



On a modification of finite-dimensional Niemytzki spaces

Vitalij A. Chatyrko^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Linköping University, 581 83 Linköping, Sweden

Abstract. In this paper we extend the construction of the Niemytzki plane to dimension $n \geq 3$. Further, we consider a poset of topologies on the closed n -dimensional Euclidean half-space similar to one from [1] which is related to the Niemytzki plane topology. Then we explore properties of topologies from the poset.

1. Introduction

The Niemytzki plane (cf. [9, Example 82]) is a classical example of a topological space (like the square of the Sorgenfrey line (cf. [9, Example 84])) which is Tychonoff but not normal. Besides that the Niemytzki plane is a separable, first-countable, perfect, realcompact, Cech-complete space which is neither countably paracompact nor weakly paracompact (cf. [5]). Recently it was proved that the Niemytzki plane is even κ -metrizable [2].

In this paper we extend the construction of the Niemytzki plane to dimension $n \geq 3$. Further, we consider a poset of topologies on the closed n -dimensional Euclidean half-space similar to one from [1] which is related to the Niemytzki plane topology. Then we explore properties of topologies from the poset.

For standard notions we refer to [5].

2. Finite-dimensional Niemytzki spaces and their properties

We generalize to dimension $n \geq 3$ the construction of Niemytzki plane (cf. [9, Example 82]).

Construction 2.1. Consider subsets $P_n = \{\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_i \in \mathbb{R}, x_n > 0\}$ and $L_n = \{\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_i \in \mathbb{R}, x_n = 0\}$ of \mathbb{R}^n .

We generate a topology τ_N on $X_n = P_n \cup L_n$ as follows.

If $\bar{a} \in P_n$, then a local base of τ_N at \bar{a} consists of sets $B(\bar{a}, \epsilon) = \{\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\bar{x} - \bar{a}| < \epsilon\}$, where $|\bar{x} - \bar{a}| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - a_i)^2}$ and $0 < \epsilon < a_n$.

If $\bar{a} \in L_n$, then a local base of τ_N at \bar{a} consists of sets $\tilde{B}(\bar{a}, \epsilon) = \{\bar{a}\} \cup \overline{B(\bar{a}(\epsilon), \epsilon)}$, where $\bar{a}(\epsilon) = (a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, \epsilon)$ and $0 < \epsilon$.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 54A10; Secondary 54D15.

Keywords. Finite-dimensional Niemytzki space, perfect space, Lindelöf space; σ -compact space.

Received: 27 June 2024; Revised: 05 November 2024; Accepted: 12 November 2024

Communicated by Ljubiša D. R. Kočinac

Email address: vitalij.tjatyрко@liu.se (Vitalij A. Chatyrko)

ORCID iD: <https://orcid.org/0009-0005-3133-2774> (Vitalij A. Chatyrko)

Let us list some obvious properties of the finite-dimensional Niemytzki spaces.

Note that the space (X_n, τ_N) is first-countable, the restriction of the topology τ_N onto P_n (resp. L_n) coincides with the Euclidean topology on P_n (resp. with the discrete topology on L_n), the subset P_n (resp. L_n) is open and dense (resp. closed and nowhere dense) in the space (X_n, τ_N) . In particular, the space (X_n, τ_N) is separable and perfect.

Denote the Euclidean topology on X_n by τ_E . It is evident that $\tau_E \subseteq \tau_N$. So the space (X_n, τ_N) is completely Hausdorff.

It is easy to see that for any $\bar{a} \in L_n$ the boundary $\text{Bd}_N \tilde{B}(\bar{a}, \epsilon)$ of the set $\tilde{B}(\bar{a}, \epsilon)$ in the space (X_n, τ_N) is equal to $\text{Bd}_E B(\bar{a}(\epsilon), \epsilon) \setminus \{\bar{a}\}$, where $\text{Bd}_E B(\bar{a}(\epsilon), \epsilon)$ is the boundary of the set $B(\bar{a}(\epsilon), \epsilon)$ in the space (X_n, τ_E) .

Moreover, $B(\bar{a}(\epsilon), \epsilon)$ is the disjoint union of the sets $\text{Bd}_N \tilde{B}(\bar{a}, t\epsilon)$, where $0 < t < 1$. Note that for each $\bar{x} \in B(\bar{a}(\epsilon), \epsilon)$ the point \bar{x} belongs to the only set $\text{Bd}_N \tilde{B}(\bar{a}, t(\bar{x})\epsilon)$ with $t(\bar{x}) = (\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (x_i - a_i)^2 + x_n^2) / (2\epsilon x_n)$.

Proposition 2.2. *The space (X_n, τ_N) is Tychonoff.*

Proof. Since $\tau_E \subseteq \tau_N$, it is enough to show that for any $\bar{a} \in L_n$ and any $\tilde{B}(\bar{a}, \epsilon)$ there exists a continuous function $f : (X_n, \tau_N) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f(\bar{a}) = 0$ and $f|_{\text{Bd}_N \tilde{B}(\bar{a}, \epsilon)} = 1$. In fact, set $f(\bar{x}) = 1$ for any $\bar{x} \in X_n \setminus \tilde{B}(\bar{a}, \epsilon)$, $f(\bar{a}) = 0$ and $f(\bar{x}) = t(\bar{x})$ for any $\bar{x} \in B(\bar{a}(\epsilon), \epsilon)$. \square

It is easy to see that the set $X'_{m,n} = \{\bar{x} \in X_n : x_1 = \dots = x_{n-m} = 0\}$, where $2 \leq m < n$, is a closed subset of (X_n, τ_N) , and the subspace $X'_{m,n}$ of (X_n, τ_N) is homeomorphic to the space (X_m, τ_N) . Since the space (X_2, τ_N) is the Niemytzki plane, the space (X_n, τ_N) is in particular neither normal, countably paracompact nor weakly paracompact.

3. Topologies between the Euclidean and finite-dimensional Niemytzki

In [1] Abuzaïd, Alqahtani and Kalantan suggested by the use of technique from [7] a poset \mathcal{T} of topologies on the set X_2 such that the minimal topology is τ_E and the maximal topology is τ_N . We will extend the construction to the sets $X_n, n \geq 3$.

Construction 3.1. Let A be a subset of L_n . We generate a topology $\tau(A)$ on $X_n = P_n \cup L_n$ as follows.

If $\bar{a} \in P_n$, then a local base of $\tau(A)$ at \bar{a} consists of sets $B(\bar{a}, \epsilon)$, where $0 < \epsilon < a_n$.

If $\bar{a} \in A$, then a local base of $\tau(A)$ at \bar{a} consists of sets $B(\bar{a}, \epsilon) \cap X_n$, where $0 < \epsilon$.

If $\bar{a} \in L_n \setminus A$, then a local base of $\tau(A)$ at \bar{a} consists of sets $\tilde{B}(\bar{a}, \epsilon)$, where $0 < \epsilon$.

It is evident that $\tau_E = \tau(L_n) \subseteq \tau(A) \subseteq \tau(\emptyset) = \tau_N$. Note also that for any $A, B \subseteq L_n$ we have $A \subseteq B$ if and only if $\tau(A) \supseteq \tau(B)$.

Note that the space $(X_n, \tau(A))$ is first-countable and separable, the restriction of the topology $\tau(A)$ onto $P_n \cup A$ (resp. $L_n \setminus A$) coincides with the Euclidean topology on $P_n \cup A$ (resp. with the discrete topology on $L_n \setminus A$), the subset P_n (resp. L_n) is open and dense (resp. closed, even a zero set, and nowhere dense) in the space $(X_n, \tau(A))$.

Similarly to (X_n, τ_N) , one can prove that the space $(X_n, \tau(A))$ is Tychonoff.

Proposition 3.2. (for $n = 2$ see [1, Theorem 2.3]) *The following are equivalent.*

- (1) *The space $(X_n, \tau(A))$ is hereditarily Lindelöf.*
- (2) $|L_n \setminus A| \leq \aleph_0$.
- (3) *The space $(X_n, \tau(A))$ is second-countable.*
- (4) *The space $(X_n, \tau(A))$ is metrizable.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Since the space $(L_n \setminus A, \tau(A)|_{L_n \setminus A})$ is discrete, $|L_n \setminus A| \leq \aleph_0$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Let $L_n \setminus A = \{\overline{b_1}, \overline{b_2}, \dots\}$, \mathcal{B} be a countable base for the space (X_n, τ_E) and \mathcal{B}_i be a countable local base of the space $(X_n, \tau(A))$ at the point $\overline{b_i}, i = 1, 2, \dots$. Then the family $\mathcal{B} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_i$ is a countable base for the space $(X_n, \tau(A))$.

(4) \Rightarrow (1). Since the space $(X_n, \tau(A))$ is separable, it is second-countable and hence hereditarily Lindelöf. \square

Let $\overline{a} \in L_n \setminus A$. It is easy to see that any sequence of points $\{\overline{x_i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ in $\text{Bd}_E B(\overline{a}(\epsilon), \epsilon) \setminus \{\overline{a}\}$ converging to \overline{a} in the Euclidean topology τ_E is discrete in the space $(X_n, \tau(A))$. This implies the following proposition.

Proposition 3.3. (for $n = 2$ see [1, Theorem 2.6]) *The space $(X_n, \tau(A))$ is locally compact if and only if $A = L_n$ i. e. $\tau(A) = \tau_E$.*

4. Some other properties of the spaces $(X_n, \tau(A))$

The following proposition is evident.

Proposition 4.1. *Let A be any subset of L_n . Then $(X_n, \tau(A))$ is perfect (resp. Lindelöf or σ -compact) if and only if $(L_n, \tau(A)|_{L_n})$ is the same.*

Let us observe that the topology $\tau(A)|_{L_n}$ can be considered as a modification of the Euclidean topology on the set L_n in the sense of Bing [3] and Hanner [6], see [5, Example 5.1.22] for the general construction.

Note also that the space $(L_n, (\tau_E)|_{L_n})$ is homeomorphic to the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , $(\tau_E)|_{L_n} \subseteq \tau(A)|_{L_n}$, $(\tau_E)|_A = \tau(A)|_A$, the set A is closed in the space $(L_n, \tau(A)|_{L_n})$ and the subspace $L_n \setminus A$ of $(L_n, \tau(A)|_{L_n})$ is discrete.

So from [5, Problem 5.5.2 (b)] we get that the space $(L_n, \tau(A)|_{L_n})$ is hereditarily collectionwise normal.

By [5, Problem 5.5.2 (c)] we easily obtain

Lemma 4.2. *The space $(L_n, \tau(A)|_{L_n})$ is perfect if and only if A is a G_δ -set in $(L_n, (\tau_E)|_{L_n})$.*

Lemma 4.3. *The space $(L_n, \tau(A)|_{L_n})$ is Lindelöf if and only if $L_n \setminus A$ does not contain a closed uncountable subset of $(L_n, (\tau_E)|_{L_n})$.*

Proof. \Rightarrow Let us note that any closed subset Y of $(L_n, (\tau_E)|_{L_n})$ such that $Y \subset L_n \setminus A$ is a closed discrete subset of $(L_n, \tau(A)|_{L_n})$. Hence, $|Y| \leq \aleph_0$.

\Leftarrow Let α be an open cover of the space $(L_n, \tau(A)|_{L_n})$. Since $\tau(A)|_A = (\tau_E)|_A$, there exists a countable subfamily α_1 of α such that $A \subseteq \bigcup \alpha_1$. Moreover, by the definition of $\tau(A)$ there is an open set O of (L_n, τ_E) such that $A \subseteq O \subseteq \bigcup \alpha_1$. Let us note that $B = L_n \setminus O \subseteq L_n \setminus A$ is a closed subset of (L_n, τ_E) . By assumption B is countable. So there exists a countable subfamily α_2 of α such that $B \subseteq \bigcup \alpha_2$. Let us observe that the subfamily $\alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2$ of α is countable and it covers L_n . \square

Lemma 4.4. *The space $(L_n, \tau(A)|_{L_n})$ is σ -compact if and only if A is a F_σ -set in $(L_n, (\tau_E)|_{L_n})$ and $|L_n \setminus A| \leq \aleph_0$.*

Proof. \Rightarrow Since A is closed in $(L_n, \tau(A)|_{L_n})$, A is σ -compact in $(L_n, \tau(A)|_{L_n})$ and hence in $(L_n, \tau(E)|_{L_n})$. So A is a F_σ -set in $(L_n, (\tau_E)|_{L_n})$. Further, $L_n \setminus A$ is a G_δ -set in $(L_n, (\tau_E)|_{L_n})$ which is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . If $L_n \setminus A$ is uncountable, then there is an uncountable compact subset Y of $(L_n, (\tau_E)|_{L_n})$ such that $Y \subset L_n \setminus A$. Let us note that Y is a closed discrete uncountable subset of $(L_n, \tau(A)|_{L_n})$. Since the space $(L_n, \tau(A)|_{L_n})$ is σ -compact it is impossible. So $|L_n \setminus A| \leq \aleph_0$.

\Leftarrow It is trivial. \square

Proposition 4.1 and Corollories 4.2-4.4 imply

Theorem 4.5. *Let A be any subset of L_n . Then $(X_n, \tau(A))$ is perfect (resp. Lindelöf or σ -compact) if and only if A is a G_δ -set in $(L_n, (\tau_E)|_{L_n})$ (resp. $L_n \setminus A$ does not contain a closed uncountable subset of $(L_n, (\tau_E)|_{L_n})$ or A is a F_σ -set in $(L_n, (\tau_E)|_{L_n})$ and $|L_n \setminus A| \leq \aleph_0$).*

Corollary 4.6. *Let $B \subseteq L_n \setminus A$ be a closed uncountable subset of $(L_n, (\tau_E)|_{L_n})$, for example, B is homeomorphic to the Cantor set. Then the space $(X_n, \tau(A))$ is not Lindelöf.*

Remark 4.7. Since any Cantor set in \mathbb{R}^n is nowhere dense in \mathbb{R}^n , Corollary 4.6 (the case $n = 2$) evidently disagrees with [1, Theorem 2.8 and Theorem 2.9]. Indeed, the fourth sentence of the proof [1, Theorem 2.8] is not correct. Roughly speaking it implies that any open dense subset of the real line must coincide with the real line.

Corollary 4.8. *If $(X_n, \tau(A))$ is σ -compact, then $(X_n, \tau(A))$ is second-countable.*

Corollary 4.9. *Let M be any countable dense subset of $(L_n, (\tau_E)|_{L_n})$. Then*

- (1) $(X_n, \tau(M))$ is neither perfect nor Lindelöf,
- (1) $(X_n, \tau(L_n \setminus M))$ is second-countable but it is not σ -compact.

Corollary 4.10. *Let A be any uncountable compact subset of $(L_n, (\tau_E)|_{L_n})$. Then $(X_n, \tau(A))$ (as well as $(X_n, \tau(L_n \setminus A))$) is perfect but it is not Lindelöf.*

A subset A of the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n we will call a *Bernstein set* (cf. [8, p. 24] for the case $n = 1$) if both A and $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus A$ intersect every uncountable compact subspace F of \mathbb{R}^n . It is easy to see that if A is a Bernstein set of \mathbb{R}^n , then $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus A$ is also a Bernstein set of \mathbb{R}^n . Moreover, the Bernstein sets are of the cardinality continuum and they do not contain uncountable compacta. It implies, in particular, that any Bernstein set in \mathbb{R}^n is neither a G_δ -set nor an F_σ -set in \mathbb{R}^n .

Corollary 4.11. *Let A be a Bernstein set of the space $(L_n, (\tau_E)|_{L_n})$. Then the space $(X_n, \tau(A))$ is Lindelöf but it is not perfect.*

Recall (cf. [4, p. 65]) that a subset Y of a space X is called

- (1) C^* -embedded in X if every bounded continuous function on Y can be extended to a bounded continuous function on X ,
- (2) z -embedded in X if every zero set of Y is the trace on Y of some zero set of X .

Let us recall that any closed subset Y of a normal space X is C^* -embedded in X , and if a subset Y of a space X is C^* -embedded in X , then Y is z -embedded in X .

Lemma 4.12. *Let Y be a discrete subspace of cardinality continuum of a separable space X . Then Y is not z -embedded in X .*

Proof. Let X be a separable space, Y be its discrete subspace of cardinality \mathfrak{c} , \mathcal{F} be the family of all continuous functions on the space X and Z_X be the family of all zero sets on X . Since X is separable, the cardinality of \mathcal{F} is at most \mathfrak{c} and hence the cardinality of Z_X is also at most \mathfrak{c} . Let Z_Y be the family of all zero sets on Y . It is easy to see that the cardinality of Z_Y is at least $2^{\mathfrak{c}} > \mathfrak{c}$. So Y is not z -embedded in X . \square

The following corollary is evident.

Corollary 4.13. *No separable normal space contains a closed discrete subspace of cardinality continuum.*

Recall ([5, Exercise 5.2. C (b)]) that no separable countably paracompact space contains a closed discrete subspace of cardinality continuum.

Proposition 4.14. *Let $B \subseteq L_n \setminus A$ be a closed uncountable subset of $(L_n, (\tau_E)|_{L_n})$. Then the space $(X_n, \tau(A))$ is neither normal nor countably paracompact.*

Proof. Since $(\tau_E)|_{L_n} \subseteq \tau(A)|_{L_n}$, the set B is a closed discrete subset of $(L_n, \tau(A)|_{L_n})$ (and even $(X_n, \tau(A))$) of cardinality continuum. Since the space $(X_n, \tau(A))$ is separable, it is neither normal nor countably paracompact. \square

Corollary 4.15. *If the space $(X_n, \tau(A))$ is normal (or countably paracompact), then $L_n \setminus A$ does not contain a closed uncountable subset of $(L_n, (\tau_E)|_{L_n})$.*

Theorem 4.16. *The following are equivalent.*

- (1) *The space $(X_n, \tau(A))$ is Lindelöf.*
- (2) *The space $(X_n, \tau(A))$ is paracompact.*
- (3) *The space $(X_n, \tau(A))$ is countably paracompact.*
- (4) *The space $(X_n, \tau(A))$ is normal.*
- (5) *The set $L_n \setminus A$ does not contain a closed uncountable subset $(L_n, (\tau_E)|_{L_n})$.*

Proof. Let us only note that (3) (or (4)) \Rightarrow (5) (by Corollary 4.15). (5) \Rightarrow (1) (by Theorem 4.5). \square

The following lemma is evident.

Lemma 4.17. *Let X be a space and $Z \subseteq Y \subseteq X$. If Z is z -embedded in Y and Y is z -embedded in X , then Z is z -embedded in X .*

Proposition 4.18. (1) *Let B be a subset of $L_n \setminus A$ of cardinality continuum. Then B is not z -embedded in $(X_n, \tau(A))$.*

- (2) *Let $B \subseteq L_n \setminus A$ be a closed uncountable subset of $(L_n, (\tau_E)|_{L_n})$, for example, B is homeomorphic to the Cantor set. Then the subset L_n of $(X_n, \tau(A))$ is not z -embedded in $(X_n, \tau(A))$.*

Proof. (1) Observe that the subspace $(B, \tau(A)|_B)$ of the space $(X_n, \tau(A))$ is discrete and has the cardinality continuum. Since $(X_n, \tau(A))$ is separable, by Lemma 4.12 the set B is not z -embedded in $(X_n, \tau(A))$.

(2) Note that B is a closed discrete subset of the space $(L_n, \tau(A)|_{L_n})$ (and also $(X_n, \tau(A))$) of cardinality continuum. Hence by (1) the set B is not z -embedded in $(X_n, \tau(A))$. Recall that the space $(L_n, \tau(A)|_{L_n})$ is normal. So the set B is z -embedded in $(L_n, \tau(A)|_{L_n})$. If we assume that the closed subset L_n of $(X_n, \tau(A))$ is z -embedded in $(X_n, \tau(A))$ we will get a contradiction with Lemma 4.17. \square

Corollary 4.19. *If the subset L_n of $(X_n, \tau(A))$ is z -embedded in $(X_n, \tau(A))$, then the set $L_n \setminus A$ does not contain a closed uncountable subset of $(L_n, (\tau_E)|_{L_n})$,*

Theorem 4.20. *The following are equivalent.*

- (1) *The space $(X_n, \tau(A))$ is normal.*
- (2) *The subset L_n of $(X_n, \tau(A))$ is C^* -embedded in $(X_n, \tau(A))$.*
- (3) *The subset L_n of $(X_n, \tau(A))$ is z -embedded in $(X_n, \tau(A))$.*

Proof. (3) \Rightarrow (1) (by Corollary 4.19 and Theorem 4.16). \square

Remark 4.21. For any subset A of L_n we have

$$\text{ind}(L_n, \tau(A)|_{L_n}) = \text{Ind}(L_n, \tau(A)|_{L_n}) = \dim(L_n, \tau(A)|_{L_n}) = \dim A, \text{ and}$$

$$\text{ind}(X_n, \tau(A)) = \text{Ind}(X_n, \tau(A)) = \dim(X_n, \tau(A)) = n \text{ for any } A \text{ for which the space } (X_n, \tau(A)) \text{ is normal.}$$

References

- [1] D. Abuzaid, M. Alqahtani, L. Kalantan, *New topologies between the usual and Niemytzki*, Appl. Gen. Topol. **21** (2020), 71–76.
- [2] W. Bielas, A. Kucharski, S. Plewik, *The Niemytzki plane is κ -metrizable*, Math. Bohem. **146** (2021), 457–469.
- [3] R. H. Bing, *Metrization of topological spaces*, Canad. J. Math. **3** (1951), 175–186
- [4] M. G. Charalambous, *Dimension Theory: A Selection of Theorems and Counterexamples*, Springer International, Cham, 2019
- [5] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [6] O. Hanner, *Solid spaces and absolute retracts*, Ark. Mat. **1** (1951), 375–382
- [7] Y. Hattori, *Order and topological structures of poset of the formal balls on metric spaces*, Mem. Fac. Sci. Eng. Shimane Univ. Ser. B Math. Sci., **43** (2010) 13–26.
- [8] J. C. Oxtoby, *Measure and category*, Springer-Verlag, New York Helderberg Berlin, 1971
- [9] L. A. Steen, J. A. Seebach, Jr. *Counterexamples in topology*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978