



On a version of the Korovkin theorem

Nihan Uygun^{a,*}, Hijaz Ahmad^{b,c,d,e}

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Bolu Abant Izzet Baysal University, Turkey

^bNear East University, Operational Research Center in Healthcare, Mersin 10, Turkey

^cDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Islamic University of Madinah, Madinah, Saudi Arabia

^dDepartment of Technical Sciences, Western Caspian University, Baku 1001, Azerbaijan

^eDepartment of Computer Science and Mathematics, Lebanese American University, Beirut, Lebanon

Abstract. In this article, some of the main results of the paper “An abstract version of the Korovkin theorem via \mathcal{A} -summation proces” [2] are re-stated and proved. A Korovkin type theorem is given on a compact Hausdorff space.

1. Introduction

One of the most important theorems in Approximation Theory is no doubt *Korovkin's Theorem*, which one version can be stated as follows: Let $C([0, 1])$ be the Banach space of continuous functions from the interval $[0, 1]$ to \mathbb{R} with pointwise algebraic operations and the norm under usual

$$\|f\| = \sup_{x \in [a,b]} |f(x)|,$$

$f_i : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous functions defined by

$$f_i(x) = x^i,$$

where $i = 1, 2, 3$ and (T_n) be a sequence of positive operators from $C([0, 1])$ into $C([0, 1])$ satisfying for each $i = 1, 2, 3$,

$$\|T_n(f_i) - f_i\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Then for each $f \in C([0, 1])$ one has

$$\|T_n(f) - f\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

for all $f \in C([0, 1])$.

Recall that a map from $C([0, 1])$ into $C([0, 1])$ is called a *positive operator* if it is linear and $T(f) \geq 0$ whenever $f \geq 0$ (the latter meaning that $f(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in [0, 1]$). It can be easily observed that a proof of Korovkin's Theorem follows from the following fact: for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exist constants $C_1, C_2, C_3 \geq 0$ such that

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 41A36 ; Secondary 41A25.

Keywords. Korovkin Theorem, Positive Operators.

Received: 15 July 2022; Accepted: 12 February 2024

Communicated by Predrag Stanimirović

* Corresponding author: Nihan Uygun

Email addresses: nuygun@ibu.edu.tr (Nihan Uygun), hijaz555@gmail.com (Hijaz Ahmad)

$$\|T_n(f) - f\| \leq \epsilon + C_1\|T_n(f_0) - f_0\| + C_2\|T_n(f_1) - f_1\| + C_3\|T_n(f_2) - f_2\|$$

holds for all $f \in C([0, 1])$. A proof of this inequality can be found in [1].

For a topological space X , as usual, $C(X)$ denotes the vector space of all continuous functions from X into \mathbb{R} with the pointwise algebraic operations. The vector subspace $C_b(X)$, the space of all bounded real-valued continuous functions of $C(X)$ is a Banach space under the norm

$$\|f\| = \sup_{x \in X} \|f(x)\|.$$

2. On the Statement of the Paper [2]

One could be interested in generalizations of the Korovkin’s theorem for the space $C_b(X)$. The best way for this is to start with $C(X)$, where X is compact. This is well done in [2], but unfortunately in the statement of [2] all lemmas and theorems involve the term \mathcal{A} -summation process on $C(X)$ for a sequence of positive operators (L_j) , which is not necessary. Those were given more or less, in the following form:

$$“\forall f \in C(X), \lim_k \|B_k^{(n)}(f) - f\| = 0 \rightarrow \lim_k \|B_k^{(n)}(g) - f\| = 0 \text{ for some } g \in C(X)”.$$

The reason for this, we believe, is that the authors of [2] are ignored the fact that if

$$\|B_k^{(n)}(f) - f\| \rightarrow 0 \ (k \rightarrow \infty)$$

for all $f \in C(X)$ and n , then $B_k^{(n)}(f) \in C(X)$ and the operator $B_k^{(n)}$ is positive operator into $C(X)$. It should also be noted that Lemma 4 is incorrect. Indeed, for each n , let $T_n : C([0, 1]) \rightarrow C([0, 1])$ be defined by

$$T_n(f) = \frac{1}{n}f(0) + f.$$

Then T_n is a positive operator and $T_n(f) \rightarrow f$ for all $f \in C(X)$. For each $x \in [0, 1] \setminus \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, let $f_x = 0$ and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $f_{\frac{1}{n}} \in C([0, 1])$ such that

$$f_{\frac{1}{n}}(0) = n \text{ and } f_{\frac{1}{n}}(\frac{1}{n}) = 0.$$

Now it is obvious that

$$1 \leq \sup_{x \in [0,1]} |T_n(f_x)(x)|.$$

Also in the proof of this Lemma 4 of [2] m and M defined depend on $x \in X$, contrary to the situation in the proof which assumes their independence from x . Despite the above-mentioned facts, using similar lines of thought of the paper, we can restate the lemmas and theorems with simpler and more natural proofs.

3. Restatement of Theorems of [2]

We will restate and reprove in this section results of [2] using similar ideas. Let

$$f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2 \in C(X)$$

with the following properties:

i) $P(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$,

ii) $P(x, y) \geq 0$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $P \in C(X \times X)$ defined by,

$$P(x, y) = g_1(x)f_1(y) + g_2(x)f_2(y).$$

In particular for each $x \in X$ we define $P_x \in C(X)$ by

$$P_x(y) = P(x, y).$$

Throughout the paper $s, t \in X$ with $s \neq t$ and we let

$$Q = P_s + P_t.$$

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and let $f \in C(X)$ be given. For each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $K > 0$ such that*

$$|f - f(x)| \leq \epsilon + KP_x \quad (x \in X).$$

In particular, if for $x \in X$, $h_x \in C(X)$ with

$$h_x(x) = 0 \text{ and } \sup_{x \in X} \|h_x\| < \infty$$

then there exists $K > 0$ such that for all x ,

$$\|h_x\| \leq \epsilon + KP_x,$$

where K is independent of $x \in X$.

Proof. Let $x \in X$ be given. Since $|f - f(x)|(x) = 0$, from the continuity of $f - f(x)$, there exists an open set U_x containing x such that

$$|f - f(x)|(y) \leq \epsilon$$

for all $y \in U_x$. Let

$$m_x = \inf_{y \in U_x} P(x, y) \text{ and } M = 2\|f\|.$$

Since P_x is continuous, $X \setminus U_x$ is compact and $P(x, y) > 0$ for all $y \in X \setminus U_x$ we have $m_x > 0$. Since X is compact and $(U_x)_{x \in X}$ is an open cover of X there exist $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$ such that

$$X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{x_i}.$$

Let

$$m = \min\{m_{x_1}, \dots, m_{x_n}\} > 0.$$

Set $K = \frac{M}{m}$. Now the required inequality is obvious.

Lemma 3.2. *Let X be a topological space and $T : C_b(X) \rightarrow C_b(X)$ be a linear map. Then there is $M \geq 0$ such that for each $x \in X$, one has*

$$\begin{aligned} |T(P_x)(x)| &\leq M[|T(f_1) - f_1|(x) + |T(f_2) - f_2|(x)] \\ &\leq M[\|T(f_1) - f_1\| + \|T(f_2) - f_2\|]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $M = \|g_1\| + \|g_2\|$. For each $x \in X$, it follows from the definition of P_x that $P_x(x) = 0$, and from the equality

$$T(P_x) = g_1(x)[T(f_1) - f_1(x)] + g_2(x)[T(f_2) - f_2(x)]$$

that we have the required inequality.

Corollary 3.3. Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, (T_n) be a sequence of positive operators from $C(X)$ into $C(X)$ satisfying

$$T_n(f_1) \rightarrow f_1 \text{ and } T_n(f_2) \rightarrow f_2 \text{ (} n \rightarrow \infty \text{)}.$$

Then

$$\sup_{x \in X} \|T_n(P_x)\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Follows immediately from Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.4. Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, (T_n) is a sequence of positive operators from $C(X)$ into $C(X)$. If

$$T_n(f_1) \rightarrow f_1 \text{ and } T_n(f_2) \rightarrow f_2 \text{ (} n \rightarrow \infty \text{)}$$

then

- i) $T_n(Q) \rightarrow Q$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$)
- ii) $\sup \|T_n(1)\| < \infty$.

Proof. i) This is obvious.

ii) Since for each $x \in X$, $Q(x) > 0$ and X is compact there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\epsilon \leq Q$. Then $\epsilon T_n(1) \leq T_n(Q)$ and we have

$$\epsilon \|T_n(1)\| \leq \|T_n(Q)\| \rightarrow \|Q\|,$$

whence

$$\sup \|T_n(1)\| < \infty.$$

Lemma 3.5. Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. For each $f \in C(X)$, $x \in X$ there exists $f_x \in C(X)$ with $f_x(x) = 0$ such that

$$[T_n(f) - f](x) = T_n(h_x) + \frac{f(x)}{Q(x)} [T_n(Q) - Q](x)$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. It is enough to take

$$h_x = f - \frac{f(x)}{Q(x)} Q.$$

Theorem 3.6. Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and (T_n) be a sequence of positive operators from $C(X)$ into $C(X)$. If

$$T_n(f_i) \rightarrow f_i \text{ (} i = 1, 2 \text{) (} n \rightarrow \infty \text{)},$$

then

$$T_n(f) \rightarrow f \text{ for all } f \in C(X).$$

Proof. Let $f \in C(X)$ be given. By Lemma 3.5 for each $x \in X$ there exists $h_x \in C(X)$ such that

$$h_x(x) = 0, \sup_{x \in X} \|h_x\| < \infty$$

and

$$[T_n(f) - f](x) = T_n(h_x) + \frac{f(x)}{Q(x)} [T_n(Q) - Q].$$

This implies that

$$\|T_n(f) - f\| \leq \sup_{x \in X} \|T_n(h_x)\| + \|\frac{f}{Q}\| \|T_n(Q) - Q\|.$$

We know that

$$\sup_{x \in X} \|T_n(f_x)\| \leq \sup_{x \in X} \|T_n(P_x)\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ (Corollary 3.3)}$$

and

$$M \|T_n(Q) - Q\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ (Lemma 3.4)}$$

Hence we have

$$T_n(f) \rightarrow f.$$

This completes the proof.

References

- [1] C. D. Aliprantis & O. Burkinshaw, *Principles of Real Analysis*, Academic Press, 1998.
- [2] Ö. G. Atlihan & E. Taş, "An abstract version of the Korovkin theorem via \mathcal{A} -summation process," *Acta. Math. Hungar.* **145** (2015), no. 2, 360-368.