



## The $\varphi$ -mixed volumes

Chang-Jian Zhao<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, China Jiliang University, Hangzhou 310018, P. R. China

**Abstract.** In the paper, our main aim is to introduce a new  $\varphi$ -mixed volume  $\bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n)$  of  $(n + 1)$  convex bodies, which obeys classical properties. The new affine geometric quantity in special case yields the classical mixed volume  $V(K_1, \dots, K_n)$ ,  $p$ -mixed quermassintegral  $W_{p,i}(K, L)$  and the newly established  $L_p$ -multiple mixed volume  $V_{\varphi_p}(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n)$ , respectively. As an application, we establish an Orlicz Alesandrov-Fenchel inequality for the  $\varphi$ -mixed volumes, which follows the classical Alesandrov-Fenchel inequality,  $L_p$ -Minkowski inequality for  $p$ -mixed quermassintegrals and  $L_p$ -Alesandrov-Fenchel inequality, respectively.

### 1. Introduction

If  $K$  is a nonempty closed (not necessarily bounded) convex set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then (see e.g. [2])

$$h(K, x) = \max\{x \cdot y : y \in K\}, \quad (1.1)$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , defines the support function  $h(K, x)$  of  $K$ , where  $x \cdot y$  denotes the usual inner product of  $x$  and  $y$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A nonempty closed convex set is uniquely determined by its support function.

Associated with convex bodies (compact convex subsets with nonempty interiors)  $K_1, \dots, K_n$  is a Borel measure,  $S(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}; \cdot)$ , on  $S^{n-1}$ , called the mixed surface area measure of convex bodies  $K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}$ , which has the property that for each compact convex subset  $K_n$  (see e.g [11]),

$$V(K_1, \dots, K_n) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} h(K_n, u) dS(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}; u). \quad (1.2)$$

In fact, the measure  $S(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}; \cdot)$ , can be defined by the property that (1.2) holds for all  $K_n$ , and  $V(K_1, \dots, K_n)$  denotes the mixed volume of convex bodies  $K_1, \dots, K_n$ . When  $K_1 = \dots = K_{n-i-1} = K$  and  $K_{n-i} = \dots = K_{n-1} = B$ ,  $S(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}; \cdot)$  becomes the  $i$ -th mixed surface area measure  $S_i(K; u)$ .

In the paper, our main aim is to introduce a new concept called it  $\varphi$ -mixed volume  $\bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n)$  of  $(n + 1)$  convex body, which obeys classical properties, including continuity, boundedness and affine invariance. The  $\varphi$ -mixed volume  $\bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n)$  in special case yields the classical mixed volume

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46E30; Secondary 52A39.

*Keywords.* mixed volume;  $L_p$ -multiple mixed volume; Alesandrov-Fenchel inequality for mixed volumes,  $L_p$ -Alesandrov-Fenchel inequality.

Received: 10 March 2024; Accepted: 25 November 2024

Communicated by Dragan S. Djordjević

Research is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (11371334.109721205).

*Email address:* chjzhao@163.com; chjzhao@cjlu.edu.cn (Chang-Jian Zhao)

ORCID iD: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9988-2298> (Chang-Jian Zhao)

$V(K_1, \dots, K_n)$ ,  $p$ -mixed quermassintegral  $W_{p,i}(K, L)$  and the newly established  $L_p$ -multiple mixed volume  $V_{\varphi_p}(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n)$ , respectively. We establish an Orlicz Alesandrov-Fenchel inequality for the  $\varphi$ -mixed volumes, which follows the classical Alesandrov-Fenchel inequality,  $L_p$ -Minkowski inequality for  $p$ -mixed quermassintegrals and  $L_p$ -Alesandrov-Fenchel inequality, respectively. As applications, some Orlicz Brunn-Minkowski type inequalities are also derived.

We consider a convex and strictly increasing function  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $\varphi(0) = 0$ . Let  $\Phi$  be the class of convex and strictly increasing functions  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that  $\varphi(0) = 0$ . The  $\varphi$ -mixed volume  $\bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n)$  of  $(n + 1)$  convex bodies  $K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n$  is defined by (see Section 3 for the definition)

$$\bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n) = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi \left( \frac{h(K_n, u)}{\lambda h(L_n, u)} \right) dV(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n; u) \leq 1 \right\}, \tag{1.3}$$

where  $dV(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n; u)$  denotes mixed volume probability measure of  $K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n$ , and (see [14])

$$dV(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n; u) = \frac{1}{nV(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n)} h(L_n, u) dS(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}; u). \tag{1.4}$$

**Remark 1.1** With  $\varphi = \varphi_1(t) = t$ , (1.3) becomes

$$\bar{V}_{\varphi_1}(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n) = \frac{V(K_1, \dots, K_n)}{V(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n)}. \tag{1.5}$$

With  $\varphi = \varphi_p(t) = t^p$ , and  $p \geq 1$ , (1.3) yields that

$$\bar{V}_{\varphi_p}(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n)^p = \frac{V_{\varphi_p}(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n)}{V(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n)}. \tag{1.6}$$

where  $V_{\varphi_p}(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n)$  is the  $L_p$ -multiple mixed volume of  $(n + 1)$  convex bodies  $K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n$ , and (see [14])

$$V_{\varphi_p}(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \left( \frac{h(K_n, u)}{h(L_n, u)} \right)^p h(L_n, u) dS(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}; u). \tag{1.7}$$

**Remark 1.2** Putting  $K_1 = \dots = K_{n-i-1} = K$ ,  $K_{n-i} = \dots = K_{n-1} = B$ ,  $K_n = L$  and  $L_n = K$  in (1.3), and let  $\varphi = \varphi_p(t) = t^p$ , and  $p \geq 1$ , then

$$\bar{V}_{\varphi_p}(K, \dots, K, \underbrace{B, \dots, B}_i, L, K) = \left( \frac{W_{p,i}(K, L)}{W_i(K)} \right)^{1/p}, \tag{1.8}$$

where  $W_i(K)$  is the classical quermassintegral of convex body  $K$ , and  $W_{p,i}(K, L)$  is the well-known  $p$ -mixed quermassintegral of convex bodies  $K$  and  $L$ , and (see [6])

$$W_{p,i}(K, L) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} h(L, u)^p h(K, u)^{1-p} dS_i(K; u).$$

Obviously, the  $L_p$ -mixed volume  $V_p(K, L)$  of convex bodies  $K$  and  $L$  is a special case of  $W_{p,i}(K, L)$ . When  $i = 0$ , (1.8) becomes

$$\bar{V}_{\varphi_p}(\underbrace{K, \dots, K}_{n-1}, L, K) = \left( \frac{V_p(K, L)}{V(K)} \right)^{1/p}. \tag{1.9}$$

In Section 4, we establish the following Orlicz Alesandrov-Fenchel inequality for the new  $\varphi$ -mixed volumes of  $(n + 1)$  convex bodies  $K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n$ .

**Orlicz Alesandrov-Fenchel inequality for  $\varphi$ -mixed volume** If  $K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n$  are convex bodies containing the origin in their interiors,  $1 \leq r < n$ ,  $\varphi \in \Phi$  and  $\varphi(c_\varphi) = 1$ , then

$$\bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n) \geq \frac{1}{c_\varphi V(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n)} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r V(K_i, \dots, K_i, K_{r+1}, \dots, K_n)^{1/r}. \tag{1.10}$$

**Remark 1.3** When  $\varphi(t) = t$ , (1.10) becomes the following classical Alesandrov-Fenchel inequality for mixed volumes of  $n$  convex bodies  $K_1, \dots, K_n$  (see e.g. [5]).

*The Alesandrov-Fenchel inequality for mixed volumes* If  $K_1, \dots, K_n$  are convex bodies containing the origin in their interiors and  $1 \leq r < n$ , then

$$V(K_1, \dots, K_n) \geq \prod_{j=1}^r V(K_j, \dots, K_j, K_{r+1}, \dots, K_n)^{1/r}. \tag{1.11}$$

Unfortunately, the equality conditions of this inequality are, in general, unknown (see the discussion in Schneider [12]).

**Remark 1.4** When  $\varphi(t) = t^p$  and  $p \geq 1$ , (1.10) becomes the following  $L_p$ -Alesandrov-Fenchel inequality for  $L_p$ -multiple mixed volumes of  $(n + 1)$  convex bodies  $K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n$ , which was recently established by Zhao [14].

*The  $L_p$ -Aleksandrov-Fenchel nequality for  $L_p$ -multiple mixed volumes* If  $K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n$  are convex bodies containing the origin in its interiors,  $1 \leq r \leq n$  and  $p \geq 1$ , then

$$V_{\varphi_p}(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n) \geq \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r V(K_i, \dots, K_i, K_{r+1}, \dots, K_n)^{p/r}}{V(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n)^{p-1}}. \tag{1.12}$$

**Remark 1.5** When  $r = n - i - 1$ ,  $K_1 = \dots = K_{n-i-1} = K$ ,  $K_{n-i} = \dots = K_{n-1} = L$ ,  $K_n = L$  and  $L_n = K$ ,  $\varphi(t) = t^p$  and  $p \geq 1$ , and in view of (2.8), (1.10) becomes the following well-known  $L_p$ -Minkowski inequality for  $p$ -mixed quermassintegral.

*$L_p$ -Minkowski inequality for  $p$ -mixed quermassintegral* If  $K$  and  $L$  are convex bodies containing the origin in their interiors,  $p > 1$  and  $0 \leq i < n - 1$ , then

$$W_{p,i}(K, L)^{n-i} \geq W_i(K)^{n-i-p} W_i(L)^p, \tag{1.13}$$

with equality if and only if  $K$  and  $L$  are homothetic.

## 2. Notations and preliminaries

The setting for this paper is the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We write  $\mathcal{K}^n$  for the set of convex bodies (compact convex subsets with nonempty interiors) of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We write  $\mathcal{K}_o^n$  for the set of convex bodies that contain the origin in their interiors. We reserve the letter  $u \in S^{n-1}$  for unit vectors, and the letter  $B$  for the unit ball centered at the origin. For a compact set  $K$ , we write  $V(K)$  for the ( $n$ -dimensional) Lebesgue measure of  $K$  and call this the volume of  $K$ . Support function is homogeneous of degree 1, that is,

$$h(K, rx) = rh(K, x), \tag{2.1}$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $r \geq 0$ .

### 2.1 Basics regarding convex bodies

For  $\phi \in GL(n)$  write  $\phi^t$  for the transpose of  $\phi$  and  $\phi^{-t}$  for the inverse of the transpose of  $\phi$ . Write  $|\phi|$  for the absolute value of the determinant of  $\phi$ . Observe that from the definition of the support function it

follows immediately that for  $\phi \in GL(n)$  the support function of the image  $\phi K = \{\phi y : y \in K\}$  is given by (see [7])

$$h(\phi K, x) = h(K, \phi^t x), \tag{2.2}$$

Let  $d$  denote the Hausdorff metric on  $\mathcal{K}^n$ , i.e., for  $K, L \in \mathcal{K}^n$ ,

$$d(K, L) = \|h(K, \cdot) - h(L, \cdot)\|_\infty,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  denotes the sup-norm on the space of continuous functions  $C(S^{n-1})$ .

Let  $\Phi$  be the class of convex and strictly increasing functions  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that  $\varphi(0) = 0$ . We say that the sequence  $\{\varphi_i\}$ , where the  $\varphi_i \in \Phi$ , is such that  $\varphi_i \rightarrow \varphi_0 \in \Phi$  provided

$$|\varphi_i - \varphi_0|_I := \max_{t \in I} |\varphi_i(t) - \varphi_0(t)| \rightarrow 0,$$

for every compact interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

For  $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ ,  $r_K$  and  $R_K$  are defined by

$$r_K = \min_{u \in S^{n-1}} h(K, u), \quad R_K = \max_{u \in S^{n-1}} h(K, u). \tag{2.3}$$

### 2.2 Mixed volumes

If  $K_i \in \mathcal{K}^n$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ ) and  $\lambda_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$ ) are nonnegative real numbers, then of fundamental importance is the fact that the volume of  $\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i K_i$  is a homogeneous polynomial in  $\lambda_i$  given by (see e.g. [8])

$$V(\lambda_1 K_1 + \dots + \lambda_n K_n) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} \lambda_{i_1} \dots \lambda_{i_n} V_{i_1 \dots i_n}, \tag{2.4}$$

where the sum is taken over all  $n$ -tuples  $(i_1, \dots, i_n)$  of positive integers not exceeding  $r$ . The coefficient  $V_{i_1 \dots i_n}$  depends only on the bodies  $K_{i_1}, \dots, K_{i_n}$  and is uniquely determined by (2.4), it is called the mixed volume of  $K_{i_1}, \dots, K_{i_n}$ , and is written as  $V(K_{i_1}, \dots, K_{i_n})$ . The mixed volume  $V(K_1, \dots, K_n)$  has recently been given the following representation (see [14]):

$$V(K_1, \dots, K_n) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{V(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, K_n + \varepsilon \cdot K_n) - V(K_1, \dots, K_n)}{\varepsilon}. \tag{2.5}$$

This is very interesting that the mixed volume is such a limiting form.

Let  $K_1 = \dots = K_{n-i} = K$  and  $K_{n-i+1} = \dots = K_n = L$ , then the mixed volume  $V(K_1, \dots, K_n)$  is written as  $V_i(K, L)$ . When  $i = 1$ ,  $V_i(K, L)$  becomes the classical mixed volume  $V_1(K, L)$  of  $K$  and  $L$ , and

$$V_1(K, L) = \frac{1}{n} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{V(K + \varepsilon L) - V(K)}{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} h(L, u) dS(K, u). \tag{2.6}$$

A fundamental inequality for mixed volume  $V_1(K, L)$  is the following Minkowski inequality: for  $K, L \in \mathcal{K}^n$ ,

$$V_1(K, L)^n \geq V(K)^{n-1} V(L), \tag{2.7}$$

with equality if and only if  $K$  and  $L$  are homothetic.

Let  $K_1 = \dots = K_{n-i} = K$  and  $K_{n-i+1} = \dots = K_n = L$ , then the mixed volume  $V(K_1, \dots, K_n)$  is written as  $V_i(K, L)$ . If  $K_1 = \dots = K_{n-i} = K$ ,  $K_{n-i+1} = \dots = K_n = B$ , the mixed volumes  $V_i(K, B)$  is written as  $W_i(K)$  and called as quermassintegrals (or  $i$ th mixed quermassintegrals) of  $K$ . We write  $W_i(K, L)$  for the mixed volume  $V(K, \dots, \underbrace{K, B, \dots, B}_i, L)$  and call as mixed quermassintegrals. Aleksandrov [1] and Fenchel and Jessen [4]

(also see Busemann [3] and Schneider [13] have shown that for  $K \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ , and  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ , there exists

a regular Borel measure  $S_i(K, \cdot)$  on  $S^{n-1}$ , such that the mixed quermassintegrals  $W_i(K, L)$  has the following representation:

$$W_i(K, L) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} h(L, u) dS_i(K, u).$$

A fundamental inequality for mixed quermassintegrals states that: For  $K, L \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$  and  $0 \leq i < n - 1$ ,

$$W_i(K, L)^{n-i} \geq W_i(K)^{n-i-1} W_i(L), \tag{2.8}$$

with equality if and only if  $K$  and  $L$  are homothetic.

### 2.3 Mixed $p$ -quermassintegrals

Mixed quermassintegrals are, of course, the first variation of the ordinary quermassintegrals, with respect to Minkowski addition. The mixed quermassintegrals  $W_{p,0}(K, L), W_{p,1}(K, L), \dots, W_{p,n-1}(K, L)$ , as the first variation of the ordinary quermassintegrals, with respect to Firey addition: For  $K, L \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ , and real  $p \geq 1$ , defined by (see [6])

$$W_{p,i}(K, L) = \frac{p}{n-i} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{W_i(K +_p \varepsilon \cdot L) - W_i(K)}{\varepsilon}, \tag{2.9}$$

where  $+_p$  is the  $p$ -addition. The mixed  $p$ -quermassintegrals  $W_{p,i}(K, L)$ , for all  $K, L \in \mathcal{K}_{00}^n$ , has the following integral representation:

$$W_{p,i}(K, L) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} h(L, u)^p dS_{p,i}(K, u), \tag{2.10}$$

where  $S_{p,i}(K, \cdot)$  denotes a Borel measure on  $S^{n-1}$ . The measure  $S_{p,i}(K, \cdot)$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $S_i(K, \cdot)$ , and has Radon-Nikodym derivative (see [9])

$$\frac{dS_{p,i}(K, \cdot)}{dS_i(K, \cdot)} = h(K, \cdot)^{1-p}. \tag{2.11}$$

A fundamental inequality for mixed  $p$ -quermassintegrals states that: For  $K, L \in \mathcal{K}_0^n, p > 1$  and  $0 \leq i < n - 1$ ,

$$W_{p,i}(K, L)^{n-i} \geq W_i(K)^{n-i-p} W_i(L)^p, \tag{2.12}$$

with equality if and only if  $K$  and  $L$  are homothetic. Obviously, putting  $i = 0$  in (2.6), the mixed  $p$ -quermassintegrals  $W_{p,i}(K, L)$  become the well-known  $L_p$ -mixed volume  $V_p(K, L)$ , defined by (see e.g. [10])

$$V_p(K, L) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} h(L, u)^p dS_p(K, u). \tag{2.13}$$

### 2.4 Orlicz multiple mixed volumes

Let us introduce Orlicz multiple mixed volume  $(n + 1)$  convex bodies  $K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n$ .

**Definition 2.1** (see [14]) For  $\varphi \in \Phi$ , we define Orlicz multiple mixed volume of  $(n + 1)$  convex bodies  $K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n$ , denoted by  $V_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n)$ , as

$$V_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n) =: \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi \left( \frac{h(K_n, u)}{h(L_n, u)} \right) h(L_n, u) dS(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}; u), \tag{2.14}$$

for all  $K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ .

Apparently, when  $\varphi(t) = t^p$  and  $p \geq 1$ ,  $V_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n)$  becomes the  $L_p$  multiple mixed volume  $V_{\varphi_p}(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n)$  stated in the introduction.

A fundamental inequality for Orlicz multiple mixed volume states that:

**Orlicz-Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequality** (see [14]) If  $K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq n$  and  $\varphi \in \Phi$ , then

$$V_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n) \geq V(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n) \cdot \varphi \left( \frac{\prod_{i=1}^r V(K_i, \dots, K_i, K_{r+1}, \dots, K_n)^{\frac{1}{r}}}{V(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n)} \right). \tag{2.15}$$

Putting  $\varphi(t) = t^p$  and  $p \geq 1$  in (2.15), (2.15) becomes the  $L_p$ -Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequality (1.12) stated in the introduction.

### 3. The $\varphi$ -mixed volume

We first give the definition of  $\varphi$ -mixed volume of  $(n + 1)$  convex bodies  $K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n$ .

**Definition 3.1** Let  $K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n \in \mathcal{K}^n$  and  $\varphi \in \Phi$ , the  $\varphi$ -mixed volume of  $(n + 1)$  convex bodies  $K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n$ , is denoted by  $\bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n)$ , is defined by

$$\bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n) = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi \left( \frac{h(K_n, u)}{\lambda h(L_n, u)} \right) dV(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n; u) \leq 1 \right\}. \tag{3.1}$$

Since  $\varphi \in \Phi$ , it follows that the function:

$$\lambda \rightarrow \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi \left( \frac{h(K_n, u)}{\lambda h(L_n, u)} \right) dV(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n; u)$$

is also strictly decreasing in  $(0, \infty)$ . This yields that

**Lemma 3.2** If  $K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$  and  $\varphi \in \Phi$ , then

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi \left( \frac{h(K_n, u)}{\lambda_0 h(L_n, u)} \right) dV(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n; u) = 1$$

if and only if

$$\bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n) = \lambda_0.$$

When  $\lambda_0 = 1$ , the  $\varphi$ -mixed volume becomes the well known Orlicz-multiple mixed volume. This is very interesting.

**Lemma 3.3** If  $K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n, K'_n \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ , and  $\varphi \in \Phi$ , then

(i) For  $\gamma > 0$ ,

$$\bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, \gamma K_n, L_n) = \gamma \bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n).$$

(ii) For  $\gamma > 0$ ,

$$\bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, \gamma L_n) = \frac{1}{\gamma} \bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n).$$

(iii)

$$\bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, K_n + K'_n, L_n) \leq \bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n) + \bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, K'_n, L_n).$$

**Proof** First, for any  $\gamma > 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, \gamma K_n, L_n) &= \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi \left( \frac{h(\gamma K_n, u)}{\lambda h(L_n, u)} \right) dV(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n; u) \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \gamma \inf \left\{ \mu > 0 : \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi \left( \frac{h(K_n, u)}{\mu h(L_n, u)} \right) dV(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n; u) \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \gamma \bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mu = \frac{\lambda}{\gamma}$ .

Second, for any  $\gamma > 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, K_n, \gamma L_n) &= \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi \left( \frac{h(K_n, u)}{\lambda \gamma h(L_n, u)} \right) dV(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n; u) \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma} \inf \left\{ \delta > 0 : \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi \left( \frac{h(K_n, u)}{\delta h(L_n, u)} \right) dV(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n; u) \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\gamma} \bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta = \lambda \gamma$ .

Let  $\bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n) = \lambda_1$  and  $\bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, K'_n, L_n) = \lambda_2$ , then

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi \left( \frac{h(K_n, u)}{\lambda_1 h(L_n, u)} \right) dV(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n; u) = 1,$$

and

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi \left( \frac{h(K'_n, u)}{\lambda_2 h(L_n, u)} \right) dV(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}; u) = 1.$$

Combining the convexity of the function  $s \rightarrow \varphi(s/h(L_n, u))$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi \left( \frac{h(K_n, u)}{\lambda_1 h(L_n, u)} \right) dV(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}; u) \\ &+ \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi \left( \frac{h(K'_n, u)}{\lambda_2 h(L_n, u)} \right) dV(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}; u) \\ &\geq \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi \left( \frac{h(K_n, u) + h(K'_n, u)}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) h(L_n, u)} \right) dV(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}; u) \\ &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi \left( \frac{h(K_n + K'_n, u)}{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) h(L_n, u)} \right) dV(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}; u) \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, K_n + K'_n, L_n) &\leq \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \\ &= \bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n) + \bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, K'_n, L_n). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

In the following, we prove that the  $\varphi$ -mixed volume functional  $\bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n)$  is continuous.

**Lemma 3.4** *If  $K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ , and  $\varphi \in \Phi$ , then  $\varphi$ -mixed volume functional  $\bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n) : \underbrace{\mathcal{K}_0^n \times \dots \times \mathcal{K}_0^n}_{n+1} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is continuous with respect to the Hausdorff metric.*

**Proof** To see this, indeed, let  $K_{ij} \in \mathcal{S}^n$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , be such that  $K_{ij} \rightarrow K_{0j}$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  and  $L_{in} \rightarrow L_{0n}$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ . Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} &\bar{V}_\varphi(K_{i1}, \dots, K_{in}, L_{in}) \\ &= \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi \left( \frac{h(K_{in}, u)}{\lambda h(L_{in}, u)} \right) dV(K_{i1}, \dots, K_{i(n-1)}, L_{in}; u) \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{nV(K_{i1}, \dots, K_{i(n-1)}, L_{in})} \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi \left( \frac{h(K_{in}, u)}{\lambda h(L_{in}, u)} \right) h(L_{in}, u) dS(K_{i1}, \dots, K_{i(n-1)}; u) \leq 1 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Since the mixed area measures is weakly continuous, i.e.

$$dS(K_{i1}, \dots, K_{i(n-1)}; u) \rightarrow dS(K_{01}, \dots, K_{0(n-1)}; u) \text{ weakly on } S^{n-1}.$$

Since  $h(K_{in}, u) \rightarrow h(K_{0n}, u)$  and  $h(L_{in}, u) \rightarrow h(L_{0n}, u)$ , uniformly on  $S^{n-1}$ , and  $\varphi$  is continuous, implies that for any  $\lambda > 0$

$$\varphi\left(\frac{h(K_{in}, u)}{\lambda h(L_{in}, u)}\right) \rightarrow \varphi\left(\frac{h(K_{0n}, u)}{\lambda h(L_{0n}, u)}\right).$$

Further

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi\left(\frac{h(K_{in}, u)}{\lambda h(L_{in}, u)}\right) dV(K_{i1}, \dots, K_{i(n-1)}, L_{in}; u) \rightarrow \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi\left(\frac{h(K_{0n}, u)}{\lambda h(L_{0n}, u)}\right) dV(K_{01}, \dots, K_{0(n-1)}, L_{0n}; u).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \bar{V}_\varphi(K_{i1}, \dots, K_{in}, L_{in}) &= \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi\left(\frac{h(K_{0n}, u)}{\lambda h(L_{0n}, u)}\right) dV(K_{01}, \dots, K_{0(n-1)}, L_{0n}; u) \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \bar{V}_\varphi(K_{01}, \dots, K_{0n}, L_{0n}). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the  $\varphi$ -mixed volume  $\bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n)$  is continuous. □

**Lemma 3.5** *If  $K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ , and  $\varphi_i \in \Phi$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , then*

$$\varphi_i \rightarrow \varphi \in \Phi \Rightarrow \bar{V}_{\varphi_i}(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, K_n, L_n) \rightarrow \bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n).$$

**Proof** We note that  $\varphi_i \rightarrow \varphi \in \Phi$ , implies that

$$\varphi_i\left(\frac{h(K_n, u)}{\lambda h(L_n, u)}\right) \rightarrow \varphi\left(\frac{h(K_n, u)}{\lambda h(L_n, u)}\right) \in \Phi.$$

Further

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi_i\left(\frac{h(K_n, u)}{\lambda h(L_n, u)}\right) dV(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n; u) \rightarrow \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi\left(\frac{h(K_n, u)}{\lambda h(L_n, u)}\right) dV(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n; u).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \bar{V}_{\varphi_i}(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n) &= \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi\left(\frac{h(K_n, u)}{\lambda h(L_n, u)}\right) dV(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n; u) \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= \bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.6** *If  $K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ , and  $\varphi \in \Phi$ , then Orlicz mixed volume  $\bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n) : \underbrace{\mathcal{K}_o^n \times \dots \times \mathcal{K}_o^n}_{n+1} \rightarrow$  □*

$[0, \infty)$  is bounded.

**Proof** For  $\varphi \in \Phi$ , there must be a real number  $0 < c_\varphi < \infty$  such that  $\varphi(c_\varphi) = 1$ , and let

$$\bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n) = \lambda_0.$$



Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 &= \varphi(c_\varphi) \\
 &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi\left(\frac{h(K_n, u)}{\lambda_0 h(L_n, u)}\right) dV(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n; u) \\
 &\geq \varphi\left(\int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{h(L_n, u)}{\lambda_0 h(K_n, u)} dV(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n; u)\right) \\
 &\geq \varphi\left(\int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{r_{L_n}}{\lambda_0 R_{K_n}} dV(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n; u)\right) \\
 &= \varphi\left(\frac{r_{L_n}}{\lambda_0 R_{K_n}}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\varphi$  is monotone increasing on  $[0, \infty)$ , from this we obtain the lower bound,

$$\lambda_0 \geq \frac{r_{L_n}}{c_\varphi R_{K_n}}.$$

In a similar approach, we can obtain upper bound for  $h(\Pi_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, u))$ ,

$$\lambda_0 \leq \frac{R_{L_n}}{c_\varphi r_{K_n}}.$$

This completes the proof. □

We easily find that the  $\varphi$ -mixed volume  $\bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n)$  is invariant under simultaneous unimodular centro-affine transformation.

**Lemma 3.7** *If  $K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n \in \mathcal{K}_o^n$ ,  $\phi \in \text{SL}(n)$ , and  $\varphi \in \Phi$ , then*

$$\bar{V}_\varphi(\phi K_1, \dots, \phi K_n, \phi L_n) = \bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n). \tag{3.7}$$

**Proof** From (2.2) and (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{V}_\varphi(\phi K_1, \dots, \phi K_{n-1}, K_n, \phi L_n) &= \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{V(\phi K_1, \dots, \phi K_{n-1}, \phi L_n)} \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi\left(\frac{h(K_n, u)}{\lambda h(\phi L_n, u)}\right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times h(\phi L_n, u) dS(\phi K_1, \dots, \phi K_{n-1}; u) \leq 1 \right\} \\
 &= \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{V(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n)} \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi\left(\frac{h(K_n, u)}{\lambda h(L_n, \phi^t u)}\right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times h(L_n, \phi^t u) dS(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}; \phi^t u) \leq 1 \right\} \\
 &= \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{V(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n)} \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi\left(\frac{h(K_n, \phi^{-t} u)}{\lambda h(L_n, u)}\right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times h(L_n, u) dS(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}; u) \leq 1 \right\} \\
 &= \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{V(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n)} \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi\left(\frac{h(\phi^{-1} K_n, u)}{\lambda h(L_n, u)}\right) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times h(L_n, u) dS(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}; u) \leq 1 \right\} \\
 &= \bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, \phi^{-1} K_n, L_n).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\bar{V}_\varphi(\phi K_1, \dots, \phi K_n, \phi L_n) = \bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n).$$

This completes the proof. □

#### 4. Orlicz Alesandrov-Fenchel inequality for $\varphi$ -mixed volumes

**Theorem 4.1** (Orlicz Alesandrov-Fenchel inequality for  $\varphi$ -mixed volume) *If  $K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ ,  $1 \leq r < n$ ,  $\varphi \in \Phi$  and  $\varphi(c_\varphi) = 1$ , then*

$$\bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n) \geq \frac{1}{c_\varphi V(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n)} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r V(K_i, \dots, K_i, K_{r+1}, \dots, K_n)^{1/r}. \tag{4.1}$$

**Proof** For  $\varphi \in \Phi$ , let

$$\bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n) = \lambda. \tag{4.2}$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{nV(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n)} \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi\left(\frac{h(K_n, u)}{\lambda h(L_n, u)}\right) h(L_n, u) dS(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}; u) = 1.$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{nV(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n) \bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n)} \int_{S^{n-1}} \varphi\left(\frac{h(K_n, u)}{h(\lambda L_n, u)}\right) h(\lambda L_n, u) dS(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}; u) = 1. \tag{4.3}$$

From (3.1) and (4.3), we have

$$\bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n) = \frac{V_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, \lambda L_n)}{V(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n)}. \tag{4.4}$$

From (4.4) and by using the Orlicz-Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequality (2.15), we obtain

$$\bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n) \geq \frac{V(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, \lambda L_n)}{V(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n)} \cdot \varphi\left(\frac{\prod_{i=1}^r V(K_i, \dots, K_i, K_{r+1}, \dots, K_{n-1}, K_n)^{1/r}}{V(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, \lambda L_n)}\right).$$

For  $\varphi \in \Phi$ , there must be a real number  $0 < c_\varphi < \infty$  such that  $\varphi(c_\varphi) = 1$ , further

$$1 = \varphi(c_\varphi) \geq \varphi\left(\frac{\prod_{i=1}^r V(K_i, \dots, K_i, K_{r+1}, \dots, K_n)^{1/r}}{V(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, \lambda L_n)}\right).$$

In view of the monotonicity of the function  $\varphi$ , we have

$$\bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n) \geq \frac{1}{c_\varphi V(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n)} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r V(K_i, \dots, K_i, K_{r+1}, \dots, K_n)^{1/r}.$$

This completes the proof. □

As an application, we get the following Orlicz Brunn-Minkowski type inequality for  $\varphi$ -mixed volumes.

**Theorem 4.2** (Orlicz Brunn-Minkowski inequality for  $\varphi$ -mixed volumes) *If  $K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n, L_{n+1} \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ ,  $1 \leq r < n$ ,  $\varphi \in \Phi$  and  $\varphi(c_\varphi) = 1$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_{n+1}) + \bar{V}_\varphi(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n, L_{n+1}) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{c_\varphi V(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_{n+1})} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r V(K_i, \dots, K_i, K_{r+1}, \dots, K_{n-1}, K_n + L_n)^{1/r}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

**Proof** This follows immediately from Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 4.1  $\square$

**Corollary 4.3** ( $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequality for  $\varphi$ -mixed volumes) *If  $K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n, L_{n+1} \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ ,  $p \geq 1$ ,  $1 \leq r < n$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{V}_{\varphi_p}(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_{n+1}) + \bar{V}_{\varphi_p}(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n, L_{n+1}) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{V(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_{n+1})} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r V(K_i, \dots, K_i, K_{r+1}, \dots, K_{n-1}, K_n + L_n)^{1/r}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

**Proof** This follows immediately from Theorem 4.2 with  $\varphi = \varphi_p(t) = t^p$  and  $p \geq 1$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.4** (Brunn-Minkowski type inequality) *If  $K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n, L_{n+1} \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ ,  $1 \leq r < n$ , then*

$$V(K_1, \dots, K_n) + V(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n) \leq \prod_{i=1}^r V(K_i, \dots, K_i, K_{r+1}, \dots, K_{n-1}, K_n + L_n)^{1/r}. \quad (4.7)$$

**Proof** This follows immediately from Theorem 4.2 and (1.5).  $\square$

Apparently, in view of (2.7), (4.7) becomes the following classical Brunn-Minkowski inequality:  $K, L \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ , then

$$V(K + L)^{1/n} \geq V(K)^{1/n} + V(L)^{1/n},$$

with equality if and only if  $K$  and  $L$  are homothetic.

**Corollary 4.5** ( $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequality for  $L_p$ -multiple mixed volumes) *If  $K_1, \dots, K_n, L_n, L_{n+1} \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ ,  $p \geq 1$ ,  $1 \leq r < n$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} & V_{\varphi_p}(K_1, \dots, K_n, L_{n+1})^{1/p} + V_{\varphi_p}(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_n, L_{n+1})^{1/p} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{V(K_1, \dots, K_{n-1}, L_{n+1})^{(p-1)/p}} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r V(K_i, \dots, K_i, K_{r+1}, \dots, K_{n-1}, K_n + L_n)^{1/r}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

**Proof** This follows immediately from Corollary 4.3 and (1.6).  $\square$

#### Data availability

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

#### Acknowledgments

The author expresses his sincere thanks to the referee for his (her) many very valuable suggestions and comments.

#### References

- [1] A. D. Aleksandrov, *On the theory of mixed volumes. I. Extension of certain concepts in the theory of convex bodies*, Mat. Sb. (N. S.), **2** (1937), 947–972
- [2] Y. D. Burago, V. A. Zalgaller, *Geometric Inequalities*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
- [3] H. Busemann, *Convex surfaces*, Interscience, New York, 1958.
- [4] W. Fenchel, B. Jessen, *Mengenfunktionen und konvexe Körper*, Danske Vid. Selskab. Mat.-fys. Medd., **16** (1938), 1–31.
- [5] R. J. Gardner, *Geometric Tomography*, Cambridge University Press, second edition, New York, 2006.
- [6] E. Lutwak, *The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory I. mixed volumes and the Minkowski problem*, J. Diff. Geom., **38** (1993), 131–150.
- [7] E. Lutwak, *Centroid bodies and dual mixed volumes*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **60** (3) (1990), 365–391.
- [8] E. Lutwak, *Inequalities for mixed projection bodies*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **339** (2) (1993), 901–916.
- [9] E. Lutwak, *The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory. II. Affine and geominimal surface areas*, Adv. Math., **118** (1996), 244–294.
- [10] E. Lutwak, D. Yang, G. Zhang,  *$L_p$  affine isoperimetric inequalities*, J. Diff. Geom., **56** (2000), 111–132.
- [11] R. Schneider, *Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [12] R. Schneider, *On the Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequality*, Ann. New York Acad. Sci., **440** (1985), 132–141.
- [13] R. Schneider, *Boundary structure and curvature of convex bodies*, Contributions to Geometry, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1979, 13–59.
- [14] C.-J. Zhao, *Orlicz-Aleksandrov-Fenchel inequality for Orlicz multiple mixed volumes*, J. Func. Spaces, **2018** (2018), Article ID 9752178, 16 pages.