Filomat 38:28 (2024), 9877–9889 https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2428877C

Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Nis, Serbia ˇ Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

Applications and generalizations of idempotents

Liufeng Caoa,[∗] **, Junchao Wei^b , Hua Yao^c**

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Yancheng Institute of Technology ^bSchool of Mathematical Sciences, Yangzhou University ^cSchool of Mathematics and Statistics, Huanghuai University

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce the concepts of one-sided *x*-idempotents, one-sided *x*-equal elements, one-sided *x*-projections, and list some properties of them. Furthermore, we apply these elements to describe generalized inverses in rings with involution.

1. Introduction

Throughout, all rings are associative and unital, the symbols $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_+, N(R), E(R)$ and $Z(R)$ stand for the ring of integers, the set of positive integers, the set of all nilpotent elements, the set of all idempotents and the center of *R*, respectively. In the studies of ring theory, idempotents play an important role. For example, the definitions of clean rings [21], left quasi-duo rings [23], quasi-normal rings [26] are related to idempotents. Furthermore, idempotents are often used to describe rings satisfying given conditions. For instances, in [24], based on the works [7] and [5], Wei defined the generalized weakly symmetric rings, and use idempotents to describe generalized weakly symmetric rings. Then, Meng et al. in [11], [12] and [13] used idempotents to study *e*-symmetric rings and weak *e*-symmetric rings, where $e \in E(R)$. The studies of properties of idempotents in rings appear in [8], [9] and [10]. For other studies of idempotents in rings, one can refer [3], [4], [6], [25] and [29]. Motivated by the previous works, we give the definitions of one-sided *x*-idempotents, one-sided *x*-equal elements and one-sided *x*-projections in this article and study their properties. Moreover, we apply these elements to characterize EP and SEP elements in involution rings.

An element *e* ∈ *R* is said to be anti-idempotent if $e^2 = -e$. We call an element $e \in E(R)$ left (resp. right) minimal idempotent of *R* if *Re* (resp. *eR*) is a minimal left (resp. right) ideal of *R*. Denote the set of all left (resp. right) minimal idempotents of *R* by $ME_l(R)$ (resp. $ME_r(R)$). An idempotent $e \in R$ is called left (resp. right) semicentral if $ae = eae$ (resp. $ea = eae$) for any $a \in R$. Moreover, if *e* is both left and right semicentral, then *e* is a central idempotent. An element $a \in R$ is said to be regular if there exists $b \in R$ such that $a = aba$, where *b* is called an inner inverse of *a*. The set of all regular elements of *R* is denoted by *R*^{reg}. In general, the

Communicated by Dijana Mosic´

²⁰²⁰ *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 16U90.

Keywords. idempotent, one-sided *x*-idempotent, one-sided *x*-equal element, one-sided *x*-projection, EP element, SEP element Received: 22 December 2023; Revised: 15 June 2024; Accepted: 25 June 2024

L. Cao was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12371041). J. Wei was supported by Jiangsu Province University Brand Specialty Construction Support Project (Mathematics and Applied Mathematics) (Grant No. PPZY2025B109) and Yangzhou University Science and Innovation Fund (Grant No. XCX20240259, XCX20240272).

^{*} Corresponding author: Liufeng Cao

Email addresses: 1204719495@qq.com (Liufeng Cao), jcweiyz@126.com (Junchao Wei), dalarstone@126.com (Hua Yao)

inner inverse of *a* is not unique, we denote the set of all inner inverses of *a* by *a*{1}, and *a* − stands for some fixed inner inverse of *a*. We say that an element *a* \in *R* is group invertible if there exists $a^{\#} \in$ *R* satisfying

$$
a = aa^{\#}a
$$
, $a^{\#} = a^{\#}aa^{\#}$, $aa^{\#} = a^{\#}a$,

where $a^{\#}$ is called the group inverse of *a*, and if $a^{\#}$ exists, then it is unique [22].

A map ∗ : *R* → *R* is said to be an involution of *R* if

$$
(a^*)^* = a, \ (a+b)^* = a^* + b^*, \ (ab)^* = b^*a^*.
$$

A ring with an involution $*$ is an involution ring (or $*$ -ring). We call an element $a \in R$ Hermitian if $a^* = a$ [14], and the set of all Hermitian elements of *R* is denoted by R^{Her} . In particular, if $e \in E(R)$ is Hermitian, then *e* is called a projection, and we write R^{proj} for the set of all projections of *R*. Furthermore, if $e \in R^{proj}$ is central, then *e* is a central projection. An element $a \in R$ is said to be a partial isometry if $a = aa^*a$ [19], and the set of all partial isometries of *R* is denoted by R^{PI} . We call a^+ the Moore-Penrose inverse (MP-inverse) of *a*, if

$$
a = aa^+a
$$
, $a^+ = a^+aa^+$, $(aa^+)^* = aa^+$, $(a^+a)^* = a^+a$.

a + is unique if it exists [22]. Denote the set of all MP-invertible elements of *R* by *R* + . In particular, if *a* ∈ R [#] ∩ \hat{R} ⁺ and a [#] = a ⁺, then *a* is called EP [20]. R^{EP} stands for the set of all EP elements of \hat{R} . Moreover, *a* is said to be SEP if $a \in R^* \cap R^+$ and $a^* = a^* = a^*$ [14]. The set of all SEP elements of *R* is denoted by R^{SEP} . In recent years, the studies of characterizations of EP and SEP elements in involution rings are popular $[15–18, 27, 29]$. $a_1^{\textcircled{\#}}$ $\binom{1}{l}$ is called a left core inverse of *a* if $aa_l^{(1)}$ $\binom{4}{l}$ *a* = *a*, *a*^{$\binom{4}{l}$} **[⊕]aa**[⊕] $a_l^{\textcircled{\#}} = a_l^{\textcircled{\#}}$ $\overset{\textcircled{\textcircled{\tiny{\#}}}}{\scriptstyle l}$, $\overset{\textcircled{\tiny{\textcircled{\tiny{\#}}}}}{\scriptstyle l}$ $\binom{4}{l}a^2 = a$ and $\left(a a_l^2\right)$ $\binom{4}{l}^* = aa_l^{\frac{4}{l}}$ *l* and *l* and *l* and *l* and *l* and *l* and *a* if *a* and *a* $\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$. *a*^{$\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$ is said to be a core inverse of *a* if aa^{2} = a^{2} , a^{2} = *a* and $(aa^{2})^*$ = aa^{2} [1]. It is noted that} $a^{(1)} = aa^{(1)} = a^{(1)}a^2a^{(1)} = (a^{(1)}a)(aa^{(1)}) = a^{(1)}aa^{(1)}$ and $a = a^{(1)}a^2 = aa^{(1)}a^2 = (aa^{(1)}) (a^{(1)}a^2) = aa^{(1)}a$. In this paper, we will first define the one-sided *x*-idempotents, one-sided *x*-equal elements and one-sided *x*-projections, and then apply these elements to characterize EP and SEP elements.

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we define one-sided *x*-idempotents and give some results. In Section 3, we give the definition of one-sided *x*-equal elements, and study the properties of them. In Section 4, we propose the concept of one-sided *x*-projections, and give some characterizations of them. In Section 5, we apply these elements to describe EP and SEP elements in involution rings.

2. One-sided *x***-idempotent**

Definition 2.1. *Let* $x \in R$ *. Then an element a* ∈ *R is called a left (resp. right) x-idempotent if* $a^2 = xa$ *(resp.* $a^2 = ax$ *).*

Consider the non-commutative polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z} < x, y > \sqrt{x^2 - yx}, y^2 - xy$). It is easy to check that $x^2 = yx \neq xy$ and $y^2 = xy$, which implies that one-sided *x*-idempotent is not unique and a left *x*-idempotent is not necessary a right *x*-idempotent. Furthermore, for the same element $0 \neq a \in R$, *a* can both be a left (resp. right) *x*-idempotent and a left (resp. right) *y*-idempotent with $x \neq y$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let* $x \in R$ *. Then*

(1) x is a left and right x-idempotent.

(2) 0 ≠ *x* ∈ *N*(*R*) *if and only if there exists* 0 ≤ *n* ∈ **Z** *such that x is a left (right)* (*x* + *x*^{*n*})-*idempotent.*

- (3) $x \in N(R)$ *if and only if there exists some* $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ *such that* x^k *is a left (right)* 0-*idempotent.*
- *(4)* x is anti-idempotent if and only if x is a left (right) $(2x + 1)$ -idempotent.

Proof. (1) It is obvious.

 $\overrightarrow{f}(2)$ ⇒ If 0 ≠ *x* ∈ *N*(*R*), then there exists some *n* ∈ **Z**₊ such that *xⁿ* = 0. Thus, *x*² = (*x* + *x*^{*n*-1})*x*. \Leftarrow Provided that 0 ≤ *n* ∈ **Z** such that $x^2 = (x + x^n)x$, then $x^{n+1} = 0$. It follows that $x \in N(R)$. (3) ⇒ If $x^n = 0$, then taking $k = n$, we have

 $(x^k)^2 = x^{2n} = 0 = 0x^k$.

 \Leftrightarrow Assume that $(x^k)^2 = x^{2k} = 0$ *x*^k = 0, then *x* $\in N(R)$. $(x + 1)$ ⇒ By $x^2 = -x$, then $(2x + 1)x = x(2x + 1) = 2x^2 + x = 2x^2 - x^2 = x^2$. \Leftarrow If $(2x + 1)x = x(2x + 1) = 2x^2 + x = x^2$, then $x^2 = -x$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let* $e \in R$ *. Then the following statements are equivalent: (1)* e ∈ $E(R)$ *;*

(2) e is a left 1-idempotent; (3) e is a right 1-idempotent; (4) e is a left (2*e* − 1)*-idempotent; (5) e is a right* (2*e* − 1)*-idempotent.*

Proof. It follows from a straightforward verification. \square

Theorem 2.4. *Let a, x* ∈ *R.* Then a is a left (resp. right) x-idempotent if and only if $x - a$ is a right (resp. left) *x-idempotent.*

Proof. ⇒ By $a^2 = xa$, we have $(x - a)^2 = x^2 - xa - ax + a^2 = x^2 - ax = (x - a)x$, which gives the desired result. \Leftarrow If $(x - a)^2 = x^2 - xa - ax + a^2 = (x - a)x = x^2 - ax$, then $a^2 - xa = 0$, i.e., $a^2 = xa$. It follows that a is a left *x*-idempotent. \Box

Theorem 2.5. *Let* $e \in E(R)$ *. Then e is a left (resp. right) semicentral element if and only if xe (resp. ex) is a left (resp. right) x*-*idempotent for each* $x \in R$.

Proof. \Rightarrow If *exe* = *xe*, then for any $x \in R$, $(xe)^2 = x(exe) = x(xe)$. It follows that *xe* is a left *x*-idempotent. \Leftarrow Taking *y*, *z* ∈ *R*, then

$$
(ye)^2 = y^2 e, \ (ze)^2 = z^2 e, \ ((y+z)e)^2 = (y+z)^2 e.
$$

Hence, $\psi e z e + z e \psi e = \psi z e + z \psi e$. Setting $\psi = 1 - e$, we obtain $(1 - e) z e = 0$. This shows that *e* is left semicentral. \square

Corollary 2.6. *Let e* ∈ *E*(*R*)*. Then e is left (resp. right) semicentral if and only if x*−*xe (resp. x*−*ex) is a right (resp. left) x*-*idempotent for every* $x \in R$ *.*

Proof. It follows from Theorems 2.4 and 2.5. □

Theorem 2.7. *Let* $e \in R$ *. Then the following statements are equivalent: (1)* e ∈ $E(R)$ *; (2) e is a left* $(x + 1)$ *-idempotent for each* $x \in l(e)$ *, where* $l(e) = \{y \in R | ye = 0\}$ *; (3) e is a right* $(x + 1)$ -*idempotent for every* $x \in r(e)$ *, where* $r(e) = \{y \in R | e y = 0\}$ *.*

Proof. (1)⇒(2) By $e^2 = e$, for any $x \in l(e)$, $(x + 1)e = xe + e = e = e^2$. (2)⇒(1) If *x* ∈ *l*(*e*), then $e^2 = (x + 1)e = e$. (1)⇔(3) The proof is similar. $□$ Note that if $e \in E(R)$, then $(1-e)e = e(1-e) = 0$. Thus, from Theorem 2.7 we infer the following corollary.

Corollary 2.8. *Let* $e \in R$ *. Then the following statements are equivalent:* $(1) e ∈ E(R);$ *(2) e is a left* $(x(1 - e) + 1)$ *-idempotent for every* $x \in R$; *(3) e is a right* $((1 - e)x + 1)$ -*idempotent for each* $x \in R$.

Theorem 2.9. *Let e.x* \in *R. Then the following statements are equivalent: (1) e is a left x-idempotent;*

(2)
$$
\begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \ 0 & y \end{pmatrix}
$$
 is a left $\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \ 0 & y \end{pmatrix}$ -idempotent for each $y \in R$;
\n(3) $\begin{pmatrix} e & y \ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is a left $\begin{pmatrix} x & u \ 0 & v \end{pmatrix}$ -idempotent for any $y \in r(e-x)$, $u, v \in R$;
\n(4) $\begin{pmatrix} e & y \ 0 & e \end{pmatrix}$ is a left $\begin{pmatrix} x & y \ 0 & x \end{pmatrix}$ -idempotent for every $y \in r(e-x)$.

Proof. (1)⇒(2) By $e^2 = xe$,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc} e & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{array}\right)^2 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} e^2 & 0 \\ 0 & y^2 \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} xe & 0 \\ 0 & y^2 \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} e & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{array}\right).
$$

(2)⇒(3) By assumption, one has $e^2 = xe$. By a straightforward computation,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc} e & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right)^2 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} e^2 & ey \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right),
$$

and

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc} x & u \\ 0 & v \end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc} e & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} xe & xy \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right).
$$

Since $y \in r(e - x)$, $xy = ey$. Thus $\begin{pmatrix} e^2 & ey \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} =$ $\begin{pmatrix} xe & xy \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. This gives the desired result. (3)⇒(4) By hypothesis, $e^2 = xe$. Moreover, $ey = xy$ by $y \in r(e - x)$. Thus,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc} e & y \\ 0 & e \end{array}\right)^2 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} e^2 & ey + ye \\ 0 & e^2 \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} xe & xy + ye \\ 0 & xe \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} x & y \\ 0 & x \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} e & y \\ 0 & e \end{array}\right).
$$

This proves (4).

(4) \Rightarrow (1) By assumption, we have *e*² = *xe*. This shows (1). Similarly, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.10. *Let e,* $x \in R$ *. Then the following statements are equivalent: (1) e is a right x-idempotent;*

 $(2) \left(\begin{array}{cc} e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)$ 0 *y* \int *is a right* $\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 0 *y* ! *-idempotent for every y* ∈ *R;* $(3) \left(\begin{array}{cc} e & 0 \\ u & 0 \end{array} \right)$ *y* 0 $\left\{ \begin{array}{cc} x & 0 \\ u & v \end{array} \right\}$ -idempotent for any y ∈ l(e − x), u, v ∈ R; *(*4) $\begin{pmatrix} e & 0 \\ y & e \end{pmatrix}$ *is a right* $\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ y & x \end{pmatrix}$ -*idempotent for each* $y \in l(e-x)$ *.*

Notice that *x* is a left and right *x*-idempotent, and in this case, $y \in l(0)$, $r(0)$ for any $y \in R$. Hence, by Theorem 2.9 and Proposition 2.10, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 2.11. *Let*
$$
x, y \in R
$$
. *Then*

(1)
$$
\begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$
 is a left $\begin{pmatrix} x & u \\ 0 & v \end{pmatrix}$ -idempotent for any $u, v \in R$.
\n(2) $\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ y & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is a right $\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ u & v \end{pmatrix}$ -idempotent for any $u, v \in R$.

Proposition 2.12. Let $a, x \in R$ and a be a left x-idempotent. Then axa is a left ax²-idempotent.

Proof. Assume that $a^2 = xa$, then $(axa)^2 = axa^2xa = ax^2axa = ax^2(axa)$.

In general, the converse of Proposition 2.12 is not true. For example, in $(\mathbb{Z}_4, +, \times)$, we set $a = [1]$ and $x = [0]$, then [0] is a left [0]-idempotent, however [1] is not a left [0]-idempotent. The following result states when the converse of Proposition 2.12 holds.

Proposition 2.13. *Let a*, *x* ∈ *R and axa be a left ax*² *-idempotent. Then a be a left x-idempotent if one of the following statements holds:*

 $(1) x = a^*;$ $(2) x_l^{(H)} = a;$ (3) $a^{(4)} = x$ $(4) x^{(H)} = a.$

Proof. By hypothesis, *axa*2*xa* = *ax*² *axa*.

(1) If $x = a^{\#}$, then $aa^{\#}a^2a^{\#}a = a^2a^{\#}a = a^2$ and $a(a^{\#})^2aa^{\#}a = a(a^{\#})^2a = a^{\#}a$. Then $a^2 = a^{\#}a = xa$ by assumption.

(2) By $x_1^{(1)}$ $\frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} = a$, one has *xax* = *x*, *axa* = *a* and *ax*² = *x*. Thus *axa*²*xa* = (*axa*)(*axa*) = *a*² and *ax*²*axa* = *xaxa* = *xa*. Hence, $a^2 = xa$.

The proofs of (3) and (4) are similar to the proof of (2). \Box

Proposition 2.14. *Let* $x, y, z \in R$ *and* y, z *be left (resp. right)* x -idempotents. Then $y + z$ *is a left (resp. right) x*-idempotent if and only if $yz + zy = 0$.

Proof. Since *y*, *z* are left *x*-idempotents, $y^2 = xy$ and $z^2 = xz$. Then $(y+z)^2 = y^2 + yz + zy + z^2 = xy + yz + zy + xz =$ $x(y + z) + yz + zy$. Hence, $(y + z)^2 = x(y + z)$ if and only if $yz + zy = 0$. Thus the proof is completed.

Theorem 2.15. *Let e* ∈ *R. Then e* ∈ *E*(*R*) *if and only if e is a left* (*x*−*xe*+*e*)*-idempotent (a right* (*x*−*ex*+*e*)*-idempotent) for any* $x \in R$ *.*

Proof. \Rightarrow Suppose that $e^2 = e$, then

$$
(x - xe + e)e = xe - xe^{2} + e^{2} = xe - xe + e = e.
$$

 $∈$ By $(x - xe + e)e = xe - xe^2 + e^2 = e^2$, then $xe - xe^2 = 0$. In particular, taking $x = 1$, then $e = e^2$. Hence, e ∈ $E(R)$. □

Proposition 2.16. *Let e* ∈ *ME*_{*l*}(*R*) (*resp. e* ∈ *ME_{<i>r*}</sub>(*R*)) and *e* be left (*resp. right*) semicentral. Then for any $x \in R$ *with xe* \neq 0 (resp. ex \neq 0), there exists some $y \in R$ such that e is a left xy-idempotent (resp. a right yx-idempotent).

Proof. Since $xe \neq 0$, $Rxe = Re$. Assume that $e = yxe$, we obtain $e = yexe$, and so $ye \neq 0$. By $Rye = Re$, we suppose that $e = zye$. Hence, $ze = z(yexe) = (zye)(xe) = exe = xe$, and so $e = zye = zeye = xeye = xye$. It follows that $e^2 = e = xye$, and thus *e* is a left *xy*-idempotent.

Proposition 2.17. *For any* $x \in R$ *with* $xe \neq 0$ *(resp. ex* $\neq 0$ *), if there always exists some* $y \in R$ *such that e is a left xy-idempotent (resp. a right yx-idempotent), then e is left (resp. right) semicentral.*

Proof. Taking any $x \in R$, if $(1 - e)xe \neq 0$, then by the assumption, there exists some $y \in R$ such that $e = e^2 = (1 - e)xye$, a contradiction. Hence, $(1 - e)xe = 0$ for any $x \in R$, which implies that *e* is left semicentral. \square

From Propositions 2.16 and 2.17, we infer the following corollary immediately.

Corollary 2.18. Let $e \in ME_l(R)$ (resp. $e \in ME_r(R)$). Then e is left (resp. right) semicentral if and only if for *any* $x \in R$ with $xe \neq 0$ (resp. $ex \neq 0$), there exists some $y \in R$ such that e is a left xy-idempotent (resp. a right *yx-idempotent).*

Theorem 2.19. *Let x* ∈ *R. Then x* ∈ *Z*(*R*) *if and only if for each a* ∈ *R, xa* − *ax is a left (resp. right) xa-idempotent and a left (resp. right)* (*xa* + *a*)*-idempotent.*

Proof. \Rightarrow If $x \in Z(R)$, then it is obvious that $xa - ax = 0$ is a left xa -idempotent and a left $(xa + a)$ -idempotent. \leftarrow By $(xa - ax)^2 = xa(xa - ax)$, we have $ax(ax - xa) = 0$, i.e., $ax[a, x] = 0$. According to $(xa - ax)^2 =$ $(xa + a)(xa - ax)$, then $a(xa - ax) = 0$, i.e., $a[a, x] = 0$. Since $a + 1 \in R$, $(a + 1)[a + 1, x] = 0$, thus $[a, x] = 0$. It follows that $x \in Z(R)$. \square

Theorem 2.20. *Let* $x \in R$ *. Then* $x \in Z(R)$ *if and only if xa* − *ax is a left (right) a-idempotent for each a* $\in R$ *.*

Proof. ⇒ Note that *x* ∈ *Z*(*R*), then *xa* − *ax* = 0, and so it is a left *a*-idempotent for any *a* ∈ *R*. \Leftarrow By a straightforward computation, $[a, x] = [a + 1, x]$. Thus, by assumption, we have

 $a[a, x] = (xa - ax)^2 = (x(a + 1) - (a + 1)x)^2 = (a + 1)[a, x].$

Hence, $[a, x] = 0$ for any $a \in R$, and so $x \in Z(R)$.

Theorem 2.21. Let *e* ∈ *R*. Then *e* ∈ *R*^{PI} if and only if *e* is a left (*x* − *xee*^{*} + *e*)-idempotent (a right (*x* − *e*^{*}*ex* + *e*)*idempotent)* for each $x \in R$.

Proof. \Rightarrow If $e = ee^*e$, then $(x - xee^* + e)e = xe - xee^*e + e^2 = e^2$. \Leftarrow By $(1 - ee^* + e)e = e^2$, one gets *e* = *ee*[∗]*e*.

3. One-sided *x***-equal elements**

Definition 3.1. *Let a, b,* $x \in R$ *, a and b are called left (resp. right) x-equal if xa = xb (resp. ax = bx).*

In particular, for the case of left *x*-equal in Definition 3.1, if $x = a$, then *a* is a right *b*-idempotent; provided that $x = b$, then *b* is a right *a*-idempotent.

Proposition 3.2. Let $a, x \in R$. Then a is a left (resp. right) x-idempotent if and only if a and x are right (resp. left) *a-equal.*

Proof. It follows from a straightforward verification. \Box

Proposition 3.3. *Let a*, *b*, *x* ∈ *R. Then a and b are left (resp. right) x-equal if and only if a*−*x and b*−*x are left (resp. right) x-equal.*

Proof. \Rightarrow If $xa = xb$, then $x(a - x) = x(b - x)$. ⇐ By *x*(*a* − *x*) = *x*(*b* − *x*), one gets *xa* = *xb*.

Proposition 3.4. Let a, b, $x \in R$, and a and b be left (resp. right) x-equal. Then if ab = ba, we have a² and b² are left *(resp. right) x-equal.*

Proof. Since *xa* = *xb* and *ab* = *ba*, we have

$$
xa^2 = (xa)a = (xb)a = (xa)b = (xb)b = xb^2.
$$

 \Box

Proposition 3.5. *Let a*, *b*, *x* ∈ *R, and a and b be left (resp. right) x-equal. Then a and b are left (resp. right) xⁿ -equal, where* $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ *.*

Proof. By *xa* = *xb*, we have

$$
x^n a = x^{n-1}(xa) = x^{n-1}(xb) = x^n b.
$$

 \Box

(1) e ∈ $E(R)$ *; (2)* 1 − *e and 0 are left e-equal; (3)* 1 − *e and 0 are right e-equal; (4) e and 0 are left* (1 − *e*)*-equal; (5) e and 0 are right* (1 − *e*)*-equal; (6)* 2*e* − 1 *and e are left e-equal; (7)* 2*e* − 1 *and e are right e-equal.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) By $e^2 = e$, we have $e(1 - e) = e^2 - e = 0$. (2)⇒(3) It follows from $e(1 - e) = (1 - e)e$. $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$ It is obvious. (4)⇒(5) It follows from $(1 - e)e = e(1 - e)$. (5)⇒(6) By $(1 - e)e = 0$, $e^2 = e$. Thus,

$$
e(2e-1) = 2e^2 - e = e = e^2.
$$

(6)⇒(7) It follows from *e*(2*e* − 1) = (2*e* − 1)*e*. (7)⇒(1) By $e^2 = (2e - 1)e = 2e^2 - e$, one gets $e^2 = e$.

Theorem 3.7. *Let* $e \in E(R)$ *. Then e is left (resp. right) semicentral if and only if for each* $x \in E(R)$ *, if e and x are left (resp. right) e-equal, then e and x are right (resp. left) e-equal.*

Proof. \Rightarrow By $e^2 = e$, $exe = xe$ and $e^2 = ex$, then

 $xe = exe = e^3 = e^2.$

 \Leftarrow For any $y \in R$, let $x = e - (1 - e)y$. Then $xe = x$, $ex = e^2$, and $x^2 = (xe)x = x(ex) = xe^2 = xe = x$. Note that $ex = e^2$, hence $xe = e^2$ by hypothesis, and so $x = xe = e$. It follows that $(1 - e)ye = 0$, i.e., *e* is a left semicentral. \square

Theorem 3.8. *Let a, b, x, y, u* \in *R, and x* = *uyx (resp. x* = *xyu). Then a and b are left (resp. right) x-equal if and only if a and b are left yx-equal (resp. right xy-equal).*

Proof. \Rightarrow If *xa* = *xb*, then *y*(*xa*) = *yxb*. \Leftarrow By *yxa* = *yxb*, we have

 $xa = u\,yx = u\,yx = xb$.

 \Box

Theorem 3.9. Let $a, b, x \in R$. Then a and b are left (resp. right) x-equal if and only if $a + b$ and $2a$ are left (resp. *right) x-equal.*

Proof. \Rightarrow If *xa* = *xb*, then $x(a + b) = xa + xb = 2xa = x(2a)$. \Leftrightarrow Assume that $x(a + b) = x(2a) = 2xa$, then $xa = xb$. \square

Theorem 3.10. Let $e \in R$. Then e is left (resp. right) semicentral if and only if x and ex (resp. x and xe) are right *(resp. left) e-equal for each* $x \in R$ *.*

Proof. \Rightarrow Since *e* is left semicentral, *exe* = *xe* for any $x \in R$.

 \Leftarrow By assumption, *exe* = *xe* for every *x* ∈ *R*. In particular, taking *x* = 1, then $e^2 = e$. Hence, *e* is left semicentral. \Box

Theorem 3.11. Let $e \in R$. Then e is left (resp. right) semicentral if and only if xy and xey are right (resp. left) *e-equal for any* $x, y \in R$ *.*

Proof. \Rightarrow *By exe* = *xe* and *eye* = *ye*, then *xeye* = *xye.*

 \Leftarrow By hypothesis, $xeye = xye$ for each $x, y \in R$. Taking $x = 1$, then $eye = ye$. Furthermore, setting $x = y = 1$, then $e^2 = e$. Thus *e* is left semicentral.

Theorem 3.12. *Let* $x \in R$. *Then* $x \in Z(R)$ *if and only if xy and yx are left (right) y-equal for any* $y \in R$.

Proof. \Rightarrow If $x \in Z(R)$, then $yxy = y^2x$. ⇐ By *yxy* = *y* ²*x* and (*y* + 1)*x*(*y* + 1) = (*y* + 1)²*x*, then *xy* = *yx* for any *y* ∈ *R*. Hence, *x* ∈ *Z*(*R*).

Theorem 3.13. Let $x \in R$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then $x \in Z(R)$ if and only if xy and yx are left (right) y^n -equal for each $y \in R$.

Proof. \Rightarrow If $x \in Z(R)$, then $y^n xy = y^{n+1}x$. \Leftarrow By $y^n(xy - yx) = 0$ and $(y + 1)^n(x(y + 1) - (y + 1)x) = 0$, i.e., $(y + 1)^n(xy - yx) = 0$. Then,

$$
(1 + {n \choose n-1}y + \dots + {n \choose 2}y^{n-2} + {n \choose 1}y^{n-1})[x, y] = 0.
$$
 (1)

Multiplying (1) on the left by y^{n-1} , then by $y^n(xy - yx) = 0$, one gets $y^{n-1}[x, y] = 0$. Repeating the above procedures, one has $[x, y] = 0$, and hence $x \in Z(R)$. \square

4. One-sided *x***-projections**

Definition 4.1. *Let a. x* \in *R. Then a is called a left (resp. right) x-projection if* $a^2 = xa$ *(resp.* $a^2 = ax$ *) and* $a^* = a$ *.*

From Definitions 4.1 and 2.1, we get the following conclusion.

Proposition 4.2. *Let a*, *x* ∈ *R. Then a is a left (resp. right) x-projection if and only if a* ∈ *R Her and a is a left (resp. right) x-idempotent.*

By Proposition 4.2, one gets the following corollary.

Corollary 4.3. *Let* $x \in R$ *. Then* x *is a left* (*right*) x -projection *if and only if* $x \in R^{Her}$ *.*

Corollary 4.4. *Let* $x \in R$ *and* $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ *. Then* (1) $(xx^*)^n$ *is a left* (*right*) $(xx^*)^n$ -projection. (2) $(x^*x)^n$ *is a left (right)* $(x^*x)^n$ -projection. (3) $x + x^*$ *is a left (right)* $(x + x^*)$ -projection.

Proof. It follows from Corollary 4.3. □

Lemma 4.5. *Let a be a left x-projection and m, n* $\in \mathbb{Z}_+$ *. Then*

(1) ax[∗] = *xa.* $(2) x^m a^n = a^{m+n}.$ (3) $a^n(x^*)^m = a^{m+n}$. $(4) (ax^*)^n = (xa)^n = a^{2n}.$ (5) $(ax)^n = a^{2n-1}x$. $(6) (x^*a)^n = x^*a^{2n-1}.$

Proof. (1) $ax^* = a^*x^* = (xa)^* = (a^2)^* = a^2 = xa$. (2) By $xa = a^2$, then

$$
x^m a^n = x^{m-1} (xa) a^{n-1}
$$

=
$$
x^{m-1} a^{n+1}
$$

=
$$
x^{m-2} (xa) a^n
$$

=
$$
x^{m-2} a^{n+2}
$$

= ...
=
$$
a^{m+n}.
$$

(3) By $ax^* = a^2$, then

$$
a^{n}(x^{*})^{m} = a^{n-1}(ax^{*})(x^{*})^{m-1}
$$

= $a^{n+1}(x^{*})^{m-1}$
= $a^{n}(ax^{*})(x^{*})^{m-2}$
= $a^{n+2}(x^{*})^{m-2}$
= ...
= a^{m+n} .

(4) By $ax^* = xa = a^2$, then

$$
(ax^*)^n = (xa)^n = (a^2)^n = a^{2n}.
$$

(5) By
$$
xa = a^2
$$
, then

$$
(ax)^n = (ax)^{n-2}a(xa)x
$$

=
$$
(ax)^{n-2}a^3x
$$

=
$$
(ax)^{n-3}a(xa)a^2x
$$

=
$$
(ax)^{n-3}a^5x
$$

= ...
=
$$
a^{2n-1}x.
$$

(6) By $ax^* = a^2$, then

$$
(x^*a)^n = (x^*a)^{n-2}x^*(ax^*)a
$$

= $(x^*a)^{n-2}x^*a^3$
= $(x^*a)^{n-3}x^*(ax^*)a^3$
= $(x^*a)^{n-3}x^*a^5$
= ...
= x^*a^{2n-1} .

 \Box

Theorem 4.6. Let a be a left x-projection and $n, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then

(1) a^n is a left x^n -projection.

(2) a^n *is a left* $a^p x^q$ -projection, where $p + q = n$.

(3) a^n is a right $(x^*)^n$ -projection.

(4) a^n is a right $(x^*)^p a^q$ -projection, where $p + q = n$.

Proof. (1) By Lemma 4.5 (2), then $x^n a^n = a^{2n}$.

(2) By Lemma 4.5 (2), $(a^px^q)a^n = a^p(x^qa^n) = a^{p+q+n} = a^{2n}$.

(3) By Lemma 4.5 (3), $a^n(x^*)^n = a^{2n}$.

(4) By Lemma 4.5 (3), $a^n((x^*)^p a^q) = (a^n(x^*)^p a^q = a^{n+p+q} = a^{2n}$.

By Theorem 4.6, one has the following conclusion.

Corollary 4.7. Let a be a left x-projection and $m, n, s, t, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Then (1) $a^n(x^*)^t x^s a^m$ *is a left* $a^{n+m+s+t}$ *-projection.* (2) $a^n(x^*)^t x^s a^m$ *is a left* $a^n(x^*)^t x^{s+m}$ -projection. (3) $(ax^*)^n(xa)^s$ *is a left* a^{2n+2s} *-projection.* (4) $(ax^*)^n(xa)^s$ *is a left* $(ax^*)^n x^{2s}$ -projection. (5) $a^n x^s (x^*)^t a^m$ *is a left* $a^n x^s (x^*)^t x^m$ -projection. *(6)* $x^s a^m (x^*)^t$ *is a left a*^{*m*+s+*t*}-projection. (*7*) $x^s a^m (x^*)^t$ *is a left* $x^s a^{m+t}$ -projection. (8) $x^s (ax^*)^t$ *is a left a*^{*s*+2*t*}-projection. (9) $x^s (ax^*)^t$ *is a left* $x^s a^{2t}$ -projection. (10) $x^s a^m (x^*)^t$ is a left a^{m+s+t} -projection. (11) $x^s (ax^*)^t$ *is a left a*^{*s*+2*t*}-projection. (12) $(xax^*)^s$ *is a left a*^{3*s*}-projection. (13) $a^n(x^*)^t x^s a^m$ *is a left* $a^{m+n+s+t}$ *-projection.* (14) $a^n(x^*)^t x^s a^m$ *is a left* $a^p x^q$ *-projection, where* $p + q = m + n + s + t$ *.* (15) $(ax^*)^n x^s a^m$ *is a left* a^{2n+m+s} *-projection.* (16) $(ax^*)^n x^s a^m$ *is a left a^px^q-projection, where* $p + q = 2n + m + s$ *.* (17) $(ax^*)^n(xa)^s$ *is a left* a^{2n+2s} *-projection. (18)* $(ax^*)^n(xa)^s$ *is a left a^px^q-projection, where* $p + q = 2n + 2s$ *.* (19) $a^n(x^*)^t(xa)^s$ is a left a^{n+2s+t} -projection. *(20)* $a^{n}(x^{*})^{t}(xa)^{s}$ *is a left* $a^{p}x^{q}$ *-projection, where* $p + q = n + 2s + t$. (21) $a^n(x^*)^t x^s a^m$ *is a right* $(x^*)^{n+m+s+t}$ -projection. (22) $a^n(x^*)^t x^s a^m$ is a right $(x^*)^p a^q$ -projection, where $p + q = n + m + s + t$. (23) $(ax^*)^n x^s a^m$ *is a right* $(x^*)^{2n+m+s}$ -projection. (24) $(ax^*)^n x^s a^m$ *is a right* $(x^*)^p a^q$ -projection, where $p + q = 2n + m + s$. (25) $a^n(x^*)^t(xa)^s$ *is a right* $(x^*)^{n+2s+t}$ -projection. *(26)* $a^{n}(x^{*})^{t}(xa)^{s}$ *is a right* $(x^{*})^{p}a^{q}$ -projection, where $p + q = n + 2s + t$. (27) $(ax^*)^n(xa)^s$ *is a right* $(x^*)^{2n+2s}$ -projection. (28) $(ax^*)^n(xa)^s$ *is a right* $(x^*)^p a^q$ -projection, where $p + q = 2n + 2s$. (29) $a^n(x^*)^t x^s a^m$ is a right $(x^*)^{n+m+s+t}$ -projection. (30) $a^n(x^*)^t x^s a^m$ is a right $(x^*)^p a^q$ -projection, where $p + q = n + m + s + t$. (31) $(ax^*)^n x^s a^m$ *is a right* $(x^*)^{2n+m+s}$ -projection. (32) $(ax^*)^n x^s a^m$ *is a right* $(x^*)^p a^q$ -projection, where $p + q = 2n + m + s$. (33) $a^n(x^*)^t(xa)^s$ is a right $(x^*)^{n+2s+t}$ -projection. *(34)* $a^{n}(x^{*})^{t}(xa)^{s}$ *is a right* $(x^{*})^{p}a^{q}$ -projection, where $p + q = n + 2s + t$. (35) $(ax^*)^n(xa)^s$ *is a right* $(x^*)^{2n+2s}$ -projection. (36) $(ax^*)^n(xa)^s$ *is a right* $(x^*)^p a^q$ -projection, where $p + q = 2n + 2s$.

Proposition 4.8. *Let e.a* \in *R. Then*

 $\tilde{P}(1)$ $e \in R^{proj}$ *if and only if e is a left (right)* 1-projection.

(2) Assume that x ∈ *R Her, then a is a left x-projection if and only if a* − *x is a right* (−*x*)*-projection.*

(3) a is a left x-projection if and only if a is a right x[∗] *-projection.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow If $e \in R^{proj}$, then $e^2 = e$ and $e^* = e$, and hence *e* is a left 1-projection.

 \Leftarrow By $e^2 = e$ and $e^* = e$, we have $e \in R^{proj}$. (2) \Rightarrow If *x*^{*} = *x*, *a*^{*} = *a* and *a*² = *xa*, then

 $(a - x)^2 = a^2 - ax - xa + x^2 = -ax + x^2 = (a - x)(-x)$, and $(a - x)^* = a^* - x^* = a - x$.

It follows that $a − x$ is a right $(−x)$ -projection.

 \Leftarrow By hypothesis, $x \in \mathbb{R}^{Her}$, $(a-x)^* = a-x$, and $(a-x)^2 = (a-x)(a-x)$. Thus, one gets $a^* = a$ and $a^2 = xa$, which implies that *a* is a left *x*-projection.

(3) It follows from Lemma 4.5 (1). \square

Proposition 4.9. *Let* $e \in R$ *. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) e ∈ *R proj;*

(2) e is a left (2*e* − 1)*-projection;*

(3) e is a right (2*e* − 1)*-projection.*

Proof. (1)⇒(2) Since $e^2 = e$ and $e^* = e$, we obtain that e is a left (2 e − 1)-projection. (2)⇒(3) It follows from $e(2e - 1) = (2e - 1)e$. $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ By $e^2 = e(2e - 1) = 2e^2 - e$, one gets $e = e^2$. Thus, $e \in R^{proj}$ by $e = e^*$.

Proposition 4.10. *Let a,* $x \in R$ *and a be a left x-projection. Then*

 $(1) x^2 a = axa.$ *(2) if* $a^2 = 1$ *, then* $x = a$ *. (3)* $x \in a{1}$ *if and only if* $a^3 = a$. (4) *a* is a left $(x + ax - x^2)$ -projection.

Proof. By assumption, $a = a^*$ and $a^2 = xa$. (1) $x^2a = x(xa) = xa^2 = (xa)a = a^2a = aa^2 = axa.$ (2) If $a^2 = 1$, then $x = xa^2 = (xa)a = a^3 = a$. (3) ⇒ If *a* = *axa*, then $a^3 = aa^2 = axa = a$. \Leftarrow By $a^3 = a$, then $a(xa) = a^3 = a$. (4) $(x + ax - x^2)a = xa + axa - x^2a = a^2 + a^3 - xa^2 = a^2 + a^3 - a^3 = a^2$. Then by $a^* = a$, a is a left $(x + ax - x^2)$ -projection.

Proposition 4.11. *Let* $e \in R$ *. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) e ∈ *R proj; (2)* 1 − *e is a left* (1 − 2*e*)*-projection; (3)* 1 − *e is a right* (1 − 2*e*)*-projection;* (4) *e is a left* $(e + e^* - 1)$ -projection; *(5) e is a right* $(e + e^* - 1)$ -projection.

Proof. (1)⇒(2) If $e^2 = e = e^*$, then $(1 - e)^2 = 1 - 2e + e^2 = 1 - e$ and $(1 - 2e)(1 - e) = 1 - 3e + 2e^2 = 1 - e$. Hence, $(1 - e)^2 = (1 - 2e)(1 - e)$, and so $1 - e$ is a left $(1 - 2e)$ -projection.

(2)⇒(3) It follows from $(1 – 2*e*)(1 – *e*) = (1 – *e*)(1 – 2*e*).$ (3)⇒(4) By 1 – *e* = 1 – *e*^{*} and $(1 – e)^2 = (1 – e)(1 – 2e)$, one gets *e* = *e*^{*} and *e* = *e*². Hence,

$$
(e + e^* - 1)e = e^2 + ee^* - e = e = e^2.
$$

(4)⇒(5) By hypothesis, $e = e^*$ and $e^2 = (e + e^* - 1)e$, then $e^*e = e$. Thus $e(e + e^* - 1) = e^2 + ee^* - e = e^2$. (5)⇒(1) If $e = e^*$ and $e^2 = e(e + e^* - 1)$, then $ee^* = e$, and so $e^2 = ee^* = e$.

Proposition 4.12. *Let a* ∈ *R re*1 *and a be a left (resp. right) x-projection. Then a is a left* (*x* + *u* − *uaa*[−])*-projection (resp. a right (x + u − a⁻au)-projection) for any u* \in *R.*

Proof. If $a = a^*$ and $a^2 = xa$, then $(x + u - uaa^-)a = xa + ua - uaa^-a = xa + ua - ua = xa$.

Theorem 4.13. *Let e* ∈ *E*(*R*) *and ea*[∗] *be a left (right) a-idempotent for each a* ∈ *R. Then e is a central projection.*

Proof. By hypothesis, for any $a \in R$,

 $ea^*ea^* = aea^*$, and $e(a + 1)^*e(a + 1)^* = (a + 1)e(a + 1)^*$.

Then $ea^*e = ae$. Taking $a = e^*$, then $e = e^*e$, which implies that $e \in R^{proj}$. For any $x \in R$, let $g = e + (1 - e)xe$. Then

$$
eg = e
$$
, $ge = g$, and $g^2 = g$.

By hypothesis, eg^* is a left *g*-idempotent. Then $eg^*eg^* = geg^*$, and hence $gg^* = geg^* = (geg^*)^* = (eg^*eg^*)^* = (eg^*eg^*)^*$ $\frac{1}{2}$ *gege* = *g*. It follows that $g \in R^{proj}$, and so $g = g^* = (ge)^* = e^*g^* = eg = e$. Hence, $(1-e)xe = 0$ for any $x \in R$, which shows that *e* is left semicentral, and so *e*^{*} is right semicentral. Notice that $e \in R^{proj}$, hence *e* is central. \square

Remark 4.14. *In Theorem 4.13, if ea*[∗] *is replaced by a*[∗] *e or a* − *ea*[∗] *or a* − *a* ∗ *e, then the assertion also holds.*

Proposition 4.15. Let $a, x, y \in R$ and x and y be left (resp. right) a-projections. Then $x + y$ is a left (resp. right) *a-projection if and only if* $xy + yx = 0$.

Proof. By assumption, $x^* = x$, $y^* = y$, $x^2 = ax$ and $y^2 = ay$. \Rightarrow If $(x + y)^2 = a(x + y)$, then by $x^2 = ax$ and $y^2 = ay$, one gets $xy + yx = 0$. \Leftarrow By $xy + yx = 0$, then $(x + y)^2 = x^2 + xy + yx + y^2 = ax + ay = a(x + y)$.

Theorem 4.16. *Let* $e \in R$ *. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) e ∈ *R proj; (2) e is a left* $(a + 1 - xe^*e + xe)$ -projection for each $a ∈ l(e)$ and $x ∈ R$; *(3) e is a right* $(a + 1 - ee^*y + ey)$ -projection for every $a \in r(e)$ and $y \in R$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) If $e^2 = e = e^*$, then

 $(a + 1 - xe^*e + xe)e = ae + e - xe^*e^2 + xe^2$ $= ae + e$ = *e* $= e^2$.

(2)⇒(3) By hypothesis, $e^* = e$ and $e^2 = (a + 1 - xe^*e + xe)e$, then one gets $e^2 = e$. Thus,

$$
e(a + 1 - ee^*y + ey) = ea + e - e^2e^*y + e^2y
$$

= e
= e².

(3) \Rightarrow (1) It follows from a straightforward verification. $□$

Notice that if $e \in E(R)$, then $(1 - e)e = e(1 - e) = 0$. Thus, we have the following corollary of Theorem 4.16.

Corollary 4.17. *Let* $e \in R$ *. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

 (1) *e* ∈ R^{proj} *;*

(2) e is a left $(a(1 – e) + 1 – xe[*]e + xe)$ -projection for any $a, x ∈ R;$

(3) e is a right ((1 − *e*)*a* + 1 − *ee*[∗]*y* + *ey*)*-projection for any a*, *y* ∈ *R.*

Acknowledgement

The authors thank the anonymous referee for numerous suggestions that helped improve our paper substantially.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declared that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] O. M. Baksalary, G. Trenkler, *Core inverse of matrices*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **58(6)** (2010) 681-697.
- [2] M. P. Drazin, *Left and right generalized inverses*, Linear Algebra Appl. **510** (2016) 64-78.
- [3] J. Han, Y. Lee, S. Park, *Semicentral idempotents in a ring*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **51** (2014) 463-472.
- [4] J. Han, S. Park, *Additive set of idempotents in rings*, Comm. Algebra 40 (2012) 3551-3557.
- [5] G. Kafkas, B. Ungor, S. Halicioglu, A. Harmanci, *Generalized symmetric rings*, Algebra Discrete Math. **12(2)** (2011) 78-84.
- [6] T. Y. Lam, *An introduction to q-central idempotents and q-abelian rings*. Comm. Algebra **51(3)** (2023) 1071-1088.
- [7] J. Lambek, *On the representation of modules by sheaves of factor modules*, Can. Math. Bull. **14** (1971) 359-368.
- [8] T. K. Kwak, Y. Lee, *Reflexive property on idempotents*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **50(6)** (2013) 1957-1972.
- [9] T. K. Kwak, Y. Lee, *Corrigendum to "Reflexive property on idempotents"*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **53(6)** (2013) 1913-1915.
- [10] T. K. Kwak, S. I. Lee, Y. Lee, *Quasi-normality of idempotents on nilpotent*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. **48(6)** (2019) 1744-1760.
- [11] F. Y. Meng, J. C. Wei, *e-symmetric rings*, Commun. Contemp. Math. **20(3)** (2018) 1-8.
- [12] F. Y. Meng, J. C. Wei, *Some properties on e-symmetric rings*, Turk. J. Math. **42** (2018) 2389-2399.
- [13] F. Y. Meng, J. C. Wei, R. J. Chen, *Weak e-symmetric rings*, Comm. Algebra **51(7)** (2023) 3042-3050.
- [14] D. Mosi*c*´, *Generalized inverses*, Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Ni*s*˘, Ni*s*˘ (2018)
- [15] D. Mosi*c*´, D. S. Djordjevi*c*´, J. J. Koliha, *EP elements in rings*, Linear Algebra Appl. **431** (2009) 527-535.
- [16] D. Mosi*c*´, D. S. Djordjevi*c*´, *Moore-Penrose-invertible normal and Hermitian elements in rings*, Linear Algebra Appl. **431** (2009) 732-745.
- [17] D. Mosi*c*´, D. S. Djordjevi*c*´, *New characterizations of EP, generalized normal and generalized Hermitian elements in rings*, Appl. Math. Comput. **218**, 6702-6710 (2012)
- [18] D. Mosi*c*´, D. S. Djordjevi*c*´, *Further results on partial isometries and EP elements in rings with involution. Math. Comput. Model* **54***, 460-465 (2011)*
- [19] D. Mosić, D. S. Djordjević, Partial isometries and EP elements in rings with involution, Electron. J. Linear Algebra 18 (2009) 761-722.
- [20] R. E. Hartwig, *Generalized inverses, EP elements and associates*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **23** (1978) 57-60.
- [21] W. K. Nicholson, *Lifting idempotents and exchange rings*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **229** (1977) 269-278.
- [22] R. Penrose, *A generalized inverse for matrices*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **51** (1955) 406-413.
- [23] J. C. Wei, *Certain rings whose simple modules are nil-injective*, Turk. J. Math. **32** (2008) 393-408.
- [24] J. C. Wei, *Generalized weakly symmetric rings*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **218** (2014) 1594-1603.
- [25] J. C. Wei, *Some notes on CN rings*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **38(4)** (2015), 1589-1599.
- [26] J. C. Wei, L. B. Li, *Quasi-normal rings*, Comm. Algebra **38(5)** (2010) 1855-1868.
- [27] Z. C. Xu, R. J. Chen, J. C. Wei, *Strongly EP elements in a ring with involution*, Filomat **34(6)** (2020) 2101-2107.
- [28] D. D. Zhao, J. C. Wei, *Strongly EP elements in rings with involution* J. Algebra Appl. (2022), 2250088, 10pages, DOI: 10.1142/S0219498822500888.
- [29] Y. Zhou, J. C. Wei, *Generalized weakly central reduced rings*, Turk. J. Math. **39(5)** (2015) 604-617.