



Strict s -numbers of weighted Hardy type operators on trees

Taqseer Khan^a, Mohd Shahbaz^a, Mohammad Mursaleen^{b,c,*}

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Jamia Millia Islamia, New Delhi-110025, India

^bDepartment of Mathematical Sciences, Saveetha School of Engineering, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Chennai 602105, Tamilnadu, India

^cDepartment of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University (Taiwan), Taichung, Taiwan

Abstract. In this paper we calculate the strict s -numbers of Hardy type operators $T_o : L^p(\Upsilon_o) \rightarrow L^p(\Upsilon_o)$ for $1 < p < \infty$, defined by

$$T_o f(x) := v(x) \int_0^x f(t)u(t)dt, \quad \text{for } o \in \Upsilon_o,$$

where u and v are measurable functions on Υ_o satisfying the conditions $u \in L^{p'}(\kappa)$, $v \in L^p(\Upsilon_o)$, $f \in L^p(\Upsilon_o)$ and $x \in \Upsilon_o$, for every subtree κ of a tree Υ_o such that the closure of κ is compact subset of Υ_o . We obtain the equality among strict s -numbers.

1. Introduction

Let X be a Banach space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be an operator. There is a question to ask whether the operator T is compact or not. If it is compact then one is interested in learning the degree of its compactness. The s -numbers can be used as a tool to answer these questions [5, 9]. The s -numbers can also be used to determine the degree of non-compactness of operators [2]. Among all strict s -numbers, the calculation of Bernstein numbers (defined in Section 2) is applied to investigate the finite strict singularity of operators, a weaker property than compactness (e.g. see [1, 16, 18, 19] or [26]). In 1974, A. Pietsch presented the axiomatic theory of s -numbers [22] and later more general version of this definition came up [23]. By generalizing the source and the target spaces in definition of s -numbers, we get strict s -numbers: Approximation numbers, Kolmogorov numbers, Gelfand Numbers, Bernstein numbers, Mityagin numbers and Isomorphism numbers. When X is an infinite dimensional Hilbert space and T a compact operator, then all n^{th} strict s -numbers of T coincide and these are equal to the n^{th} eigen value of the operator $(T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ (when arranged in decreasing order) [22]. This is not true if X is not a Hilbert space [23]. However, in [11], the coincidence of strict s -numbers for the simplest case of Hardy operators and for the embedding involving L^p and Sobolev spaces, has been proved. For the weighted Hardy operators $H : L^p(I) \rightarrow L^p(I)$, $1 < p < \infty$, (I is an interval of reals), defined by $H(f) = v(x) \int_a^x u(t)f(t)dt$, it was shown in [10] that the strict s -numbers for

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47B06; Secondary 47B10, 47G10.

Keywords. Hardy operators, s -Numbers, Tree, Integral operators, Approximation numbers, Kolmogorov numbers, Bernstein numbers.

Received: 27 April 2024; Accepted: 17 July 2024

Communicated by Miodrag Spalević

* Corresponding author: Mohammad Mursaleen

Email addresses: tkhan4@jmi.ac.in (Taqseer Khan), rs.mth101.msz@jmi.ac.in (Mohd Shahbaz), mursaleenm@gmail.com (Mohammad Mursaleen)

H coincide. This operator from L^p to L^q , for different values of p and q , has been studied in [8, 20, 24] and [25]. The boundedness of this operator on trees is proved in [14]. The asymptotic estimates and bounds for the approximation numbers of weighted Hardy operators on trees have been obtained by Evans *et. al.* in [13].

In this paper we calculate the exact values of all strict s -numbers of weighted Hardy operators by generalizing the results of [10] to a tree.

2. Elementary Material

Tree

A tree Υ is a connected graph without cycles or loops, where the edges are non-degenerate closed line segments whose end points are vertices. Each vertex of Υ is of finite degree, which means that only finite number of edges can generate from a vertex. For every $x_1, x_2 \in \Upsilon$, there is a unique polygonal path in Υ which joins x_1 and x_2 , denoted by $(x_1 : x_2)$. The length of this polygonal path defines the distance between x_1 and x_2 and hence Υ is endowed with the metric topology. For a subtree κ of Υ , $E(\kappa)$ and $V(\kappa)$ are used to denote, respectively, the sets of edges and vertices of κ . By $\delta(\kappa)$ we denote the set of the boundary points of κ in Υ . A subtree κ of Υ is said to be compact if it meets only a finite number of edges of Υ . Let κ be the measurable subset of tree Υ and $|\kappa|$ denotes its Lebesgue measure. Then, norm on Lebesgue space $L^p(\kappa)$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_{p,\kappa} = \left(\int_{\kappa} |f(t)|^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

We will denote, for short, $\|f\|_{p,\Upsilon} = \|f\|_p$. A connected subset of Υ is a subtree if we add its boundary points to the set of vertices of Υ and hence form the new edges from the existing ones. Hereafter, we adopt this convention when we refer to subtrees. The characteristic function of a set K will be denoted by χ_K . We need the following important results from [12, Lemma 2.1, p. 495]. Let $\tau(\Upsilon)$ be the metric topology on Υ . Then

- (i) The set $A \subset \Upsilon$ is compact if and only if it is closed and meets only a finite number of edges;
- (ii) $\tau(\Upsilon)$ is locally compact;
- (iii) Υ is the union of countable number of edges; thus, if Υ is endowed with the natural one-dimensional Lebesgue measure, it is a σ -finite measure space.

For the proof of the above see [12].

For $o \in \Upsilon$, the notation $t \geq_o z$ (or $z \leq_o t$) means that z lies on the path $(o : t)$ joining o and t . We write $z <_o t$ for $z \leq_o t$ and $z \neq t$. This defines the partial ordering on tree Υ and the ordered graph so formed is referred to as a tree rooted at o and it will be denoted by Υ_o . If o is not a vertex, then we split the edge containing o in two edges emanating from o , making o a vertex. In this way Υ_o is the unique finite union of subtrees $\Upsilon_{o,i}$ which intersect only at o . Let η_o be the degree of the root o . Then we can write

$$\Upsilon_o = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\eta_o} \Upsilon_{o,k}.$$

Note that if $z \notin (a : b)$ then $z \leq_a y$ if and only if $z \leq_b y$.

Let $f \in L^p(\Upsilon_o)$ and S be a measurable subset of Υ_o . Then

$$\int_S f = \sum_{e \in S} \int_e f,$$

where e denotes an edge of S . By $|x|$ we denote the length of the path $(o : x)$. The distance between two points $x, y \in \Upsilon_o$ is the length of the path $(x : y)$, where $x \leq_o y$, denoted by $|(x : y)|$. For a detailed study of trees, we refer the reader to [12, 14, 21].

Definition 2.1. A point $\theta \in \delta(\kappa)$, where κ is a subtree of Υ containing o , is said to be maximal if every $z >_o \theta$ lies in $\Upsilon \setminus \kappa$. By τ_o we denote the set of all subtrees κ of Υ containing o whose boundary points are all maximal.

Definition 2.2. Let Υ_o be a tree. Then for $x \in \Upsilon_o$ and $f \in L^p(\Upsilon_o)$, the Hardy operator $T_o : L^p(\Upsilon_o) \rightarrow L^p(\Upsilon_o)$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, is defined by

$$T_o f(x) := v(x) \int_0^x f(t)u(t)dt,$$

where u and v are measurable functions on Υ_o satisfying the conditions $u \in L^{p'}(\kappa)$ and $v \in L^p(\Upsilon_o)$, where $p^{p'-1} + p^{-1} = 1$, for subtrees κ of Υ_o whose closures are compact subsets of Υ_o .

The operator T_o is bounded in view of [13, Theorem 2.4] for the proof of which one is referred to [14]. For compactness of T_o , one is referred to [6, 13].

From [13] we have the following.

Definition 2.3. Let κ be a subtree of tree Υ_o and $T_{o,\kappa} : L^p(\kappa) \rightarrow L^p(\kappa)$ be the operator. Then

$$\mathcal{A}(\kappa) := \begin{cases} \sup_{f \in L^p(\kappa), f \neq 0} \inf_{\alpha \in \mathbb{C}} \frac{\|T_{o,\kappa} f - \alpha v\|_{p,\kappa}}{\|f\|_{p,\kappa}}, & \text{if } \mu(\kappa) > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } \mu(\kappa) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$T_{o,\kappa} f(x) := v(x)\chi_\kappa(x) \int_0^x f(t)u(t)\chi_\kappa(t)dt,$$

and

$$\mu(\kappa) := \begin{cases} \int_\kappa |v(t)|^p dt & \text{if } 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ \text{ess sup}_\kappa |v(t)| & \text{if } p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Let $\Gamma \subset \Upsilon_o$ be a subtree with $\gamma \in \Gamma$ being the nearest point to o . Then we have ([13], P. 394), $T_{o,\Gamma} = T_{\gamma,\Gamma}$. Let η_γ be the degree of $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Then $\Gamma = \cup_{k=1}^{\eta_\gamma} \Gamma_{\gamma,k}$, where $\Gamma_{\gamma,k}$ are subtrees of Γ intersecting at γ only. We will call $\Gamma_{\gamma,k}$ to be a norming subtree of Γ if $\|T_{\gamma,\Gamma}\| := \|T_{\gamma,\Gamma} L^p(\Gamma) \rightarrow L^p(\Gamma)\| = \|T_{\gamma,\Gamma_{\gamma,k}}\|$ for some $1 \leq k \leq \eta_\gamma$, and denote it by Γ_γ^* . In this way, $\|T_o : L^p(\Upsilon_o) \rightarrow L^p(\Upsilon_o)\| := \|T_o\|_{\Upsilon_o} = \|T_o\|_{\Gamma_o^*}$. Note that if γ is the root of a subtree $\Gamma \subset \Upsilon_o$, then $\|T_{\gamma,\Gamma}\| = \|T_\gamma\|_\Gamma$. A point $x \in \Upsilon_o$ with degree η_x is said to be simple if there is a subtree Υ_{x,i_0} such that $\|T_x\|_{\Upsilon_{x,i_0}} > \|T_x\|_{\Upsilon_{x,i}}$, $1 \leq i \leq \eta_x, i \neq i_0$.

Definition 2.4. (The s-Numbers)[10]

Let $B(X, Y)$ denote the Banach space of all bounded linear operators acting between Banach spaces X and Y . For an operator $T \in B(X, Y)$, we associate a sequence $s_n(T)$ of scalars satisfying the following properties:

- (S1) Monotonicity: $\|T\| = s_1(T) \geq s_2(T) \geq s_3(T) \geq \dots \geq 0$,
- (S2) $s_n(T + S) \leq s_n(T) + \|S\|$ for every $S \in B(X, Y)$,
- (S3) Ideal Property: $s_n(B \circ T \circ A) \leq \|B\|s_n(T)\|A\|$ for every $A \in B(Z_1, X)$ and $B \in B(Y, Z_2)$, where Z_1, Z_2 are Banach spaces,
- (S4) Norming Property: $s_n(\text{Id} : \ell_n^2 \rightarrow \ell_n^2) = 1$,
- (S5) Rank Property: $s_n(T) = 0$ whenever $\text{rank } T < n$.

Then, $s_n(T)$ is called the n -th s -number of T . The number $s_n(T)$ is called the n -th strict s -number of T when the following condition

- (S6) $s_n(\text{Id} : E \rightarrow E) = 1$ for every Banach space E of $\dim E \geq n$,

is considered in place of (S4).

The s -numbers have varied definitions in literature. Initially, A. Pietsch gave the definition of s -numbers (see [22]) which makes use of condition (S6). Later, the definition was refined so that a larger class of s -numbers (such as Chang, Hilbert, Weyl numbers etc.) can be included. For more details of s -numbers, we refer to [3, 7, 23] or [17].

For $T \in B(X, Y)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the n -th Approximation, Gelfand, Kolmogorov, Bernstein, Mityagin and Isomorphism numbers by

$$\begin{aligned} a_n(T) &= \inf_{\substack{F \in B(X, Y) \\ \text{rank } F < n}} \|T - F\|, \\ c_n(T) &= \inf_{\substack{M \subseteq X \\ \text{codim } M < n}} \sup_{x \in B_M} \|Tx\|_Y, \\ d_n(T) &= \inf_{\substack{N \subseteq Y \\ \text{dim } N < n}} \sup_{x \in B_X} \|Tx\|_{Y/N}, \\ b_n(T) &= \sup_{\substack{M \subseteq X \\ \text{dim } M \geq n}} \inf_{x \in S_M} \|Tx\|_Y, \\ m_n(T) &= \sup_{\substack{N \subseteq Y \\ \text{codim } N \geq n}} \sup \{ \alpha \geq 0 : \alpha B_{Y/N} \subseteq (\pi_N \circ T)B_X \}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\pi_N : Y \rightarrow Y/N$ is a canonical surjection of closed subspace N of Y (see [4, 26] or [15]),

$$i_n(T) = \sup_{\text{dim}(E) \geq n} \|P\|^{-1} \|Q\|^{-1},$$

respectively, where E is Banach space and $P \in B(Y, E)$, $Q \in B(E, X)$ such that $P \circ T \circ Q$ defines identity map on E . The above s -numbers are connected through some inequalities which are bounded below by Isomorphism numbers and bounded from above by Approximation numbers. To be concrete, for $T \in B(X, Y)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the following relation is obtained (see [10])

$$\begin{aligned} i_n(T) &\leq \min\{b_n(T), m_n(T)\} \leq \min\{c_n(T), d_n(T)\} \\ &\leq \max\{c_n(T), d_n(T)\} \leq a_n(T). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

3. Auxiliary Results

From now onwards we will assume $1 < p < \infty$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $T_o : L^p(\Upsilon_o) \rightarrow L^p(\Upsilon_o)$, $1 < p < \infty$, be compact and Γ, Γ' be two subtrees of Υ_o such that $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$, and $|\Gamma \setminus \Gamma'| > 0$ with $|\Gamma'| > 0$. Suppose that $u, v \neq 0$ almost everywhere on Υ_o and $\int_{\Upsilon_o} |v|^p |d(x)| < \infty$. Then

$$\|T_{o,\Gamma}\|_p \geq \|T_{o,\Gamma'}\|_p > 0 \tag{2}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}(\Gamma) \geq \mathcal{A}(\Gamma') > 0. \tag{3}$$

Proof. Let $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ be the subtrees of Υ_o with $o_{\Gamma'}$ and o_Γ are the nearest point to o such that $|\Gamma_{o_\Gamma}^* \setminus \Gamma_{o_{\Gamma'}}^*| > 0$. If possible, suppose $\|T_{o,\Gamma'}\|_p = 0$. Then there exists an $f \neq 0$ such that $\|T_{o,\Gamma'} f\|_p = 0$. This gives $\int_{\Gamma'} |T_{o,\Gamma'} f(x)|^p dx = 0$, providing $T_{o,\Gamma'} f(x) = 0$ almost everywhere on Γ' . Let $b := o_{\Gamma'}$ be the nearest point of Γ' to o . Then we can write

$$v(x)\chi_{\Gamma'}(x) \int_b^x u(t)f(t)\chi_{\Gamma'}(t)dt = 0, \text{ for almost every } x \in \Gamma'$$

which implies that either $v = 0$ on Γ' or $u = 0$ almost everywhere on Γ' , leading to contradiction, since $|\Gamma'| > 0$. Therefore, $\|T_{o,\Gamma'}\|_p > 0$ for $|\Gamma'| > 0$. Next, on considering $\Gamma = \Gamma' \cup (\Gamma \setminus \Gamma')$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{o,\Gamma}f\|_p^p &= \int_{\Gamma} |T_{o,\Gamma}f(x)|^p dx \\ &= \int_{\Gamma' \cup (\Gamma \setminus \Gamma')} |T_{o,\Gamma}f(x)|^p dx \\ &= \int_{\Gamma'} |T_{o,\Gamma}f(x)|^p dx + \int_{\Gamma \setminus \Gamma'} |T_{o,\Gamma}f(x)|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

We note that $\Gamma \setminus \Gamma'$ is either a subtree of Γ with $|\Gamma \setminus \Gamma'| > 0$ or it is a finite union of subtrees Γ_i of Γ such that $|\Gamma_i \cap \Gamma_j| = 0$ for $i \neq j$ and at least one of them is positive, say $|\Gamma_{i_k}| > 0$. Therefore, in both the cases, $\int_{\Gamma \setminus \Gamma'} |T_{o,\Gamma}f(x)|^p dx > 0$, and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{o,\Gamma}f\|_p^p &> \int_{\Gamma'} |T_{o,\Gamma}f(x)|^p dx \\ &= \|T_{o,\Gamma'}f\|_p^p \\ &= \|T_{o,\Gamma'}f\|_p^p \end{aligned}$$

yielding

$$\|T_{o,\Gamma}\| > \|T_{o,\Gamma'}\| \text{ for } \Gamma' \subset \Gamma.$$

The equality holds when Γ' and Γ have a common root o' such that $\Gamma_{o',1} \subset \Gamma' \subset \Gamma$, where $\Gamma_{o',1}$ is the connected component of Γ rooted at o' , the nearest point to o , such that $\|T_{o,\Gamma}\| = \|T_{o,\Gamma_{o',1}}\|$. Now, to prove (3.2), let $x \in \Gamma' \subset \Gamma$. Then, by [13, Lemma 3.5, Theorem 3.8], there exist k, l such that $\Gamma'_{x,k} \neq \Gamma'_{x,l}$ and

$$\max \left\{ \|T_{o,\Gamma'_{x,k}}\|, \|T_{o,\Gamma'_{x,l}}\| \right\} \leq \min_{x \in \Gamma'} \|T_{x,\Gamma'}\| = \mathcal{A}(\Gamma').$$

Since $|\Gamma'| > 0$, we may assume that $|\Gamma'_{x,k}|, |\Gamma'_{x,l}| > 0$ and therefore $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma') > 0$. Let $\zeta \neq \zeta'$ be two non-simple points in Γ and Γ' respectively, such that $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma) = \|T_{\zeta,\Gamma}\|$ and $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma') = \|T_{\zeta',\Gamma'}\|$. Then $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma) = \|T_{\zeta,\Gamma}\| \geq \|T_{\zeta,\Gamma'}\| \geq \|T_{\zeta',\Gamma'}\| = \mathcal{A}(\Gamma')$. The case of $\zeta = \zeta'$ is obvious. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let $T_o : L^p(\Upsilon_o) \rightarrow L^p(\Upsilon_o)$ be compact. Then there exists a path $\Delta_o \subseteq \Upsilon_o$ such that $\|T_o\|_{\Upsilon_o} = \|T_o\|_{\Delta_o}$.*

Proof. Let Υ_o^* be the norming subtree of Υ_o . Then $\|T_o\|_{\Upsilon_o} = \|T_o\|_{\Upsilon_o^*} = \|T_o\|_{\Upsilon_o}$ and by compactness of T_o , there is an $f_1 \in L^p(\Upsilon_o)$ such that $\|T_o\|_{\Upsilon_o} = \|T_o f_1\|_{\Upsilon_o}$. Let o_1 be the nearest point of subtree $\Upsilon_{o_1} \subset \Upsilon_o^*$ to o such that $\Upsilon_o^* = (o : o_1) \cup \Upsilon_{o_1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_o f_1\|_{\Upsilon_o}^p &= \int_o^{o_1} |T_o f_1(x)|^p dx + \int_{\Upsilon_{o_1}} |T_o f_1(x)|^p dx \\ &= \int_o^{o_1} |T_o f_1(x)|^p dx + \|T_o f_1\|_{\Upsilon_{o_1}}^p \\ &\leq \int_o^{o_1} |T_o f_1(x)|^p dx + \|T_o\|_{\Upsilon_{o_1}}^p \end{aligned}$$

and by compactness of T_o , there is an $f_2 \in L^p(\Upsilon_o)$ and norming subtree $\Upsilon_{o_1}^* \subseteq \Upsilon_{o_1}$ such that

$$\|T_o f_1\|_{\Upsilon_o^*}^p \leq \int_0^{o_1} |T_o f_1(x)|^p dx + \|T_o f_2\|_{\Upsilon_{o_1}^*}^p.$$

By the similar arguments as above, there is a point $o_2 \in \Upsilon_{o_2} \subseteq \Upsilon_{o_1}^*$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_o f_1\|_{\Upsilon_o^*}^p &= \int_0^{o_1} |T_o f_1(x)|^p dx + \int_{o_1}^{o_2} |T_o f_2(x)|^p dx + \int_{\Upsilon_{o_2}} |T_o f_2(x)|^p dx \\ &= \int_0^{o_1} |T_o f_1(x)|^p dx + \int_{o_1}^{o_2} |T_o f_2(x)|^p dx + \|T_o f_2\|_{\Upsilon_{o_2}}^p \\ &\leq \int_0^{o_1} |T_o f_1(x)|^p dx + \int_{o_1}^{o_2} |T_o f_2(x)|^p dx + \|T_o\|_{\Upsilon_{o_2}}^p. \end{aligned}$$

Continuing in this manner, we obtain a path $\Delta_o = \bigcup_{i \in \Lambda} (o_{i-1} : o_i)$ contained in Υ_o^* emanating from o ($:= o_0$) and an $f \in L^p(\Upsilon_o)$ such that $f = \sum_{i \in \Lambda} f_i \chi_{(o_{i-1}, o_i]}$ for some index set $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, so that we have $\|T_o\|^p = \|T_o f_1\|_{\Upsilon_o^*}^p \leq \|T_o f\|_{\Delta_o}^p \leq \|T_o\|_{\Delta_o}^p$. Since $\Delta_o \subseteq \Upsilon_o^*$, therefore by Lemma 3.1, we have $\|T_o\|_{\Upsilon_o} = \|T_o\|_{\Delta_o}$, proving the Lemma 3.2. \square

We have the following definitions.

Definition 3.3. Let Γ_b be a subtree of Υ_o rooted at $b \in \Delta_o$ which is the nearest point of Γ_b to o . We define

$$\mathcal{P} := \{\Gamma_b \subseteq \Upsilon_o : |\Delta_o \cap \Gamma_b| > 0\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}' := \{\Gamma_b \in \mathcal{P} : \|T_b\|_{\Gamma_b} \text{ is attained on the path } \Delta_o \cap \Gamma_b\}.$$

It is easy to see that Υ_o belongs to \mathcal{P} .

Remark 3.4. For $\Gamma_b \in \mathcal{P}'$, by [13, Theorem 3.8] and by Lemma 3.2, there is a non-simple point $\theta \in \Gamma_b \cap \Delta_o$ such that $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma_b) = \|T_\theta\|_{\Gamma_b} = \|T_\theta\|_{\Delta_o \cap \Gamma_b}$.

Remark 3.5. In view of Definition 3.3 and Remark 3.4, there are $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \in \Delta_o$ with $b_1 = o$ (say) and $b_{l-1} \preceq_o b_l$ for $3 \leq l \leq n$, such that $\Gamma_{b_i} \in \mathcal{P}'$ with $|\Gamma_{b_i} \cap \Gamma_{b_j}| = 0$ for $i \neq j$, and a subtree $\widehat{\Gamma}_o$ of Υ_o containing Δ_o such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^n \Gamma_{b_i} = \widehat{\Gamma}_o$. In this way $\{\Gamma_{b_i} : i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ forms a partition of $\widehat{\Gamma}_o$. Denote all such partitions of $\widehat{\Gamma}_o$ by $\wp_n(\widehat{\Gamma}_o)$.

Definition 3.6. For each $N \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$, we define

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_N &= \{\epsilon > 0 : \|T_{b_i}\|_{\Gamma_{b_i}} = \mathcal{A}(\Gamma_{b_i}) = \epsilon, 2 \leq i \leq N, \text{ where } \Gamma_{b_i} \in \mathcal{P}' \text{ is the largest subtree rooted at } b_i \\ &\text{ such that } \{\Gamma_{b_i}, 1 \leq i \leq N\} \in \wp_N(\widehat{\Upsilon}_o) \text{ for some subtree } \widehat{\Upsilon}_o \text{ such that } \Delta_o \subseteq \widehat{\Upsilon}_o \subseteq \Upsilon_o\}. \end{aligned}$$

In the above definition, note that for $N = 1$, $\|T_{b_1}\|_{\Gamma_{b_1}} = \|T_o\|_{\Upsilon_o} = \epsilon_1$. In future, the closure of $\widehat{\Upsilon}_o$ will be denoted by itself.

Remark 3.7. Since $\Delta_o \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+$, the existence of ϵ_N is guaranteed by Remarks 3.4, 3.5 and [10, Lemma 3.5].

We now prove the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.8. Let $\Gamma \subseteq \Upsilon_o$ be a subtree and $T_\Gamma : L^p(\Gamma) \rightarrow L^p(\Gamma)$ be compact. Let $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_N \in \Delta_o$ be points such that $\{\Gamma_{b_i} \in \mathcal{P}' : \|T_{b_i}\|_{\Gamma_{b_i}} = \mathcal{A}(\Gamma_{b_i}) = \epsilon_N, 2 \leq i \leq N\} \in \wp_N(\widehat{\Upsilon}_o)$, for some $\epsilon_N > 0$. Then $i_N(T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o}) \geq \epsilon_N$.

Proof. By compactness of $T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o}$ and Remark 3.4, there exist points $\theta_i \in \Gamma_{b_i}$ and functions f_i supported on Γ_{b_i} with $\|f_i\|_{\Gamma_{b_i}} = 1$ such that $\mathcal{A}(\Gamma_{b_i}) = \|T_{\theta_i} f_i\|_{\Gamma_{b_i}}$, $2 \leq i \leq N$ and $\|T_{b_1}\|_{\Gamma_{b_1}} = \|T_{b_1} f_1\|_{\Gamma_{b_1}}$. Let $\Gamma_{\theta_i}^1$ and $\Gamma_{\theta_i}^2$ be two subtrees of Γ_{b_i} such that $\Gamma_{b_i} = \Gamma_{\theta_i}^1 \cup \Gamma_{\theta_i}^2$ and $\Gamma_{\theta_i}^1 \cap \Gamma_{\theta_i}^2 = \{\theta_i\}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq N$. If $x_1 \in (o : x_2)$ and $\Gamma_{x_1}^2 \cap \Gamma_{x_2}^1 = \{x\}$, for some $x \in (x_1 : x_2)$, then we write $\Gamma_{x_1}^2 \ll \Gamma_{x_2}^1$. By this convention, we have

$$\Gamma_{\theta_1}^1 \ll \Gamma_{\theta_1}^2 \ll \Gamma_{\theta_2}^1 \ll \Gamma_{\theta_2}^2 \dots \ll \Gamma_{\theta_N}^1 \ll \Gamma_{\theta_N}^2.$$

Define $\Omega_1 = \Gamma_{b_1} \cup \Gamma_{\theta_2}^1$, $\Omega_N = \Gamma_{\theta_N}^2$ and $\Omega_j = \Gamma_{\theta_j}^2 \cup \Gamma_{\theta_{j+1}}^1$ for $2 \leq j \leq N - 1$, and functions $g_j = (\alpha_j f_j + \beta_j f_{j+1}) \chi_{\Omega_j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq N - 1$, with $g_N = \beta_N f_N$, where α_j and β_j are constants. Then, we have

$$\|g_j\|_{\Omega_j} = \|\alpha_j f_j + \beta_j f_{j+1}\|_{\Omega_j} \leq |\alpha_j| \|f_j\|_{\Gamma_{\theta_j}^2} + |\beta_j| \|f_{j+1}\|_{\Gamma_{\theta_{j+1}}^1}.$$

Since $\|f_j\|_{\Gamma_{b_j}} = 1$, so $\|f_j\|_{\Gamma_{\theta_j}^2} \leq 1$ and $\|f_{j+1}\|_{\Gamma_{\theta_{j+1}}^1} \leq 1$. Thus, by choosing suitable α_j and β_j , we have $\|g_j\|_{\Omega_j} = 1$, from which we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|T_{\theta_j} g_j\|_{\Gamma_{\theta_j}^2}}{\|g_j\|_{\Gamma_{\theta_j}^2}} &= \frac{\|T_{\theta_j} ((\alpha_j f_j + \beta_j f_{j+1}) \chi_{\Omega_j})\|_{\Gamma_{\theta_j}^2}}{\|((\alpha_j f_j + \beta_j f_{j+1}) \chi_{\Omega_j})\|_{\Gamma_{\theta_j}^2}} \\ &= \frac{\|T_{\theta_j} (\alpha_j f_j)\|_{\Gamma_{\theta_j}^2}}{\|\alpha_j f_j\|_{\Gamma_{\theta_j}^2}} \\ &\geq \epsilon_N \text{ for } 2 \leq j \leq N. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get

$$\frac{\|T_{\theta_j} g_{j-1}\|_{\Gamma_{\theta_j}^1}}{\|g_{j-1}\|_{\Gamma_{\theta_j}^1}} \geq \epsilon_N \text{ for } 3 \leq j \leq N.$$

For $\Omega_j \subset \widehat{\Upsilon}_o$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o} g_1\|_{\Omega_1} &= \|T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o} (\alpha_1 f_1 + \beta_1 f_2)\|_{\Omega_1} \\ &= \|T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o} (\alpha_1 f_1)\|_{\Gamma_{b_1}} + \|T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o} (\beta_1 f_2)\|_{\Gamma_{\theta_2}^1} \\ &= |\alpha_1| \|T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o} f_1\|_{\Gamma_{b_1}} + |\beta_1| \|T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o} f_2\|_{\Gamma_{\theta_2}^1} \\ &= (|\alpha_1| + |\beta_1|) \epsilon_N, \end{aligned}$$

and for $2 \leq j \leq N - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o} g_j\|_{\Omega_j} &= \|T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o} (\alpha_j f_j + \beta_j f_{j+1})\|_{\Omega_j} \\ &= \|T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o} (\alpha_j f_j)\|_{\Gamma_{\theta_j}^2} + \|T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o} (\beta_j f_{j+1})\|_{\Gamma_{\theta_{j+1}}^1} \\ &= |\alpha_j| \|T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o} f_j\|_{\Gamma_{\theta_j}^2} + |\beta_j| \|T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o} f_{j+1}\|_{\Gamma_{\theta_{j+1}}^1} \\ &= (|\alpha_j| + |\beta_j|) \epsilon_N. \end{aligned}$$

For $1 \leq j \leq N - 1$, $\|g_j\|_{\Omega_j} = 1$, $|\alpha_j| + |\beta_j| \geq 1$, and for $j = N$, $\Omega_N = \Gamma_{\theta_N}^2$, and for $\alpha_N = 0$, $\beta_N \geq 1$, give us

$$\frac{\|T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_0} g_j\|_{\Omega_j}}{\|g_j\|_{\Omega_j}} \geq \epsilon_N \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq N.$$

Next, $\mathcal{B}_1 : l_p^N \rightarrow L_p(\widehat{\Upsilon}_0)$ and $\mathcal{B}_2 : L_p(\widehat{\Upsilon}_0) \rightarrow l_p^N$ are the operators defined by

$$\mathcal{B}_1(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^N x_j g_j,$$

for $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_N\} \in l_p^N$, and

$$(\mathcal{B}_2 g)(x) = \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega_j} g(x) (T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_0} g_j)_p(x) dx}{\|T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_0} g_j\|_{\Omega_j}^p} \right\}_{j=1}^N,$$

where $(g)_p = |g|^{p-2}g$. Then it is easily seen that $\mathcal{B}_2 \circ T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_0} \circ \mathcal{B}_1$ is an identity map on l_p^N . We compute

$$\|\mathcal{B}_1\| = \sup_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{l_p^N}=1} \|\mathcal{B}_1(\mathbf{x})\|_{L_p(\widehat{\Upsilon}_0)} = \sup_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{l_p^N}=1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N x_j g_j \right\|_{L_p(\widehat{\Upsilon}_0)} = \sup_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_{l_p^N}=1} \sum_{j=1}^N |x_j| \|g_j\|_{\Omega_j} = 1.$$

The definition of \mathcal{B}_2 implies that the operator norm of \mathcal{B}_2 is attained on the functions of the form $g(x) = \sum_{j=1}^N r_j T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_0} g_j(x)$, for constants r_j . We have

$$\|g\|_{L_p(\widehat{\Upsilon}_0)}^p = \int_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_0} \left| \sum_{j=1}^N r_j T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_0} g_j(x) \right|^p dx \geq \epsilon_N^p \left\| \{r_j\}_{j=1}^N \right\|_{l_p^N}^p$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{B}_2\| &= \sup_{\|g\|_{L_p(\widehat{\Upsilon}_0)}=1} \left\| \mathcal{B}_2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^N r_j T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_0} g_j(x) \right) \right\|_{l_p^N} \\ &= \sup_{\|g\|_{L_p(\widehat{\Upsilon}_0)}=1} \left\| \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega_k} \left(\sum_{j=1}^N r_j T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_0} g_j(x) \right) (T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_0} g_k)_p(x) dx}{\|T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_0} g_k\|_{\Omega_k}^p} \right\}_{k=1}^N \right\|_{l_p^N} \\ &= \left\| \{r_j\}_{j=1}^N \right\|_{l_p^N} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon_N}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $i_N(T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_0}) = \sup \|\mathcal{B}_1\|^{-1} \|\mathcal{B}_2\|^{-1} \geq \|\mathcal{B}_1\|^{-1} \|\mathcal{B}_2\|^{-1} \geq \epsilon_N$ proving the Lemma 3.8.

□

Lemma 3.9. Let $\Gamma \subseteq \Upsilon_0$ be a subtree and $T_\Gamma : L^p(\Gamma) \rightarrow L^p(\Gamma)$ be a compact operator and $\widehat{\Upsilon}_0$ be as in Remark 3.5. Then, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i_n(T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_0}) \leq i_n(T_{\Upsilon_0})$.

Proof. Let $A_{\Gamma_o} : l_p^n \rightarrow L^p(\Gamma_o)$ and $B_{\Gamma_o} : L^p(\Gamma_o) \rightarrow l_p^n$ be the operators on a subtree $\Gamma_o \subseteq \Upsilon_o$ containing the root o . When $\Gamma_o = \Upsilon_o$, we simply denote A_{Γ_o} and B_{Γ_o} by A and B , respectively. Define an operator $I : L^p(\Upsilon_o) \rightarrow L^p(\widehat{\Upsilon}_o)$ by $I(f) = f\chi_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o}$. Then we have $\|B_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o}I\| \leq \|B_{\Gamma_o}\| \|I\| \leq \|B_{\Gamma_o}\|$, which implies $\|B\|^{-1} \geq \|B_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o}\|^{-1}$. Next, consider subtrees $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{b_k} \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $\widetilde{\Gamma}_{b_k} \cap \widehat{\Upsilon}_o = \Gamma_{b_k} \in \mathcal{P}'$, $1 \leq k \leq n$. With this, one can easily construct the subtrees $\widetilde{\Omega}_{\sigma_k}$ and functions \widetilde{g}_k as in Lemma 3.8, where $\sigma_k \in \widetilde{\Gamma}_{b_k}$ is same as $\theta_k \in \Gamma_{b_k}$. Now, corresponding to \mathcal{B}_1 of Lemma 3.8, we define the operator A by $A(\langle \zeta_k \rangle) = \sum_{k=1}^n \zeta_k g_k$ for $\langle \zeta_k \rangle \in l_p^n$. Then it is easy to see that $\|A\| = 1$, which proves the Lemma 3.9. \square

Lemma 3.10. *Let $\Gamma \subseteq \Upsilon_o$ be a subtree and $T_\Gamma : L^p(\Gamma) \rightarrow L^p(\Gamma)$ be compact operator and $\widehat{\Upsilon}_o$ be as in Remark 3.5. Then, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_n(T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o}) = a_n(T_{\Upsilon_o})$.*

Proof. Let $P_\Gamma : L^p(\Gamma) \rightarrow L^p(\Gamma)$ be an operator of $rank(P) < n$. When $\Gamma = \Upsilon_o$, we denote T_Γ and P_Γ by T and P respectively. By compactness of T and P , there exists an $f \in \Upsilon_o^*$ such that

$$\|T - P\|_{\Upsilon_o} = \|(T - P)f\|_{\Upsilon_o^*}.$$

By the same arguments as in Lemma 3.3 and by continuity of $\|T_x\|$ on Δ_o ([13, Lemma 3.4]), there is a path $\Delta'_o \subseteq \Delta_o \subseteq \Upsilon_o^*$ and a function $\phi_f \in L^p(\Delta'_o)$ such that

$$\|(T - P)f\|_{\Upsilon_o^*} = \|(T - P)\phi_f\|_{\Delta'_o} \leq \|T - P\|_{\Delta'_o}.$$

But $\Delta'_o \subseteq \Upsilon_o^*$, therefore $\|T - P\|_{\Upsilon_o} = \|T - P\|_{\Delta'_o}$. Since $\Delta'_o \subseteq \widehat{\Upsilon}_o$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T - P\|_{\Upsilon_o} &= \|(T - P)\phi_f\|_{\Delta'_o} = \|(T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o} - P_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o})\phi_f\|_{\Delta'_o} \\ &\leq \|(T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o} - P_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o})\|_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o} = \|T - P\|_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o}. \end{aligned}$$

Noting $\widehat{\Upsilon}_o \subseteq \Upsilon_o$, from above we can write $\|T - P\|_{\Upsilon_o} = \|T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o} - P_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o}\|_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o}$. Hence $a_n(T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o}) = a_n(T_{\Upsilon_o})$. \square

Lemma 3.11. *Let $\Gamma \subseteq \Upsilon_o$ be a subtree and $T_\Gamma : L^p(\Gamma) \rightarrow L^p(\Gamma)$ be compact. Let $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_N \in \Delta_o$ be points such that $\{\Gamma_{b_i} \in \mathcal{P}' : \|T_{b_i}\|_{\Gamma_{b_i}} = \mathcal{A}(\Gamma_{b_i}) = \epsilon_N, 2 \leq i \leq N\} \in \wp_N(\widehat{\Upsilon}_o)$ for some $\epsilon_N > 0$. Then $a_N(T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o}) \leq \epsilon_N$.*

Proof. Let $L : L^p(\widehat{\Upsilon}_o) \rightarrow L^p(\widehat{\Upsilon}_o)$ be the operator defined by $L(\psi) = \sum_{i=2}^N L_i(\psi) + 0\chi_{\Gamma_{b_1}}$, where $L_i(\psi)(x) = \chi_{\Gamma_{b_i}}(x)v(x) \int_{\Gamma_{\theta_i}^1} u(t)f(t)\chi_{\Gamma_{b_i}}(t)dt$ ($\Gamma_{\theta_i}^1$ is defined in Lemma 3.8). Then $rank(L) \leq N - 1$. Now by definition of approximation numbers and by compactness of $T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o}$ and L , there exists an $f \in L^p(\widehat{\Upsilon}_o)$ with $\|f\|_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o} = 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} a_N(T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o}) &\leq \|T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o} - L\|_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o} = \|(T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o} - L)f\|_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o} \\ &= \|T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o}f - Lf\|_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o} = \left\| T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o}f - \left(\sum_{i=2}^N L_i(f) + 0\chi_{\Gamma_{b_1}} \right) \right\|_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o} \\ &= \sum_{i=2}^N \|T_{\theta_i}f\|_{\Gamma_{b_i}} + \|Tf\|_{\Gamma_{b_1}} \leq \epsilon_N \sum_{i=2}^N \|f\|_{\Gamma_{b_i}} + \epsilon_N \|f\|_{\Gamma_{b_1}} \\ &= \epsilon_N \sum_{i=1}^N \|f\|_{\Gamma_{b_i}} = \epsilon_N, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the lemma. \square

4. Main Results

From Lemmas 3.8, 3.11 and Remark 3.7, we obtain the following main result.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $T_o : L^p(\Upsilon_o) \rightarrow L^p(\Upsilon_o)$, $1 < p < \infty$, be compact. Then there exists a subtree $\widehat{\Upsilon}_o \subseteq \Upsilon_o$ with a partition $\{\Gamma_{b_i} \in \mathcal{P}' : \|T_{b_i}\|_{\Gamma_{b_i}} = \mathcal{A}(\Gamma_{b_i}) = \epsilon_N$, $2 \leq i \leq N\} \in \wp_N(\widehat{\Upsilon}_o)$ for some $\epsilon_N > 0$, such that $\|T_o\|_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o} = \|T_o\|_{\Upsilon_o}$ and $\alpha_N(T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o}) = \epsilon_N = i_N(T_{\widehat{\Upsilon}_o})$.*

Using Lemmas 3.9, 3.10 and Theorem 4.1, by inequality (1), we have

Theorem 4.2. *Let $T_o : L^p(\Upsilon_o) \rightarrow L^p(\Upsilon_o)$, $1 < p < \infty$, be compact. Then all strict s -numbers of T_o coincide.*

5. Conclusions

We obtained the exact values of all strict s -numbers of weighted Hardy operators on trees and observed that N^{th} terms of all coincide and equal to ϵ_N .

References

- [1] Ö. Bakşi, T. Khan, J. Lang and V. Musil, *Strict s -numbers of the Volterra operator*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **146** (2018), 723-731.
- [2] J. Bourgain and M. Gromov, *Estimates of Bernstein widths of Sobolev spaces*, Geometric aspects of functional analysis (1987–88), Lecture Notes in Math. **1376**, Springer, Berlin, (1989), 176–185.
- [3] B. Carl and I. Stephani, *Entropy, Compactness and Approximation of Operators*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, **98**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1990).
- [4] I. Chalendar, E. Fricain, A. I. Popov, D. Timotin and V. Triotsky, *Finitely strictly singular operators between James spaces*, J. funct. Anal. (4) **256** (2009), 1258-1268.
- [5] D.E. Edmunds and H. Triebel, *Function Spaces, Entropy Numbers, Differential Operators*, Cambridge University Press 1996.
- [6] D. E. Edmunds and W. D. Evans, *Hardy operators, function spaces and embeddings*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.
- [7] D. E. Edmunds and W. D. Evans, *Spectral theory and differential operators*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1987. Oxford Science Publications.
- [8] D. E. Edmunds, W. D. Evans and D. J. Harris, *Approximation numbers of certain Volterra integral operators*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **37** (1988), 471-489.
- [9] D. E. Edmunds, W. D. Evans and D. J. Harris, *Two-sided estimates of the approximation numbers of certain Volterra integral operators*, Studia Math. **124** (1997), 59-80.
- [10] D. E. Edmunds and J. Lang, *Coincidence of strict s -numbers of Weighted Hardy operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **381** (2011), 601-611.
- [11] D. E. Edmunds and J. Lang, *Coincidence and calculation of some strict s -numbers*, J. Anal. Appl. **31** (2012), 161-181.
- [12] W. D. Evans and D. J. Harris, *Fractals, Trees and Neumann Laplacian*, Math. Ann. **296** (1993), 493-527.
- [13] W. D. Evans, D. J. Harris and J. Lang, *The approximation numbers of Hardy-type operators on trees*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **83** (2001), 390-418.
- [14] W. D. Evans, D. J. Harris and L. Pick, *Weighted Hardy and Poincare inequalities on trees*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **52** (1995), 121-136.
- [15] M. Fabian, P. Habala, P. Hájek, V. Montesinos, V. Zizler: *Banach space theory*. CMS Books in Mathematics/Ouvrages de Mathématiques de la SMC. Springer, New York, The basis for linear and nonlinear analysis (2011).
- [16] J. Flores, F. L. Hernandez, Y. Raynaud, *Super strictly singular and cosingular operators and related classes*, J. Oper. Theory (1) **67** (2012), 121–152.
- [17] J. Lang, D. E. Edmunds, *Eigenvalues, embeddings and generalised trigonometric functions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **2016**, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg, (2011).
- [18] J. Lang and V. Musil, *Strict s -numbers of non-compact Sobolev embeddings into continuous functions*, Constr. Approx. **50** (2019), 271-291.
- [19] P. Lefèvre, *The Volterra operator is finitely strictly singular from L^1 to L^∞* , J. Approx. Theory, **214** (2017), 1–8, DOI 10.1016/j.jat.2016.11.001.
- [20] M. A. Lifshits and W. Linde, *Approximation and entropy numbers of Volterra operators with application to Brownian motion*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. (745) **157** (2002), viii+87.
- [21] K. Naimark and M. Solomyak, *Eigenvalue estimates for the weighted Laplacian on metric trees*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **80** (2000), 690-724.
- [22] A. Pietsch, *s -numbers of operators in Banach spaces*, Studia Math. **51** (1974), 201-223.
- [23] A. Pietsch, *History of Banach spaces and Linear Operators*, Birkhäuser Boston Inc. Boston (2007).
- [24] A. Pinkus, *n -widths in approximation theory*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], **7**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1985).
- [25] A. Pinkus, *n -widths of Sobolev spaces in L^p* , Constr. Approx. (1) **1** (1985), 15–62.
- [26] A. Plichko, *Superstrictly singular and superstrictly cosingular operators*, Funct. Anal. Appl. North-Holland Math. Stud. **197**, Elsevier, (2004), 239–255.