



The answers to some questions on H-sober spaces

Mengjie Jin^a, Qingguo Li^{b,*}

^aSchool of Mathematics and Statistics, Henan University of Science and Technology, Luoyang, Henan, 471023, China

^bSchool of Mathematics, Hunan University, Changsha, Hunan, 410082, China

Abstract. In this paper, we consider and solve several open problems posed by Xu in [11, 14]. Those open questions concern different categorical constructions of H-sober spaces and hyper-sober spaces. First, for an irreducible subset system H and a T_0 space X, we prove that H automatically satisfies property M, which was unknown before, hence we deduce that X is super H-sober iff X is H-sober and H satisfies property Q in the sense of [14]. Beyond the aforementioned work, many questions asked by Xu in [14] are also solved in the paper. Second, we derive the concrete forms of coequalizers in **H-Sob**. Finally, we obtain that the finite product of hyper-sober spaces is hyper-sober, which gives a positive answer to a question posed in [11].

1. Introduction

Sobriety, monotone convergence and well-filteredness are three of the most important and useful properties in non-Hausdorff topological spaces and domain theory (see [3],[4],[5] and [13]). In recent years, sober spaces, monotone convergence spaces (shortly called d -spaces), well-filtered spaces and their related structures have been introduced and investigated.

In [14], Xu provided a uniform approach to sober spaces, d -spaces and well-filtered spaces and developed a general frame for dealing with all these spaces. The concepts of irreducible subset systems (R -subset systems for short), H-sober spaces and super H-sober spaces for an R -subset system H were proposed. Let **Top**₀ be the category of all T_0 spaces and **Sob** the category of all sober spaces. The category of all H-sober spaces (resp., super H-sober spaces) with continuous mappings is denoted by **H-Sob** (resp., **SH-Sob**). For any R -subset system H, it has been proven that **H-Sob** is a full subcategory of **Top**₀ containing **Sob** and is closed with respect to homeomorphisms. Moreover, **H-Sob** is adequate (see Theorem 7.9 in [14]). Conversely, for a full subcategory **K** of **Top**₀ containing **Sob**, suppose that **K** is adequate and closed with respect to homeomorphisms. There is a natural question: Is there an R -subset system H such that **K** = **H-Sob**? In this paper, we will prove the answer is positive. In [14], Xu also proposed an R -subset system $H : \mathbf{Top}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is said to satisfy property M if for any T_0 space X, $\mathcal{K} \in H(P_S(X))$ and $A \in M(\mathcal{K})$, then $\{\uparrow(K \cap A) \mid K \in \mathcal{K}\} \in H(P_S(X))$. Furthermore, he obtained some results under the assumption that H has property M. Then he posed the following problems:

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54B20; Secondary 06B35, 06F30.

Keywords. coequalizer, H-sober space, property M, hyper-sober space, product.

Received: 02 May 2024; Revised: 30 July 2024; Accepted: 22 August 2024

Communicated by Santi Spadaro

Research supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.12231007).

* Corresponding author: Qingguo Li

Email addresses: mengjiejin@163.com (Mengjie Jin), liqingguoli@aliyun.com (Qingguo Li)

Problem 1. Let $H : \mathbf{Top}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ be an R -subset system (may not have property M) and $\{X_i \mid i \in I\}$ a family of super H -sober spaces. Is the product space $\prod_{i \in I} X_i$ super H -sober?

Problem 2. Let $H : \mathbf{Top}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ be an R -subset system, X a T_0 space and Y a super H -sober space. Is the function space $TOP(X, Y)$ equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence super H -sober?

Problem 3. Let $H : \mathbf{Top}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ be an R -subset system, X a super H -sober space and Y a T_0 space. For a pair of continuous mappings $f, g : X \rightarrow Y$, is the equalizer $E(f, g) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) = g(x)\}$ (as a subspace of X) super H -sober?

Problem 4. For an R -subset system $H : \mathbf{Top}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$, is H **SH-Sob** complete?

Problem 5. If an R -subset system $H : \mathbf{Top}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ has property M , do the induced R -subset systems H^d, H^R and H^D have property M ?

Problem 6. For an R -subset system $H : \mathbf{Top}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$, is **SH-Sob** reflective in \mathbf{Top}_0 ? Or equivalently, for any T_0 space X , does the super H -sobrification of X exist?

Problem 7. Let $H : \mathbf{Top}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ be an R -subset system having property M . Is $R : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, H \mapsto H^R$ a closure operator?

In [15], Zhao and Ho introduced a new variant of sobriety, called hyper-sobriety. A topological space X is called hyper-sober if for any irreducible set F , there is a unique $x \in F$ such that $F \subseteq \text{cl}(\{x\})$. Clearly, every hyper-sober space is sober. Later, Wen and Xu discussed some basic properties of hyper-sober spaces in [11]. Moreover, they posed a question:

Problem 8. Is the product space of two hyper-sober spaces again a hyper-sober space?

Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be categories, $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be left adjoint to $U : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. We know that U preserves limits and F preserves colimits (see [9]). Xu has proven that **H-Sob** is adequate (see Theorem 7.9 in [14]), in other words, it is reflective in \mathbf{Top}_0 . In fact that \mathbf{Top}_0 is also reflective in \mathbf{Top} , so **H-Sob** is reflective in \mathbf{Top} . Since \mathbf{Top} is complete and cocomplete (that is, limits and colimits all exist), we get that **H-Sob** is also complete and cocomplete. This implies that coequalizers in **H-Sob** exist. But we do not know its concrete forms. In Section 4, we investigate the coequalizers in **H-Sob**.

In Section 5, we find that property M mentioned above naturally holds for each R -subset system H . Based on this, for a T_0 space X , we deduce that X is super H -sober iff X is H -sober and H satisfies property Q in the sense of [14]. Additionally, we give positive answers to Problem 1 ~ Problem 6. Furthermore, we prove Problem 7 holds.

In Section 6, we will give a positive answer to Problem 8.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we briefly recall some standard definitions and notations to be used in this paper. For further details, refer to [3–6, 12].

Let P be a poset and $A \subseteq P$. We denote $\downarrow A = \{x \in P \mid x \leq a \text{ for some } a \in A\}$ and $\uparrow A = \{x \in P \mid x \geq a \text{ for some } a \in A\}$. For any $a \in P$, we denote $\downarrow\{a\} = \downarrow a = \{x \in P \mid x \leq a\}$ and $\uparrow\{a\} = \uparrow a = \{x \in P \mid x \geq a\}$. A subset A is called a *lower set* (resp., an *upper set*) if $A = \downarrow A$ (resp., $A = \uparrow A$).

The category of all topological spaces with continuous mappings is denoted by **Top**. For a topological space X , let $\mathcal{O}(X)$ (resp., $\Gamma(X)$) be the set of all open subsets (resp., closed subsets) of X . For a subset A of X , the closure of A is denoted by $\text{cl}(A)$ or \overline{A} . We use \leq_X to represent the specialization quasi-order of X , that is, $x \leq_X y$ iff $x \in \overline{\{y\}}$. A subset B of X is called *saturated* if B equals the intersection of all open sets containing it (equivalently, B is an upper set in the specialization quasi-order). Let $S(X) = \{\{x\} \mid x \in X\}$ and $S_c(X) = \{\{x\} \mid x \in X\}$.

The category of all T_0 spaces with continuous mappings is denoted by \mathbf{Top}_0 . For a T_0 space X and a nonempty subset A of X , A is called *irreducible* if for any $B, C \in \Gamma(X)$, $A \subseteq B \cup C$ implies $A \subseteq B$ or $A \subseteq C$. Denote by $\text{Irr}(X)$ the set of all irreducible subsets of X and $\text{Irr}_c(X)$ the set of all closed irreducible subsets of X . A topological space X is called *sober*, if for any $A \in \text{Irr}_c(X)$, there is a unique point $a \in X$ such that $A = \overline{\{a\}}$. The category of all sober spaces with continuous mappings is denoted by **Sob**.

For a topological space X , $\mathcal{G} \subseteq 2^X$ and $A \subseteq X$, let $\square_{\mathcal{G}}(A) = \{G \in \mathcal{G} \mid G \subseteq A\}$ and $\diamond_{\mathcal{G}}(A) = \{G \in \mathcal{G} \mid G \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$. The symbols $\square_{\mathcal{G}}(A)$ and $\diamond_{\mathcal{G}}(A)$ will be simply written as $\square A$ and $\diamond A$ respectively if there is no confusion.

The upper Vietoris topology on \mathcal{G} is the topology that has $\{\square U \mid U \in \mathcal{O}(X)\}$ as a base, and the resulting space is denoted by $P_S(\mathcal{G})$. The lower Vietoris topology on \mathcal{G} is the topology that has $\{\diamond U \mid U \in \mathcal{O}(X)\}$ as a subbase, and the resulting space is denoted by $P_H(\mathcal{G})$. If $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \text{Irr}(X)$, then $\{\diamond U \mid U \in \mathcal{O}(X)\}$ is a topology on \mathcal{G} .

We shall use $K(X)$ to denote the set of all nonempty compact saturated subsets of X ordered by reverse inclusion, that is, for $K_1, K_2 \in K(X)$, $K_1 \leq_{K(X)} K_2$ iff $K_2 \subseteq K_1$. The space $P_S(K(X))$ denoted shortly by $P_S(X)$, is called the Smyth power space or upper space of X ([6]).

Lemma 2.1. (Topological Rudin Lemma) [6] Let X be a T_0 space, C a closed subset of X and \mathcal{K} an irreducible subset of the Smyth power space $P_S(X)$. If C intersects all members of \mathcal{K} , then there exists a minimal (irreducible) closed subset A of C that intersects all members of \mathcal{K} .

Definition 2.2. [1] Let P be a poset. A map $c : P \rightarrow P$ is called a closure operator (on P) if, for all $x, y \in P$,

- (1) $x \leq y \implies c(x) \leq c(y)$ (c is monotone),
- (2) $x \leq c(x)$ (c is expansive),
- (3) $c(c(x)) = c(x)$ (c is idempotent).

Lemma 2.3. [7, 10] Let X be an T_0 space. If $\mathcal{K} \in K(P_S(X))$, then $\bigcup \mathcal{K} \in K(X)$.

Corollary 2.4. [7, 10] For a T_0 space X , the mapping $\bigcup : P_S(P_S(X)) \rightarrow P_S(X)$, $\mathcal{K} \mapsto \bigcup \mathcal{K}$, is continuous.

3. The \mathbf{K} -category and \mathbf{H} -sober spaces

In what follows, The category \mathbf{K} always refers to a full subcategory \mathbf{Top}_0 containing \mathbf{Sob} , the objects of \mathbf{K} are called \mathbf{K} -spaces.

Definition 3.1. [12] Let X be a T_0 space. A \mathbf{K} -reflection of X is a pair $\langle \widehat{X}, \mu \rangle$ consisting of a \mathbf{K} -space \widehat{X} and a continuous mapping $\mu : X \rightarrow \widehat{X}$ satisfying that for any continuous mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ to a \mathbf{K} -space, there exists a unique continuous mapping $f^* : \widehat{X} \rightarrow Y$ such that $f^* \circ \mu = f$, that is, the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \widehat{X} \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow f^* \\ & & Y \end{array}$$

By a standard argument, \mathbf{K} -reflections, if they exist, are unique to homeomorphisms. We shall use $X^{\mathbf{K}}$ to indicate the space of the \mathbf{K} -reflection of X if it exists.

Definition 3.2. [12] Let (X, τ) be a T_0 space. A nonempty subset A of X is called \mathbf{K} -determined provided for any continuous mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ to a \mathbf{K} -space Y , there exists a unique $y_A \in Y$ such that $\text{cl}_Y(f(A)) = \text{cl}_Y(\{y_A\})$. Clearly, a subset A of a space X is a \mathbf{K} -determined set iff $\text{cl}(A)$ is a \mathbf{K} -determined set. Denote by $K^d(X)$ the set of all \mathbf{K} -determined subsets of X and $K_c^d(X)$ the set of all closed \mathbf{K} -determined subsets of X .

Lemma 3.3. Let X, Y be two T_0 spaces. If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous mapping and $A \in K^d(X)$, then $f(A) \in K^d(Y)$.

Proof. Let Z be a \mathbf{K} -space and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ is continuous. Note that $g \circ f : X \rightarrow Z$ is continuous and $A \in K^d(X)$, then there exists an element $z \in Z$ such that $\overline{g \circ f(A)} = \overline{g(f(A))} = \{z\}$. So $f(A) \in K^d(Y)$. \square

Definition 3.4. [12] A full subcategory \mathbf{K} of \mathbf{Top}_0 is said to be closed with respect to homeomorphisms if homeomorphic copies of \mathbf{K} -spaces are \mathbf{K} -spaces.

Definition 3.5. [12] \mathbf{K} is called adequate if for any T_0 space X , $P_H(K_c^d(X))$ is a \mathbf{K} -space.

Corollary 3.6. [12] Assume that \mathbf{K} is adequate and closed with respect to homeomorphisms. Then for any T_0 space X , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) X is a \mathbf{K} -space.
- (2) $K_c^d(X) = S_c(X)$, that is, for each $A \in K_c^d(X)$, there exists an $x \in X$ such that $A = \overline{\{x\}}$.
- (3) $X \cong X^k$.

The category of all sets with mappings is denoted by \mathbf{Set} .

Definition 3.7. [14] A covariant functor $H : \mathbf{Top}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is called a subset system on \mathbf{Top}_0 provided that the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $S(X) \subseteq H(X) \subseteq 2^X$ (the set of all subsets of X) for each $X \in \text{ob}(\mathbf{Top}_0)$.
- (2) For any continuous mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{Top}_0 , $H(f)(A) = f(A) \in H(Y)$ for all $A \in H(X)$.

For a subset system $H : \mathbf{Top}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ and a T_0 space X , let $H_c(X) = \{\overline{A} \mid A \in H(X)\}$. We call $A \subseteq X$ an H -set if $A \in H(X)$. The sets in $H_c(X)$ are called closed H -sets.

Definition 3.8. [14] A subset system $H : \mathbf{Top}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is called an irreducible subset system, or an R -subset system for short, if $H(X) \subseteq \text{Irr}(X)$ for all $X \in \text{ob}(\mathbf{Top}_0)$. The family of all R -subset systems is denoted by \mathcal{H} . Define a partial order \leq on \mathcal{H} by $H_1 \leq H_2$ iff $H_1(X) \subseteq H_2(X)$ for all $X \in \text{ob}(\mathbf{Top}_0)$.

Definition 3.9. [14] Let $H : \mathbf{Top}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ be an R -subset system. A T_0 space X is called H -sober if for any $A \in H(X)$, there is a (unique) point $x \in X$ such that $\overline{A} = \overline{\{x\}}$ or, equivalently, if $H_c(X) = S_c(X)$. The category of all H -sober spaces with continuous mappings is denoted by $\mathbf{H-Sob}$.

It is not difficult to verify that $\mathbf{Sob} \subseteq \mathbf{H-Sob}$. By Definition 3.1, for $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{H-Sob}$, the \mathbf{K} -reflection of X is called the H -sober reflection of X , or the H -sobrification of X and X^h to denote the space of H -sobrification of X if it exists. Moreover, a \mathbf{K} -determined set of X in Definition 3.2 is called a H -sober determined set of X . Denote by $H^d(X)$ the set of all H -sober determined subsets of X . The set of all closed H -sober determined subsets of X is denoted by $H_c^d(X)$.

Theorem 3.10. [14] Let $H : \mathbf{Top}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ be an R -subset system. Then $\mathbf{H-Sob}$ is adequate. Therefore, for any T_0 space X , $X^h = P_H(H_c^d(X))$ with the canonical topological embedding $\eta_X^h : X \rightarrow X^h$ is the H -sobrification of X , where $\eta_X^h(x) = \overline{\{x\}}$ for all $x \in X$.

Remark 3.11. If X is only a topological space, then $\langle P_H(H_c^d(X)), \eta_X^h \rangle$ is the H -sobrification of X , where $\eta_X^h(x) = \overline{\{x\}}$ for all $x \in X$.

Let $H : \mathbf{Top}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ be an R -subset system. In [14], it has been shown that $\mathbf{H-Sob}$ is a full subcategory of \mathbf{Top}_0 containing \mathbf{Sob} and is closed with respect to homeomorphisms. Moreover, $\mathbf{H-Sob}$ is adequate by Theorem 3.10.

Conversely, suppose that \mathbf{K} is adequate and closed with respect to homeomorphisms. Is there an R -subset system H such that $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{H-Sob}$? The answer is positive, we will investigate it in Section 5.

4. Coequalizers in $\mathbf{H-Sob}$

For any topological space X , since the H -sobrification of X exists, we have that $\mathbf{H-Sob}$ is reflective in \mathbf{Top} . The fact that \mathbf{Top} is complete and cocomplete (that is, limits and colimits all exist), so $\mathbf{H-Sob}$ is also complete and cocomplete. This implies that coequalizers in $\mathbf{H-Sob}$ exist. But we do not know its concrete forms. In this section, inspired by the construction of coequalizers in \mathbf{Dcpo} (see [2] and [8]), we will investigate coequalizers in $\mathbf{H-Sob}$.

Definition 4.1. [9] Let C be a category and $f, g : A \rightarrow B$ be a pair of parallel arrows. A coequalizer of f and g is an object C together with an arrow $h : B \rightarrow C$ with the following properties:

- (1) $h \circ f = h \circ g$;
- (2) for each arrow $k : B \rightarrow D$ such that $k \circ f = k \circ g$, there is a unique arrow $l : C \rightarrow D$ such that $l \circ h = k$.

In order to give a specific characterization of coequalizers in **H-Sob**, first, we introduce the concept of R -topology on a topological space.

Definition 4.2. [4] Let X be a set and R an equivalence relation on X . A subset A of X is R -saturated if, for every $a \in A$, for every $x \in X$ such that $a R x$, x is also in A . Equivalently, A is R -saturated iff it is a union of equivalence classes for R .

Let (X, τ) be a topological space and R an equivalence relation on X . We call a subset U of X R -open if it is an R -saturated open set. It is easy to see that \emptyset, X are R -open, the intersection of any finite family of R -open sets is R -open and the union of any family of R -open sets is R -open. Then the family of all R -open sets in X precisely forms a new topology on X . We call it an R -topology and denote it by τ_R . Note that $\tau_R \subseteq \tau$. Dually, an R -closed subset A of X is the complement $X \setminus U$ of an R -open subset U of X . For a subset A of X , the closure of A in (X, τ_R) is denoted by $\text{cl}_R(A)$.

For any $(X, \tau), (Y, \mu) \in \mathbf{H-Sob}$ and continuous maps $f, g : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \mu)$, define

$$\mathcal{K} = \{k \mid \text{there exists an H-sober space } (Z, \nu) \text{ such that } k : (Y, \mu) \rightarrow (Z, \nu) \text{ is continuous and } k \circ f = k \circ g\}$$

and

$$R = \{(x, y) \in Y \times Y \mid \text{for any } k \in \mathcal{K}, k(x) = k(y)\}.$$

Then R is an equivalence relation on Y . It is not difficult to verify that $\{(f(x), g(x)) \mid x \in X\} \subseteq R$.

Let $H_c^R(Y) = \{A \subseteq Y \mid A \text{ is } R\text{-closed and for any continuous map } k : (Y, \mu) \rightarrow (Z, \nu) \in \mathcal{K}, \text{ there exists a unique } z \in Z \text{ such that } k(A) = \{z\}\}$. For the topological space (Y, μ_R) , we have the following lemma:

Lemma 4.3. For $(Y, \mu_R), Y^h = P_H(H_c^R(Y))$ with the canonical continuous map $\eta_Y^h : (Y, \mu_R) \rightarrow Y^h$ is the H-sobrification of (Y, μ_R) , where $\eta_Y^h(y) = \text{cl}_R(\{y\})$ for all $y \in Y$.

Proof. By Remark 3.11, we only need to prove that $H_c^R(Y) = H_c^d(Y)$. Define

$$\mathcal{L} = \{l \mid \text{there exists an H-sober space } (Z, \nu) \text{ such that } l : (Y, \mu_R) \rightarrow (Z, \nu) \text{ is continuous}\}.$$

We claim that $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{L}$. Since $\mu_R \subseteq \mu$ and $l : (Y, \mu_R) \rightarrow (Z, \nu)$ is continuous, this implies that $l : (Y, \mu) \rightarrow (Z, \nu)$ is continuous. Moreover, for any $x \in X$, we have $lf(x) = lg(x)$. Suppose not, there exists an element $x \in X$ such that $lf(x) \neq lg(x)$. Without loss of generality, assume that $lf(x) \not\subseteq lg(x)$, then $lf(x) \in Z \setminus \downarrow lg(x)$. So $f(x) \in l^{-1}(Z \setminus \downarrow lg(x))$. Since Z is an H-sober space, it is T_0 , so $Z \setminus \downarrow lg(x)$ is an open subset in Z . Because $l : (Y, \mu_R) \rightarrow (Z, \nu)$ is continuous, we have that $l^{-1}(Z \setminus \downarrow lg(x))$ is R -open. As $(f(x), g(x)) \in R$ and $f(x) \in l^{-1}(Z \setminus \downarrow lg(x))$, we infer that $g(x) \in l^{-1}(Z \setminus \downarrow lg(x))$. Thus $lg(x) \in Z \setminus \downarrow lg(x)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $lf(x) = lg(x)$. We conclude that $\mathcal{L} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$. Conversely, suppose that $k \in \mathcal{K}$. Let $U \in \nu$. Then $k^{-1}(U)$ is open in Y . It remains to show that $k^{-1}(U)$ is R -saturated. For every x in $k^{-1}(U)$ and $x R a$, as $k \in \mathcal{K}$, we have $k(x) = k(a)$ and $k(x) \in U$. Hence, $k(a) \in U$, that is $a \in k^{-1}(U)$. Thus $k : (Y, \mu_R) \rightarrow (Z, \nu)$ is continuous. So $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$. Therefore, $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{L}$. By the definitions of $H_c^R(Y)$ and $H_c^d(Y)$, we could get $H_c^R(Y) = H_c^d(Y)$. \square

By the above lemma, we could see $P_H(H_c^R(Y))$ is H-sober and $\eta_Y^h : (Y, \mu_R) \rightarrow P_H(H_c^R(Y))$ is continuous. Since $\mu_R \subseteq \mu$, we have $\eta_Y^h : (Y, \mu) \rightarrow P_H(H_c^R(Y))$ is also continuous.

Theorem 4.4. For $(X, \tau), (Y, \mu) \in \mathbf{H-Sob}$ and continuous maps $f, g : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \mu)$, $P_H(H_c^R(Y))$ together with an arrow $\eta_Y^h : (Y, \mu) \rightarrow P_H(H_c^R(Y))$ is a coequalizer of f and g .

Proof. Let $x \in X$. Since $(f(x), g(x)) \in R$, $\text{cl}_R(\{f(x)\}) = \text{cl}_R(\{g(x)\})$. This implies $\eta_Y^h f(x) = \eta_Y^h g(x)$. That is, $\eta_Y^h \circ f = \eta_Y^h \circ g$. For any H-sober space (Z, ν) and any continuous map $k : (Y, \mu) \rightarrow (Z, \nu)$ such that $k \circ f = k \circ g$, from the proof of Lemma 4.3, we could see $k : (Y, \mu_R) \rightarrow (Z, \nu)$ is continuous. Because $P_H(H_c^R(Y))$ is the H-sobrification of (Y, μ_R) , there exists a unique continuous mapping $k^* : P_H(H_c^R(Y)) \rightarrow (Z, \nu)$ such that $k^* \circ \eta_Y^h = k$. So $P_H(H_c^R(Y))$ together with an arrow $\eta_Y^h : (Y, \mu) \rightarrow P_H(H_c^R(Y))$ is the coequalizer of f and g . \square

5. On some problems about super H-sober spaces

In this section, we will prove that for each irreducible subset system (R-subset system for short) H, property M mentioned in [14] naturally holds. Based on this result, we get that Problem 1~Problem 7 hold. Furthermore, we generalize some results in [14].

Lemma 5.1. For a T_0 space X , $S(X) \subseteq K^d(X) \subseteq \text{Irr}(X)$.

Proof. Clearly, $S(X) \subseteq K^d(X)$. Suppose that $A \in K^d(X)$. Consider the sobrification $X^s (= P_H(\text{Irr}_c(X)))$ of X and the canonical topological embedding $\eta_X : X \rightarrow X^s$ defined by $\eta_X(x) = \overline{\{x\}}$. Then there is a $B \in \text{Irr}_c(X)$ such that $\overline{\eta_X(A)} = \overline{\{B\}}$. It is easy to check that $\overline{A} = B$. Hence, $A \in \text{Irr}(X)$. \square

Theorem 5.2. Suppose that \mathbf{K} is adequate and closed with respect to homeomorphisms. Then for a covariant functor $\mathbf{K} : \mathbf{Top}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ defined by $K^d(X)$, $\forall X \in \text{ob}(\mathbf{Top}_0)$, the following statements hold:

- (1) $\mathbf{K} : \mathbf{Top}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is an R-subset system.
- (2) $\mathbf{K}=\mathbf{K}\text{-sober}$, that is for each \mathbf{K} -space X and any $A \in K^d(X)$, there exists a (unique) element $x \in X$ such that $\overline{A} = \overline{\{x\}}$.

Proof. These statements directly follow from Lemma 3.3, Lemma 5.1 and Corollary 3.6. \square

For a T_0 space X and $\mathcal{K} \subseteq K(X)$, let $M(\mathcal{K}) = \{A \in \Gamma(X) \mid A \cap K \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } K \in \mathcal{K}\}$ (that is, $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \diamond A$) and $m(\mathcal{K}) = \{A \in \Gamma(X) \mid A \text{ is a minimal member of } M(\mathcal{K})\}$ ([12]).

In [14], Xu proposed that an R-subset system $H : \mathbf{Top}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is said to satisfy property M if for any T_0 space X , $\mathcal{K} \in H(P_S(X))$ and $A \in M(\mathcal{K})$, then $\{\uparrow(K \cap A) \mid K \in \mathcal{K}\} \in H(P_S(X))$. Furthermore, he proved some conclusions under the assumption that H has property M. In the following, we find that property M naturally holds for each R-subset system H.

Proposition 5.3. Let $H : \mathbf{Top}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ be an R-subset system. Then property M holds.

Proof. Suppose that X is a T_0 space, $\mathcal{K} \in H(P_S(X))$ and $A \in M(\mathcal{K})$. Take a map $f : P_S(X) \rightarrow P_S(X)$ defined by

$$f(K) = \uparrow(K \cap A)$$

for any $K \in K(X)$. It is straightforward to check that f is well-defined.

Claim: f is continuous.

For any $U \in \mathcal{O}(X)$, $f^{-1}(\square U) = \{K \in K(X) \mid \uparrow(K \cap A) \in \square U\} = \{K \in K(X) \mid \uparrow(K \cap A) \subseteq U\} = \square((X \setminus A) \cup U)$. Hence, $f^{-1}(\square U)$ is open in $K(X)$. So f is continuous.

Since H is an R-subset system and $\mathcal{K} \in H(P_S(X))$, we have that $f(\mathcal{K}) = \{\uparrow(K \cap A) \mid K \in \mathcal{K}\} \in H(P_S(X))$, that is, property M holds. \square

By Theorem 6.19, Theorem 6.20, Proposition 6.21, Corollary 6.22, Theorem 7.16 in [14] and Proposition 5.3, we get that Problem 1 ~ Problem 6 hold.

Definition 5.4. [14] Let $H : \mathbf{Top}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ be an R-subset system. A T_0 space X is called super H-sober provided its Smyth power space $P_S(X)$ is H-sober. The category of all super H-sober spaces with continuous mappings is denoted by **SH-Sob**.

Definition 5.5. [14] Let $H : \mathbf{Top}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ be an R -subset system and X a T_0 space. A nonempty subset A of X is said to have H -Rudin property, if there exists $\mathcal{K} \in H(P_S(X))$ such that $\overline{A} \in m(\mathcal{K})$, that is, \overline{A} is a minimal closed set that intersects all members of \mathcal{K} . Let $H^R(X) = \{A \subseteq X \mid A \text{ has } H\text{-Rudin property}\}$. The sets in $H^R(X)$ will also be called H -Rudin sets.

Lemma 5.6. [14] Let $H : \mathbf{Top}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ be an R -subset system and X a T_0 space. Then $H(X) \subseteq H^R(X) \subseteq \text{Irr}(X)$.

Lemma 5.7. [14] Let $H : \mathbf{Top}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ be an R -subset system. Then $H^R : \mathbf{Top}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is an R -subset system, where for any continuous mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathbf{Top}_0 , $H^R(f) : H^R(X) \rightarrow H^R(Y)$ is defined by $H^R(f)(A) = f(A)$ for each $A \in H^R(X)$.

Theorem 5.8. [14] Let $H : \mathbf{Top}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ be an R -subset system and X a T_0 space. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1) X is super H -sober.
- (2) X is H^R -sober.

Definition 5.9. [14] An R -subset system $H : \mathbf{Top}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is said to satisfy property Q if for any $\mathcal{K} \in H(P_S(X))$ and any $A \in M(\mathcal{K})$, A contains a closed H -set C such that $C \in M(\mathcal{K})$.

In [14], Theorem 5.12 pointed out that if X is H -sober and H has property Q , then X is super H -sober. The following Theorem will show that the converse also holds.

Theorem 5.10. Let $H : \mathbf{Top}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ be an R -subset system. For a T_0 space X , the following two conditions are equivalent:

- (1) X is H -sober and H has property Q .
- (2) X is super H -sober.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Follows directly from Theorem 5.12 in [14].

(2) \Rightarrow (1): We only need to show that H has property Q . For any $\mathcal{K} \in H(P_S(X))$ and any $A \in M(\mathcal{K})$, by Rudin Lemma, we have that there exists a minimal closed subset $B \subseteq A$ such that $B \in M(\mathcal{K})$. Thus $B \in H^R(X)$. Since X is super H -sober, by Theorem 5.8, we have that X is H^R -sober. Therefore, there exists $x \in X$ such that $B = \overline{\{x\}}$. This implies that B is a closed H -set. Thus H has property Q . \square

In the following, we will prove that for an R -subset system $H : \mathbf{Top}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$, $R : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, defined by $H \mapsto H^R$ is a closure operator.

Theorem 5.11. Let $H : \mathbf{Top}_0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ be an R -subset system. Then $R : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, defined by $H \mapsto H^R$ is a closure operator.

Proof. For any R -subset system H , by Lemma 5.7, we have that H^R is also an R -subset system. So R is well-defined.

Claim 1: R is monotone, that is, for $H_1, H_2 \in \mathcal{H}$ and $H_1 \leq H_2$, $H_1^R \leq H_2^R$.

Assume that X is a T_0 space. For any $A \in H_1^R(X)$, by Definition 5.5, there exists $\mathcal{K} \in H_1(P_S(X))$ such that $\overline{A} \in m(\mathcal{K})$. Since $H_1 \leq H_2$, that is, $H_1(P_S(X)) \subseteq H_2(P_S(X))$, we have that $\mathcal{K} \in H_2(P_S(X))$ and hence, $A \in H_2^R(X)$. So $H_1^R(X) \subseteq H_2^R(X)$ for any T_0 space X , which implies that $H_1^R \leq H_2^R$.

Claim 2: R is expansive, that is, for any $H \in \mathcal{H}$, $H \leq H^R$.

Follows directly from Lemma 5.6.

Claim 3: R is idempotent, that is, for any $H \in \mathcal{H}$, $(H^R)^R = H^R$.

Since R is expansive, we have that $H^R \leq (H^R)^R$. Conversely, we only need to show that $(H^R)^R(X) \subseteq H^R(X)$ for any T_0 space X . Suppose that $A \in (H^R)^R(X)$. By Definition 5.5, there exists $\mathcal{A} \in H^R(P_S(X))$ such that $\overline{A} \in m(\mathcal{A})$. For \mathcal{A} , again by Definition 5.5, there exists $\mathcal{K} = \{\mathcal{K}_i\}_{i \in I} \in H(P_S(P_S(X)))$ such that $\overline{\mathcal{A}} \in m(\mathcal{K})$. For

any $i \in I$, let $K_i = \bigcup \uparrow_{K(X)}(\mathcal{K}_i \cap \overline{\mathcal{A}}) = \bigcup (\mathcal{K}_i \cap \overline{\mathcal{A}})$. Then by Lemma 2.3, Corollary 2.4 and property M of H , we have $\{K_i\}_{i \in I} \in H(P_S(X))$ and $K_i \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ for each $i \in I$ since $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ is a lower set.

Claim 3.1: $\overline{A} \in m(\{K_i\}_{i \in I})$.

Suppose not, there exists $i \in I$ such that $\overline{A} \cap K_i = \emptyset$. That is $K_i \subseteq X \setminus \overline{A}$. So $K_i \in \square(X \setminus \overline{A})$. Since $K_i \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}$, there exists $K \in \square(X \setminus \overline{A}) \cap \overline{\mathcal{A}}$, which contradicts the fact that $\overline{A} \in m(\mathcal{A})$.

Claim 3.2: $\overline{A} \in m(\{K_i\}_{i \in I})$.

Let $B \subseteq \overline{A}$ be a closed subset and $B \in m(\{K_i\}_{i \in I})$. Then for any $i \in I$, there exists an element $N \in \mathcal{K}_i \cap \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ such that $B \cap N \neq \emptyset$, it is $\diamond B \cap \mathcal{K}_i \cap \overline{\mathcal{A}} \neq \emptyset$. By the minimality of $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$, we have $\overline{\mathcal{A}} = \diamond B \cap \overline{\mathcal{A}}$, and consequently, $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq \diamond B$. So $B \in m(\mathcal{A})$. By the minimality of \overline{A} , we have $\overline{A} = B$. Thus $\overline{A} \in m(\{K_i\}_{i \in I})$.

Therefore, for A , there exists $\{K_i\}_{i \in I} \in H(P_S(X))$ such that $A \in m(\{K_i\}_{i \in I})$, which means $A \in H^R(X)$. \square

6. The finite product of hyper-sober spaces

The concept of hyper-sober spaces was introduced in [15]. And in [11], Wen and Xu gave a counterexample to show that the product of a countable infinite family of hyper-sober spaces is not hyper-sober in general. Meantime, they posed the following question:

Is the product space of two hyper-sober spaces again a hyper-sober space?

In this section, we will give a positive answer to the above question.

Definition 6.1. ([15]) A topological space X is called hyper-sober if for any irreducible set F , there is a unique $x \in F$ such that $F \subseteq \text{cl}(\{x\})$.

Lemma 6.2. ([14]) Let X be a space. Then the following conditions are equivalent for a subset $A \subseteq X$:

- (1) A is an irreducible subset of X .
- (2) $\text{cl}_X(A)$ is an irreducible subset of X .

Lemma 6.3. ([14]) If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous and $A \in \text{Irr}(X)$, then $f(A) \in \text{Irr}(Y)$.

Corollary 6.4. ([14]) Let $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of T_0 spaces and $X = \prod_{i \in I} X_i$ the product space. If $A \in \text{Irr}_c(X)$, then $A = \prod_{i \in I} p_i(A)$ and $p_i(A) \in \text{Irr}_c(X_i)$ for each $i \in I$.

Theorem 6.5. Let X and Y be two hyper-sober spaces. Then the product space $X \times Y$ is also hyper-sober.

Proof. Let A be an irreducible subset in $X \times Y$. Suppose $P_X : X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $P_Y : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ are projections, respectively. Note that P_X and P_Y are continuous. By Lemma 6.3, $P_X(A) \in \text{Irr}(X)$ and $P_Y(A) \in \text{Irr}(Y)$. Since X and Y are hyper-sober, there exist $x \in P_X(A)$ and $y \in P_Y(A)$ such that $P_X(A) \subseteq \text{cl}(\{x\})$ and $P_Y(A) \subseteq \text{cl}(\{y\})$. This implies that $A \subseteq P_X(A) \times P_Y(A) \subseteq \text{cl}(\{x\}) \times \text{cl}(\{y\}) = \downarrow(x, y)$, and $(x, y) \in P_X(A) \times P_Y(A) \subseteq P_X(\overline{A}) \times P_Y(\overline{A}) = \overline{A}$ by Corollary 6.4. It is sufficient to prove that $(x, y) \in A$.

Claim: $x \notin \overline{P_X(A) \setminus \{x\}}$ and $y \notin \overline{P_Y(A) \setminus \{y\}}$.

Suppose not, $x \in \overline{P_X(A) \setminus \{x\}}$. One can directly get $\overline{P_X(A) \setminus \{x\}} = \downarrow x$. Then $P_X(A) \setminus \{x\} \in \text{Irr}(X)$ by Lemma 6.2. Again since X is hyper-sober, there is an element $a \in P_X(A) \setminus \{x\}$ such that $P_X(A) \setminus \{x\} \subseteq \downarrow a$. This implies that $x \in X \setminus \downarrow a$. Thus $(P_X(A) \setminus \{x\}) \cap (X \setminus \downarrow a) \neq \emptyset$, which contradicts $P_X(A) \setminus \{x\} \subseteq \downarrow a$. So $x \notin \overline{P_X(A) \setminus \{x\}}$. For $y \notin \overline{P_Y(A) \setminus \{y\}}$, the proof is similar to that the case $x \notin \overline{P_X(A) \setminus \{x\}}$.

Therefore, there exist open neighborhoods U of x and V of y such that $U \cap (P_X(A) \setminus \{x\}) = \emptyset$ and $V \cap (P_Y(A) \setminus \{y\}) = \emptyset$, respectively. Since $(x, y) \in U \times V$ and $(x, y) \in \text{cl}(A)$, there exists $(b, c) \in (U \times V) \cap A$. This implies that $b \in U \cap P_X(A)$ and $c \in V \cap P_Y(A)$. So $b = x$ and $c = y$, and hence, $(x, y) \in A$. \square

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments and valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] B.A. Davey, H. A. Priestley, *Introduction to Lattices and Order*, (2nd edition), Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [2] A. Fiech, *Colimits in the category DCPO*, Math. Structures Comput. Sci. **6** (1995) 455–468.
- [3] G. Gierz, K. H. Hofmann, K. Keimel, J. D. Lawson, M. Mislove, D. S. Scott, *Continuous Lattices and Domains*, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [4] J. Goubault-Larrecq, *Non-Hausdorff Topology and Domain Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- [5] R. Heckmann, *An upper power domain construction in terms of strongly compact sets*, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci. **598** (1992) 272–293.
- [6] R. Heckmann, K. Keimel, *Quasicontinuous domains and the Smyth powerdomain*, Electron. Notes Theor. Comput. Sci. **298** (2013) 215–232.
- [7] X. Jia, A. Jung, Q. Li, *A note on coherence of dcpos*, Topology Appl. **209** (2016) 235–238.
- [8] A. Jung, M. Moshier, Steve Vickers, *A Hofmann-Mislove theorem for bitopological spaces*, J. Log. Algebr. Program. **76** (2008) 161–174.
- [9] S. Mac Lane, *Categories for the Working mathematician*, Springer, 1997.
- [10] A. Schalk, *Algebras for Generalized Power Constructions*, (PhD Thesis), Technische Hochschule Darmstadt, 1993.
- [11] N. Wen, X. Xu, *Some basic properties of hyper-sober spaces*, (in Chinese), Fuzzy Systems Math. **37** (2023), 165–174.
- [12] X. Xu, *A direct approach to \mathbf{K} -reflections of T_0 spaces*, Topology Appl. **272** (2020) 107076.
- [13] X. Xu, D. Zhao, *On topological Rudin's Lemma, well-filtered spaces and sober spaces*, Topology Appl. **272** (2020) 107080.
- [14] X. Xu, *On H-sober spaces and H-sobrifications of T_0 spaces*, Topology Appl. **289** (2021) 107548.
- [15] D. Zhao, W. Ho, *On topologies defined by irreducible sets*, J. Log. Algebr. Methods Program. **84** (2015) 185–195.