Filomat 38:24 (2024), 8541–8552 https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2424541A



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

Extremal solutions of fuzzy fractional differential equations with ψ -Caputo derivative via monotone iterative method

Elhoussain Arhrrabi^{a,b,*}, M'hamed Elomari^a, Said Melliani^a, Lalla Saadia Chadli^a

^aLAMSC, Laboratory of Applied Mathematics and Scientific Calculus Sultan Moulay Sliman University, PO Box 523, 23000 Beni mellal, Morocco ^bLaboratory of Systems, Control and Decision (LSCD), School of New Engineering Sciences (ENSI), Tangier, Morocco

Abstract. In this paper, using the monotone iterative technique combined with the method of upper and lower solutions, the authors investigate the existence of extremal solutions to a class of fuzzy fractional differential equations (FFDEs) with time-delays involving the ψ -Caputo derivative. Also, with aid of generalized Grönwall inequality, we investigative the Hyers-Ulam stability of solution for the system under consideration. Lately, two examples are provided to illustrate the theoretical results.

1. Introduction

In recent years, there has been a growing interest in the study of a class of dynamic systems described by fuzzy fractional differential equations with time-delays. These equations introduce a novel perspective in mathematical modeling by incorporating the ψ -Caputo derivative, a specialized fractional derivative, which allows for a more accurate representation of complex phenomena in various fields of science and engineering. A novel and broad fractional derivative known as the ψ -Caputo fractional derivative was presented by Almeida [1]. You may find more information and properties of this fractional derivative in [2–5, 13, 14, 22–24]. In summary, the theory of ψ -Caputo fuzzy fractional differential equations is a relatively new area of research and the existence and stability results for these equations are still being actively investigated.

An efficient tool that provides existence results in a closed set formed by the lower and upper solutions is the monotone iterative approach combined with the method of upper and lower solutions. This method has been extensively studied and a range of nonlinear problems have been solved (see [15–20, 25]). In [21] Derbazi et al. considered the following initial value problem of fractional differential equations

$$\mathcal{D}_{0^{+}}^{\gamma;\psi} \mathbf{z}(u) = \mathfrak{h}(u, \mathbf{z}(u)), \qquad u \in [0, T],$$

$$\mathbf{z}(u) = u^{*} \in \mathbb{R},$$
 (1)

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 34A07 mandatory; Secondary 34A08, 26A33 optionally.

Keywords. Generalized Grönwall inequality, extremal solutions, Schauder fixed point theorem, Ulam–Hyers stability, upper and lower solutions, fractional differential equations.

Received: 26 September 2023; Revised: 04 June 2024; Accepted: 05 June 2024

Communicated by Maria Alessandra Ragusa

^{*} Corresponding author: Elhoussain Arhrrabi

Email addresses: arhrrabi.elhoussain@gmail.com (Elhoussain Arhrrabi), mhamedmaster@gmail.com (M'hamed Elomari), saidmelliani@gmail.com (Said Melliani), sa.chadli@yahoo.fr (Lalla Saadia Chadli)

where $\mathcal{D}_{0^+}^{\gamma,\psi}$ is the ψ -Caputo fractional derivative of order $0 < \gamma < 1$ and $\mathfrak{h} : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a given continuous function. They studied the existence and uniqueness of extremal solutions. Wang et al. [29] studied the existence and stability of solutions of Caputo type FFDEs with time-delays of the form

$$\begin{cases} {}^{C}\mathcal{D}_{0^{+}}^{\gamma}\mathbf{z}(u) = g(u, \mathbf{z}(u), \mathbf{z}(u-\sigma)), & u \in [0, T], \\ \mathbf{z}(u) = \varphi(u), & u \in [-\sigma, 0], \end{cases}$$
(2)

where ${}^{C}\mathcal{D}_{0^+}^{\gamma}$ is the Caputo fractional derivative of order $0 < \gamma < 1$ and $\mathfrak{g} : \mathbf{I} \times \mathbf{E}^n \times \mathbf{E}^n \longrightarrow \mathbf{E}^n$ is continuous function, $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^+$ represents the delay, $\varphi(u)$ is history function. They established existence results by Schauder's fixed point theorem and a hypothetical condition. Also they showed the uniqueness of the solution by using Banach contraction principle. In addition, with aid of generalized Grönwall inequality the Ulam-Hyers stability are discussed.

Vinh An et al. [26] investigated sufficient conditions for the existence of extremal solutions of the following fuzzy fractional Volterra integral equations involving the generalized kernel functions

$$\mathbf{z}(u) \ominus_{gH} f(u) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{a}^{u} \psi'(v) \left(\psi'(u) - \psi(v)\right)^{\gamma-1} h(v, \mathbf{z}(v)) dv,$$
(3)

where $0 < \gamma < 1$, $f : [a, c] \rightarrow E^n$ and $h : [a, c] \times E^n \rightarrow E^n$ is a given function. the results obtained are based on the method of upper and lower solutions coupled with its associated monotone iteration scheme. Arhrrabi et al. [6]-[12] studied different types of fuzzy stochastic and fuzzy fractional differential equations. To the best of our knowledge, no results have been published on the existence of extremal solutions to systems of fuzzy fractional differential equations with ψ -Caputo derivatives using the monotone iterative method. As a consequence, we want to fill the gap in the literature and advance this field of study. Here, we are concerned with a novel class of fuzzy fractional differential equations with ψ -Caputo derivative

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_{0^+}^{\gamma;\psi} \mathbf{z}(u) = \mathfrak{f}(u, \mathbf{z}(u), \mathbf{z}(u-\sigma)), & u \in \mathbf{I} := [0, d], \\ \mathbf{z}(u) = \varphi(u), & u \in [-\sigma, 0], \end{cases}$$
(4)

where $0 < \zeta < 1$ and $\mathcal{D}_{0^+}^{\gamma;\psi}$ is the ψ -Caputo fractional derivative of order $0 < \gamma < 1$ and $\mathfrak{f} : \mathbf{I} \times \mathbf{E}^n \times \mathbf{E}^n \longrightarrow \mathbf{E}^n$ is continuous function, $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^+$ represents the delay, $\varphi(u)$ is history function.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, We introduce some essential definitions and propositions. The existence of extremal solutions of FFDEs are given in Section 3. Afterwards, in Section 4 Ulam–Hyers stability result of system under consideration is established. Section 5 includes two examples to demonstrate the usefulness of our findings. The last section is where you come to a conclusion.

2. Preliminaries

The definitions and propositions that are utilized throughout this paper are introduced in this part.

Definition 2.1. [29] The set of fuzzy subsets of \mathbb{R}^n is denoted by $\mathbf{E}^n := \{\Upsilon : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow [0, 1]\}$ which satisfies:

- (*i*) Υ *is upper semicontinous on* \mathbb{R}^n ,
- (*ii*) Υ *is fuzzy convex, i.e, for* $0 \le \lambda \le 1$

that are motivated by the aforementioned studies:

$$\Upsilon(\lambda z_1 + (1 - \lambda)z_2) \ge \min\{\Upsilon(z_1), \Upsilon(z_2)\}, \ \forall z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

(*iii*) $[\Upsilon]^0 = \overline{\{z \in \mathbb{R}^n : \Upsilon(z) > 0\}}$ is compact,

(iv) Υ is normal, i.e, $\exists z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\Upsilon(z_0) = 1$.

Remark 2.2. Eⁿ is called the space of fuzzy number.

Definition 2.3. [29] The p-level set of $\Upsilon \in \mathbf{E}^n$ is defined by: For $p \in (0, 1]$, we have $[\Upsilon]^p = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^n | \Upsilon(z) \ge p\}$ and for p = 0 we have $[\Upsilon]^0 = \overline{\{z \in \mathbb{R}^n | \Upsilon(z) > 0\}}$.

Remark 2.4. From Definition 2.1, it follows that the p-level set $[\Upsilon]^p$ of Υ , is a nonempty compact interval and $[\Upsilon]^p = [\underline{\Upsilon}(p), \overline{\Upsilon}(p)]$. Moreover, $len([\Upsilon]^p) = l([\Upsilon]^p) = \overline{\Upsilon}(p) - \underline{\Upsilon}(p)$.

Definition 2.5. [29] For addition and scalar multiplication in fuzzy set space E^n , we have

$$[\Upsilon_1 + \Upsilon_2]^p = [\Upsilon_1]^p + [\Upsilon_2]^p = \{z_1 + z_2 \mid z_1 \in [\Upsilon_1]^p, z_2 \in [\Upsilon_2]^p\},\$$

and

$$[\alpha \Upsilon]^p = \alpha [\Upsilon]^p = \{ \alpha z \mid z \in [\Upsilon]^p \},\$$

for all $p \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.6. [29] The Hausdorff distance is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}_{\infty}\big(\Upsilon_{1},\Upsilon_{2}\big) &= \sup_{0 \le p \le 1} \left\{ |\underline{\Upsilon}_{1}(p) - \underline{\Upsilon}_{2}(p)|, |\overline{\Upsilon}_{1}(p) - \overline{\Upsilon}_{2}(p)| \right\}, \\ &= \sup_{0 \le p \le 1} \mathcal{D}_{H}\big([\Upsilon_{1}]^{p}, [\Upsilon_{2}]^{p} \big). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.7. E^n is complete metric space with the above definition (see [29, 30]) and we have the following properties of D_{∞} :

$$\mathbf{D}_{\infty}(\Upsilon_{1} + \Upsilon_{3}, \Upsilon_{2} + \Upsilon_{3}) = \mathbf{D}_{\infty}(\Upsilon_{1}, \Upsilon_{2}),$$
$$\mathbf{D}_{\infty}(\lambda\Upsilon_{1}, \lambda\Upsilon_{2}) = |\lambda|\mathbf{D}_{\infty}(\Upsilon_{1}, \Upsilon_{2}),$$
$$\mathbf{D}_{\infty}(\Upsilon_{1}, \Upsilon_{2}) \leq \mathbf{D}_{\infty}(\Upsilon_{1}, \Upsilon_{3}) + \mathbf{D}_{\infty}(\Upsilon_{3}, \Upsilon_{2}),$$

for all $\Upsilon_1, \Upsilon_2, \Upsilon_3 \in \mathbf{E}^{\mathbf{n}}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 2.8. [29] Let $\Upsilon_1, \Upsilon_2 \in \mathbf{E}^n$, if there exists $\Upsilon_3 \in \mathbf{E}^n$ such that $\Upsilon_1 = \Upsilon_2 + \Upsilon_3$, then Υ_3 is called the Hukuhara difference of Υ_1 and Υ_2 noted by $\Upsilon_1 \ominus \Upsilon_2$.

Definition 2.9. [27] The generalized Hukuhara difference (gH-difference) of $\Upsilon_1, \Upsilon_2 \in \mathbf{E}^n$ is defined as follows:

$$\Upsilon_1 \ominus_{gH} \Upsilon_2 = \Upsilon_3 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} (i) \ \Upsilon_1 = \Upsilon_2 + \Upsilon_3, \ if \ len([\Upsilon_1]^p) \ge len([\Upsilon_2]^p). \\ (ii) \ \Upsilon_2 = \Upsilon_1 + (-1)\Upsilon_3, \ if \ len([\Upsilon_2]^p) \ge len([\Upsilon_1]^p). \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.10. [29] Let a fuzzy function $\Upsilon : [a, b] \longrightarrow \mathbf{E}^n$. If for every $p \in [0, 1]$, the function $u \longmapsto len[\Upsilon(u)]^p$ is increasing (decreasing) on [a, b], then Υ is called increasing (decreasing) on [a, b].

Remark 2.11. If Υ is increasing or decreasing, then we say that Υ is monotone on [a, b].

Definition 2.12. [28] Let $\Upsilon, \Psi \in \mathbf{E}^n$. The partial orders \leq and \geq in the fuzzy space can be defined as follows:

- $\Upsilon \leq \Psi$ if and only if $\underline{\Upsilon}(p) \geq \underline{\Psi}(p)$ and $\overline{\Upsilon}(p) \leq \overline{\Psi}(p)$.
- $\Upsilon \geq \Psi$ if and only if $\underline{\Upsilon}(p) \leq \underline{\Psi}(p)$ and $\overline{\Upsilon}(p) \geq \overline{\Psi}(p)$.

Remark 2.13. The last definition is equivalent to $[\Upsilon]^p \subseteq [\Psi]^p$ ($[\Upsilon]^p \supseteq [\Psi]^p$), for all $p \in [0, 1]$.

Proposition 2.14. [28] For $\Upsilon_1, \Upsilon_2, \Upsilon_3, \Upsilon_4 \in \mathbf{E}^n$, we have the following assertions: (1) $\Upsilon_1 = \Upsilon_2 \iff \Upsilon_1 \leq \Upsilon_2$ and $\Upsilon_1 \geq \Upsilon_2$. (2) $\Upsilon_1 \leq \Upsilon_2 \implies \Upsilon_1 + \Upsilon_3 \leq \Upsilon_2 + \Upsilon_3$. (3) $\Upsilon_1 \leq \Upsilon_2$ and $\Upsilon_3 \leq \Upsilon_4 \implies \Upsilon_1 + \Upsilon_3 \leq \Upsilon_2 + \Upsilon_4$. (4) Let $(\Upsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an increasing sequence satisfying $\Upsilon_n \longrightarrow \Upsilon$ in \mathbf{E}^n , then $\Upsilon_n \leq \Upsilon \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 2.15. [28] Let $C([c, d], \mathbf{E}^n)$ be the space of continuous fuzzy functions from [c, d] into \mathbf{E}^n and (\mathbf{E}^n, \leq) be a partially ordered space, then we have the following properties:

(1) $(C([c,d], \mathbf{E}^n), \leq)$ is a partially ordered space.

(2) In the ordered space $(C([c,d], \mathbf{E}^n), \leq)$, every pair of elements has an upper bound.

(3) Let $(\mathbf{z}_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ an increasing sequence in $C([c,d], \mathbf{E}^n)$ with the order \leq such that $\mathbf{z}_n \longrightarrow \mathbf{z}$ in $C([c,d], \mathbf{E}^n)$, then $\mathbf{z}_n \leq \mathbf{z}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Notation:

- $C([c, d], E^n)$ denote the set of all continuous fuzzy functions.
- $AC([c,d], E^n)$ denote the set of all absolutely continuous fuzzy functions on [c,d] with value in E^n .
- $C_{\gamma;\psi}([c,d], \mathbf{E}^n)$ denote the weighted space of the fuzzy function **z** on [c,d] defined by

$$C_{\gamma;\psi}([c,d],\mathbf{E}^{\mathbf{n}}) = \{\mathbf{z}: [c,d] \longrightarrow \mathbf{E}^{\mathbf{n}}, ((\psi(u) - \psi(c)))^{\gamma} \mathbf{z}(u) \in C([c,d],\mathbf{E}^{\mathbf{n}})\}.$$

Definition 2.16. [27] The ψ -Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order $\gamma > 0$ of function $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{E}^n$ on [c, d] with respect to the nondecreasing differentiable function $\psi : [c, d] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ with $\psi'(u) \neq 0$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{I}_{c+}^{\gamma;\psi}\mathbf{z}(u) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{c}^{u} \psi'(v) \big(\psi(u) - \psi(v)\big)^{\gamma-1} \mathbf{z}(v) dv,$$

Definition 2.17. [27] Let $\mathbf{z}, \psi \in C^n([c, d], \mathbf{E}^n)$ be two functions such that ψ is nondecreasing with $\psi'(u) \neq 0$ for all $u \in [c, d]$. The ψ -Caputo fractional derivative of order $\gamma > 0$ of a continuous function \mathbf{z} is given by

$$\mathcal{D}_{c+}^{\gamma;\psi} \mathbf{z}(u) := \mathcal{I}_{c^+}^{n-\gamma;\psi} \left(\frac{1}{\psi'(v)} \frac{d}{du}\right)^n \mathbf{z}(u),$$

where $n = [\gamma] + 1$ for $\gamma \notin \mathbb{N}$ and $\gamma = n$ for $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 2.18. A monotone fuzzy function $\mathbf{z} \in C([0, d], \mathbf{E}^n)$ is a solution of the system (4) if and only if \mathbf{z} satisfies

$$\mathbf{z}(u) \ominus_{gH} \varphi(0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^u \psi'(v) \big(\psi(u) - \psi(v)\big)^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{f}\big(v, \mathbf{z}(v), \mathbf{z}(v-\sigma)\big) dv,$$
(5)

and $u \mapsto \mathcal{I}_{c+}^{\gamma;\psi}\mathfrak{f}(u, \mathbf{z}(u), \mathbf{z}(u-\sigma))$ is increasing on [0, d].

Remark 2.19. • If $\mathbf{z} \in C([0, d], \mathbf{E}^n)$ such that $len([\mathbf{z}(u)]^p) \ge len([\varphi(0)]^p)$, then (5) becomes

$$\mathbf{z}(u) = \varphi(0) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^u \psi'(v) \big(\psi(u) - \psi(v)\big)^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{f}\big(v, \mathbf{z}(v), \mathbf{z}(v-\sigma)\big) dv.$$
(6)

• If $\mathbf{z} \in C([0, d], \mathbf{E}^{\mathbf{n}})$ such that $len([\mathbf{z}(u)]^p) \le len([\varphi(0)]^p)$, then (5) becomes

$$\mathbf{z}(u) = \varphi(0) \ominus \frac{(-1)}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^u \psi'(v) \big(\psi(u) - \psi(v)\big)^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{f}\big(v, \mathbf{z}(v), \mathbf{z}(v-\sigma)\big) dv.$$
(7)

Remark 2.20. • Let $\psi(u) = u$, then the equation (5) becomes the following Riemann–Liouville fuzzy fractional integral equations

$$\mathbf{z}(u) \ominus_{gH} \varphi(0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^u \left(u - v \right)^{\gamma - 1} \mathfrak{f} \left(v, \mathbf{z}(v), \mathbf{z}(v - \sigma) \right) dv.$$

• Let $\psi(u) = u^{\rho}$, then the equation (5) becomes the following Katugampola fuzzy fractional integral equations

$$\mathbf{z}(u) \ominus_{gH} \varphi(0) = \frac{\rho^{1-\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^u v^{\rho-1} (u^\rho - v^\rho)^{\gamma-1} f(v, \mathbf{z}(v), \mathbf{z}(v-\sigma)) dv.$$

• Let $\psi(u) = ln(u)$, then the equation (5) becomes the following Hadamard fuzzy fractional integral equations

$$\mathbf{z}(u) \ominus_{gH} \varphi(0) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^u \left(ln(u) - ln(v) \right)^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{f}(v, \mathbf{z}(v), \mathbf{z}(v-\sigma)) \frac{dv}{v}$$

Definition 2.21. [28] • A monotone fuzzy function $\mathbf{z}^{L} \in C([-\sigma, d], \mathbf{E}^{n})$ is said to be a lower solution for (4) if

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_{0^+}^{\gamma;\psi} \mathbf{z}^L(u) \leq \mathfrak{f}(u, \mathbf{z}^L(u), \mathbf{z}^L(u-\sigma)), & u \in \mathbf{I}, \\ \mathbf{z}^L(u) \leq \varphi(u), & u \in [-\sigma, 0], \end{cases}$$

• A monotone fuzzy function $\mathbf{z}^U \in C([-\sigma, d], \mathbf{E}^n)$ is said to be a upper solution for (4) if

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_{0^+}^{\gamma;\psi} \mathbf{z}^U(u) \geq \mathfrak{f}(u, \mathbf{z}^U(u), \mathbf{z}^U(u-\sigma)), & u \in \mathbf{I}, \\ \mathbf{z}^U(u) \geq \varphi(u), & u \in [-\sigma, 0], \end{cases}$$

3. Existence and uniqueness

We make the following hypotheses concerning the coefficients of the system under consideration:

(H1) For all $\phi_1, \phi_2, \nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathbf{E}^n$ and $u \in [-\sigma, d]$, there exist $N_1 > 0$ such that

$$\mathbf{D}_{\infty}\big[\mathfrak{f}(u,\phi_1,v_1),\mathfrak{f}(u,\phi_2,v_2)\big] \leq N_1\big(\mathbf{D}_{\infty}[\phi_1,\phi_2] + \mathbf{D}_{\infty}[v_1,v_2]\big),$$

(H2) For $u \in [-\sigma, d]$, there exist a positive constant N_2 such that

$$\mathbf{D}_{\infty}\left[\varphi(u),\hat{0}\right] \leq N_2.$$

We will now use the monotone iterative technique combined with the method of upper and lower solutions to demonstrate our results.

Theorem 3.1. Assume that the hypotheses (H1) and (H2) are true, then there exists a unique monotone solution $\mathbf{z} \in [\mathbf{z}^L, \mathbf{z}^U]$ for the system (4) in $C([-\sigma, d], \mathbf{E}^n)$.

Proof. We divide the subsequent proof into three steps.

Step 1: We'll demonstrate that system (4) has at least one solution. Consider the operator $\mathfrak{H} : C([-\sigma, d], \mathbf{E}^n) \longrightarrow C([-\sigma, d], \mathbf{E}^n)$ defined as follows

$$\mathfrak{H}(\mathbf{z}(u)) = \begin{cases} \varphi(0) \otimes \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^u \psi'(v) (\psi(u) - \psi(v))^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{f}(v, \mathbf{z}(v), \mathbf{z}(v-\sigma)) dv \right), u \in \mathbf{I}, \\ \varphi(u), \quad u \in [-\sigma, 0], \end{cases}$$
(8)

where $\otimes := \{+, \ominus(-1)\}$ and the fuzzy function $u \mapsto \mathcal{I}_{0^+}^{\gamma;\psi}\mathfrak{f}(u, \mathbf{z}(u), \mathbf{z}(u-\sigma))$ is increasing on [0, d]. \circ Firstly, we will prove that \mathfrak{H} is completely continuous. For this, let us prove that: $\circledast \cdot \mathfrak{H}$ is continuous. Indeed, for any integer $n \ge 1$, define $\mathbf{z}_n(u) = \varphi(u)$ for all $u \in [-\sigma, 0]$. For all $u \in \mathbf{I}$

$$\mathfrak{H}(\mathbf{z}_n(u)) = \varphi(0) \otimes \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^u \psi'(v) (\psi(u) - \psi(v))^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{f}(v, \mathbf{z}_n(v), \mathbf{z}_n(v - \sigma)) dv\right).$$
(9)

By using the properties of the metric D_{∞} and hypothesis (H1), we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \Big[\mathfrak{H}(\mathbf{z}_{n}(u)), \mathfrak{H}(\mathbf{z}(u)) \Big] \\ &= \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \Big[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{u} \frac{\psi'(v) \mathfrak{f}(v, \mathbf{z}_{n}(v), \mathbf{z}_{n}(v - \sigma))}{\left(\psi(u) - \psi(v)\right)^{1 - \gamma}} dv, \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{u} \frac{\psi'(v) \mathfrak{f}(v, \mathbf{z}(v), \mathbf{z}(v - \sigma))}{\left(\psi(u) - \psi(v)\right)^{1 - \gamma}} dv \Big] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{u} \psi'(v) \Big(\psi(u) - \psi(v)\Big)^{\gamma - 1} \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \Big[\mathfrak{f}(v, \mathbf{z}_{n}(v), \mathbf{z}_{n}(v - \sigma)), \mathfrak{f}(v, \mathbf{z}(v), \mathbf{z}(v - \sigma)) \Big] dv, \\ &\leq \frac{N_{1} \Big(\psi(u) - \psi(0)\Big)^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} \Big(\mathbf{D}_{\infty} \Big[\mathbf{z}_{n}(u), \mathbf{z}(u) \Big] + \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \Big[\mathbf{z}_{n}(u - \sigma), \mathbf{z}(u - \sigma) \Big] \Big), \end{split}$$

or, by using the definition of D_{∞} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}_{\infty}[\mathbf{z}_{n}(v-\sigma),\mathbf{z}(v-\sigma)] &= \sup_{0 \le p \le 1} \max_{0 \le v \le u} \{ || \, \underline{\mathbf{z}}_{n}(v-\sigma,p) - \underline{\mathbf{z}}(v-\sigma,p) ||, || \overline{\mathbf{z}}_{n}(v-\sigma,p) - \overline{\mathbf{z}}(v-\sigma,p) || \}, \\ &= \sup_{0 \le p \le 1} \max_{-\sigma \le \mu \le u-\sigma} \{ || \, \underline{\mathbf{z}}_{n}(\mu,p) - \underline{\mathbf{z}}(\mu,p) ||, || \overline{\mathbf{z}}_{n}(\mu,p) - \overline{\mathbf{z}}(\mu,p) || \}, \\ &\leq \sup_{0 \le p \le 1} \max_{-\sigma \le \mu \le 0} \{ || \, \underline{\mathbf{z}}_{n}(\mu,p) - \underline{\mathbf{z}}(\mu,p) ||, || \overline{\mathbf{z}}_{n}(\mu,p) - \overline{\mathbf{z}}(\mu,p) || \} \\ &+ \sup_{0 \le p \le 1} \max_{0 \le \mu \le u-\sigma} \{ || \, \underline{\mathbf{z}}_{n}(\mu,p) - \underline{\mathbf{z}}(\mu,p) ||, || \overline{\mathbf{z}}_{n}(\mu,p) - \overline{\mathbf{z}}(\mu,p) || \}, \\ &\leq \sup_{0 \le p \le 1} \max_{0 \le v \le u} \{ || \, \underline{\mathbf{z}}_{n}(v,p) - \underline{\mathbf{z}}(s,r) ||, || \overline{\mathbf{z}}_{n}(v,p) - \overline{\mathbf{z}}(v,p) || \} = \mathbf{D}_{\infty}[\mathbf{z}_{n}(v),\mathbf{z}(v)]. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we get

$$\mathbf{D}_{\infty}\big[\mathfrak{H}(\mathbf{z}_{n}(u)),\mathfrak{H}(\mathbf{z}(u))\big] \leq \frac{2N_{1}\big(\psi(u)-\psi(0)\big)^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}\mathbf{D}_{\infty}\big[\mathbf{z}_{n}(u),\mathbf{z}(u)\big]$$

We can conclude that $\mathbf{D}_{\infty}[\mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{z}_n(u)), \mathfrak{L}(\mathbf{z}(u))] \longrightarrow 0$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. Therefore, \mathfrak{H} is continuous. (b)- We prove that there exists a positive constant ξ_1 and for all $\zeta_1 > 0$ satisfying for all $\mathbf{z}(u) \in \mathbf{B}_{\zeta_1} := \{\mathbf{z}(u) \in C([-\sigma, d], \mathbf{E}^n) | \mathbf{D}_{\infty}[\mathbf{z}(u), \hat{0}] \le \zeta_1\}$ one has $\mathbf{D}_{\infty}[\mathfrak{H}(\mathbf{z}(u)), \hat{0}] \le \xi_1$. In fact, for all $u \in \mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{z}(u) \in \mathbf{B}_{\zeta_1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \Big[\mathfrak{H} \Big(\mathbf{z}(u) \Big), \hat{0} \Big] &= \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \Big[\varphi(0) \circledcirc \Big(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{u} \psi'(v) \big(\psi(u) - \psi(v) \big)^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{f} \Big(v, \mathbf{z}(v), \mathbf{z}(v - \sigma) \big) dv \Big), \hat{0} \Big], \\ &\leq \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \Big[\varphi(0), \hat{0} \Big] + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{u} \psi'(v) \big(\psi(u) - \psi(v) \big)^{\gamma-1} \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \Big[\mathfrak{f} \Big(v, \mathbf{z}(v), \mathbf{z}(v - \sigma) \Big), \hat{0} \Big] dv, \\ &\leq N_{2} + \frac{\big(\psi(u) - \psi(0) \big)^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \Big[\mathfrak{f} \Big(u, \mathbf{z}(u), \mathbf{z}(u - \sigma) \Big), \hat{0} \Big]. \end{aligned}$$

Since the function f is continuous, there is exist a constant $M_{f} > 0$ such that $\mathbf{D}_{\infty}[f(u, \phi, \mu), \hat{0}] \leq M_{f}$. Then

$$\mathbf{D}_{\infty}\big[\mathfrak{H}\big(\mathbf{z}(u)\big), \hat{\mathbf{0}}\big] \le N_2 + \frac{M_{\mathfrak{f}}\big(\psi(d) - \psi(0)\big)^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} := \xi_1.$$

8546

Therefore, for every $\mathbf{z}(u) \in \mathbf{B}_{\varsigma_1}$, we have $\mathbf{D}_{\infty} \left[\mathfrak{H}(\mathbf{z}(u)), \hat{\mathbf{0}} \right] \leq \xi_1$, this implies that $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbf{B}_{\varsigma_1}) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_{\xi_1}$. ©- \mathfrak{H} maps bounded set into equi-continuous set. Indeed, for each $\mathbf{z}(u) \in \mathbf{B}_{\varsigma_2}$ and $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbf{I}$ such that $0 \leq u_1 < u_2 \leq d$, we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \Big[\S(\mathbf{z}(u_{1})), \S(\mathbf{z}(u_{2})) \Big] \\ &= \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \Big[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{u_{1}} \frac{\psi'(v) f(v, \mathbf{z}(v), \mathbf{z}(v - \sigma))}{(\psi(u_{1}) - \psi(v))^{1 - \gamma}} dv, \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{u_{2}} \frac{\psi'(v) f(v, \mathbf{z}(v), \mathbf{z}(v - \sigma))}{(\psi(u_{2}) - \psi(v))^{1 - \gamma}} dv \Big], \\ &\leq \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \Big[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{u_{1}} \frac{\psi'(v) f(v, \mathbf{z}(v), \mathbf{z}(v - \sigma))}{(\psi(u_{1}) - \psi(v))^{1 - \gamma}} dv, \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{u_{1}} \frac{\psi'(v) f(v, \mathbf{z}(v), \mathbf{z}(v - \sigma))}{(\psi(u_{2}) - \psi(v))^{1 - \gamma}} dv \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{u_{1}}^{u_{2}} \frac{\psi'(v) f(v, \mathbf{z}(v), \mathbf{z}(v - \sigma))}{(\psi(u_{2}) - \psi(v))^{1 - \gamma}} dv \Big], \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{u_{1}} \psi'(v) \Big| (\psi(u_{1}) - \psi(v))^{\gamma - 1} - (\psi(u_{2}) - \psi(v))^{\gamma - 1} \Big| \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \big[f(v, \mathbf{z}(v), \mathbf{z}(v - \sigma)), \hat{0} \big] dv \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{u_{1}}^{u_{2}} \psi'(v) (\psi(u_{2}) - \psi(v))^{\gamma - 1} \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \big[f(v, \mathbf{z}(v), \mathbf{z}(v - \sigma)), \hat{0} \big] dv \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} \int_{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)}^{u_{2}} \psi'(v) (\psi(u_{2}) - \psi(v))^{\gamma} \Big] \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \big[f(v, \mathbf{z}(v), \mathbf{z}(v - \sigma)), \hat{0} \big] dv, \\ &\leq \frac{(\psi(u_{1}) - \psi(0))^{\gamma} + (\psi(u_{2}) - \psi(u_{1}))^{\gamma} - (\psi(u_{2}) - \psi(0))^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \big[f(v, \mathbf{z}(v), \mathbf{z}(v - \sigma)), \hat{0} \big], \\ &\leq \frac{(\psi(u_{1}) - \psi(0))^{\gamma} + 2(\psi(u_{2}) - \psi(u_{1}))^{\gamma} - (\psi(u_{2}) - \psi(0))^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \big[f(v, \mathbf{z}(v), \mathbf{z}(v - \sigma)), \hat{0} \big], \\ &\leq \frac{(\psi(u_{1}) - \psi(0))^{\gamma} + 2(\psi(u_{2}) - \psi(u_{1}))^{\gamma} - (\psi(u_{2}) - \psi(0))^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \big[f(v, \mathbf{z}(v), \mathbf{z}(v - \sigma)), \hat{0} \big], \end{aligned}$$

We have Ψ is independent of $\mathbf{z}(u)$ and $\Psi \longrightarrow 0$ as $u_2 \longrightarrow u_1$. Then, we obtain

$$\mathbf{D}_{\infty}\left[\mathfrak{H}(\mathbf{z}(u_1)),\mathfrak{H}(\mathbf{z}(u_2))\right]\longrightarrow 0$$

It means that $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbf{B}_{\varsigma_2})$ is equi-continuous. Then, according to Ascoli-Arzelà Theorem, \mathfrak{H} is completely continuous.

• Secondly, we will prove that there is a closed, convex and bounded subset $\mathbf{B}_{\xi} = \{\mathbf{z}(u) \in C([-\sigma, d], \mathbf{E}^n) | \mathbf{D}_{\infty}[\mathbf{z}(u), \hat{0}] \le \xi\}$ such that $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbf{B}_{\xi}) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_{\xi}$. We know that \mathbf{B}_{ξ} is a closed, convex and bounded subset of $C([-\sigma, d], \mathbf{E}^n)$ for all $\xi > 0$. Suppose that for all $\xi > 0$, $\exists \mathbf{z}_{\xi}(u) \in \mathbf{B}_{\xi}$ such that $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbf{z}_{\xi}(u)) \notin \mathcal{B}_{\xi}$, that is $\mathbf{D}_{\infty}[\mathfrak{H}(\mathbf{z}_{\xi}(u)), \hat{0}] > \xi$. Then

$$\begin{split} \xi &< \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \Big[\mathfrak{H}(\mathbf{z}_{\xi}(u)), \hat{0} \Big] = \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \Big[\varphi(0) \circledcirc \Big(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{u} \psi'(v) \Big(\psi(u) - \psi(v) \Big)^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{f} \Big(v, \mathbf{z}_{\xi}(v), \mathbf{z}_{\xi}(v - \sigma) \Big) dv \Big), \hat{0} \Big], \\ &\leq \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \Big[\varphi(0), \hat{0} \Big] + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{u} \psi'(v) \Big(\psi(u) - \psi(v) \Big)^{\gamma-1} \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \Big[\mathfrak{f} \Big(v, \mathbf{z}_{\xi}(v), \mathbf{z}_{\xi}(v - \sigma) \Big), \hat{0} \Big] dv, \\ &\leq N_{2} + \frac{\Big(\psi(u) - \psi(0) \Big)^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \Big[\mathfrak{f} \Big(v, \mathbf{z}_{\xi}(v), \mathbf{z}_{\xi}(v - \sigma) \Big), \hat{0} \Big], \\ &\leq N_{2} + \frac{\Big(\psi(u) - \psi(0) \Big)^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} M_{\mathfrak{f}}. \end{split}$$

Taking limit as $\xi \longrightarrow +\infty$, we obtain that $N_2 + \frac{(\psi(u) - \psi(0))^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}M_{\dagger} \longrightarrow +\infty$ which is in contradiction with $N_2 + \frac{(\psi(u) - \psi(0))^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}M_{\dagger}$ is bounded. Therefore, for every positive constant ξ , we obtain $\mathfrak{H}(\mathbf{B}_{\xi}) \subseteq \mathbf{B}_{\xi}$. By means of Schauder's fixed point Theorem implying that there is at least one solution to the system (4).

Step 2: In this step, we demonstrate that $\mathbf{z} \in [\mathbf{z}^L, \mathbf{z}^U]$. For this, we consider for all $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\mathbf{z}_{\varepsilon}^{L}(u) + \varepsilon(\sigma + u) = \mathbf{z}^{L}(u),$$

and

$$\mathbf{z}^{U}_{\varepsilon}(u) = \mathbf{z}^{U}(u) + \varepsilon(\sigma + u).$$

After that, we obtain

$$\mathbf{z}_{\varepsilon}^{L}(u) < \mathbf{z}^{L}(u), \quad u \in [-\sigma, d],$$

and

$$\mathbf{z}^{U}_{\varepsilon}(u) > \mathbf{z}^{U}(u), \quad u \in [-\sigma, d].$$

Consequently, it is simple to deduce

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{z}_{\varepsilon}^{L}(u) \leq \mathbf{z}^{L}(u) \leq \mathbf{z}^{U}(u) \leq \mathbf{z}_{\varepsilon}^{U}(u), & u \in \mathbf{I}, \\ \\ \mathbf{z}_{\varepsilon}^{L}(u) \leq \mathbf{z}^{L}(u) \leq \mathbf{z}^{U}(u) \leq \mathbf{z}_{\varepsilon}^{U}(u), & u \in [-\sigma, 0], \end{array} \right.$$

and

$$\mathbf{z}_{\varepsilon}^{L}(0) \leq \mathbf{z}^{L}(0) \leq \mathbf{z}^{U}(0) \leq \mathbf{z}_{\varepsilon}^{U}(0),$$

where $\mathbf{z}^{L}(u)$, $\mathbf{z}^{U}(u)$ are the lower and upper solutions of the system (4). Therefore, we get

$$\mathbf{z}_{\varepsilon}^{L}(u) \leq \mathbf{z}^{L}(u) \leq \mathbf{z}(u) \leq \mathbf{z}^{U}(u) \leq \mathbf{z}_{\varepsilon}^{U}(u), \quad u \in [-\sigma, 0],$$

and

$$\mathbf{z}_{\varepsilon}^{L}(0) \leq \mathbf{z}(0) \leq \mathbf{z}_{\varepsilon}^{U}(0),$$

where $\mathbf{z}(u)$ is a solutions of the system (4). Now, we must demonstrate that

 $\mathbf{z}_{\varepsilon}^{L}(u) \prec \mathbf{z}(u) \prec \mathbf{z}_{\varepsilon}^{U}(u), \quad u \in \mathbf{I}.$

If the previous claim is false, then there exists $u_1 \in \mathbf{I}$ such that

$$\mathbf{z}(u_1) = \mathbf{z}_{\varepsilon}^L(u_1)$$

and

$$\mathbf{z}_{\varepsilon}^{L}(u) \prec \mathbf{z}(u) \prec \mathbf{z}_{\varepsilon}^{U}(u), \quad u \in \mathbf{I} \setminus \{u_{1}\}.$$

(10)

Moreover, we have $\mathcal{D}_{0^+}^{\gamma;\psi} \mathbf{z}(u_1) \geq \mathcal{D}_{0^+}^{\gamma;\psi} \mathbf{z}_{\varepsilon}^U(u_1)$, which give

$$\mathcal{D}_{0^{+}}^{\gamma;\psi} \mathbf{z}_{\varepsilon}^{U}(u_{1}) = \mathfrak{f}\left(u_{1}, \mathbf{z}_{\varepsilon}^{U}(u_{1}), \mathbf{z}_{\varepsilon}^{U}(u_{1}-\sigma)\right)\right)$$
$$\leq \mathcal{D}_{0^{+}}^{\gamma;\psi} \mathbf{z}(u_{1}) = \mathfrak{f}\left(u_{1}, \mathbf{z}(u_{1}), \mathbf{z}(u_{1}-\sigma)\right)\right).$$

Therefore, from $\mathbf{z}(u) \leq \mathbf{z}_{\varepsilon}^{U}(u), u \in [-\sigma, 0]$ and (10), we get

$$\mathbf{z}(u_1 + \lambda) < \mathbf{z}_{\varepsilon}^{u}(u_1 + \lambda), \quad \lambda \in [-\sigma, 0].$$

Given the increasing property of the function $f(u, \mathbf{z}(u), \mathbf{z}(u - \sigma)))$, it is simple to get

$$f(u_1, \mathbf{z}_{\varepsilon}^U(u_1), \mathbf{z}_{\varepsilon}^U(u_1 - \sigma))) \geq f(u_1, \mathbf{z}(u_1), \mathbf{z}(u_1 - \sigma))),$$

which is a contradiction. Hence we know that $\mathbf{z}(u) \prec \mathbf{z}_{\varepsilon}^{U}(u), u \in \mathbf{I}$. Similarly, we can prove that $\mathbf{z}_{\varepsilon}^{L}(u) \prec \mathbf{z}(u), u \in \mathbf{I}$. Therefore, $\mathbf{z}_{\varepsilon}^{L}(u) \prec \mathbf{z}(u) \prec \mathbf{z}_{\varepsilon}^{U}(u), u \in \mathbf{I}$ holds. Now as $\varepsilon \longrightarrow 0$, we get that $\mathbf{z}^{L}(u) \leq \mathbf{z}(u) \leq \mathbf{z}^{U}(u)$.

Step 3: In this last step, we shall prove the uniqueness. Let **z** and **w** two different solutions of (4). We have $\mathbf{z}(u) = \mathbf{w}(u) = \varphi(u)$ for all $u \in [-\sigma, 0]$, and for all $u \in \mathbf{I}$ we have

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \Big[\mathbf{z}(u), \mathbf{w}(u) \Big] \\ &= \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \Big[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{u} \frac{\psi'(v) \mathbf{f} \Big(v, \mathbf{z}(v), \mathbf{z}(v-\sigma) \Big)}{\left(\psi(u) - \psi(v) \right)^{1-\gamma}} dv, \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{u} \frac{\psi'(v) \mathbf{f} \Big(v, \mathbf{w}(v), \mathbf{w}(v-\sigma) \Big)}{\left(\psi(u) - \psi(v) \right)^{1-\gamma}} ds \Big] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{u} \psi'(v) \Big(\psi(u) - \psi(v) \Big)^{\gamma-1} \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \Big[\mathbf{f}(s, \mathbf{z}(v), \mathbf{z}(v-\sigma)), \mathbf{f}(v, \mathbf{w}(v), \mathbf{w}(v-\sigma)) \Big] dv, \\ &\leq \frac{N_{1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{u} \psi'(v) \Big(\psi(u) - \psi(v) \Big)^{\gamma-1} \Big(\mathbf{D}_{\infty} \Big[\mathbf{z}(v), \mathbf{w}(v) \Big] + \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \Big[\mathbf{z}(v-\sigma), \mathbf{w}(v-\sigma) \Big] \Big) dv, \\ &\leq \frac{2N_{1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{u} \psi'(v) \Big(\psi(u) - \psi(v) \Big)^{\gamma-1} \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \Big[\mathbf{z}(v), \mathbf{w}(v) \Big] dv. \end{split}$$

Therefore, the generalized Grönwall inequality implies that

$$\mathbf{D}_{\infty}\big[\mathbf{z}(u),\mathbf{w}(u)\big]=0.$$

As a result, the solution of (4) is unique. \Box

4. Stability result

г

In this section, Ulam-Hyers stability is demonstrated for the system (4).

Definition 4.1. [29] For all $\varepsilon > 0$, if $\mathbf{z} \in C([-\sigma, d], \mathbf{E}^n)$ satisfies

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \Big[\mathbf{z}(u), \varphi(0) \otimes \Big(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{u} \psi'(v) \Big(\psi(u) - \psi(v) \Big)^{\gamma-1} \mathfrak{f} \Big(v, \mathbf{z}(v), \mathbf{z}(v-\sigma) \Big) dv \Big) \Big] \leq \varepsilon, \quad u \in \mathbf{I}, \\ \mathbf{z}(u) = \varphi(u), \quad u \in [-\sigma, 0], \end{aligned}$$
(11)

then there is a real number $C_{\mathfrak{f}} > 0$ and a solution $\mathbf{w} \in C([-\sigma, d], \mathbf{E}^n)$ of system (4) with

$$\mathbf{D}_{\infty} \left| \mathbf{w}(u), \mathbf{z}(u) \right| \leq \varepsilon C_{\mathfrak{f}},$$

which implies the solution to system (4) is Ulam-Hyers stable.

Remark 4.2. $\mathbf{z} \in C([-\sigma, d], \mathbf{E}^n)$ is a solution of (11) if and only if $\exists \phi \in C([-\sigma, d], \mathbf{E}^n)$ such that

(i)
$$\mathbf{D}_{\infty} [\phi(u), \hat{0}] \leq \varepsilon,$$

(ii)
$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_{0^{+}}^{\gamma; \psi} \mathbf{z}(u) = \mathfrak{f}(u, \mathbf{z}(u), \mathbf{z}(u - \sigma)) + \phi(u), & u \in \mathbf{I}, \\ \mathbf{z}(u) = \varphi(u), & u \in [-\sigma, 0], \end{cases}$$

Theorem 4.3. Under the hypotheses (H1) and (H2), the system (4) is Ulam–Hyers stable.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{z}(u)$ be the solution of the system (11) and $\mathbf{w}(u)$ be the solution of the proposed system (4). In light of Definition 2.18 and Remark 2.19, we have

$$\mathbf{z}(u) = \varphi(0) \otimes \left[\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^u \psi'(v) (\psi(u) - \psi(v))^{\gamma-1} (\mathfrak{f}(v, \mathbf{z}(v), \mathbf{z}(v - \sigma)) + \phi(v)) dv\right],\tag{12}$$

and $u \mapsto I_{c+}^{\gamma;\psi}(\mathfrak{f}(u, \mathbf{z}(u), \mathbf{z}(u-\sigma)) + \phi(u))$ is increasing on [0, d], where $\odot := \{+, \ominus(-1)\}$. Note that for $u \in [-\sigma, 0]$, we have $\mathbf{D}_{\infty}[\mathbf{w}(u), \mathbf{z}(u)] = 0$.

For
$$u \in \mathbf{I}$$
, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \Big[\mathbf{w}(u), \mathbf{z}(u) \Big] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{u} \psi'(s) \Big(\psi(u) - \psi(v) \Big)^{\gamma-1} \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \Big[\mathfrak{f} \Big(v, \mathbf{w}(v), \mathbf{w}(v - \sigma) \Big), \mathfrak{f} \Big(v, \mathbf{z}(v), \mathbf{z}(v - \sigma) \Big) \Big] dv \\ &\qquad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{u} \psi'(v) \Big(\psi(u) - \psi(s) \Big)^{\gamma-1} \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \Big[\phi(v), \hat{0} \Big] dv, \\ &\leq \frac{N_{1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{u} \psi'(v) \Big(\psi(u) - \psi(v) \Big)^{\gamma-1} \Big(\mathbf{D}_{\infty} \Big[\mathbf{w}(v), \mathbf{z}(v) \Big] + \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \Big[\mathbf{w}(v - \sigma), \mathbf{z}(v - \sigma) \Big] \Big) dv + \frac{\left(\psi(u) - \psi(0) \right)^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} \varepsilon, \\ &\leq \frac{2N_{1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{u} \psi'(v) \Big(\psi(u) - \psi(v) \Big)^{\gamma-1} \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \Big[\mathbf{w}(v), \mathbf{z}(v) \Big] dv + \frac{\left(\psi(u) - \psi(0) \right)^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} \varepsilon, \\ &\leq \frac{2N_{1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_{0}^{u} \psi'(v) \Big(\psi(u) - \psi(s) \Big)^{\gamma-1} \mathbf{D}_{\infty} \Big[\mathbf{w}(v), \mathbf{z}(v) \Big] dv + \frac{\left(\psi(u) - \psi(0) \right)^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

So, the generalized Grönwall inequality implies that

$$\mathbf{D}_{\infty}\left[\mathbf{w}(u), \mathbf{z}(u)\right] \leq \frac{\left(\psi(u) - \psi(0)\right)^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \varepsilon \mathbf{E}_{\gamma}\left(2N_1\left(\psi(u) - \psi(0)\right)^{\gamma}\right).$$

Let

$$C_{\dagger} = \frac{\left(\psi(u) - \psi(0)\right)^{\gamma}}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} \mathbf{E}_{\gamma} \left(2N_1 \left(\psi(u) - \psi(0)\right)^{\gamma}\right),$$

then

$$\mathbf{D}_{\infty}\left[\mathbf{w}(u),\mathbf{z}(u)\right] \leq \varepsilon C_{\mathfrak{f}}.$$

Therefore, from Definition 4.1, the system (4) is Ulam–Hyers stable. \Box

5. Example

In this section, we provide an illustration of the results from the previous part.

5.1. *Example* 1

Consider the following fuzzy fractional differential system

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_{0^+}^{\frac{1}{3};u^2} \mathbf{z}(u) = \frac{1}{12} \mathbf{z}(u) + \frac{1}{20} \mathbf{z}(u-1) + (-3,0,3), & u \in (0,4], \\ \mathbf{z}(u) = (-u-2,0,u+2), & u \in [-1,0], \end{cases}$$
(13)

where $\gamma = \frac{1}{3}$ and $\mathfrak{f}(u, \mathbf{z}(u), \mathbf{z}(u-1)) = \frac{1}{12}\mathbf{z}(u) + \frac{1}{20}\mathbf{z}(u-1) + (-3, 0, 3)$. The verification demonstrates that all assumptions in Theorem 3.1 are met in full. Then, the problem (13) has an extremal solution $\mathbf{z} \in [\mathbf{z}^L, \mathbf{z}^U]$ on [-1, 4]. Also, we can verify that system (13) satisfies all assumptions in Theorem 4.3. Then, system (13) is Ulam–Hyers stable.

5.2. Example 2

Let

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_{0^+}^{\frac{1}{2};u} \mathbf{z}(u) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \mathbf{z}(u) \log\left(\frac{1}{u} + 1\right) + \frac{1}{\pi^2 + 1} \sin \mathbf{z}(u - 2), & u \in (0, 3], \\ \mathbf{z}(u) = (u + 2, 0, -u - 2), & u \in [-2, 0], \end{cases}$$
(14)

where $f(u, \mathbf{z}(u), \mathbf{z}(u-2)) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \mathbf{z}(u) \log(\frac{1}{u} + 1) + \frac{1}{\pi^2 + 1} \sin \mathbf{z}(u-2)$ and $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$. For $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{E}^n$, we have

$$\mathbf{D}_{\infty}\left[\mathfrak{f}(u,\mathbf{z}(u),\mathbf{z}(u-2)),\mathfrak{f}(u,\mathbf{w}(u),\mathbf{w}(u-2))\right] \leq N_{1}\left(\mathbf{D}_{\infty}[\mathbf{z}(u),\mathbf{w}(u)] + \mathbf{D}_{\infty}[\mathbf{z}(u-2),\mathbf{w}(u-2)]\right),$$

where $N_1 = \max\{\frac{1}{\pi^2}, \frac{1}{\pi^2+1}\}$. Then, the problem (14) has an extremal solution $\mathbf{z} \in [\mathbf{z}^L, \mathbf{z}^U]$ on [-1,4]. Also, we can verify that system (14) satisfies all assumptions in Theorem 4.3. Then, system (14) is Ulam–Hyers stable.

6. Conclusion

This research has examined a class of ψ -Caputo fuzzy fractional differential equations with time delay in the sense of generalized Hukuhara differentiability. The monotone iterative technique combined with the method of upper and lower solutions are employed under Lipschitz conditions to demonstrate the existence of extremal solutions. Finally, by using the generalized Grönwall inequality, Ulam–Hyers stability result for the main system is provided.

References

- R. Almeida, A Caputo fractional derivative of a function with respect to another function, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul. 44 (2017) 460-481.
- [2] R. Almeida, Fractional differential equations with mixed boundary conditions, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 42 (2019) 1687-1697.
 [3] R. Almeida, A.B. Malinowska, M.T.T. Monteiro, Fractional differential equations with a Caputo derivative with respect to a kernel function and their applications, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. 41 (2018) 336-352.
- [4] R. Almeida, A.B. Malinowska, T. Odzijewicz, Optimal leader-follower control for the fractional opinion formation model, J. Optim. Theory Appl. 182 (2019) 1171-1185.
- [5] R. Almeida, M. Jleli, B. Samet, A numerical study of fractional relaxationoscillation equations involving ψ-Caputo fractional derivative, Rev. R. Acad.Cienc. Exactas Fis. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM 113 (2019) 1873-1891.
- [6] E. Arhrrabi, M. Elomari, S. Melliani, L. S. Chadli. Existence and stability of solutions of fuzzy fractional stochastic differential equations with fractional Brownian motions. Adv Fuzzy Syst 2021;2021:3948493.
- [7] E. Arhrrabi, M. Elomari and S. Melliani, Averaging principle for fuzzy stochastic differential equations.
- [8] E. Arhrrabi, A. Taqbibt, M. H. Elomari, S. Melliani, and L. saadia Chadli. (2021, May). Fuzzy fractional boundary value problem. In 2021 7th International Conference on Optimization and Applications (ICOA) (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
- [9] E. Arhrrabi, M. Elomari, S. Melliani, L. S. Chadli, Existence and Stability of Solutions for a Coupled System of Fuzzy Fractional Pantograph Stochastic Differential Equations, Asia Pac. J. Math., 9 (2022), 20. doi:10.28924/APJM/9-20.
- [10] E. Arhrrabi, M. Elomari, S. Melliani, L. S. Chadli, Existence and Uniqueness Results of Fuzzy Fractional Stochastic Differential Equations with Impulsive. In International Conference on Partial Differential Equations and Applications, Modeling and Simulation. (2023) (pp. 147-163). Springer, Cham.
- [11] E. Arhrrabi, M. Elomari, S. Melliani, L. S. Chadli (2023). Existence and Controllability results for fuzzy neutral stochastic differential equations with impulses. Boletim da Sociedade Paranaense de Matemática, 41, 1-14.
- [12] E. Arhrrabi, M. Elomari, S. Melliani, L. S. Chadli. Fuzzy Fractional Boundary Value Problems With Hilfer Fractional Derivatives, Asia Pac. J. Math., 10 (2023), 4. doi:10.28924/APJM/10-4.
- [13] E. Arhrrabi, M. Elomari, S. Melliani, L. S. Chadli., Existence and finite-time stability results for a class of nonlinear Hilfer fuzzy fractional differential equations with time-delays, Filomat, 38 (8), 2877–2887, (2024);
- [14] E. Arhrrabi, M. Elomari, S. Melliani, L. S. Chadli., Existence and uniqueness results of fuzzy fractional stochastic differential equations with impulsive. International Conferenceon Partial Differential Equations and Applications, Modeling and Simulation (pp. 147-163). Springer, Cham.
 [15] E. Arhrrabi, M. Elomari, S. Melliani, Some results on Ulam-Hyers stability of ψ-Hilfer fuzzy fractional differential equations with
- [15] E. Arhrrabi, M. Elomari, S. Melliani, Some results on Ulam-Hyers stability of ψ -Hilfer fuzzy fractional differential equations with time-varying delays. Novi Sad Journal of Mathematics.
- [16] Ε. Arhrrabi, M. Elomari, S. Melliani, Existence and Ulam Hyers Mittag Leffler stability results of ψ-Hilfer fuzzy fractional differential equations. Boletim da Sociedade Paranaense de Matemática,

8551

- [17] T.G. Bhaskar, V. Lakshmikantham and J.V. Devi, Monotone iterative technique for functional differential equations with retardation and anticipation, Nonlinear Analysis: TMA 66, 2237–2242.
- [18] C. Derbazi, Z. Baitiche, M. Benchohra, A. Cabada, Initial value problem for nonlinear fractional differential equations with ψ -Caputo derivative via monotone iterative technique, Axioms 9 (2020) 57.
- [19] J.V. Devi, Generalized monotone iterative technique for set differential equations involving causal operators with memory, Int J Adv Eng Sci Appl Math 8(3) (2011), 74–83.
- [20] J.V. Devi, Ch.V. Sreedhar and S. Nagamani, Monotone iterative technique for integro differential equations with retardation and anticipation, Commun Appl Anal 14 (2010), 325–336.
- [21] C. Derbazi, Z. Baitiche, M. Benchohra, and A. Cabada. Initial value problem for nonlinear fractional differential equations with ψ-Caputo derivative via monotone iterative technique. Axioms, (2020), 9(2), 57.
- [22] M. Fardi, E. Amini, S. Al-Omari, On certain analogues of Noor integral operators associated with fractional integrals, Journal of Function Spaces, vol.2024, art.n.4565581, (2024);
- [23] S. Melliani, E. Arhrrabi, M. Elomari, L. S. Chadli., Existence and Uniqueness Solutions of Fuzzy Fractional Integration-Differential Problem Under Caputo gHDifferentiability. Applied Mathematics and Modelling in Finance, Marketing and Economics. Springer, Cham.
- [24] G. Imerlishvili, A. Meskhi, M.A. Ragusa, One-sided potentials in weighted central Morrey spaces, Journal of Mathematical Sciences (United States) doi:10.1007/s10958-024-06997-2, (2024).
- [25] R. Rodriguez-Lopez, Monotone method for fuzzy differential equations, Fuzzy Sets Systems 159 (2008), 2047–2076
- [26] T. Vinh An, and N. Van Hoa. Extremal solutions of fuzzy fractional Volterra integral equations involving the generalized kernel functions by the monotone iterative technique. Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems,(2020) 38(4), 5143-5155.
- [27] R. VİVEK, D. V. VİVEK, K. Kangarajan, and E. ELSAYED. Some results on the study of-Hilfer type fuzzy fractional differential equations with time delay. Proceedings of International Mathematical Sciences, 4(2), 65-76.
- [28] H. Vu, and N. Van Hoa, Applications of contractive-like mapping principles to fuzzy fractional integral equations with the kernel ψ -functions. Soft Computing, (2020) 24(24), 18841-18855.
- [29] X. Wang, D. Luo, and Q. Zhu. Ulam-Hyers stability of caputo type fuzzy fractional differential equations with time-delays. Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, (2022) 156, 111822.
- [30] L. Zadeh. Fuzzy sets. Information and Control 1965;8(3):338-353.