



## Symmetric $q$ -Appel polynomials via determinantal approaches

Hedi Elmonser<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, College of Sciences-Zulfi, Majmaah University, Majmaah, 11952, Saudi Arabia.  
Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technologie and Applied Sciences, Tunis, Tunisia

**Abstract.** This paper sets out to give a determinantal definition for symmetric  $q$ -Appel polynomials (symmetric under the interchange  $q \leftrightarrow q^{-1}$ ) and justify some properties in the lights of the new definition.

### 1. Quantum and symmetric quantum calculus

Noteworthy, this study will be based on the forthcoming notions and notations of the  $q$ -theory (see [8] and [9]). Along this work, the parameter  $q$  is taken such that  $q > 0$  and  $q \neq 1$ .

For all complex number  $a$ , the  $q$ -shifted factorials are given by

$$(a; q)_0 = 1, \quad (a; q)_n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^i) = (1 - a)(1 - aq) \dots (1 - aq^{n-1}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (1)$$

The  $q$ -analogue of the complex number  $x \in \mathbb{C}$  is defined by

$$[x]_q = \frac{1 - q^x}{1 - q}, \quad x \in \mathbb{C}, \quad (2)$$

and

$$[\widetilde{x}]_q = \frac{q^x - q^{-x}}{q - q^{-1}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (3)$$

Also, we denote

$$[n]_q! = \prod_{k=1}^n [k]_q = \frac{(q; q)_n}{(1 - q)^n} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad [0]_q! = 1 \quad (4)$$

and

$$[\widetilde{n}]_q! = \prod_{k=1}^n [\widetilde{k}]_q \quad \text{for } n \geq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad [\widetilde{0}]_q! = 1. \quad (5)$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05A30, 05A40, 11B68.

*Keywords.* Symmetric  $q$ -polynomials, Determinantal, Appel.

Received: 19 March 2024; Accepted: 22 May 2024

Communicated by Paola Bonacini

*Email address:* h.elmonser@mu.edu.sa (Hedi Elmonser)

The q-binomial coefficient is defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{[n]_q!}{[k]_q! [n-k]_q!}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n. \tag{6}$$

Similarly we can define the symmetric (symmetric under the interchange  $q \longleftrightarrow q^{-1}$ ) q-binomial coefficient by

$$\widetilde{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}}_q = \frac{[\widetilde{n}]_q!}{[\widetilde{k}]_q! [\widetilde{n-k}]_q!}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n. \tag{7}$$

The following relations are useful in the sequel

1.  $[\widetilde{x}]_q = [x]_{q^{-1}}$ .
2.  $[\widetilde{x}]_q = q^{-(x-1)} [x]_{q^2}$ .
3.  $\widetilde{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}}_q = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{\frac{1}{q}}$ .

The symmetric q-derivative  $\widetilde{D}_q$  of a function  $f$  is defined by

$$(\widetilde{D}_q f)(x) = \frac{f(qx) - f(q^{-1}x)}{(q - q^{-1})x}, \text{ if } x \neq 0, \tag{8}$$

$(\widetilde{D}_q f)(0) = f'(0)$  if  $f'(0)$  exists.

$\widetilde{D}_q f$  and  $D_q$  are related as follows:

$$\widetilde{D}_q f(x) = D_{q^2} f(q^{-1}x) \tag{9}$$

where

$$D_q f(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(qx)}{(1 - q)x}. \tag{10}$$

The following properties hold ([9])

1.  $\widetilde{D}_q x^n = [\widetilde{n}]_q x^{n-1}$ ,
  2.  $\widetilde{D}_q (\widetilde{x-a})_q^n = [\widetilde{n}]_q (\widetilde{x-a})_q^{n-1}$ ,
- where  $(\widetilde{x-a})_q^n = (x - q^{n-1}a)(x - q^{n-3}a)(x - q^{n-5}a)\dots(x - q^{-n+1}a)$  and  $(\widetilde{x-a})_q^0 = 1$ .

In the special case  $a = 0$ , we have  $(\widetilde{x-0})_q^n = (\widetilde{x})_q^n = x^n$ .

A q-analogue of the Gauss binomial formula is given by

$$(\widetilde{x+a})_q^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q a^{n-k} x^k. \tag{11}$$

The symmetric q-integral or  $\widetilde{q}$ -integral is defined by ([9])

$$\int_0^a f(x) d_{\widetilde{q}} x = a(q^{-1} - q) \sum_{n=1,3,\dots} q^n f(q^n a), \tag{12}$$

$$\int_a^b f(x)d_{\tilde{q}}x = \int_0^b f(x)d_{\tilde{q}}x - \int_0^a f(x)d_{\tilde{q}}x, \tag{13}$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty f(x)d_{\tilde{q}}x = (q^{-1} - q) \sum_{n=\pm 1, \pm 3, \dots} q^n f(q^n a). \tag{14}$$

From 8 and 12, we note that for any function  $f$  we have

1.

$$\int_0^a f(x)d_{\tilde{q}}x = F(a) - F(0), \tag{15}$$

under the condition  $\tilde{D}_q F = f$ , continuous at  $x = 0$ .

2.

$$\tilde{D}_q \int_0^x f(t)d_{\tilde{q}}t = f(x). \tag{16}$$

A symmetric  $q$ -analogue of the exponential function (symmetric under the interchange  $q \leftrightarrow q^{-1}$ ) has been defined by ([13],[14])

$$\tilde{e}_q(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{z^n}{[n]_q!}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } q \in ]0, 1[ \cup ]1, +\infty[. \tag{17}$$

Note that we can consider  $\tilde{e}_q(z)$  as formal power series in the formal variable  $z$  satisfying the relation

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \tilde{e}_q(z) = e^z.$$

In [6], the author secured the following result

$$\tilde{e}_q(x + y) = \tilde{e}_q(y)\tilde{e}_q(x). \tag{18}$$

## 2. Symmetric $q$ -Appell Polynomials

In literature, the history of Appell polynomials go back to Appell (1880) [2], and since then, Appell polynomials have been studied by many authors such that Throne [18], Sheffer [16], and Varma [19]. Inspired by the previous works, Al-Salam, in 1967, introduced the family of  $q$ -Appell polynomials  $(A_{n,q}(x))_{n=0}^\infty$  and studied some of their properties [1].

According to his definition, the  $n$ -degree polynomials  $A_{n,q}(x)$  are called  $q$ -Appell if they hold the following  $q$ -differential equation

$$D_q(A_{n,q}(x)) = [n]_q A_{n-1,q}(x); n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{19}$$

In 1982, Srivastava provided more details about the family of  $q$ -Appell polynomials [17], and since then, they have been extensively studied from different perspectives [7, 15], various methods, like operator algebra, have been used to explore their properties [11]. In [12], Mahmudov derived the  $q$ -difference equations satisfied by sequence of  $q$ -Appell polynomials.

Inspired by the Costabile et al. s determinantal approach for defining Bernoulli polynomials as well as Appell polynomials [3, 4], Mahmudov et al. [10] introduced a new determinantal definition of  $q$ -Appell

polynomials and proved new properties.

Motivated by [10], in this paper, we introduce and study a new  $q$ -analogue of Appell polynomials which is symmetric under the interchange  $q \leftrightarrow q^{-1}$  called symmetric  $q$ -Appell polynomials then we give a new determinantal definition of symmetric  $q$ -Appell polynomials. Additionally, we prove some properties of the family of symmetric  $q$ -Appell polynomials using related algebraic approaches.

**Definition 2.1.** The  $n$ -degree polynomials  $\widetilde{A}_{n,q}(x)$  are called symmetric  $q$ -Appell if they hold the following  $q$ -differential equation

$$\widetilde{D}_q(\widetilde{A}_{n,q}(x)) = [\widetilde{n}]_q \widetilde{A}_{n-1,q}(x); n = 1, 2, \dots \tag{20}$$

Note that  $\widetilde{A}_{0,q}(x)$  is a non zero constant let say  $\widetilde{A}_{0,q}$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** The symmetric  $q$ -Appell polynomials satisfy the following relation

$$\widetilde{A}_{n,q}(x) = \widetilde{A}_{n,q} + [\widetilde{n}]_q \widetilde{A}_{n-1,q}x + \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ 2 \end{matrix} \right]_q \widetilde{A}_{n-2,q}x^2 + \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ 3 \end{matrix} \right]_q \widetilde{A}_{n-3,q}x^3 + \dots + \widetilde{A}_{0,q}x^n. \tag{21}$$

*Proof.* For  $n = 1$ , the relation 20 gives

$$\widetilde{D}_q(\widetilde{A}_{1,q}(x)) = [\widetilde{1}]_q \widetilde{A}_{0,q}(x) = \widetilde{A}_{0,q}.$$

Using 15, we obtain

$$\widetilde{A}_{1,q}(x) = \widetilde{A}_{0,q}x + \widetilde{A}_{1,q},$$

where  $\widetilde{A}_{1,q}$  is an arbitrary constant.

By repeating the method above, we get  $\widetilde{A}_{2,q}(x)$ , as below by starting from the property 20 for  $q$ -Appell polynomials

$$\widetilde{D}_q(\widetilde{A}_{2,q}(x)) = [\widetilde{2}]_q \widetilde{A}_{1,q}(x) = [\widetilde{2}]_q \widetilde{A}_{0,q}x + [\widetilde{2}]_q \widetilde{A}_{1,q}.$$

Using symmetric  $q$ -integral 12, we get

$$\widetilde{A}_{2,q}(x) = \widetilde{A}_{0,q}x^2 + [\widetilde{2}]_q \widetilde{A}_{1,q}x + \widetilde{A}_{2,q},$$

where  $\widetilde{A}_{2,q}$  is an arbitrary constant.

By induction on  $n$  and Application of similar method to the methods used for finding  $\widetilde{A}_{1,q}(x)$ ,  $\widetilde{A}_{2,q}(x)$  and continuing taking symmetric  $q$ -integral we have

$$\widetilde{A}_{n-1,q}(x) = \widetilde{A}_{n-1,q} + \left[ \begin{matrix} n-1 \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \widetilde{A}_{n-2,q}x + \left[ \begin{matrix} n-1 \\ 2 \end{matrix} \right]_q \widetilde{A}_{n-3,q}x^2 + \dots + \widetilde{A}_{0,q}x^{n-1}.$$

Using the fact that for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , every  $\widetilde{A}_{n,q}(x)$  satisfies the relation 20, we can write

$$\widetilde{D}_q(\widetilde{A}_{n,q}(x)) = [\widetilde{n}]_q \widetilde{A}_{n-1,q} + [\widetilde{n}]_q \left[ \begin{matrix} n-1 \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \widetilde{A}_{n-2,q}x + [\widetilde{n}]_q \left[ \begin{matrix} n-1 \\ 2 \end{matrix} \right]_q \widetilde{A}_{n-3,q}x^2 + \dots + [\widetilde{n}]_q \widetilde{A}_{0,q}x^{n-1}.$$

Now, taking the symmetric  $q$ -integral of the symmetric  $q$ -differential equation above can lead to

$$\widetilde{A}_{n,q}(x) = \widetilde{A}_{n,q} + [\widetilde{n}]_q \widetilde{A}_{n-1,q}x + \frac{[\widetilde{n}]_q}{[\widetilde{2}]_q} \left[ \begin{matrix} n-1 \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \widetilde{A}_{n-2,q}x^2 + \frac{[\widetilde{n}]_q}{[\widetilde{3}]_q} \left[ \begin{matrix} n-1 \\ 2 \end{matrix} \right]_q \widetilde{A}_{n-3,q}x^3 + \dots + \frac{[\widetilde{n}]_q}{[\widetilde{n}]_q} \widetilde{A}_{0,q}x^n,$$

where  $\widetilde{A}_{n,q}$  is an arbitrary constant. Since

$$\frac{[n]_q}{[i]_q} \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{n-1} \\ i-1 \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{n} \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q,$$

so for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , we have

$$\widetilde{A}_{n,q}(x) = \widetilde{A}_{n,q} + [n]_q \widetilde{A}_{n-1,q}x + \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q \widetilde{A}_{n-2,q}x^2 + \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}_q \widetilde{A}_{n-3,q}x^3 + \dots + \widetilde{A}_{0,q}x^n.$$

□

Note that there exists a one to one correspondence between the family of symmetric  $q$ -Appell polynomials  $(\widetilde{A}_{n,q}(x))_{n=0}^\infty$  and the numerical sequence  $(\widetilde{A}_{n,q})_{n=0}^\infty$ ,  $\widetilde{A}_{n,q} \neq 0$ . Moreover, every  $\widetilde{A}_{n,q}(x)$  can be obtained recursively from  $\widetilde{A}_{n-1,q}(x)$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

Also, symmetric  $q$ -Appell polynomials can be defined by means of generating function  $\widetilde{A}_q(t)$ , as follows

$$\widetilde{A}_q(x, t) = \widetilde{A}_q(t) \widetilde{e}_q(tx) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \widetilde{A}_{n,q}(x) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!}, \quad 0 < q < 1, \tag{22}$$

where

$$\widetilde{A}_q(t) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \widetilde{A}_{n,q} \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!}, \tag{23}$$

is an analytic function at  $t = 0$ ,  $\widetilde{A}_{n,q} = \widetilde{A}_{n,q}(0)$  and  $\widetilde{e}_q(t) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!}$ .

Depending on the choice of the generating function  $\widetilde{A}_q(t)$ , we obtain different families of symmetric  $q$ -Appel polynomials. The following are some of them

1. By taking  $\widetilde{A}_q(t) = [1]_q = 1$ , we obtain the family  $\{1, x, x^2, \dots\}$ .
2. By taking  $\widetilde{A}_q(t) = \frac{t}{e_q(t)-1}$ , we obtain the family of symmetric  $q$ -Bernoulli polynomials  $\widetilde{B}_{n,q}(x)$ . [5].
3. By taking  $\widetilde{A}_q(t) = \frac{[2]_q}{\lambda e_q(t)+1}$ , we obtain the family of symmetric  $(\lambda, q)$ -Euler polynomials  $\widetilde{E}_{n,q}(x/\lambda)$ . [5].
4. By taking  $\widetilde{A}_q(t) = \left(\frac{[2]_q}{\lambda e_q(t)+1}\right)^r$ , we obtain the family of higher-order symmetric  $(\lambda, q)$ -Euler polynomials  $\widetilde{E}_{n,q}^{(r)}(x/\lambda)$ . [5].

### 3. Symmetric $q$ -Appel polynomials from determinantal point of view

Let consider the sequence  $P_{n,q}(x)$  of  $n$ -degree  $q$ -polynomials defined by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} P_{0,q}(x) = \frac{1}{\beta_0} \\ P_{n,q}(x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{(\beta_0)^{n+1}} \end{array} \right. \left( \begin{array}{cccccc} 1 & x & x^2 & \dots & x^{n-1} & x^n \\ \beta_0 & \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \dots & \beta_{n-1} & \beta_n \\ 0 & \beta_0 & \left[ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 1 \end{array} \right]_q \beta_1 & \dots & \left[ \begin{array}{c} n-1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right]_q \beta_{n-2} & \left[ \begin{array}{c} n \\ 1 \end{array} \right]_q \beta_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_0 & \dots & \left[ \begin{array}{c} n-1 \\ 2 \end{array} \right]_q \beta_{n-3} & \left[ \begin{array}{c} n \\ 2 \end{array} \right]_q \beta_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \beta_0 & \left[ \begin{array}{c} n \\ n-1 \end{array} \right]_q \beta_1 \end{array} \right), \tag{24}$$

where  $\beta_0, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \in \mathbb{R}; \beta_0 \neq 0, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$   
 Then we have the following results.

**Theorem 3.1.**  $P_{n,q}(x)$  satisfies the following identity

$$D_q(P_{n,q}(x)) = \widetilde{[n]}_q P_{n-1,q}(x), n = 1, 2, \dots$$

To prove this theorem, we need to prove the following Lemma

**Lemma 3.2.** Let consider the matrix  $A_{n \times n}(x)$  with first order symmetric  $q$ -differentiable functions  $a_{ij}(x)$  as elements. Then the symmetric  $q$ -derivative of  $\det(A_{n \times n}(x))$  is given by the following formula.

$$\widetilde{D}_q(\det(A_{n \times n}(x))) = \widetilde{D}_q(|a_{ij}(x)|) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \begin{array}{cccc} a_{11}(q^{-1}x) & a_{12}(q^{-1}x) & \dots & a_{1n}(q^{-1}x) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{i-1,1}(q^{-1}x) & a_{i-1,2}(q^{-1}x) & \dots & a_{i-1,n}(q^{-1}x) \\ \widetilde{D}_q(a_{i,1}(x)) & \widetilde{D}_q(a_{i,2}(x)) & \dots & \widetilde{D}_q(a_{i,n}(x)) \\ a_{i+1,1}(qx) & a_{i+1,2}(qx) & \dots & a_{i+1,n}(qx) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n,1}(qx) & a_{n,2}(qx) & \dots & a_{n,n}(qx) \end{array} \right) \tag{25}$$

*Proof.* Using the multi-linearity of the determinant, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \det(R_1(qx), R_2(qx), \dots, R_n(qx)) - \det(R_1(q^{-1}x), R_2(q^{-1}x), \dots, R_n(q^{-1}x)) \\ &= \det(R_1(qx) - R_1(q^{-1}x), R_2(qx), \dots, R_n(qx)) + \det(R_1(q^{-1}x), R_2(qx), \dots, R_n(qx)) \\ & - \det(R_1(q^{-1}x), R_2(q^{-1}x), \dots, R_n(q^{-1}x)) \\ &= \det(R_1(qx) - R_1(q^{-1}x), R_2(qx), \dots, R_n(qx)) + \det(R_1(q^{-1}x), R_2(qx) - R_2(q^{-1}x), \dots, R_n(qx)) \\ & + \det(R_1(q^{-1}x), R_2(q^{-1}x), \dots, R_n(qx)) - \det(R_1(q^{-1}x), R_2(q^{-1}x), \dots, R_n(q^{-1}x)) \\ &= \det(R_1(qx) - R_1(q^{-1}x), R_2(qx), \dots, R_n(qx)) + \det(R_1(q^{-1}x), R_2(qx) - R_2(q^{-1}x), \dots, R_n(qx)) \\ & + \det(R_1(q^{-1}x), R_2(q^{-1}x), R_3(qx) - R_3(q^{-1}x), \dots, R_n(qx)) \\ & + \det(R_1(q^{-1}x), R_2(q^{-1}x), R_3(q^{-1}x), \dots, R_n(qx)) - \det(R_1(q^{-1}x), R_2(q^{-1}x), \dots, R_n(q^{-1}x)) \\ &= \det(R_1(qx) - R_1(q^{-1}x), R_2(qx), \dots, R_n(qx)) + \det(R_1(q^{-1}x), R_2(qx) - R_2(q^{-1}x), \dots, R_n(qx)) \\ & + \det(R_1(q^{-1}x), R_2(q^{-1}x), R_3(qx) - R_3(q^{-1}x), \dots, R_n(qx)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ \dots + \det(R_1(q^{-1}x), R_2(q^{-1}x), R_3(q^{-1}x), \dots, R_n(qx) - R_n(q^{-1}x)) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \det(R_1(q^{-1}x), R_2(q^{-1}x), \dots, R_{i-1}(q^{-1}x), R_i(qx) - R_i(q^{-1}x), R_{i+1}(qx), \dots, R_n(qx)),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $R_i$  is the  $i^{th}$  row of the determinant.  
 Dividing by  $(q - q^{-1})x$ , we obtain the desired result.  $\square$

Let prove theorem 3.1

*Proof.* Using Lemma 3.2, the symmetric  $q$ -derivative of determinant 24 with respect to  $x$  is given by

$$D_q(P_{n,q}(x)) = \frac{(-1)^n}{(\beta_0)^{n+1}} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 1 & \widetilde{[2]}_q x & \dots & \widetilde{[n]}_q x^{n-1} \\ \beta_0 & \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \dots & \beta_n \\ 0 & \beta_0 & \widetilde{\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_q \beta_1 & \dots & \widetilde{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_q \beta_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_0 & \dots & \widetilde{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}}_q \beta_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \widetilde{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ n-1 \end{bmatrix}}_q \beta_1 \end{vmatrix} \tag{26}$$

Expanding the determinant 26 above along with the first column, we get

$$D_q(P_{n,q}(x)) = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(\beta_0)^n} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \widetilde{[2]}_q x & \dots & \widetilde{[n-1]}_q x^{n-2} & \widetilde{[n]}_q x^{n-1} \\ \beta_0 & \beta_1 & \dots & \widetilde{\begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_q \beta_{n-2} & \widetilde{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_q \beta_{n-1} \\ 0 & \beta_0 & \dots & \widetilde{\begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}}_q \beta_{n-3} & \widetilde{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}}_q \beta_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \beta_0 & \widetilde{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ n-1 \end{bmatrix}}_q \beta_1 \end{vmatrix} \tag{27}$$

Using the fact that

$$\frac{\widetilde{[i-1]}_q}{\widetilde{[j]}_q} \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i-1 \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{\widetilde{[i-1]}_q \widetilde{[j]}_q!}{\widetilde{[j]}_q \widetilde{[i-1]}_q! \widetilde{[j-i+1]}_q!} = \frac{\widetilde{[j-1]}_q!}{\widetilde{[i-2]}_q! \widetilde{[j-i+1]}_q!} = \begin{bmatrix} j-1 \\ i-2 \end{bmatrix}_q,$$

and multiplying the  $j^{th}$  column of the determinant 27 by  $\frac{1}{\widetilde{[j]}_q}$ , as well as the  $i^{th}$  row by  $\widetilde{[i-1]}_q$  we obtain

$$D_q(P_{n,q}(x)) = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(\beta_0)^n} \times \frac{\widetilde{[1]}_q!}{\widetilde{[0]}_q!} \times \frac{\widetilde{[2]}_q}{\widetilde{[1]}_q} \times \dots \times \frac{\widetilde{[n]}_q}{\widetilde{[n-1]}_q} \times \begin{vmatrix} 1 & x & \dots & x^{n-2} & x^{n-1} \\ \beta_0 & \beta_1 & \dots & \widetilde{\beta}_{n-2} & \widetilde{\beta}_{n-1} \\ 0 & \beta_0 & \dots & \widetilde{\begin{bmatrix} n-2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_q \beta_{n-3} & \widetilde{\begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_q \beta_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \beta_0 & \widetilde{\begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ n-2 \end{bmatrix}}_q \beta_1 \end{vmatrix}, \tag{28}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** The  $q$ -polynomials  $P_{n,q}(x)$ , defined in 26, can be expressed as

$$P_{n,q}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ j \end{matrix} \right]_q \alpha_{n-j} x^j, \tag{29}$$

where

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \alpha_0 = \frac{1}{\beta_0} \\ \alpha_j = \frac{(-1)^j}{(\beta_0)^{j+1}} \end{array} \right. \left| \begin{array}{cccccc} \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \dots & \beta_{j-1} & \beta_j & \\ \beta_0 \left[ \begin{matrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \beta_1 & \dots & \left[ \begin{matrix} j-1 \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \beta_{j-2} & \left[ \begin{matrix} j \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \beta_{j-1} & & \\ 0 & \beta_0 & \dots & \left[ \begin{matrix} j-1 \\ 2 \end{matrix} \right]_q \beta_{j-3} & \left[ \begin{matrix} j \\ 2 \end{matrix} \right]_q \beta_{j-2} & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \beta_0 & \left[ \begin{matrix} j \\ j-1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \beta_1 & \end{array} \right. , \tag{30}$$

*Proof.* Expanding the determinant 24 along the first row, we obtain

$$P_{n,q}(x) = \frac{(-1)^{n+2}}{(\beta_0)^{n+1}} \left| \begin{array}{cccccc} \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \dots & \dots & \beta_{n-1} & \beta_n \\ \beta_0 \left[ \begin{matrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \beta_1 & \dots & \dots & \left[ \begin{matrix} n-1 \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \beta_{n-2} & \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \beta_{n-1} & \\ 0 & \beta_0 & \dots & \dots & \left[ \begin{matrix} n-1 \\ 2 \end{matrix} \right]_q \beta_{n-3} & \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ 2 \end{matrix} \right]_q \beta_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & \beta_0 & \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ n-1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \beta_1 \end{array} \right|$$

$$+ \frac{(-1)^{n+3}}{(\beta_0)^{n+1}} x \left| \begin{array}{cccccc} \beta_0 & \beta_2 & \dots & \dots & \beta_{n-1} & \beta_n \\ 0 \left[ \begin{matrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \beta_1 & \dots & \dots & \left[ \begin{matrix} n-1 \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \beta_{n-2} & \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \beta_{n-1} & \\ 0 & \beta_0 & \dots & \dots & \left[ \begin{matrix} n-1 \\ 2 \end{matrix} \right]_q \beta_{n-3} & \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ 2 \end{matrix} \right]_q \beta_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & \beta_0 & \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ n-1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \beta_1 \end{array} \right|$$



$$+ \dots + \frac{(-1)^{2n+2}}{(\beta_0)^{n+1}} x^n \begin{vmatrix} \beta_0 & \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \dots & \dots & \beta_{n-1} \\ 0 & \beta_0 & \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_1 & \dots & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{n-2} \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_0 & \dots & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{n-3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & 0 & \beta_0 \end{vmatrix}.$$

By the definition of  $\alpha_i$  in 30, the first determinant leads to obtain  $\alpha_n$ , which is the coefficient of  $x^0$ . Also, the last determinant, which is the determinant of an upper triangular  $n \times n$  matrix, will lead to obtain the coefficient of  $x^n$  as follows

$$\alpha_0 = \frac{(-1)^{2n+2}}{(\beta_0)^{n+1}} (\beta_0)^n = \frac{1}{\beta_0}.$$

To calculate the coefficient of  $x^j$  for  $0 < j < n$ , consider the following determinant

$$= \frac{(-1)^n}{(\beta_0)^{n+1}} (-1)^{j+2} \begin{vmatrix} \beta_0 & \beta_1 & \dots & \beta_{j-1} & \beta_{j+1} & \dots & \beta_n \\ 0 & \beta_0 & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} j-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{j-2} & \begin{bmatrix} j+1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_j & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} j-1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{j-3} & \begin{bmatrix} j+1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{j-1} & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \beta_0 & \begin{bmatrix} j+1 \\ j-1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_2 & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{n-j-1} \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & \begin{bmatrix} j+1 \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_1 & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{n-j} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 0 & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} n \\ n-1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_1 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^{n+j}}{(\beta_0)^{n+1}} (\beta_0)^j \begin{vmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} j+1 \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_1 & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{n-j-1} & \begin{bmatrix} n \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{n-j} \\ \beta_0 & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \beta_0 & \begin{bmatrix} n \\ n-1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_1 \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{(-1)^{n+j}}{(\beta_0)^{n-j+1}} \left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{j+1} \\ j \end{matrix} \right]_q \left| \begin{array}{cccc} \beta_1 & \left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{j+2} \\ j \end{matrix} \right]_q \beta_2 & \cdots & \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ j \end{matrix} \right]_q \beta_{n-j} \\ \frac{1}{\left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{j+1} \\ j \end{matrix} \right]_q} \beta_0 & \left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{j+2} \\ j+1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \beta_1 & \cdots & \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ j+1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \beta_{n-j-1} \\ 0 & \beta_0 & \cdots & \cdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \beta_0 & \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ n-1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \beta_1 \end{array} \right| \\
 &= \frac{(-1)^{n+j}}{(\beta_0)^{n-j+1}} \left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{j+1} \\ j \end{matrix} \right]_q \left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{j+2} \\ j \end{matrix} \right]_q \left| \begin{array}{cccc} \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \cdots & \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ j \end{matrix} \right]_q \beta_{n-j} \\ \frac{1}{\left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{j+1} \\ j \end{matrix} \right]_q} \beta_0 & \frac{\left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{j+2} \\ j+1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \beta_1}{\left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{j+2} \\ j \end{matrix} \right]_q} & \cdots & \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ j+1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \beta_{n-j-1} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{j+2} \\ j \end{matrix} \right]_q} \beta_0 & \cdots & \cdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \beta_0 & \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ n-1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \beta_1 \end{array} \right| \\
 &= \frac{(-1)^{n+j}}{(\beta_0)^{n-j+1}} \left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{j+1} \\ j \end{matrix} \right]_q \cdots \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ j \end{matrix} \right]_q \left| \begin{array}{cccc} \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \cdots & \beta_{n-j} \\ \frac{1}{\left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{j+1} \\ j \end{matrix} \right]_q} \beta_0 & \frac{\left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{j+2} \\ j+1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \beta_1}{\left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{j+2} \\ j \end{matrix} \right]_q} & \cdots & \frac{\left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ j+1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \beta_{n-j-1}}{\left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ j \end{matrix} \right]_q} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{j+2} \\ j \end{matrix} \right]_q} \beta_0 & \cdots & \cdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \frac{1}{\left[ \begin{matrix} n-1 \\ j \end{matrix} \right]_q} \beta_0 & \frac{\left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ n-1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \beta_1}{\left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ j \end{matrix} \right]_q} \end{array} \right|.
 \end{aligned}$$

To obtain coefficient 1 for the term  $\beta_0$  placed in the second row, we multiply this row by  $\left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{j+1} \\ j \end{matrix} \right]_q$ .  
 Using the fact that

$$\frac{\left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{j+2} \\ j+1 \end{matrix} \right]_q}{\left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{j+2} \\ j \end{matrix} \right]_q} \left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{j+1} \\ j \end{matrix} \right]_q = \left[ \begin{matrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q$$

and

$$\frac{\begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{n} \\ j+1 \end{bmatrix}_q}{\begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{n} \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q} \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{j+1} \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q = \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{n-j} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q,$$

we get

$$= \frac{(-1)^{n+j}}{(\beta_0)^{n-j+1}} \frac{\begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{j+1} \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \cdots \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{n} \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q}{\begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{j+1} \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q} \times$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \cdots & \beta_{n-j-1} & \beta_{n-j} \\ \beta_0 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_1 & \cdots & \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{n-j-1} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{n-j-2} & \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{n-j} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{n-j-1} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\begin{bmatrix} j+2 \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q} \beta_0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \frac{1}{\begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{n-1} \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q} \beta_0 & \frac{\begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{n} \\ n-1 \end{bmatrix}_q}{\begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{n} \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q} \beta_1 \end{vmatrix}.$$

We continue this method for each row. At the end we obtain

$$= \frac{(-1)^{n-j}}{(\beta_0)^{n-j+1}} \frac{\begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{j+1} \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \cdots \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{n} \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q}{\begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{j+1} \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \cdots \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{n-1} \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q} \times$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \cdots & \beta_{n-j-1} & \beta_{n-j} \\ \beta_0 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_1 & \cdots & \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{n-j-1} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{n-j-2} & \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{n-j} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{n-j-1} \\ 0 & \beta_0 & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & \beta_0 & \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{n} \\ n-1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_1 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{n} \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q \alpha_{n-j}$$

whence the result.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** The  $q$ -polynomials  $P_{n,q}(x)$  satisfy

$$P_{n,q}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{n} \\ j \end{bmatrix}_q P_{n-j,q}(0) x^j; \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{31}$$

Proof. According to the definition 24, for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$ ,  $P_{j,q}(0) = \alpha_j$ , since

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{j,q}(0) &= \frac{(-1)^j}{(\beta_0)^{j+1}} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \beta_0 & \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \dots & \beta_{j-1} & \beta_j \\ 0 & \beta_0 & \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_1 & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} j-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{j-2} & \begin{bmatrix} j \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{j-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_0 & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} j-1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{j-3} & \begin{bmatrix} j \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{j-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \beta_0 & \begin{bmatrix} j \\ j-1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_1 \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= \frac{(-1)^j}{(\beta_0)^{j+1}} \begin{vmatrix} \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \dots & \beta_{j-1} & \beta_j \\ \beta_0 & \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_1 & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} j-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{j-2} & \begin{bmatrix} j \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{j-1} \\ 0 & \beta_0 & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} j-1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{j-3} & \begin{bmatrix} j \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{j-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \beta_0 & \begin{bmatrix} j \\ j-1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_1 \end{vmatrix} = \alpha_j.
 \end{aligned}$$

Replacing  $P_{n-j,q}(0)$ , instead of  $\alpha_{n-j}$  in relation 29, gives the desired result.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** The following relations hold for  $\alpha'_j$ s in relation 29

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_0 = \frac{1}{\beta_0} \\ \alpha_j = -\frac{1}{\beta_0} \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \begin{bmatrix} j \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{j-i} \alpha_i & j = 1; 2; \dots; n \end{cases} \tag{32}$$

Proof. The proof is done by expanding  $\alpha_j$ , defined in relation 30, along with the first row and also applying a similar technique to the proof of theorem 3.3.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.6.** Suppose that  $\widetilde{A}_{n,q}(x)$  be the sequence of symmetric  $q$ -Appell polynomials with generating function  $\widetilde{A}_q(t)$ , defined in the relations 22 and 23. If  $B_{0,q}, B_{1,q}, \dots, B_{n,q}$ , with  $B_{0,q} \neq 0$  are the coefficients of  $q$ -Taylor series expansion of the function  $\frac{1}{A_q(t)}$ , then for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  we have

$$\begin{cases} \widetilde{A}_{0,q}(x) = \frac{1}{B_{0,q}} \\ \widetilde{A}_{n,q} = \frac{(-1)^n}{(B_{0,q})^{n+1}} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & x & x^2 & \dots & x^{n-1} & x^n \\ B_{0,q} & B_{1,q} & B_{2,q} & \dots & B_{n-1,q} & B_{n,q} \\ 0 & B_{0,q} & \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{1,q} & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{n-2,q} & \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{n-1,q} \\ 0 & 0 & B_{0,q} & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{n-3,q} & \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{n-2,q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & B_{0,q} & \begin{bmatrix} n \\ n-1 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{1,q} \end{vmatrix} \end{cases}, \tag{33}$$

*Proof.* Using 22 and 23, we obtain

$$\widetilde{A}_q(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{A}_{n,q} \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} = \widetilde{A}_{0,q} + \widetilde{A}_{1,q}t + A_{2,q} \frac{t^2}{[2]_q!} + \dots + \widetilde{A}_{n,q} \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} + \dots, \tag{34}$$

and

$$\widetilde{A}_q(t)\widetilde{e}_q(tx) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{A}_{n,q}(x) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} = \widetilde{A}_{0,q}(x) + \widetilde{A}_{1,q}(x)t + \widetilde{A}_{2,q}(x) \frac{t^2}{[2]_q!} + \dots + \widetilde{A}_{n,q}(x) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} + \dots \tag{35}$$

Let  $B_q(t) = \frac{1}{\widetilde{A}_q(t)}$ . Thus, taking in account the hypothesis of the theorem and the definition of  $q$ -Taylor series expansion of  $B_q(t)$  at  $a = 0$  we get

$$B_q(t) = B_{0,q} + B_{1,q} \frac{t}{[1]_q!} + B_{2,q} \frac{t^2}{[2]_q!} + \dots + B_{n,q} \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} + \dots, \tag{36}$$

Cauchy product rule for the series production  $\widetilde{A}_q(t)B_q(t)$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \widetilde{A}_q(t)B_q(t) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{A}_{n,q} \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,q} \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right]_q \widetilde{A}_{k,q} B_{n-k,q} \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!}. \end{aligned}$$

then,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right]_q \widetilde{A}_{k,q} B_{n-k,q} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } n = 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } n > 0. \end{cases}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} B_{0,q} = \frac{1}{\widetilde{A}_{0,q}} \\ B_{n,q} = -\frac{1}{\widetilde{A}_{0,q}} (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right]_q \widetilde{A}_{k,q} B_{n-k,q}), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \end{cases} \tag{37}$$

By multiplying both sides of identity 35 by  $B_q(t) = \frac{1}{\widetilde{A}_q(t)}$ , and replacing  $\widetilde{e}_q(tx)$  by its  $q$ -Taylor series expansion,

i. e.  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!}$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} &= \widetilde{e}_q(tx) \\ &= B_q(t) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{A}_{n,q}(x) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,q} \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{A}_{n,q}(x) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!}. \end{aligned}$$

Cauchy product rule in the last part of relation above leads to

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{n-k,q} \widetilde{A}_{k,q}(x) \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!}. \tag{38}$$

Comparing the coefficients of  $\frac{x^n}{[n]_q!}$  in both sides of equation 38, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{n-k,q} \widetilde{A}_{k,q}(x) = x^n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \tag{39}$$

Writing identity 39 for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  leads to obtain the following infinite system in the parameter  $\widetilde{A}_{k,q}(x)$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} B_{0,q} \widetilde{A}_{0,q}(x) = 1 \\ B_{1,q} \widetilde{A}_{0,q}(x) + B_{0,q} \widetilde{A}_{1,q}(x) = x \\ B_{2,q} \widetilde{A}_{0,q}(x) + \left[ \begin{matrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{1,q} \widetilde{A}_{1,q}(x) + B_{0,q} \widetilde{A}_{2,q}(x) = x^2 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ B_{n,q} \widetilde{A}_{0,q}(x) + \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{n-1,q} \widetilde{A}_{1,q}(x) + \dots + B_{0,q} \widetilde{A}_{n,q}(x) = x^n, \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{array} \right. \tag{40}$$

The coefficient matrix of the infinite system 40 is lower triangular. By applying Cramer rule to only the first  $n + 1$  equations of this system. We obtain

$$\widetilde{A}_{n,q}(x) = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} B_{0,q} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ B_{1,q} & B_{0,q} & 0 & \dots & 0 & x \\ B_{2,q} & \left[ \begin{matrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{1,q} & B_{0,q} & \dots & 0 & x^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ B_{n-1,q} & \left[ \begin{matrix} n-1 \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{n-2,q} & \dots & \dots & B_{0,q} & x^{n-1} \\ B_{n,q} & \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{n-1,q} & \dots & \dots & \left[ \begin{matrix} n-1 \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{1,q} & x^n \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} B_{0,q} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ B_{1,q} & B_{0,q} & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ B_{2,q} & \left[ \begin{matrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{1,q} & B_{0,q} & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ B_{n-1,q} & \left[ \begin{matrix} n-1 \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{n-2,q} & \dots & \dots & B_{0,q} & 0 \\ B_{n,q} & \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{n-1,q} & \dots & \dots & \left[ \begin{matrix} n-1 \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{1,q} & B_{0,q} \end{vmatrix}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(B_{0,q})^{n+1}} \begin{vmatrix} B_{0,q} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ B_{1,q} & B_{0,q} & 0 & \dots & 0 & x \\ B_{2,q} & \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{1,q} & B_{0,q} & \dots & 0 & x^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ B_{n-1,q} & \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{n-2,q} & \dots & \dots & B_{0,q} & x^{n-1} \\ B_{n,q} & \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{n-1,q} & \dots & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{1,q} & x^n \end{vmatrix}.$$

By taking the transpose of the last determinant and then interchange  $i^{th}$  row of the obtained determinant with  $(i + 1)^{th}$  row,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . We obtain the desired result that is exactly relation 33.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.7.** *The following facts are equivalent for the symmetric  $q$ -Appell polynomials:*

- a) *Symmetric  $q$ -Appell polynomials can be expressed by considering the relations 20 and 21.*
- b) *Symmetric  $q$ -Appell polynomials can be expressed by considering the relations 22 and 23.*
- c) *Symmetric  $q$ -Appell polynomials can be expressed by considering the determinantal relation 33.*

*Proof.* (a  $\Rightarrow$  b) Suppose that relations 20 and 21 hold. Construct an infinite series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{A}_{n,q} \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!}$  from all constants  $\widetilde{A}_{n,q}$  used for defining  $\widetilde{A}_{n,q}(x)$  in relation 21. Now find the following Cauchy product

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{A}_{n,q} \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} \widetilde{e}_q(tx) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{A}_{n,q} \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{A}_{n-k,q} x^k \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!}. \end{aligned}$$

From relation 21 we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{A}_{n-k,q} x^k = \widetilde{A}_{n,q}(x),$$

then we find that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \widetilde{A}_{n,q} \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} \widetilde{e}_q(tx) = \widetilde{A}_q(x, t),$$

whence the result.

(b  $\Rightarrow$  c) The proof follows directly from Theorem 3.6.

(c  $\Rightarrow$  a) The proof follows from Theorems 3.1 and 3.6.  $\square$

As the consequence of discussion above and particularly Theorem 3.7, we introduce the determinantal definition of symmetric  $q$ -Appell polynomials as follows

**Definition 3.8.** Symmetric  $q$ -Appell polynomials  $(\widetilde{A}_{n,q}(x))_{n=0}^\infty$  can be defined as

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \widetilde{A}_{0,q}(x) = \frac{1}{B_{0,q}} \\ \widetilde{A}_{n,q}(x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{(B_{0,q})^{n+1}} \end{array} \right. \left| \begin{array}{cccccccc} 1 & x & x^2 & \dots & \dots & x^{n-1} & x^n \\ B_{0,q} & B_{1,q} & B_{2,q} & \dots & \dots & B_{n-1,q} & B_{n,q} \\ 0 & B_{0,q} & \left[ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 1 \end{array} \right]_q B_{1,q} & \dots & \dots & \left[ \begin{array}{c} n-1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right]_q B_{n-2,q} & \left[ \begin{array}{c} n \\ 1 \end{array} \right]_q B_{n-1,q} \\ 0 & 0 & B_{0,q} & \dots & \dots & \left[ \begin{array}{c} n-1 \\ 2 \end{array} \right]_q B_{n-3,q} & \left[ \begin{array}{c} n \\ 2 \end{array} \right]_q B_{n-2,q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & B_{0,q} & \left[ \begin{array}{c} n \\ n-1 \end{array} \right]_q B_{1,q} \end{array} \right. \quad (41)$$

where  $B_{0,q}, B_{1,q}, B_{2,q}, \dots, B_{n,q} \in \mathbb{R}, B_{0,q} \neq 0$  and  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

#### 4. Basic Properties of Symmetric $q$ -Appell polynomials from determinantal point of view

In this section by using Definition 3.8, we review the basic properties of symmetric  $q$ -Appell polynomials.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $(\widetilde{A}_{n,q}(x))_{n=0}^\infty$  be a sequence of symmetric  $q$ -Appell polynomials, then

$$A_{n,q}(x) = \frac{1}{B_{0,q}} \left( x^n - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left[ \begin{array}{c} n \\ k \end{array} \right]_q B_{n-k,q} A_{k,q}(x) \right), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (42)$$

*Proof.* By expanding the determinant in the Definition 3.8 along with the  $(n + 1)^{th}$  row, we obtain

$$A_{n,q}(x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{(B_{0,q})^{n+1}} \left[ \begin{array}{c} n \\ n-1 \end{array} \right]_q B_{1,q} \times \left| \begin{array}{cccccccc} 1 & x & x^2 & \dots & \dots & x^{n-1} \\ B_{0,q} & B_{1,q} & B_{2,q} & \dots & \dots & B_{n-1,q} \\ 0 & B_{0,q} & \left[ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 1 \end{array} \right]_q B_{1,q} & \dots & \dots & \left[ \begin{array}{c} n-1 \\ 1 \end{array} \right]_q B_{n-2,q} \\ 0 & 0 & B_{0,q} & \dots & \dots & \left[ \begin{array}{c} n-1 \\ 2 \end{array} \right]_q B_{n-3,q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & B_{0,q} & \left[ \begin{array}{c} n-1 \\ n-2 \end{array} \right]_q B_{1,q} \end{array} \right|$$

$$+ \frac{(-1)^n}{(B_{0,q})^{n+1}} B_{0,q} \times \left| \begin{array}{cccccccc} 1 & x & x^2 & \dots & \dots & x^{n-2} & x^n \\ B_{0,q} & B_{1,q} & B_{2,q} & \dots & \dots & B_{n-2,q} & B_{n,q} \\ 0 & B_{0,q} & \left[ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 1 \end{array} \right]_q B_{1,q} & \dots & \dots & \left[ \begin{array}{c} n-2 \\ 1 \end{array} \right]_q B_{n-3,q} & \left[ \begin{array}{c} n \\ 1 \end{array} \right]_q B_{n-1,q} \\ 0 & 0 & B_{0,q} & \dots & \dots & \left[ \begin{array}{c} n-2 \\ 2 \end{array} \right]_q B_{n-4,q} & \left[ \begin{array}{c} n \\ 2 \end{array} \right]_q B_{n-2,q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & B_{0,q} & \left[ \begin{array}{c} n-1 \\ n-2 \end{array} \right]_q B_{2,q} \end{array} \right|$$



$$= -\frac{1}{B_{0,q}} \left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{n} \\ n-1 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{1,q} A_{n-1,q}(x) + \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{(B_{0,q})^n} \times$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & x & x^2 & \dots & \dots & x^{n-2} & x^n \\ B_{0,q} & B_{1,q} & B_{2,q} & \dots & \dots & B_{n-2,q} & B_{n,q} \\ 0 & B_{0,q} & \left[ \begin{matrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{1,q} & \dots & \dots & \left[ \begin{matrix} n-2 \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{n-3,q} & \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{n-1,q} \\ 0 & 0 & B_{0,q} & \dots & \dots & \left[ \begin{matrix} n-2 \\ 2 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{n-4,q} & \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ 2 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{n-2,q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & B_{0,q} & \left[ \begin{matrix} n-1 \\ n-2 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{2,q} \end{vmatrix}$$

Repeating the same method for the last determinant

$$= -\frac{1}{B_{0,q}} \left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{n} \\ n-1 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{1,q} A_{n-1,q}(x) + \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{(B_{0,q})^n} \left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{n-1} \\ n-2 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{2,q} \times$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & x & x^2 & \dots & \dots & x^{n-3} & x^{n-2} \\ B_{0,q} & B_{1,q} & B_{2,q} & \dots & \dots & B_{n-3,q} & B_{n-2,q} \\ 0 & B_{0,q} & \left[ \begin{matrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{1,q} & \dots & \dots & \left[ \begin{matrix} n-3 \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{n-4,q} & \left[ \begin{matrix} n-2 \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{n-3,q} \\ 0 & 0 & B_{0,q} & \dots & \dots & \left[ \begin{matrix} n-3 \\ 2 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{n-5,q} & \left[ \begin{matrix} n-2 \\ 2 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{n-4,q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & B_{0,q} & \left[ \begin{matrix} n-2 \\ n-3 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{1,q} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{B_{0,q}} \left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{n} \\ n-1 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{1,q} A_{n-1,q}(x) + \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{(B_{0,q})^n} \left( \left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{n-1} \\ n-2 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{2,q} \frac{(B_{0,q})^{n-1}}{(-1)^{n-2}} A_{n-2,q}(x) \right)$$

$$+ \frac{(-1)^{n-2}}{(B_{0,q})^{n-1}} \times \begin{vmatrix} 1 & x & x^2 & \dots & \dots & x^{n-3} & x^n \\ B_{0,q} & B_{1,q} & B_{2,q} & \dots & \dots & B_{n-3,q} & B_{n,q} \\ 0 & B_{0,q} & \left[ \begin{matrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{1,q} & \dots & \dots & \left[ \begin{matrix} n-3 \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{n-4,q} & \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{n-1,q} \\ 0 & 0 & B_{0,q} & \dots & \dots & \left[ \begin{matrix} n-3 \\ 2 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{n-5,q} & \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ 2 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{n-2,q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & B_{0,q} & \left[ \begin{matrix} n-1 \\ n-2 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{2,q} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{B_{0,q}} \left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{n} \\ n-1 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{1,q} A_{n-1,q}(x) - \frac{1}{B_{0,q}} \left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{n-1} \\ n-2 \end{matrix} \right]_q B_{2,q} A_{n-2,q}(x)$$

$$+ \frac{(-1)^{n-2}}{(B_{0,q})^{n-1}} \times \begin{vmatrix} 1 & x & x^2 & \dots & \dots & x^{n-3} & x^n \\ B_{0,q} & B_{1,q} & B_{2,q} & \dots & \dots & B_{n-3,q} & B_{n,q} \\ 0 & B_{0,q} & \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{1,q} & \dots & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} n-3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{n-4,q} & \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{n-1,q} \\ 0 & 0 & B_{0,q} & \dots & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} n-3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{n-5,q} & \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{n-2,q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & B_{0,q} & \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ n-2 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{2,q} \end{vmatrix}$$

Similar method gives

$$\begin{aligned} &= -\frac{1}{B_{0,q}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ n-1 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{1,q} A_{n-1,q}(x) - \frac{1}{B_{0,q}} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ n-2 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{2,q} A_{n-2,q}(x) - \dots - \frac{1}{(B_{0,q})^2} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & x^n \\ B_{0,q} & B_{n,q} \end{vmatrix} \\ &= -\frac{1}{B_{0,q}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ n-1 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{1,q} A_{n-1,q}(x) - \frac{1}{B_{0,q}} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ n-2 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{2,q} A_{n-2,q}(x) - \dots - \frac{1}{(B_{0,q})^2} (B_{n,q} - B_{0,q} x^n) \\ &= -\frac{1}{B_{0,q}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ n-1 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{1,q} A_{n-1,q}(x) - \frac{1}{B_{0,q}} \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ n-2 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{2,q} A_{n-2,q}(x) - \dots - \frac{1}{B_{0,q}} B_{n,q} A_{0,q}(x) + \frac{1}{B_{0,q}} x^n \\ &= \frac{1}{B_{0,q}} \left( x^n - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q B_{n-k,q} A_{k,q}(x) \right). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Corollary 4.2.** Powers of  $x$  can be expressed based on symmetric  $q$ -Appell polynomials as

$$x^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q B_{n-k,q} A_{k,q}(x), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

*Proof.* The proof is the direct result of relation 42 in Theorem 4.1.  $\square$

**Notation 4.3.** Let  $P_n(x)$  and  $Q_n(x)$  be two polynomials of degree  $n$  with  $P_n(x)$  defined as in relation 24. Then for  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , we have

$$(PQ)(x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{(\beta_0)^{n+1}} \begin{vmatrix} Q_0(x) & Q_1(x) & Q_2(x) & \dots & Q_{n-1}(x) & Q_n(x) \\ \beta_0 & \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \dots & \beta_{j-1} & \beta_j \\ 0 & \beta_0 & \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_1 & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{n-2} & \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_0 & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{n-3} & \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \beta_0 & \begin{bmatrix} n \\ n-1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_1 \end{vmatrix}. \quad (43)$$

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $(\widetilde{A}_{n,q}(x))_{n=0}^\infty$  and  $(\widehat{A}_{n,q}(x))_{n=0}^\infty$  be two families of symmetric  $q$ -Appell polynomials. Then  
 a) For every  $\alpha$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(\alpha \widetilde{A}_{n,q}(x) + \beta \widehat{A}_{n,q}(x))_{n=0}^\infty$  is also a family of symmetric  $q$ -Appell polynomials.  
 b)  $((\widetilde{A}\widehat{A})_{n,q}(x))_{n=0}^\infty$  is also a family of symmetric  $q$ -Appell polynomials.

*Proof.* a) The proof is the direct consequence of linear properties of determinant.  
 b) According to the determinantal definition of symmetric  $q$ -Appell polynomials given in Theorem 3.6

relation 33 and also notation 43, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\widehat{AA})_{n,q}(x) = \widetilde{A}_{n,q}(\widehat{A}_{n,q}(x)) \\
 & = \frac{(-1)^n}{(B_{0,q})^{n+1}} \begin{vmatrix} \widehat{A}_{0,q}(x) & \widehat{A}_{1,q}(x) & \widehat{A}_{2,q}(x) & \dots & \dots & \widehat{A}_{n-1,q}(x) & \widehat{A}_{n,q}(x) \\ B_{0,q} & B_{1,q} & B_{2,q} & \dots & \dots & B_{n-1,q} & B_{n,q} \\ 0 & B_{0,q} & \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{1,q} & \dots & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{n-2,q} & \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{n-1,q} \\ 0 & 0 & B_{0,q} & \dots & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{n-3,q} & \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{n-2,q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & B_{0,q} & \begin{bmatrix} n \\ n-1 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{1,q} \end{vmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using formula 25 given in Lemma 3.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \widetilde{D}_q((\widehat{AA})_{n,q}(x)) = \frac{(-1)^n}{(B_{0,q})^{n+1}} \times \\
 & \begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{D}_q(\widehat{A}_{0,q}(x)) & \widetilde{D}_q(\widehat{A}_{1,q}(x)) & \widetilde{D}_q(\widehat{A}_{2,q}(x)) & \dots & \dots & \widetilde{D}_q(\widehat{A}_{n-1,q}(x)) & \widetilde{D}_q(\widehat{A}_{n,q}(x)) \\ B_{0,q} & B_{1,q} & B_{2,q} & \dots & \dots & B_{n-1,q} & B_{n,q} \\ 0 & B_{0,q} & \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{1,q} & \dots & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{n-2,q} & \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{n-1,q} \\ 0 & 0 & B_{0,q} & \dots & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{n-3,q} & \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{n-2,q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & B_{0,q} & \begin{bmatrix} n \\ n-1 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{1,q} \end{vmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(\widehat{A}_{n,q}(x))_{n=0}^\infty$  is a family of symmetric  $q$ -Appell polynomials, according to relation 20 we have

$$\widetilde{D}_q(\widehat{A}_{n,q}(x)) = [n]_q \widehat{A}_{n-1,q}(x); n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Therefore we can continue as  $\widetilde{D}_q((\widehat{AA})_{n,q}(x)) = \frac{(-1)^n}{(B_{0,q})^{n+1}} \times$

$$\begin{vmatrix} 0 & \widehat{A}_{0,q}(x) & [2]_q \widehat{A}_{1,q}(x) & \dots & \dots & [n-1]_q \widehat{A}_{n-2,q}(x) & [n]_q \widehat{A}_{n-1,q}(x) \\ B_{0,q} & B_{1,q} & B_{2,q} & \dots & \dots & B_{n-1,q} & B_{n,q} \\ 0 & B_{0,q} & \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{1,q} & \dots & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{n-2,q} & \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{n-1,q} \\ 0 & 0 & B_{0,q} & \dots & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{n-3,q} & \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{n-2,q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & B_{0,q} & \begin{bmatrix} n \\ n-1 \end{bmatrix}_q B_{1,q} \end{vmatrix}.$$

By expanding the last determinant along with the first column as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{(-1)^n}{(B_{0,q})^{n+1}} (-B_{0,q}) \begin{vmatrix} \widetilde{A}_{0,q}(x) & \widetilde{[2]_q} \widetilde{A}_{1,q}(x) & \dots & \dots & \widetilde{[n-1]_q} \widetilde{A}_{n-2,q}(x) & \widetilde{[n]_q} \widetilde{A}_{n-1,q}(x) \\ B_{1,q} & B_{2,q} & \dots & \dots & B_{n-1,q} & B_{n,q} \\ B_{0,q} & \widetilde{\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q} B_{1,q} & \dots & \dots & \widetilde{\begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q} B_{n-2,q} & \widetilde{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q} B_{n-1,q} \\ 0 & B_{0,q} & \dots & \dots & \widetilde{\begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q} B_{n-3,q} & \widetilde{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q} B_{n-2,q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \dots & B_{0,q} & \widetilde{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ n-1 \end{bmatrix}_q} B_{1,q} \end{vmatrix} \cdot \\
 &= \widetilde{[n]_q} (\widetilde{AA})_{n-1,q}(x).
 \end{aligned}$$

which means that  $((\widetilde{AA})_{n,q}(x))_{n=0}^\infty$  belongs to the family of symmetric  $q$ -Appell polynomials too.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.5.** For Symmetric  $q$ -Appell polynomials  $A_{n,q}(x)$  we have

$$A_{n,q}(x + y) = \sum_{i=0}^n \widetilde{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q} A_{i,q}(x) y^{n-i}; n = 0, 1, \dots \tag{44}$$

*Proof.* Using the definition in 24 and the identity

$$(\widetilde{x + y})_q^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \widetilde{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q} x^{n-k} y^k,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{n,q}(x + y) &= \frac{(-1)^n}{(\beta_0)^{n+1}} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & (x + y)^1 & (x + y)^2 & \dots & (x + y)^{n-1} & (x + y)^n \\ \beta_0 & \beta_1 & \widetilde{\beta_2} & \dots & \widetilde{\beta_{n-1}} & \widetilde{\beta_n} \\ 0 & \beta_0 & \widetilde{\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q} \beta_1 & \dots & \widetilde{\begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q} \beta_{n-2} & \widetilde{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q} \beta_{n-1} \\ 0 & 0 & \beta_0 & \dots & \widetilde{\begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q} \beta_{n-3} & \widetilde{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_q} \beta_{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \beta_0 & \widetilde{\begin{bmatrix} n \\ n-1 \end{bmatrix}_q} \beta_1 \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^n y^i \frac{(-1)^n}{(\beta_0)^{n+1}} \times
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & \begin{bmatrix} i \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q x^{n-1-i} & \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q x^{n-i} \\ \beta_0 & \beta_1 & \dots & \beta_{i-1} & \beta_i & \dots & \beta_{n-1} & \beta_n \\ 0 & \beta_0 & \dots & \beta_{i-2} \begin{bmatrix} i-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q & \begin{bmatrix} i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{i-1} & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{n-2} & \begin{bmatrix} n \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \beta_0 & \begin{bmatrix} i \\ i-1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_1 & \dots & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i-1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{n-i+1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \beta_0 & \dots & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{n-i} \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \beta_0 & \begin{bmatrix} n \\ n-1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_1 \end{vmatrix} \\
 & = \sum_{i=0}^n y^i \frac{(-1)^n}{(\beta_0)^{n+1}} \begin{vmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q & \begin{bmatrix} i+1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q x^1 & \begin{bmatrix} i+2 \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q x^2 & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q x^{n-1-i} & \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q x^{n-i} \\ \beta_0 & \begin{bmatrix} i+1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_1 & \begin{bmatrix} i+2 \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_2 & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{n-i-1} & \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{n-i} \\ 0 & \beta_0 & \begin{bmatrix} i+2 \\ i+1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_1 & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ i+1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{n-i-2} & \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i+1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{n-i-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \beta_0 & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & \beta_0 & \begin{bmatrix} n \\ n-1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_1 \end{vmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Dividing the  $j^{th}$  column by  $\begin{bmatrix} i+j-1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q$  for  $j = 2, \dots, n-i+1$  and multiplying the  $h^{th}$  row by  $\begin{bmatrix} i+h-2 \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q$

for  $h = 3, \dots, n-i+1$ ,  
we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_n(x+y) &= \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{\begin{bmatrix} i+1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \dots \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q}{\begin{bmatrix} i+1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q \dots \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q} \frac{(-1)^{n-i}}{(\beta_0)^{n-i+1}} \times \\
 & \begin{vmatrix} 1 & x^1 & x^2 & \dots & x^{n-1-i} & x^{n-i} \\ \beta_0 & \beta_1 & \beta_2 & \dots & \beta_{n-i-1} & \beta_{n-i} \\ 0 & \beta_0 & \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_1 & \dots & \begin{bmatrix} n-i-1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{n-i-2} & \begin{bmatrix} n-i \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_{n-i-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \beta_0 & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & \beta_0 & \begin{bmatrix} n-i \\ n-i-1 \end{bmatrix}_q \beta_1 \end{vmatrix} \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q A_{n-i}(x) y^i = \sum_{i=0}^n \begin{bmatrix} n \\ i \end{bmatrix}_q A_i(x) y^{n-i}.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 4.6.** (Forward Difference). For Symmetric  $q$ -Appell polynomials  $A_n(x)$  we have

$$\Delta A_n(x) \equiv A_n(x + 1) - A_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ i \end{matrix} \right]_q A_i(x), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \tag{45}$$

*Proof.* The desired result follows from 44 with  $y = 1$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.7.** (Multiplication Theorem). For Symmetric  $q$ -Appell polynomials  $A_n(x)$  we have

$$A_n(mx) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ i \end{matrix} \right]_q A_i(x)(m - 1)^{n-i} x^{n-i}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \text{ and } m = 1, 2, \dots \tag{46}$$

*Proof.* The desired result follows from 44 with  $y = x(m - 1)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.8.** (Symmetry). For Symmetric  $q$ -Appell polynomials  $A_n(x)$  the following relation holds

$$(A_n(h - x) = (-1)^n A_n(x)) \Leftrightarrow (A_n(h) = (-1)^n A_n(0)) \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \text{ and } h \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{47}$$

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Follows from the hypothesis with  $x = 0$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Using 44 we find

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(h - x) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ i \end{matrix} \right]_q A_i(h)(-x)^{n-i} \\ &= (-1)^n \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ i \end{matrix} \right]_q A_i(h)(-1)^i x^{n-i} \\ &= (-1)^n \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ i \end{matrix} \right]_q A_{n-i}(h)(-1)^{n-i} x^i. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using the assumptions and 31, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(h - x) &= (-1)^n \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ i \end{matrix} \right]_q A_{n-i}(0)x^i \\ &= (-1)^n A_n(x). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Lemma 4.9.** For the numbers  $\alpha_{2n+1}$  and  $\beta_{2n+1}$  we have

$$(\alpha_{2n+1} = 0) \Leftrightarrow (\beta_{2n+1} = 0) \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \tag{48}$$

*Proof.* As in 32, we know that

$$\begin{cases} \beta_0 = \frac{1}{\alpha_0} \\ \beta_n = -\frac{1}{\beta_0} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right]_q \alpha_k \beta_{n-k} \quad n = 1; 2; \dots \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\begin{cases} \beta_1 = -\frac{1}{\alpha_0} \alpha_1 \beta_0 \\ \beta_{2n+1} = -\frac{1}{\alpha_0} \left[ \begin{matrix} 2n+1 \\ 1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \alpha_1 \beta_{2n} - \frac{1}{\beta_0} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ \begin{matrix} 2n+1 \\ 2k \end{matrix} \right]_q \alpha_{2k} \beta_{2(n-k)+1} + \left[ \begin{matrix} 2n+1 \\ 2k+1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \alpha_{2k+1} \beta_{2(n-k)} \\ n = 1; 2; \dots \end{cases}$$

and

$$\alpha_{2n+1} = 0, \quad n = 0; 1; \dots$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \beta_1 = 0 \\ \beta_{2n+1} = -\frac{1}{\beta_0} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{2n+1} \\ 2k \end{matrix} \right]_q \alpha_{2k} \beta_{2(n-k)+1} & n = 1; 2; \dots \\ \Rightarrow \beta_{2n+1} = 0 & n = 0; 1; \dots \end{cases}$$

In the same way, again from 32, we have

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_0 = \frac{1}{\beta_0} \\ \alpha_n = -\frac{1}{\beta_0} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left[ \begin{matrix} n \\ k \end{matrix} \right]_q \alpha_k \beta_{n-k} & n = 1; 2; \dots \end{cases}$$

As a consequence

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_1 = -\frac{1}{\beta_0} \alpha_0 \beta_1 \\ \alpha_{2n+1} = -\frac{1}{\beta_0} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{2n+1} \\ 2k \end{matrix} \right]_q \beta_{2k} \alpha_{2(n-k)+1} + \left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{2n+1} \\ 2k+1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \alpha_{2k+1} \beta_{2(n-k)} - \frac{1}{\beta_0} \left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{2n+1} \\ 2n \end{matrix} \right]_q \beta_1 \alpha_{2n} \\ n = 1; 2; \dots \end{cases}$$

and

$$\beta_{2n+1} = 0, \quad n = 0; 1; \dots$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \alpha_1 = 0 \\ \alpha_{2n+1} = -\frac{1}{\beta_0} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{2n+1} \\ 2k+1 \end{matrix} \right]_q \alpha_{2k+1} \beta_{2(n-k)} & n = 1; 2; \dots \\ \Rightarrow \alpha_{2n+1} = 0 & n = 0; 1; \dots \end{cases}$$

□

**Theorem 4.10.** For Symmetric  $q$ -Appell polynomials  $A_n(x)$  the following relation holds

$$(A_n(-x) = (-1)^n A_n(x)) \Leftrightarrow (\beta_{2n+1} = 0). \tag{49}$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 4.8 with  $h = 0$  and Lemma 4.9, we find

$$(A_n(-x) = (-1)^n A_n(x)) \Leftrightarrow (A_n(0) = (-1)^n A_n(0)) \Leftrightarrow (A_{2n+1}(0) = 0) \Leftrightarrow (\alpha_{2n+1} = 0) \Leftrightarrow (\beta_{2n+1} = 0)$$

$n = 0; 1; \dots$  □

**Theorem 4.11.** For each  $n \geq 1$  it is true that

$$\int_0^x A_n(t) d_q t = \frac{1}{n+1} [A_{n+1}(x) - A_{n+1}(0)] \tag{50}$$

and

$$\int_0^1 A_n(t) d_q t = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^n \left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{n+1} \\ i \end{matrix} \right]_q A_i(0). \tag{51}$$

*Proof.* Equality 50 follows from 20. Moreover, for  $x = 1$  we find

$$\int_0^1 A_n(t) d_{\bar{q}}t = \frac{1}{n+1} [A_{n+1}(1) - A_{n+1}(0)] \quad (52)$$

and, using 44 with  $x = 0$  and  $y = 1$ , we obtain

$$A_{n+1}(1) = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{n+1} \\ i \end{matrix} \right]_q A_i(0), \quad (53)$$

so, by 53, relation 52 becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 A_n(t) d_{\bar{q}}t &= \frac{1}{n+1} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{n+1} \\ i \end{matrix} \right]_q A_i(0) - A_{n+1}(0) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^n \left[ \begin{matrix} \widetilde{n+1} \\ i \end{matrix} \right]_q A_i(0). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Acknowledgments:** The authors extend the appreciation to the Deanship of Postgraduate Studies and Scientific Research at Majmaah University for funding this research work through the project number (R-2024-1130).

## References

- [1] W.A.Al-Salam, *q-Appell polynomials*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.77(4)(1967), 31–45.
- [2] P.Appell, *Une classe de polynômes*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 9(2)(1880), 119–144.
- [3] F. Costabile, F. Dell Accio, *A new approach to Bernoulli polynomials*, M. I. Gualtieri, , Rend. Mat. Ser. VII 26(2006), 1–12.
- [4] F. Costabile, *A determinantal approach to Appell polynomials*, J.Comput. Appl. Math. 234(5)(2013), 1528–1542.
- [5] H. Elmonser, *Symmetric q-extension of  $\lambda$ -Apostol-Euler polynomials via umbral calculus*, Indian J Pure Appl Math Volume 54, pages 583-594, (2023).
- [6] H. Elmonser, *Symmetric q-Bernoulli numbers and polynomials*, Functiones et Approximatio 2017, 52.2, 181-193.
- [7] T.Ernst, *Convergence aspects for q-Appell functions*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. India Sect. A Phys. Sci. 81(1–2)(2014), 67–77.
- [8] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its application, Vol 35 Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 1990.
- [9] V. G. Kac and P. Cheung, *Quantum Calculus*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.
- [10] Marzieh Eini Keleshteri, Nazim I. Mahmudov, *A study on q-Appell polynomials from determinantal point of view*, Applied Mathematics and Computation 260(2015), 351–369.
- [11] A. F. Loureiro, P. Maroni, *Around q-Appell polynomials sequences*, Ramanujan J. 26(3)(2011), 311–321.
- [12] N. I. Mahmudov, *Difference equations of q-Appell polynomials*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. India Sect. A Phys. Sci. 245(2014), 539–543.
- [13] D. S. McAnally, *q-exponential and q-gamma functions I.q-exponential functions*, J. Math. Phys. 1995, 36 (1), 546-573.
- [14] D. S. McAnally, *q-exponential and q-gamma functions II.q-gamma functions*, J. Math. Phys. 1995, 36 (1), 574-595.
- [15] K. Sharma, R. Jain, *Lie theory and q-Appell functions*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. India Sect. A Phys. Sci. 77(3)(2007), 259–261.
- [16] I. M. Sheffer, *Note on Appell polynomials*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 51(1945), 739–744.
- [17] H. M. Srivastava, *Some characterizations of Appell and q-Appell polynomials*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 130(4)(1982), 321–329.
- [18] C. J. Thorne *A property of Appell sets*, Am. Math. Mon. 52(1945), 191–193.
- [19] R. S. Varma, *On Appell polynomials*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 2(1951), 593–596.