Filomat 38:23 (2024), 8243–8249 https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2423243D



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

# Commutators of maximal and potential operators on vanishing weighted Orlicz-Morrey spaces

## Fatih Deringoz<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Ahi Evran University, Kirsehir, Turkey

**Abstract.** We study mapping properties of the commutators of maximal and potential operators in vanishing weighted Orlicz-Morrey spaces. We show that the vanishing properties defining that subspaces are preserved under the action of those operators.

## 1. Introduction

Morrey spaces  $\mathcal{M}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  play an important role in the study of local behaviour and regularity properties of solutions to PDE (see e.g. [11–13]). It is well known that the Morrey spaces are non-separable if  $\lambda > 0$ . The lack of approximation tools for the entire Morrey space has motivated the introduction of appropriate subspaces like vanishing spaces. The definition of the vanishing Morrey spaces involves several vanishing conditions. Each condition generate a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{M}^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . We use the notation of [1] and show these conditions as  $(V_0)$ ,  $(V_{\infty})$  and  $(V^*)$ .

A natural step in the theory of functions spaces was to study Orlicz-Morrey spaces

 $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ 

where the "Morrey-type measuring" of regularity of functions is realized with respect to the Orlicz norm over balls instead of the Lebesgue one.

We refer to [2, 3, 8, 9] for the preservation of the vanishing property ( $V_0$ ) and to [6, 7] for the preservation of the vanishing property ( $V_\infty$ ) of  $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by some classical operators and their commutators, respectively.

In this paper, we focus on the weighted versions of above results. More precisely, the purpose of this paper is to introduce vanishing weighted Orlicz-Morrey spaces  $V_0 \mathcal{M}_w^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $V_\infty \mathcal{M}_w^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and to show that vanishing properties  $(V_0)$  and  $(V_\infty)$  are preserved under the action of the commutators of maximal operator and Riesz potential.

We use the following notation: B(x, r) is the open ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  centered at  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and radius r > 0. The (Lebesgue) measure of a measurable set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is denoted by |E| and  $\chi_E$  denotes its characteristic function.  $\varphi(B) \equiv \varphi(x, r)$  for a function  $\varphi$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B} := \{B(x, r) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0\}$ . We use *C* as a generic positive constant, i.e., a constant whose value may change with each appearance. The expression  $A \leq B$  means that  $A \leq CB$  for some independent constant C > 0, and  $A \approx B$  means  $A \leq B \leq A$ .

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46E30; Secondary 42B35, 42B20, 42B25, 47B47.

*Keywords*. weighted Orlicz-Morrey spaces, maximal operator, Riesz potential, commutators, vanishing properties

Received: 15 April 2024; Revised: 25 April 2024; Accepted: 26 April 2024

Communicated by Maria Alessandra Ragusa

Email address: deringoz@hotmail.com (Fatih Deringoz)

# 2. Preliminaries

Even though the  $A_p$  class is well known, for completeness, we offer the definition of  $A_p$  weight functions.

**Definition 2.1.** For,  $1 , a locally integrable function <math>w : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty)$  is said to be an  $A_p$  weight if

$$\sup_{B\in\mathscr{B}}\left(\frac{1}{|B|}\int_{B}w(x)dx\right)\left(\frac{1}{|B|}\int_{B}w(x)^{-\frac{p'}{p}}dx\right)^{\frac{1}{p'}}<\infty.$$

A locally integrable function  $w : \mathbb{R}^n \to [0, \infty)$  is said to be an  $A_1$  weight if

$$\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B w(y) dy \le Cw(x), \qquad a.e. \ x \in B$$

for some constant C > 0. We define  $A_{\infty} = \bigcup_{p \ge 1} A_p$ .

For any  $w \in A_{\infty}$  and any Lebesgue measurable set *E*, we write  $w(E) = \int_E w(x)dx$ . We recall the definition of Young function.

**Definition 2.2.** A function  $\Phi : [0, \infty] \to [0, \infty]$  is called a Young function if  $\Phi$  is convex, left-continuous,  $\lim_{r \to +0} \Phi(r) = \Phi(0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{r \to +0} \Phi(r) = \Phi(\infty) = \infty$ .

A Young function  $\Phi$  is said to satisfy the  $\Delta_2$ -condition, denoted also by  $\Phi \in \Delta_2$ , if

$$\Phi(2r) \le C\Phi(r), \qquad r > 0$$

for some C > 0.

A Young function  $\Phi$  is said to satisfy the  $\nabla_2$ -condition, denoted also by  $\Phi \in \nabla_2$ , if

$$\Phi(r) \le \frac{1}{2C} \Phi(Cr), \qquad r \ge 0$$

for some C > 1.

For a Young function  $\Phi$  and  $0 \le s \le \infty$ , let

$$\Phi^{-1}(s) = \inf\{r \ge 0 : \Phi(r) > s\} \qquad (\inf \emptyset = \infty).$$

A Young function  $\Phi$  is said to be of upper type p (resp. lower type p) for some  $p \in [0, \infty)$ , if there exists a positive constant C such that, for all  $t \in [1, \infty)$  (resp.  $t \in [0, 1]$ ) and  $s \in [0, \infty)$ ,

$$\Phi(st) \le Ct^p \Phi(s).$$

**Remark 2.3.** It is well known that if  $\Phi$  is lower type  $p_0$  and upper type  $p_1$  with  $1 < p_0 \le p_1 < \infty$  if and only if  $\Phi \in \Delta_2 \cap \nabla_2$ .

**Definition 2.4 (weighted Orlicz Space).** *For a Young function*  $\Phi$  *and*  $w \in A_{\infty}$ *, the set* 

$$L^{\Phi}_{w}(\mathbb{R}^{n}) \equiv \left\{ f - measurable : \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi(k|f(x)|)w(x)dx < \infty \text{ for some } k > 0 \right\}$$

is called the weighted Orlicz space. The local weighted Orlicz space  $L^{\Phi}_{w,\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined as the set of all functions f such that  $f\chi_{\scriptscriptstyle B} \in L^{\Phi}_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for all balls  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Note that  $L^{\Phi}_{w}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$  is a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}_{w}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \equiv \|f\|_{L^{\Phi}_{w}} = \inf\left\{\lambda > 0 : \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right) w(x) dx \le 1\right\}$$

and we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi\Big(\frac{|f(x)|}{\|f\|_{L^{\Phi}_w}}\Big) w(x) dx \le 1.$$
(1)

For  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , let

 $||f||_{L^{\Phi}_{w}(\Omega)} := ||f\chi_{\Omega}||_{L^{\Phi}_{w}}.$ 

In [4], the weighted Orlicz–Morrey space  $\mathcal{M}_{w}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$  was introduced to unify weighted Orlicz spaces and generalized weighted Morrey spaces. The definition of  $\mathcal{M}_{w}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$  is as follows:

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $\varphi$  be a positive measurable function on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty)$ ,  $w \in A_\infty$  and  $\Phi$  any Young function. Denote by  $\mathcal{M}_{w}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$  the generalized weighted Orlicz-Morrey space, the space of all functions  $f \in L_{w,\text{loc}}^{\Phi}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$  such that

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}_w(\mathbb{R}^n)} \equiv \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}_w} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \mathfrak{A}_{\Phi,\varphi,w}(f;x,r) < \infty,$$

where  $\mathfrak{A}_{\Phi,\varphi,w}(f;x,r) = \varphi(x,r)^{-1} \Phi^{-1}(w(B(x,r))^{-1}) ||f||_{L^{\Phi}_{w}(B(x,r))}$ .

For a Young function  $\Phi$  and  $w \in A_{\infty}$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{G}_{\Phi}^{w}$  the set of all functions  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^{n} \times (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\inf_{B \in \mathcal{B}; r_B \leq r_{B_0}} \varphi(B) \gtrsim \varphi(B_0) \quad \text{for all } B_0 \in \mathcal{B}$$

and

$$\inf_{B\in\mathcal{B}; r_B\geq r_{B_0}} \frac{\varphi(B)}{\Phi^{-1}(w(B)^{-1})} \gtrsim \frac{\varphi(B_0)}{\Phi^{-1}(w(B_0)^{-1})} \quad \text{for all } B_0\in\mathcal{B},$$

where  $r_B$  and  $r_{B_0}$  denote the radius of the balls *B* and  $B_0$ , respectively. It will be assumed that the functions  $\varphi$  are of the class  $\mathcal{G}_{\Phi}^w$  in the sequel. We refer to [5, Section 5] for more information about this condition.

We consider the following subspaces of  $\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$ :

**Definition 2.6.** The vanishing weighted Orlicz-Morrey space at origin  $V_0\mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined as the spaces of functions  $f \in \mathcal{M}^{\Phi,\varphi}_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$\lim_{r\to 0}\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}\mathfrak{A}_{\Phi,\varphi,w}(f;x,r)=0.$$

The vanishing weighted Orlicz-Morrey space at infinity  $V_{\infty}\mathcal{M}_{w}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$  is defined as the spaces of functions  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{w}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$  such that

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}\mathfrak{A}_{\Phi,\varphi,w}(f;x,r)=0.$$

The vanishing subspace  $V_{\infty}\mathcal{M}_{w}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$  and  $V_{0}\mathcal{M}_{w}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$  are nontrivial if  $\mathcal{G}_{\Phi}^{w}$  satisfies the additional conditions

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\Phi^{-1}(w(B(x,r))^{-1})}{\varphi(x,r)} = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{r\to 0}\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}\frac{1}{\varphi(x,r)}=0,$$

8245

respectively. Since then they contain bounded functions with compact support.

We recall that the space  $BMO(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{b \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n) : ||b||_* < \infty\}$  is defined by the seminorm

$$||b||_* := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, r > 0} \frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \int_{B(x, r)} |b(y) - b_{B(x, r)}| dy < \infty,$$

where  $b_{B(x,r)} = \frac{1}{B(x,r)} \int_{B(x,r)} b(y) dy$ . Now, we define operators investigated in this paper.

The maximal commutator is defined by

$$M_b f(x) := \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B(x,r)|} \int_{B(x,r)} |b(x) - b(y)| |f(y)| dy.$$

Let us, also, define the commutator of the Riesz potential

$$[b, I_{\alpha}]f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{b(x) - b(y)}{|x - y|^{n - \alpha}} f(y) dy, \qquad 0 < \alpha < n$$

#### 3. Auxiliary Estimates

The following estimates play an essential role in the proof of our results.

**Lemma 3.1.** [4, Lemma 5.6] Let  $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\Phi$  be a Young function which is of lower type  $p_0$  and upper type  $p_1$ with  $1 < p_0 \le p_1 < \infty$ ,  $w \in A_{p_0}$ ,  $f \in L_w^{\Phi, \text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and B = B(x, r). Then

$$\|M_b f\|_{L^{\Phi}_{w}(B)} \lesssim \frac{\|b\|_*}{\Phi^{-1}(w(B)^{-1})} \sup_{t>r} \left(1 + \ln \frac{t}{r}\right) \Phi^{-1}\left(w(B(x,t))^{-1}\right) \|f\|_{L^{\Phi}_{w}(B(x,t))}.$$
(2)

**Lemma 3.2.** [10, Theorem 5.9] Let  $0 < \alpha < n$ ,  $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\Phi$  be a Young function which is of lower type  $p_0$  and upper type  $p_1$  with  $1 < p_0 \le p_1 < \infty$ ,  $w \in A_{p_0}$ , and  $\varphi(x, t)$  satisfies the condition

$$r^{\alpha}\varphi(x,r) + \int_{r}^{\infty} \left(1 + \ln\frac{t}{r}\right)\varphi(x,t)t^{\alpha}\frac{dt}{t} \leq \varphi(x,r)^{\beta}$$
(3)

for some  $\beta \in (0,1)$  and for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and r > 0. Then for the operator  $[b, I_\alpha]$  we have the following pointwise estimate

$$|[b, I_{\alpha}]f(x)| \leq ||b||_{*} (M_{b}f(x))^{\beta} ||f||_{\mathcal{M}^{\theta, \varphi}_{w}}^{1-\beta}.$$
(4)

We now give theorems that will guarantee the norm inequalities for the operators  $M_b$  and  $[b, I_{\alpha}]$  in vanishing spaces.

**Theorem 3.3.** [4, Theorem 1.2] Let  $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\Phi$  be a Young function which is of lower type  $p_0$  and upper type  $p_1$  with  $1 < p_0 \le p_1 < \infty$ ,  $w \in A_{p_0}$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_{\Phi}^w$  satisfies the condition

$$\sup_{r < t < \infty} \left( 1 + \ln \frac{t}{r} \right) \varphi(x, t) \le C_0 \, \varphi(x, r), \tag{5}$$

for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and r > 0, where  $C_0$  does not depend on x and r. Then the operator  $M_b$  is bounded from  $M_w^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to  $M^{\Phi,\varphi}_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** [10, Theorem 5.9] Let  $0 < \alpha < n$ ,  $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\Phi$  be a Young function which is of lower type  $p_0$ and upper type  $p_1$  with  $1 < p_0 \le p_1 < \infty$ ,  $w \in A_{p_0}$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_{\Phi}^w$ . Let  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  and define  $\eta(x, t) \equiv \varphi(x, t)^{\beta}$  and  $\Psi(t) \equiv \Phi(t^{1/\beta})$ . If conditions (3) and (5) hold, then  $[b, I_{\alpha}]$  is bounded from  $\mathcal{M}_{w}^{\Phi, \varphi}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$  to  $\mathcal{M}_{w}^{\Psi, \eta}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ .

# 4. Main Results

In this section, we show that the subspaces  $V_0 \mathcal{M}_w^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $V_\infty \mathcal{M}_w^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  are invariant with respect to operators  $M_b$  and  $[b, I_\alpha]$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\Phi$  be a Young function which is of lower type  $p_0$  and upper type  $p_1$  with  $1 < p_0 \le p_1 < \infty$ ,  $w \in A_{p_0}$ . If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_{\Phi}^w$  satisfies the condition (5), then the maximal commutator  $M_b$  is bounded on  $V_{\infty}\mathcal{M}_{w}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $M_b$  is bounded in  $\mathcal{M}_w^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (cf. Theorem 3.3) we only have to show that it preserves the vanishing property  $(V_{\infty})$ :

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}\mathfrak{A}_{\Phi,\varphi,w}(f;x,r)=0 \implies \lim_{r\to\infty}\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}\mathfrak{A}_{\Phi,\varphi,w}(M_bf;x,r)=0.$$

If  $f \in V_{\infty}\mathcal{M}_{w}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$  then for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $R = R(\epsilon) > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \mathfrak{A}_{\Phi,\varphi,w}(f;x,t) < \epsilon \quad \text{for every } t \ge R.$$

Using inequality (2), we get

$$\mathfrak{A}_{\Phi,\varphi,w}(M_bf;x,r) \lesssim \frac{||b||_*}{\varphi(x,r)} \sup_{r < t < \infty} \left(1 + \ln \frac{t}{r}\right) \Phi^{-1} \left(w(B(x,t))^{-1}\right) ||f||_{L^{\Phi}_w(B(x,t))} \lesssim ||b||_* \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and every  $r \ge R$  (with the implicit constants independent of *x* and *r*). Therefore

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}\mathfrak{A}_{\Phi,\varphi,w}(M_bf;x,r)=0$$

and hence  $M_b f \in V_{\infty} \mathcal{M}_w^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .  $\square$ 

Now we show that the vanishing property  $(V_{\infty})$  is also preserved by the operator  $[b, I_{\alpha}]$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $0 < \alpha < n$ ,  $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\Phi$  be a Young function which is of lower type  $p_0$  and upper type  $p_1$ with  $1 < p_0 \le p_1 < \infty$ ,  $w \in A_{p_0}$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_{\Phi}^w$ . Let  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  and define  $\eta(x, t) \equiv \varphi(x, t)^{\beta}$  and  $\Psi(t) \equiv \Phi(t^{1/\beta})$ . If conditions (3) and (5) hold, then  $[b, I_\alpha]$  is bounded from  $V_\infty \mathcal{M}_w^{\Phi, \varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $V_\infty \mathcal{M}_w^{\Psi, \eta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

*Proof.* The boundedness of the operator  $[b, I_{\alpha}]$  in weighted Orlicz-Morrey spaces follows from Theorem 3.4. To show the preservation of vanishing property, we make use of the pointwise estimate (4).

Note that from (1) we get

$$\int_{B(x,r)} \Psi\left(\frac{(M_b f(z))^{\beta}}{\|M_b f\|_{L_w^{\Phi}(B(x,r))}^{\beta}}\right) w(z) dz = \int_{B(x,r)} \Phi\left(\frac{M_b f(z)}{\|M_b f\|_{L_w^{\Phi}(B(x,r))}}\right) w(z) dz \le 1.$$

Thus

$$\|(M_b f)^{\beta}\|_{L^{\Psi}_w(B(x,r))} \le \|M_b f\|_{L^{\Phi}_w(B(x,r))}^{\beta}.$$
(6)

By (4) and (6), we get

$$\mathfrak{A}_{\Psi,\eta,w}([b,I_{\alpha}]f;x,r) \leq \left(\mathfrak{A}_{\Phi,\varphi,w}(M_{b}f;x,r)\right)^{\beta} \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}_{w}^{\Phi,\varphi}}^{1-\beta}$$

$$\tag{7}$$

for all r > 0 and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $f \in V_{\infty}\mathcal{M}_w^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $M_b f \in V_{\infty}\mathcal{M}_w^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by Theorem 4.1. Consequently, we have  $[b, I_{\alpha}] f \in V_{\infty}\mathcal{M}_w^{\Psi,\eta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  taking into account estimate (7).  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\Phi$  be a Young function which is of lower type  $p_0$  and upper type  $p_1$  with  $1 < p_0 \le p_1 < \infty$ ,  $w \in A_{p_0}$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_{\Phi}^w$  satisfies (5). Let also

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \frac{\ln \frac{1}{r}}{\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x, r)} = 0$$
(8)

and

$$m_{\delta} := \sup_{\delta < t < \infty} (1 + |\ln t|) \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x, t) < \infty$$
(9)

for every  $\delta > 0$ . Then the maximal commutator  $M_b$  is bounded on  $V_0 \mathcal{M}_w^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Proof. The norm inequalities follow from Theorem 3.3, so we only have to prove that

$$\lim_{r \to 0} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \mathfrak{A}_{\Phi,\varphi,w}(f;x,r) = 0 \implies \lim_{r \to 0} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \mathfrak{A}_{\Phi,\varphi,w}(M_b f;x,r) = 0.$$
(10)

We rewrite the inequality (2) in the form

$$\mathfrak{A}_{\Phi,\varphi,w}(M_bf;x,r) \le C \frac{\sup_{t>r} \left(1 + \ln\frac{t}{r}\right) \Phi^{-1} \left(w(B(x,t))^{-1}\right) \|f\|_{L^{\Phi}(B(x,t))}}{\varphi(x,r)}.$$
(11)

To show that  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \mathfrak{A}_{\Phi,\varphi,w}(M_b f; x, r) < \varepsilon$  for small r, we split the right-hand side of (11):

$$\mathfrak{A}_{\Phi,\varphi,w}(M_b f; x, r) \le C[I_{\delta_0}(x, r) + J_{\delta_0}(x, r)],$$
(12)

where  $\delta_0 > 0$  will be chosen as shown below (we may take  $\delta_0 < 1$ ) and

$$I_{\delta_0}(x,r) := \frac{\sup_{r < t < \delta_0} \left(1 + \ln \frac{t}{r}\right) \Phi^{-1} \left(w(B(x,t))^{-1}\right) ||f||_{L^{\Phi}(B(x,t))}}{\varphi(x,r)}$$
$$J_{\delta_0}(x,r) := \frac{\sup_{t > \delta_0} \left(1 + \ln \frac{t}{r}\right) \Phi^{-1} \left(w(B(x,t))^{-1}\right) ||f||_{L^{\Phi}(B(x,t))}}{\varphi(x,r)}$$

and it is supposed that  $r < \delta_0$ . Now we choose any fixed  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \mathfrak{A}_{\Phi, \varphi, w}(f; x, t) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2CC_0}, \text{ for all } 0 < t < \delta_0,$$

where *C* and *C*<sub>0</sub> are the constants from (12) and (5), which is possible since  $f \in V\mathcal{M}_{w}^{\Phi,\varphi}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ . Then

$$\Phi^{-1} \Big( w(B(x,t))^{-1} \Big) \|f\|_{L^{\Phi}(B(x,t))} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2CC_0} \varphi(x,t)$$

and we obtain the estimate of the first term uniform in  $r \in (0, \delta_0)$ :

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} CI_{\delta_0}(x,r) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \ 0 < r < \delta_0$$

For the second term, writing  $1 + \ln \frac{t}{r} \le 1 + |\ln t| + \ln \frac{1}{r}$ , we obtain

$$J_{\delta_0}(x,r) \leq \frac{m_{\delta_0} + \overline{m_{\delta_0}}}{\varphi(x,r)} ||f||_{\mathcal{M}_w^{\Phi,\varphi}},$$

where  $m_{\delta_0}$  is the constant from (9) with  $\delta = \delta_0$  and  $\widetilde{m_{\delta_0}}$  is a similar constant with omitted logarithmic factor. Then, by (8) it suffices to choose r small enough such that  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} J_{\delta_0}(x, r) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ , which completes the proof of (10).  $\Box$  Similar to the proof of Theorem 4.2 we can show that the vanishing property ( $V_0$ ) is also preserved by the operator [b,  $I_\alpha$ ]. But now using Theorem 4.3 instead of Theorem 4.1 in the proof.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $b \in BMO(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $\Phi$  be a Young function which is of lower type  $p_0$  and upper type  $p_1$  with  $1 < p_0 \le p_1 < \infty$ ,  $w \in A_{p_0}$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_{\Phi}^w$ . Let  $\beta \in (0, 1)$  and define  $\eta(x, t) \equiv \varphi(x, t)^{\beta}$  and  $\Psi(t) \equiv \Phi(t^{1/\beta})$ . If conditions (3), (5), (8) and (9) hold, then  $[b, I_\alpha]$  is bounded from  $V_0 \mathcal{M}_m^{\Phi, \varphi}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $V_0 \mathcal{M}_m^{\Psi, \eta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

## References

- [1] A. Almeida, S. Samko, Approximation in Morrey spaces, J. Funct. Anal., 272 (2017), 2392–2411.
- [2] F. Deringoz, V. S. Guliyev, S. Samko, Boundedness of the maximal operator and its commutators on vanishing generalized Orlicz-Morrey spaces, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math., 40 (2015), 535–549.
- [3] F. Deringoz, V. S. Guliyev, S. Samko, Vanishing generalized Orlicz–Morrey spaces and fractional maximal operator, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 90(1–2) (2017), 125–147.
- [4] F. Deringoz, V. S. Guliyev, S. Hasanov, Maximal operator and its commutators on generalized weighted Orlicz-Morrey spaces, Tokyo J. Math., 41(2) (2018), 347–369.
- [5] F. Deringoz, V. S. Guliyev, E. Nakai, Y. Sawano, M. Shi, Generalized fractional maximal and integral operators on Orlicz and generalized Orlicz-Morrey spaces of the third kind, Positivity, 23 (2019), 727–757.
- [6] F. Deringoz, K. Dorak, F. A. Mislar, Some classical operators in a new vanishing generalized Orlicz-Morrey space, Trans. Natl. Acad. Sci. Azerb. Ser. Phys.-Tech. Math. Sci., 42(4) (2022), 38–45.
- [7] F. Deringoz, K. Dorak, F. A. Mislar, Commutators of classical operators in a new vanishing Orlicz-Morrey space, Proc. Inst. Math. Mech. Natl. Acad. Sci. Azerb., 49(1) (2023), 69–77.
- [8] V. S. Guliyev, F. Deringoz, J. Hasanov, Φ-admissible singular operators and their commutators on vanishing generalized Orlicz-Morrey spaces, J. Inequal. Appl., 2014:143 (2014), 18 pp.
- [9] V. S. Guliyev, F. Deringoz, J. Hasanov, (Φ, Ψ)-admissible potential operators and their commutators on vanishing Orlicz-Morrey spaces, Collect. Math., 67 (2016), 133–153.
- [10] V. S. Guliyev, F. Deringoz, Riesz potential and its commutators on generalized weighted Orlicz–Morrey spaces, Math. Nachr., 295 (2022), 706–724.
- [11] G. Imerlishvili, A. Meskhi, M. A. Ragusa, One-sided potentials in weighted central Morrey spaces, J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.) (2024), doi:10.1007/s10958-024-06997-2.
- [12] A. Kucukaslan, Generalized fractional integrals in the vanishing generalized weighted local and global Morrey spaces, Filomat, 37(6) (2023), 1893–1905.
- [13] T. Ono, Tent space approach of Morrey spaces and their application to duality and complex interpolation, J. Funct. Spaces, 2023:5822846 (2023).