)) \to g(s, v(s))$ as $n \to +\infty$. By using **(H2)**, we can conclude that the expression

$$
\left| \frac{\left(\rho(t) - \rho(s)\right)^{\gamma - 1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \rho'(s) g(s, v_n(s)) \right| \le \Delta \theta(s), \ s \in J,
$$

$$
\frac{\left(\rho(t) - \rho(s)\right)^{\gamma - 1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \rho'(s).
$$

s∈*J* Then, by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we have:

$$
\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_0^t \frac{\left(\rho(t) - \rho(s)\right)^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \rho'(s) g(s, v_n(s)) ds = \int_0^t \frac{\left(\rho(t) - \rho(s)\right)^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \rho'(s) g(s, v(s)) ds,
$$

and similarly:

where ∆ = sup

$$
\lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_0^T\frac{b(\rho(T)-\rho(s))^{y-1}}{\Gamma(y)}\rho'(s)g(s,v_n(s))ds=\int_0^T\frac{b(\rho(T)-\rho(s))^{y-1}}{\Gamma(y)}\rho'(s)g(s,v(s))ds.
$$

Thus, we conclude that: $\lim_{n \to +\infty} Bv_n = Bv$. which implies the continuity of *B*. Next, let's prove that $B(S)$ is uniformly bounded on *X*. Take $v \in S$:

$$
\begin{split} &| \mathcal{B} \upsilon(t) | \\ & = \left| \int_0^t \frac{\left(\rho(t) - \rho(s) \right)^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \rho'(s) g(s, \upsilon(s)) ds - \frac{b \sum_{j=1}^m I^{\beta_j, \gamma} \vartheta_j(T, \upsilon(T))}{h(T, \upsilon(T))} \right| \\ & - \frac{1}{a+b} \Big(\frac{b}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^T \left(\rho(T) - \rho(s) \right)^{\gamma-1} \rho'(s) g(s, \upsilon(s)) ds - c + \frac{b \sum_{j=1}^m I^{\beta_j, \gamma} \vartheta_j(T, \upsilon(T))}{h(T, \upsilon(T))} \Big) \right| \\ & \leq || \theta ||_{L^1} \frac{\left(\rho(T) - \rho(0) \right)^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \Big(1 + \frac{|b|}{|a+b|} \Big) + \frac{|c|}{|a+b|} + \left| \frac{b \sum_{j=1}^m I^{\beta_j, \gamma} \vartheta_j(T, \upsilon(T))}{(a+b)h(T, \upsilon(T))} \right|, \end{split}
$$

for all $t \in J$. Let's define:

$$
M = \left(1 + \frac{|b|}{|a+b|}\right) \left(||\theta||_{L^1} \frac{\left(\rho(T) - \rho(0)\right)^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)}\right) + \frac{|c|}{|a+b|} + \left|\frac{b\sum_{j=1}^m I^{\beta_{i,j}} h(T, \nu(T))}{f(T, \nu(T))}\right|,
$$

which ensures that $||Bv|| \le M$ for $v \in S$. This shows that $B(S)$ is uniformly bounded. Now, we show that B(*S*) is equicontinuous on *X*.

Consider τ_1 , $\tau_2 \in J$ with $\tau_1 < \tau_2$ and $v \in S$. We can analyze the difference:

$$
\begin{split}\n&= \left| \int_{0}^{\tau_{2}} \frac{\left(\rho(\tau_{2}) - \mathcal{B}\upsilon(\tau_{1}) \right)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \rho'(s) g(s, \upsilon(s)) ds - \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}} \frac{\left(\rho(\tau_{1}) - \rho(s) \right)^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \rho'(s) g(s, \upsilon(s)) ds \right| \\
&\leq \|\theta\|_{L^{1}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{1}} \left| \frac{\left(\rho(\tau_{2}) - \rho(s) \right)^{\gamma-1} - \left(\rho(\tau_{1}) - \rho(s) \right)^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \rho'(s) ds \right| ds \\
&+ \|\theta\|_{L^{1}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{2}} \left| \frac{\left(\rho(\tau_{2}) - \rho(s) \right)^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \rho'(s) ds \right|, \\
&\leq \|\theta\|_{L^{1}} \frac{\left(\rho(\tau_{2}) - \rho(\tau_{1}) \right)^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}.\n\end{split}
$$

As this is independent of $v \in S$ and since τ_1 approaches τ_2 , the right-hand side tends to zero. This result, coupled with the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, confirms that S is completely continuous on S .

(iii) *The hypothesis* (*c*) *of lemma 2.6 is satisfied.*

Assume $v \in X$ and $x \in S$ are such that $v = \mathcal{A}v\mathcal{B}x + \mathcal{G}v$. Then, we have:

$$
|v(t)| \le |h(t,v(t))| \left| \left[\int_0^t \frac{\left(\rho(t) - \rho(s) \right)^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \rho'(s) g(s, x(s)) ds + \frac{1}{a+b} \left(\frac{b}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^T \left(\rho(T) - \rho(s) \right)^{\gamma-1} \rho'(s) g(s, x(s)) ds + c \right) \right] \right| + \left| \sum_{j=1}^m I^{\beta_{j,\rho}} \vartheta_j(T, v(T)) \right|.
$$

Since

$$
|h(t, v(t))| \le |h(t, v(t)) - h(t, 0)| + |h(t, 0)|,
$$

and

$$
\left|\vartheta_j(t,v(t))\right| \leq \left|\vartheta_j(t,v(t)) - \vartheta_j(t,0)\right| + \left|\vartheta_j(t,0)\right|.
$$

Thus,

$$
|v(t)| \leq (c||\phi|| + H_0) \Big[\Big(1 + \frac{|b|}{|a+b|} \Big) \Big(||\theta||_{L^1} \frac{\Big(\rho(T) - \rho(0) \Big)^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} \Big) + \frac{|c|}{|a+b|} \\ + \Bigg| \frac{b \sum_{j=1}^{m} I^{\beta_{j}, \rho} \vartheta_{j}(T, v(T))}{h(T, v(T))} \Bigg| \Bigg] + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \Big(c||\varphi_{j}|| + \theta_{0,j} \Big) \Big(\frac{\rho(T) - \rho(s)}{\Gamma(\beta_{j} + 1)} \Big)^{\beta_{j}}.
$$

Referring to (13), it's clear that $||v|| \le \varsigma$. Hence, $v \in S$, and hypothesis (*c*) is satisfied.

(iv) $\delta M + \rho < 1$. We have

$$
|\mathcal{B}v(t)| \leq \Big(1+\frac{|b|}{|a+b|}\Big)\Big(||\theta||_{L^1}\frac{\Big(\rho(T)-\rho(0)\Big)^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}\Big)+\frac{|c|}{|a+b|}+\left|\frac{b\sum_{j=1}^mI^{\beta_j,\rho}\vartheta_j(T,v(T))}{h(T,v(T))}\right|.
$$

So

$$
\|\mathcal{B}v\| \leq \Big(1+\frac{|b|}{|a+b|}\Big)\Big(\|\theta\|_{L^1}\frac{\Big(\rho(T)-\rho(0)\Big)^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}\Big)+\frac{|c|}{|a+b|}+\left|\frac{b\sum_{j=1}^m I^{\beta_{j},\rho}\vartheta_j(T,\upsilon(T))}{h(T,\upsilon(T))}\right|.
$$

Using the condition (14), it's possible to deduce that $\delta M + \rho < 1$, where $\rho = \sum_{j=1}^{m}$ $(\rho(T) - \rho(0))^{\beta_j}$ $\frac{\Gamma(\beta_j+1)}{\Gamma(\beta_j+1)}$ || φ_j ||

and $\delta = ||\phi||$.

With all these assumptions satisfied, the conditions of Dhage's fixed point theorem are met. Therefore, by the conclusion of Dhage's fixed point theorem, there exists a point $v \in S$ such that $v = \mathcal{A}v\mathcal{B}v + \mathcal{G}v$, which corresponds to a solution of problem (1) on *J*. This concludes the proof.

 \Box

4. Fractional hybrid integro-diff**erential inequalities**

This section is dedicated to examining a crucial outcome related to strict inequalities within the framework of our fractional hybrid integro-differential problem. Subsequently, we initiate our discussion with the following definition:

Definition 4.1. [5] We define a function $r : [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}$ to be an element of the set $C_a([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ if it is continuous *and adheres to the condition s^ar*(*s*) \in *C*([0, *T*], **R**).

Functions in the class *Ca*([0, *T*], R) exhibit the property described in the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. [5] Consider $r \in C_a([0,T], \mathbb{R})$ and suppose that for any $s_1 \in (0, +\infty)$, the conditions $r(s_1) = 0$ and $r(s) \leq 0$ *for any* $s \in (0, s_1)$ *hold. Then, we have*

$$
{}^C D^{\gamma,\rho} r(s_1) \geq 0.
$$

In what follows, we will require the following assumption.

(C1) The mapping $x \mapsto \frac{x}{\sqrt{x}}$ $\frac{x}{h(s, x)}$ is almost everywhere increasing in **R** for $\in [0, T]$.

(C2) The mapping $x \mapsto$ $\sum_{j=1}^m \vartheta_j(s,x)$ $\frac{f_1(x)}{h(s,x)}$ is almost everywhere decreasing in R for $\in [0, T]$.

Now, we present our initial outcome regarding fractional inequalities for the problem (1).

Theorem 4.3. *Given that the conditions* (C1)^{*-*}(C2) *are satisfied, and there exist functions* κ_1 *and* κ_2 *from* $C_a([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ *such that:*

$$
(i) C_{D^{\gamma,\rho}} \Big[\frac{\kappa_1(s) - \sum_{j=1}^m I^{\beta_{i,\rho}} \vartheta_j(s,\kappa_1(s))}{h(s,\kappa_1(s))} \Big] \le g(s,\kappa_1(s)) \ s \in J = [0,T],
$$

\n
$$
(ii) C_{D^{\gamma,\rho}} \Big[\frac{\kappa_2(s) - \sum_{j=1}^m I^{\beta_{i,\rho}} \vartheta_j(s,\kappa_2(s))}{h(s,\kappa_2(s))} \Big] \ge g(s,\kappa_2(s)) \ s \in J = [0,T].
$$

With one of the inequality being strict. Additionally, $\kappa_1^0 < \kappa_2^0$ *implies that*

 $\kappa_1(s) < \kappa_2(s)$, for all $s \in [0, T]$,

 $where \ \kappa_i^0 = s^{1-a} \kappa_i(s) |_{s=0}, \ i=1,2.$

Proof. Assuming the conclusion is false and the inequality (*ii*) is strict, let's explore this situation further. Since κ_1 and κ_2 belong to $C_a([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ and $\kappa_1^0 < \kappa_2^0$, there exists a point s_1 within the interval $[0, T]$ where the following conditions hold:

$$
\kappa_1(s_1) - \kappa_2(s_1) = 0
$$
 and $\kappa_1(s) - \kappa_2(s) < 0$, $\forall s \in [0, s_1]$.

Consider the functions:

$$
Z_i(s)=\frac{\kappa_i(s)-\sum_{j=1}^m I^{\beta_i,\rho}\vartheta_j(s,\kappa_i(s))}{h(s,\kappa_i(s))},\ i=1,2.
$$

These functions satisfy $Z_1(s_1) = Z_2(s_1)$ and due to conditions (C1)-(C2), we have $Z_1(s) < Z_2(s)$ for any *s* ∈ [0,*s*₁). Now, let's define $r(s) = Z_1(s) - Z_2(s)$ for $s \in [0, s_1]$. This function satisfies $r(s_1) = 0$ and $r(s) < 0$ for all $s \in [0, s_1)$, and it also belongs to $C_a([0, T], \mathbb{R})$. By applying lemma 4.2, we can deduce that ${}^C D^{\gamma, \rho} r(s_1) \geq 0$. Consequently, we have:

$$
g(s_1, \kappa_1(s_1)) \geq^C D^{\gamma, \rho} Z_1(s_1) \geq^C D^{\gamma, \rho} Z_2(s_1) > g(s_1, \kappa_2(s_1)).
$$

This contradicts the assumption that $\kappa_1(s_1) = \kappa_2(s_1)$. Therefore, the conclusion is true. \Box

Theorem 4.4. *Given the conditions (C1)-(C2) and taking into consideration the real numbers a, b, and c such that* $a + b \neq 0$, let's assume the existence of functions κ_1 and κ_2 belonging to $C_a([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ satisfying the following *conditions:*

$$
(i) C_{D^{\gamma,\rho}} \Big[\frac{\kappa_1(s) - \sum_{j=1}^m I^{\beta_{i,\rho}} \vartheta_j(s, \kappa_1(s))}{h(s, \kappa_1(s))} \Big] \le g(s, \kappa_1(s)) \quad s \in J = [0, T],
$$

\n
$$
(ii) C_{D^{\gamma,\rho}} \Big[\frac{\kappa_2(s) - \sum_{j=1}^m I^{\beta_{i,\rho}} \vartheta_j(s, \kappa_2(s))}{h(s, \kappa_2(s))} \Big] \ge g(s, \kappa_2(s)) \quad s \in J = [0, T].
$$

With one of the inequality being strict. Moreover, if $a > 0$ *,* $b < 0$ *, and* $\kappa_1(T) < \kappa_2(T)$, then the inequality:

$$
a\frac{\kappa_1(0)}{h(0,\kappa_1(0))} + b\frac{\kappa_1(T)}{h(T,\kappa_1(T))} < a\frac{\kappa_2(0)}{h(0,\kappa_2(0))} + b\frac{\kappa_2(T)}{h(T,\kappa_2(T))}
$$

implies that

$$
\kappa_1(s) < \kappa_2(s), \text{ for any } s \in [0, T].
$$

Proof. Starting from the inequality:

$$
a\frac{\kappa_1(0)}{h(0,\kappa_1(0))} + b\frac{\kappa_1(T)}{h(T,\kappa_1(T))} < a\frac{\kappa_2(0)}{h(0,\kappa_2(0))} + b\frac{\kappa_2(T)}{h(T,\kappa_2(T))}
$$

we derive

$$
a\Big(\frac{\kappa_1(0)}{h(0,\kappa_1(0))}-\frac{\kappa_2(0)}{h(0,\kappa_2(0))}\Big)< b\Big(\frac{\kappa_2(T)}{h(T,\kappa_2(T))}-\frac{\kappa_1(T)}{h(T,\kappa_1(T))}\Big).
$$

Since $b < 0$ and $\kappa_1(T) < \kappa_2(T)$, in accordance with the condition **(C1)**, we deduce that: $\left(\frac{\kappa_2(T)}{h(T, \kappa_2(T))}-\right)$ $\kappa_1(T)$ $h(T, \kappa_1(T))$ > 0 . This leads to the conclusion that $\kappa_1(0) < \kappa_2(0)$, supported by the fact that $a > 0$ and the

condition **(C)**. Subsequently, by applying Theorem 4.4, we attain the desired result.

Theorem 4.5. *Assuming that the conditions of Theorem 4.4 are met and there exists a positive constant* Λ *satisfying:*

$$
g(s, u) - g(s, v) \le \frac{\Lambda}{1 + (\rho(s) - \rho(0))^{\gamma+1}} \Big(\frac{u(s) - \sum_{j=1}^{m} I^{\beta_{i}, \rho} \vartheta_{j}(s, u(s))}{h(s, u(s))} - \frac{v(s) - \sum_{j=1}^{m} I^{\beta_{i}, \rho} \vartheta_{j}(s, v(s))}{h(s, v(s))} \Big) a. \ e. \ s \in J = [0, T].
$$
\n
$$
(15)
$$

For all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ *such that* $u > v$. If the following inequality holds:

$$
a\frac{\kappa_1(0)}{h(0,\kappa_1(0))} + b\frac{\kappa_1(T)}{h(T,\kappa_1(T))} < a\frac{\kappa_2(0)}{h(0,\kappa_2(0))} + b\frac{\kappa_2(T)}{h(T,\kappa_2(T))}
$$

provided that $\Lambda \leq \Gamma(1+\gamma)\big(\rho(s)-\rho(0)\big)^{2\gamma}$,then it follows that:

$$
\kappa_1(s) < \kappa_2(s) \text{ for any } s \in [0, T].
$$

Proof. Let us consider a small positive value $\epsilon > 0$ and define:

$$
\frac{\kappa_{2,\epsilon}(s)}{h(s,\kappa_{2,\epsilon}(s))} = \frac{\kappa_2(s)}{h(s,\kappa_2(s))} + \epsilon \Big(1 + (\rho(s) - \rho(0))^{\gamma+1}\Big)
$$

Additionally, let's introduce:

$$
Z_{2,\epsilon}(s) = \frac{\kappa_{2,\epsilon}(s) - \sum_{j=1}^m I^{\beta_j,\rho} \vartheta_j(s,\kappa_{2,\epsilon}(s))}{h(s,\kappa_{2,\epsilon}(s))}.
$$

Because $\frac{\kappa_{2,\varepsilon}(s)}{h(s,\kappa_{2,\varepsilon}(s))} > \frac{\kappa_2(s)}{h(s,\kappa_2(s))}$, we can infer that $\kappa_{2,\varepsilon}(s) > \kappa_2(s)$. By employing inequality (15) along with the condition:

$$
{}^{C}D^{\gamma,\rho}\Big[\frac{\kappa_2(s)-\sum_{j=1}^m I^{\beta_i,\rho}\vartheta_j(s,\kappa_2(s))}{h(s,\kappa_1(s))}\Big]\geq g(s,\kappa_2(s))\ \ s\in J=[0,T],
$$

we can derive the following sequence of inequalities:

$$
{}^{C}D^{\gamma,\rho}Z_{2,\epsilon}(s) = {}^{C}D^{\gamma,\rho}Z_{2}(s) + \epsilon \Gamma(1+\gamma)\big(\rho(s)-\rho(0)\big)^{\gamma+1}
$$

\n
$$
\ge g(s, \kappa_{2,\epsilon}(s)) - \frac{\Lambda}{1+(\rho(s)-\rho(0))^{\gamma+1}}\big(Z_{2,\epsilon}(s) - Z_{2}(s)\big) + \epsilon \Gamma(1+\gamma)\big(\rho(s)-\rho(0)\big)^{\gamma+1}
$$

\n
$$
\ge g(s, \kappa_{2}(s)) + \epsilon \big(\Gamma(1+\gamma)(\rho(s)-\rho(0))^{2\gamma} - \Lambda\big)
$$

\n
$$
> g(s, \kappa_{2}(s)).
$$

Furthermore, since we have $\kappa_{2,\epsilon}(0) > \kappa_2(0) \ge \kappa_1(0)$, we can apply theorem 4.4 to conclude that $\kappa_{2,\epsilon}(s) > \kappa_1(s)$ for any $s \in [0, T]$. Taking the limit as ϵ approaches 0, we deduce that $\kappa_2(s) \geq \kappa_1(s)$ for all $s \in [0, T]$, which completes the proof. \square

5. conclusion

This study not only advances our comprehension of hybrid ρ -Caputo fractional integro-differential equations but also lays the groundwork for future investigations and applications in diverse areas of mathematics and its applications.

References

- [1] A. EL Mfadel, S. Melliany, M. Elomari, *Existence results for nonlocal Cauchy problem of nonlinear* ψ*-Caputo type fractional di*ff*erential equations via topological degree method*, Advances in the Theory of Nonlinear Analysis and its Applications **6** (2022), 270–279.
- [2] A. Kajouni, A. Chafiki, K. Hilal, M. Oukessou, *A New Conformable Fractional Derivative and Applications. International Journal of Di*ff*erential Equations* **2021** (2021), 1–5.
- [3] B. C. Dhage, v. Lakshmikantam, *Basic results on hybrid di*ff*erential equations*, Nonlinear Analysis: Hybrid Systems **4** (2010), 414–424.

[4] B. Zaidane, C. Derbazi, M. BENCHOHRA, ψ*-Caputo fractional di*ff*erential equations with multi-point boundary conditions by Topological Degree Theory*, Results in Nonlinear Analysis **3** (2020), 167 – 178.

- [5] H. Malghi, K. Hilal, A. El Mfadel, A. Qaffou, *Nonlocal Boundary Value Problems for Functional Hybrid Di*ff*erential Equations Involving Generalized* ω*-Caputo Fractional Operator,* Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat..
- [6] J. Sabatier, O. P. Agrawal, J. AJ. Machado, *Advances in fractional calculus*, Springer, 2009.
- [7] K. B. Oldham, *Fractional di*ff*erential equations in electrochemistry*, Adv. Eng. Softw. **41** (2010), 9–12.

- [8] K. Hilal, A. Kajouni, *Boundary value problems for hybrid di*ff*erential equations with fractional order*, Advances in Difference Equations **2015** (2015), 1–19.
- [9] M. Alotaibi, M. Jeli, M. A. Ragusa, B. Samet, *On the absence of global weak solutions for a nonlinear time-fractional Schrödinger equation*, Applicable Analysis (2023), 1–15.
- [10] M. Benyoub, M. I. Abbasb, *Addressing impulsive fractional integro-di*ff*erential equations with Caputo-Fabrizio via monotone iterative technique in Banach spaces,* Filomat **37** (2023), 4761–4770.
- [11] M. Hannabou, A. Kajouni, *Existence results of hybrid fractional sequential integro-di*ff*erntial equations*, Eng. Math. Lett **2020** (2020), Article ID 2.
- [12] M. Menchih, K. Hilal, M. Elomari, A. Kajouni, *Fractional Order Iterative Boundary Value Problem*, Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat..
- [13] M. S. Abdo, S. A. Idris, W. Albalawi, A. H. Abdel-Aty, M. Zakarya, E. E. Mahmoud, *Qualitative Study on Solutions of Piecewise Nonlocal Implicit Fractional Di*ff*erential Equations,* Journal of Function Spaces **2023** (2023).
- [14] R. Almeida, *A Caputo fractional derivative of a function with respect to another function*, Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation **44** (2017), 460-–481.
- [15] R. Hilfer, *Application of fractional calculus in physics*, New Jersey: World Scientific, 2000.