Filomat 39:5 (2025), 1697–1707 https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2505697A



Published by Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, Serbia Available at: http://www.pmf.ni.ac.rs/filomat

Wiener-type invariant conditions for *k*-leaf-connected graphs

Guoyan Ao^{a,c}, Guizhi Zhang^{b,c,*}

^aSchool of Mathematics and Physics, Hulunbuir University, Hailar, Inner Mongolia 021008, China ^bAcademic Affairs Office, Hulunbuir University, Hailar, Inner Mongolia 021008, China ^cCenter for Information and Computing Science, Hulunbuir University, Hailar, Inner Mongolia 021008, China

Abstract. For any integer $k \ge 2$, a graph *G* is called *k*-leaf-connected if $|V(G)| \ge k + 1$ and given any subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ with |S| = k, *G* always has a spanning tree *T* such that *S* is precisely the set of leaves of *T*. Obviously, a graph is 2-leaf-connected if and only if it is Hamilton-connected. The Wiener-type invariant of a connected graph *G* are defined as $W_f = \sum_{u,v \in V(G)} f(d_G(u, v))$, where f(x) is a nonnegative function on the distance $d_G(u, v)$. In this paper, we present best possible Wiener-type invariant conditions to guarantee a graph to be *k*-leaf-connected, which not only improves the result of Ao et al. (2023), but also extends the result of Zhou et al. (2019). As applications, sufficient conditions for a graph to be *k*-leaf-connected in terms of the distance (distance signless Laplacian) spectral radius of *G* are also obtained.

1. Introduction

Let *G* be a simple, undirected and connected graphs with vertex set *V*(*G*) and edge set *E*(*G*). The order and size of *G* are denoted by |V(G)| = n and |E(G)|, respectively. For any vertex $v \in V(G)$, we denote by $d_G(v)$ the degree of vertex v in *G*, and by $(d_1, d_2, ..., d_n)$ the degree sequence of *G* with $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq ... \leq d_n$. We use $\delta(G)$ (or δ) to denote the minimum degree of *G*. For any $u, v \in V(G)$, let $d_G(u, v)$ be the distance between vertices u and v in *G*. We denote by $\omega(G)$ (or ω) the clique number of *G*. Let G_1 and G_2 be two vertex-disjoint graphs. We use $G_1 + G_2$ to denote the disjoint union of G_1 and G_2 . The join $G_1 \vee G_2$ is the graph obtained from $G_1 + G_2$ by adding all possible edges between them.

Fix an integer $l \ge 0$, the *l*-closure of a graph *G* is the graph obtained from *G* by successively joining pairs of nonadjacent vertices whose degree sum is at least *l* until no such pair exists. Denote by $C_l(G)$ the *l*-closure of *G*. Then we have

$$d_{C_l(G)}(u) + d_{C_l(G)}(v) \le l - 1$$

for every pair of nonadjacent vertices u and v of $C_l(G)$.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 05C50; Secondary 05C35.

Received: 02 August 2024; Accepted: 02 January 2025

* Corresponding author: Guizhi Zhang

Keywords. k-leaf-connected, Wiener-type invariant, distance spectral radius, distance signless Laplacian spectral radius.

Communicated by Paola Bonacini

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12261032), Natural Science Foundation of Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region (Nos. 2024QN01020 and 2024JQ15), Research Program of Science at Universities of Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region (Nos. NJZY22280 and NJZY23050) and Research Program of Science at Hulunbuir University (Nos. 2023JCZX04 and 2023JCZX08).

Email addresses: aoguoyan@163.com (Guoyan Ao), zgz_hlbr@163.com (Guizhi Zhang)

ORCID iDs: https://orcid.org/0009-0009-4662-0612 (Guoyan Ao), https://orcid.org/0009-0006-0122-7276 (Guizhi Zhang)

A graph *G* is called Hamilton-connected if every two vertices of *G* are connected by a Hamiltonian path. For any integer $k \ge 2$, a graph *G* is called *k*-leaf-connected if $|V(G)| \ge k + 1$ and given any subset $S \subseteq V(G)$ with |S| = k, *G* always has a spanning tree *T* such that *S* is precisely the set of leaves of *T*. Obviously, a graph is 2-leaf-connected if and only if it is Hamilton-connected.

The Wiener-type invariant of a connected graph G are defined as

$$W_f(G) = \sum_{u,v \in V(G)} f(d_G(u,v)),$$

where f(x) is a nonnegative function on the distance $d_G(u, v)$. When f(x) = x, $W_x = W(G) = \sum_{u,v \in V(G)} d_G(u, v)$ is the Wiener index [22]. When $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$, $W_{\frac{1}{x}} = H(G) = \sum_{u,v \in V(G)} \frac{1}{d_G(u,v)}$ is the Harary index [14]. When $f(x) = \frac{x^2+x}{2}$, $W_{\frac{x^2+x}{2}} = HW(G) = \sum_{u,v \in V(G)} \frac{d_G^2(u,v)+d_G(u,v)}{2}$ is the hyper-Wiener index [19]. When $f(x) = x^{\lambda}$, $W_{x^{\lambda}} = MW(G) = \sum_{u,v \in V(G)} d_G^{\lambda}(u,v)$ is the modified Wiener index [8], where $\lambda \neq 0$ is a real number.

The distance matrix $D(G) = (d_{ij})_{n \times n}$ of *G* is the matrix with (i, j)-entry $d_{ij} = d_G(v_i, v_j)$. For any vertex $v \in V(G)$, the transmission of *v*, denoted by Tr(v), is the sum of distances from *v* to all the other vertices of *G*, i.e., $Tr(v) = \sum_{u \in V(G)} d_G(u, v)$. Let Tr(G) be the diagonal matrix of the vertex transmissions in *G*, and let QD(G) = Tr(G) + D(G) be the distance signless Laplacian matrix of *G*. The largest eigenvalue of D(G) and QD(G), denoted by $\rho_D(G)$ and $\rho_Q(G)$, are called the distance spectral radius of *G* and the distance signless Laplacian spectral radius of *G*, respectively.

Gurgel and Wakabayashi [11] first proved the following sufficient degree sequence condition for a graph to be *k*-leaf-connected.

Theorem 1.1 (Gurgel and Wakabayashi [11]). Let k and n be two integers such that $2 \le k \le n - 3$. Let G be a graph with degree sequence $d_1 \le d_2 \le \cdots \le d_n$. Suppose there is no integer i with $k \le i \le \frac{n+k-2}{2}$ such that $d_{i-k+1} \le i$ and $d_{n-i} \le n - i + k - 2$. Then G is k-leaf-connected.

In the same paper, they also proposed sufficient conditions based on the minimum degree, the degree sum and the size to assure a graph to be *k*-leaf-connected. Egawa et al. [7] improved the degree sum condition of Gurgel and Wakabayashi [11]. Ao et al. [1] presented a new sufficient condition based on the size for a graph to be *k*-leaf-connected. Subsequently, Wu et al. [21] proved a sufficient condition for a graph to be *k*-leaf-connected in terms of the number of *r*-cliques, which generalized the result of Ao et al. [1]. For a graph to be *k*-leaf-connected, one can refer to [3–5, 17, 18, 20, 23].

Let $\mathbf{NLC}_n = \{K_3 \lor (K_{n-5} + 2K_1), K_6 \lor 6K_1, K_5 \lor 5K_1, K_4 \lor (K_{1,4} + K_1), K_3 \lor K_{2,5}, K_4 \lor (K_2 + 3K_1), K_4 \lor 4K_1, K_3 \lor (K_{1,3} + K_1), K_2 \lor K_{2,4}\}$. Ao et al. [2] and Zhou et al. [25] presented the following sufficient conditions for a connected graph to be *k*-leaf-connected and Hamilton-connected in terms of the Wiener-type invariant of *G*, respectively.

Theorem 1.2 (Ao et al. [2] and Zhou et al. [25]). *Let G be a connected graph of order n and minimum degree* $\delta \ge k + 1$, where $2 \le k \le n - 4$. Each of the following holds.

(i) If $W_f(G) \leq \frac{f(1)}{2}n^2 + [2f(2) - \frac{5}{2}f(1)]n - (2k+5)[f(2) - f(1)]$ for a monotonically increasing function f(x) on $x \in [1, n-1]$, then G is k-leaf-connected unless $G \in \mathbf{NLC}_n$.

(ii) If $W_f(G) \ge \frac{f(1)}{2}n^2 - [\frac{5}{2}f(1) - 2f(2)]n + (2k+5)[f(1) - f(2)]$ for a monotonically decreasing function f(x) on $x \in [1, n-1]$, then G is k-leaf-connected unless $G \in \mathbf{NLC}_n$.

The following sufficient conditions for a graph to be *k*-leaf-connected in terms of the Wiener-type invariant of *G* in this paper extends and improves the result of Theorem 1.2.

Theorem 1.3. *Let G be a connected graph of order n and minimum degree* $\delta \ge k + 1$ *, where* $n \ge k + 17$ *and* $k \ge 2$ *. Each of the following holds.*

(i) If $W_f(G) \leq \frac{f(1)}{2}n^2 + [3f(2) - \frac{7}{2}f(1)]n - (3k + 11)[f(2) - f(1)]$ for a monotonically increasing function f(x) on $x \in [1, n-1]$, then G is k-leaf-connected unless $C_{n+k-1}(G) \in \{K_k \vee (K_{n-k-2} + K_2), K_3 \vee (K_{n-5} + 2K_1), K_4 \vee (K_{n-7} + 3K_1)\}$. (ii) If $W_f(G) \geq \frac{f(1)}{2}n^2 - [\frac{7}{2}f(1) - 3f(2)]n + (3k + 11)[f(1) - f(2)]$ for a monotonically decreasing function f(x) on $x \in [1, n-1]$, then G is k-leaf-connected unless $C_{n+k-1}(G) \in \{K_k \vee (K_{n-k-2} + K_2), K_3 \vee (K_{n-5} + 2K_1), K_4 \vee (K_{n-7} + 3K_1)\}$. When we choose f(x) = x, $\frac{1}{x}$, $\frac{x^2+x}{2}$ and x^{λ} ($\lambda \neq 0$) in Theorem 1.3, we immediately deduce the following corollary directly.

Corollary 1.4. *Let G be a connected graph of order n and minimum degree* $\delta \ge k + 1$ *, where* $n \ge k + 17$ *and* $k \ge 2$ *. If one of the following holds,*

 $\begin{array}{l} (i) \ W(G) \leq \frac{1}{2}n^2 + \frac{5}{2}n - 3k - 11, \\ (ii) \ H(G) \geq \frac{1}{2}n^2 - \frac{5}{2}n + \frac{3}{2}k + \frac{11}{2}, \\ (iii) \ HW(G) \leq \frac{1}{2}n^2 + \frac{11}{2}n - 6k - 22, \\ (iv) \ MW(G) \leq \frac{1}{2}n^2 + (3 \cdot 2^{\lambda} - \frac{7}{2})n - (3k + 11)(2^{\lambda} - 1) \ for \ \lambda > 0, \\ (v) \ MW(G) \geq \frac{1}{2}n^2 + (\frac{7}{2} - 3 \cdot 2^{\lambda})n + (3k + 11)(1 - 2^{\lambda}) \ for \ \lambda < 0, \\ then \ G \ is \ k-leaf-connected \ unless \ C_{n+k-1}(G) \in \{K_k \lor (K_{n-k-2} + K_2), K_3 \lor (K_{n-5} + 2K_1), K_4 \lor (K_{n-7} + 3K_1)\}. \end{array}$

By setting k = 2 in Corollary 1.4, our result improves the corresponding results on Hamilton-connected graphs [15, 25].

Determining whether a given graph is *k*-leaf-connected is NP-complete. However, the problem of computation of eigenvalues of graphs is solvable in polynomial time, and hence it is very important and interesting to put forward spectral sufficient condition for a graph to be *k*-leaf-connected. As applications of our Corollary 1.4, sufficient conditions for a graph to be *k*-leaf-connected in terms of the distance (distance signless Laplacian) spectral radius of *G* are also obtained.

2. Preliminary lemmas

We first present a preliminary result about the relationship between the distance (distance signless Laplacian) spectral radius of a graph and its spanning graph, which is a corollary of the Perron-Frobenius theorem.

Lemma 2.1 (Godsil [9], Minc [16]). Let G be a connected graph with two nonadjacent vertices $u, v \in V(G)$. Then

$$\rho_D(G + uv) < \rho_D(G)$$
 and $\rho_O(G + uv) < \rho_O(G)$.

Let *M* be the following $n \times n$ matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} M_{1,1} & M_{1,2} & \cdots & M_{1,m} \\ M_{2,1} & M_{2,2} & \cdots & M_{2,m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ M_{m,1} & M_{m,2} & \cdots & M_{m,m} \end{pmatrix},$$

whose rows and columns are partitioned into subsets $X_1, X_2, ..., X_m$ of $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$. The quotient matrix R(M) of the matrix M (with respect to the given partition) is the $m \times m$ matrix whose entries are the average row sums of the blocks $M_{i,j}$ of M. The partition is equitable if each block $M_{i,j}$ of M has constant row sum.

Lemma 2.2 (Brouwer and Haemers [6], Godsil and Royle [10], Haemers [12]). Let M be a real symmetric matrix, and R(M) be its equitable quotient matrix. Then the eigenvalues of the quotient matrix R(M) are eigenvalues of M. Furthermore, if M is a nonnegative and irreducible, then the spectral radius of the quotient matrix R(M) equals to the spectral radius of M.

Lemma 2.3 (Indual [13]). Let G be a connected graph on n vertices. Then

$$\rho_D(G) \ge \frac{2W(G)}{n}$$

with equality if and only if the row sums of D(G) are all equal.

Lemma 2.4 (Xing, Zhou and Li [24]). Let G be a connected graph on n vertices. Then

$$\rho_Q(G) \ge \frac{4W(G)}{n},$$

with equality if and only if the row sums of QD(G) are all equal.

3. Proofs of Theorem 1.3

In this section we prove Theorem 1.3 by using the following closure theory.

Lemma 3.1 (Gurgel and Wakabayashi [11]). *Let G be a graph and k be an integer with* $2 \le k \le n - 1$ *. Then G is k-leaf-connected if and only if the* (n + k - 1)*-closure* $C_{n+k-1}(G)$ *of G is k-leaf-connected.*

Proof of Theorem 1.3. (i) Let f(x) be a monotonically increasing function on $x \in [1, n - 1]$, and let *G* be not *k*-leaf-connected graph, where $n \ge k + 17$, $\delta \ge k + 1$ and $k \ge 2$. Let $H = C_{n+k-1}(G)$. By Lemma 3.1, *H* is not *k*-leaf-connected. Note that $G \subseteq H$. It is easy to see that $W_f(H) \le W_f(G)$. By the assumption of Theorem 1.3, we have

$$W_f(H) \le \frac{f(1)}{2}n^2 + [3f(2) - \frac{7}{2}f(1)]n - (3k+11)[f(2) - f(1)].$$

Let $(d_1, d_2, ..., d_n)$ be the degree sequence of H with $d_1 \le d_2 \le \cdots \le d_n$. By Theorem 1.1, there exists an integer i with $k \le i \le \frac{n+k-2}{2}$ such that $d_{i-k+1} \le i$ and $d_{n-i} \le n-i+k-2$. Then

$$\begin{split} W_f(H) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n f(d_H(v_i, v_j)) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n [f(1)d_H(v_i) + f(2)(n-1 - d_H(v_i))] \\ &= \frac{n(n-1)}{2} f(2) - \frac{f(2) - f(1)}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n d_H(v_i) \\ &= \frac{n(n-1)}{2} f(2) - \frac{f(2) - f(1)}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{i-k+1} d_j + \sum_{j=i-k+2}^{n-i} d_j + \sum_{j=n-i+1}^n d_j \right) \\ &\geq \frac{n(n-1)}{2} f(2) - \frac{f(2) - f(1)}{2} [(i-k+1)i + (n-2i+k-1)(n-i+k-2) + i(n-1)] \\ &= \frac{f(1)}{2} n^2 + [3f(2) - \frac{7}{2} f(1)]n - (3k+11)[f(2) - f(1)] - \frac{f(2) - f(1)}{2} g_1(i), \end{split}$$

where $g_1(i) = 3i^2 - (2n + 4k - 5)i + (2k + 4)n + k^2 - 9k - 20$. Since $W_f(H) \le \frac{f(1)}{2}n^2 + [3f(2) - \frac{7}{2}f(1)]n - (3k + 11)[f(2) - f(1)]$, we have $g_1(i) \ge 0$. Note that $k + 1 \le \delta \le d_{i-k+1} \le i \le \frac{n+k-2}{2}$. We shall divide the proof into the following three cases.

Case 1. $k + 3 \le i \le \frac{n+k-2}{2}$.

Since $g_1''(i) = 6 > 0$, then $g_1(i)$ is a convex function on *i*. For $n \ge k + 17$, we have

$$g_1(k+3) = -2n + 2k + 22 < 0,$$

and
$$g_1\left(\frac{n+k-2}{2}\right) = -\frac{n^2}{4} + \frac{k+11}{2}n - \frac{k^2}{4} - \frac{11k}{2} - 22 < 0.$$

This implies that $g_1(i) < 0$, a contradiction.

Case 2. i = k + 2.

Then the corresponding degree sequence of H is

$$\underbrace{d_1 \le d_2 \le d_3 \le k+2}_{V_1}, \underbrace{d_4 \le d_5 \le \dots \le d_{n-k-2} \le n-4}_{V_2}, \underbrace{d_{n-k-1} \le d_{n-k} \le \dots \le d_n \le n-1}_{V_3}.$$

According to the above degree sequence, we divide V(H) into three parts: V_1 , V_2 and V_3 .

Claim 1. There is no vertex of degree less than $\frac{n+k-1}{2}$ in V_2 .

Proof. Suppose that there exists a vertex of degree less than $\frac{n+k-1}{2}$ in V_2 . Then

$$\begin{split} W_{f}(H) &\geq \frac{n(n-1)}{2}f(2) - \frac{f(2) - f(1)}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{H}(v_{i}) \\ &= \frac{n(n-1)}{2}f(2) - \frac{f(2) - f(1)}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} d_{j} + \sum_{j=4}^{n-k-2} d_{j} + \sum_{j=n-k-1}^{n} d_{j} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{n(n-1)}{2}f(2) - \frac{f(2) - f(1)}{2} \left[3(k+2) + (n-k-6)(n-4) + (k+2)(n-1) + \frac{n+k-1}{2} \right] \\ &= \frac{f(1)}{2}n^{2} + [3f(2) - \frac{7}{2}f(1)]n - (3k+11)[f(2) - f(1)] + \frac{(n-k-11)[f(2) - f(1)]}{4} \\ &> W_{f}(H), \end{split}$$

a contradiction, since $n \ge k + 17$. \Box

By Claim 1, it follows that $d_H(u) + d_H(v) \ge n + k - 1$ for any two different vertices $u, v \in V_2 \cup V_3$. Note that H is (n + k - 1)-closed. Then $V_2 \cup V_3$ is a clique of H, and hence $\omega(H) \ge |V_2 \cup V_3| \ge (n - k - 5) + (k + 2) = n - 3$.

If $\omega(H) \ge n - 1$, then *H* contains an (n - 1)-clique, and hence for any two vertices $u, v \in V(H)$, we always have $d_H(u) + d_H(v) \ge (n - 2) + (k + 1) = n + k - 1$. If there exists two vertices $uv \notin E(H)$, then $d_H(u) + d_H(v) \le n + k - 2$ since *H* is an (n + k - 1)-closed graph, a contradiction. Hence any two vertices of *H* are adjacent. That is, $H \cong K_n$. Then *H* is *k*-leaf-connected as a contradiction.

If $\omega(H) = n - 2$, then $d_3 \ge n - 3$. Note that $d_3 \le k + 2$. Then $n \le k + 5$, which contradicts $n \ge k + 17$. Thus, we have

$$\omega(H) = n - 3.$$

Next we will characterize the structure of *H*. Let $C = V_2 \cup V_3$. Note that |C| = n - 3. Then *C* is a maximum clique of *H*, and $V(H) = V_1 \cup C$. Notice that $k + 1 \le \delta \le d_G(v) \le d_H(v) \le k + 2$ for each $v \in V_1$. Let $V_1 = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ and $V_1^* = \{v_i \in V_1 \mid d_H(v_i) = k + 2\}$.

Claim 2. $|V_1^*| \ge 2$.

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that $|V_1^*| \le 1$. Note that $k + 1 \le d_H(v_i) \le k + 2$ for any $v_i \in V_1$. Then

$$\begin{split} W_{f}(H) &\geq \frac{n(n-1)}{2}f(2) - \frac{f(2) - f(1)}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}d_{H}(v_{i}) \\ &= \frac{n(n-1)}{2}f(2) - [f(2) - f(1)]e(H) \\ &\geq \frac{n(n-1)}{2}f(2) - [f(2) - f(1)]\left[e(C) + \sum_{i=1}^{3}d_{H}(v_{i})\right] \\ &\geq \frac{n(n-1)}{2}f(2) - [f(2) - f(1)]\left[\binom{n-3}{2} + 2(k+1) + (k+2)\right] \\ &= \frac{f(1)}{2}n^{2} + [3f(2) - \frac{7}{2}f(1)]n - (3k+10)[f(2) - f(1)] \\ &= \frac{f(1)}{2}n^{2} + [3f(2) - \frac{7}{2}f(1)]n - (3k+11)[f(2) - f(1)] + [f(2) - f(1)] \\ &\geq W_{f}(H), \end{split}$$

a contradiction. \Box

1701

Define $C^* = \{v \in C \mid N_H(v) \cap V_1 \neq \emptyset\}.$

Claim 3. $|C^*| = k + 2$.

Proof. By the definition of C^* , we know that $d_H(v) \ge n-3$ for each $v \in C^*$. Then $d_H(v)+d_H(v_i) \ge (n-3)+(k+2) = n+k-1$ for any $v \in C^*$ and $v_i \in V_1^*$. Note that H is (n+k-1)-closed. It follows that each vertex of C^* is adjacent to each vertex of V_1^* . Combining Claim 2, we have $d_H(v) \ge d_C(v) + |V_1^*| \ge (n-4) + 2 = n-2$ for each $v \in C^*$. Therefore, $d_H(v) + d_H(v_i) \ge (n-2) + (k+1) = n+k-1$ for any $v \in C^*$ and $v_i \in V_1$. Then each vertex of V_1 is adjacent to each vertex of C^* , which implies that $|C^*| \le d_H(v_i) \le k+2$, where $v_i \in V_1$.

On the other hand, let $e(V_1, C)$ denote the number of edges between V_1 and C. Notice that $e(V_1, C) = e(V_1, C^*) = |V_1||C^*| = 3|C^*|$ and $e(V_1) = \frac{1}{2}(\sum_{v_i \in V_1} d_G(v_i) - 3|C^*|) \le \frac{3(k+2-|C^*|)}{2}$. Then

$$\begin{split} W_f(H) &\geq \frac{n(n-1)}{2}f(2) - [f(2) - f(1)]e(H) \\ &= \frac{n(n-1)}{2}f(2) - [f(2) - f(1)][e(C) + e(V_1, C^*) + e(V_1)] \\ &\geq \frac{n(n-1)}{2}f(2) - [f(2) - f(1)]\left[\binom{n-3}{2} + \frac{3(k+2+|C^*|)}{2}\right]. \end{split}$$

Since $W_f(H) \le \frac{f(1)}{2}n^2 + [3f(2) - \frac{7}{2}f(1)]n - (3k+11)[f(2) - f(1)]$, we have $|C^*| \ge k+2$. Therefore, $|C^*| = k+2$.

Recall that $d_H(v_i) \le k + 2$ for each $v_i \in V_1$. According to Claim 3, V_1 is an independent set. This implies that $H \cong K_{k+2} \lor (K_{n-k-5} + 3K_1)$. It is easy to check that $K_{k+2} \lor (K_{n-k-5} + 3K_1)$ is *k*-leaf-connected for $k \ge 3$, a contradiction. However, one can check that $K_4 \lor (K_{n-7} + 3K_1)$ is not 2-leaf-connected. Therefore, $H \cong K_4 \lor (K_{n-7} + 3K_1)$.

Case 3. *i* = *k* + 1.

Then the degree sequence of H is given by

$$\underbrace{d_1 = d_2 = k+1}_{V_1}, \underbrace{d_3 \le d_4 \le \dots \le d_{n-k-1} \le n-3}_{V_2}, \underbrace{d_{n-k} \le d_{n-k+1} \le \dots \le d_n \le n-1}_{V_3}$$

Claim 4. There are at most three vertices of degree less than $\frac{n+k-1}{2}$ in V_2 .

Proof. Assume that there exist four vertices of degree less than $\frac{n+k-1}{2}$ in V_2 . Then we have

$$\begin{split} W_{f}(H) &\geq \frac{n(n-1)}{2}f(2) - \frac{f(2) - f(1)}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}d_{H}(v_{i}) \\ &> \frac{n(n-1)}{2}f(2) - \frac{f(2) - f(1)}{2}\left[2(k+1) + (n-k-7)(n-3) + (k+1)(n-1) + 4 \cdot \frac{n+k-1}{2}\right] \\ &= \frac{f(1)}{2}n^{2} + [3f(2) - \frac{7}{2}f(1)]n - (3k+10)[f(2) - f(1)] \\ &= \frac{f(1)}{2}n^{2} + [3f(2) - \frac{7}{2}f(1)]n - (3k+11)[f(2) - f(1)] + [f(2) - f(1)] \\ &> W_{f}(H), \end{split}$$

a contradiction. \Box

Let $V_2^* = \{v \in V_2 \mid d_H(v) \ge \frac{n+k-1}{2}\}$. By Claim 4, we have $|V_2^*| \ge |V_2| - 3 = n - k - 6 > 0$. It is clear that $d_H(u) + d_H(v) \ge n + k - 1$ for any $u, v \in V_2^* \cup V_3$. Note that *H* is an (n + k - 1)-closed graph. This implies that $V_2^* \cup V_3$ is a clique of *H*, and hence $\omega(H) \ge |V_2^* \cup V_3| \ge (n - k - 6) + (k + 1) = n - 5$. According to the proof in case 2, we have $\omega(H) \le n - 2$, and hence $n - 5 \le \omega(H) \le n - 2$. Define $C = V_2^* \cup V_3$.

Claim 5. *C* is a maximum clique of *H*.

Proof. By the definition of V_2^* , we know that $d_H(u) < \frac{n+k-1}{2} \le n-9 < n-5$ for any $u \in V_1 \cup (V_2 \setminus V_2^*)$, since $n \ge k + 17$. Hence there exists at least one vertex $v \in C$ such that $uv \notin E(H)$ for any $u \in V_1 \cup (V_2 \setminus V_2^*)$, and thus $u \notin C$. This implies that *C* is a maximum clique of *H*. \Box

Claim 6. $d_H(u) \le n + k - \omega - 1$ for each $u \in V_2 \setminus V_2^*$.

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that $d_H(u) \ge n + k - \omega$ for some $u \in V_2 \setminus V_2^*$. Then $d_H(u) + d_H(v) \ge (n + k - \omega) + (\omega - 1) = n + k - 1$ for $u \in V_2 \setminus V_2^*$ and $v \in C$. Note that *H* is an (n + k - 1)-closed graph. Then *u* is adjacent to every vertex of *C*, and hence $C \cup \{u\}$ is a larger clique, which contradicts Claim 5. \Box

Note that $|V_2 \setminus V_2^*| = n - |V_1| - |V_2^* \cup V_3| = n - \omega - 2$. By Claim 6, we have

$$\sum_{u \in V_2 \setminus V_2^*} d_H(u) \le (n - \omega - 2)(n + k - \omega - 1).$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{split} W_{f}(H) &\geq \frac{n(n-1)}{2}f(2) - [f(2) - f(1)]e(H) \\ &\geq \frac{n(n-1)}{2}f(2) - [f(2) - f(1)] \left[\sum_{u \in V_{1}} d_{H}(u) + \sum_{u \in V_{2} \setminus V_{2}^{*}} d_{H}(u) + e(V_{2}^{*} \cup V_{3}) \right] \\ &\geq \frac{n(n-1)}{2}f(2) - [f(2) - f(1)] \left[2(k+1) + (n-\omega-2)(n+k-\omega-1) + \binom{\omega}{2} \right] \\ &= -\frac{3}{2}[f(2) - f(1)]\omega^{2} + \left[2[f(2) - f(1)]n + (k - \frac{5}{2})[f(2) - f(1)] \right] \omega - \left[\frac{f(2)}{2} - f(1) \right] n^{2} \\ &- \left[[f(2) - f(1)]k - \frac{5}{2}f(2) + 3f(1) \right] n - 4[f(2) - f(1)] \\ &\triangleq g_{2}(\omega). \end{split}$$

Note that $g_2(\omega)$ is a concave function on ω . If $n - 5 \le \omega(H) \le n - 3$ and $n \ge 10$, then

$$W_{f}(H) \geq \min\{g_{2}(n-5), g_{2}(n-3)\} = g_{2}(n-3)$$

= $\frac{f(1)}{2}n^{2} + [3f(2) - \frac{7}{2}f(1)]n - (3k+11)[f(2) - f(1)] + [f(2) - f(1)]$
> $W_{f}(H),$

a contradiction. Therefore, $\omega(H) = n - 2$.

Let *C* be an (n - 2)-clique of *H* and *F* be a subgraph of *H* induced by $V(H) \setminus C$, and let $V(F) = \{v_1, v_2\}$.

Claim 7. $d_H(v_i) = k + 1$ for each $v_i \in V(F)$.

Proof. Suppose there exists a vertex $v_i \in V(F)$ with $d_H(v_i) \ge k+2$. Then $d_H(v_i) + d_H(v) \ge (k+2) + (n-3) = n+k-1$ for any $v \in C$. Recall that $H = C_{n+k-1}(G)$. Then v_i is adjacent to vertex v. Note that v is an arbitrary vertex of C. Hence v_i is adjacent to all vertices of C. This implies that $\omega(H) \ge n-1$, a contradiction. \Box

Claim 8.
$$N_H(v_1) \cap C = N_H(v_2) \cap C$$
.

Proof. Without loss of generality, assume that a vertex v of C is adjacent to v_1 of F, then $d_H(v) \ge n - 2$. Therefore, $d_H(v) + d_H(v_2) \ge (n - 2) + (k + 1) = n + k - 1$. Note that $H = C_{n+k-1}(G)$. Then v is also adjacent to vertex v_2 . Hence $N_H(v_1) \cap C = N_H(v_2) \cap C$. \Box

Let $|N_H(v_i) \cap C| = t$. Note that |V(F)| = 2. By Claim 7, we know that $d_H(v_i) = k + 1$. Then $t \ge k$. On the other hand, $t \le d_H(v_i) = k + 1$. Hence $k \le t \le k + 1$. Next, we will discuss the following two cases.

Case 3.1. *t* = *k*.

Then $H \cong K_k \vee (K_{n-k-2} + K_2)$. It is easy to see that $K_k \vee (K_{n-k-2} + K_2)$ is not k-leaf-connected. Note that $W_{f}(H) = \frac{f(1)}{2}n^{2} + [2f(2) - \frac{5}{2}f(1)]n - (2k+4)[f(2) - f(1)] < \frac{f(1)}{2}n^{2} + [3f(2) - \frac{7}{2}f(1)]n - (3k+11)[f(2) - f(1)].$ Hence $H \cong K_{k} \lor (K_{n-k-2} + K_{2}).$

Case 3.2. *t* = *k* + 1.

Then $H \cong K_{k+1} \vee (K_{n-k-3} + 2K_1)$. One can check that $K_{k+1} \vee (K_{n-k-3} + 2K_1)$ is k-leaf-connected for $k \ge 3$, a contradiction. However, $K_3 \vee (K_{n-5} + 2K_1)$ is not 2-leaf-connected. Notice that $W_f(H) = \frac{f(1)}{2}n^2 + [2f(2) - K_1]$ $\frac{5}{2}f(1)[n-9[f(2)-f(1)] < \frac{f(1)}{2}n^2 + [3f(2) - \frac{7}{2}f(1)]n - 17[f(2) - f(1)]. \text{ Therefore, } H \cong K_3 \lor (K_{n-5} + 2K_1).$ By the above proof, we have $H = C_{n+k-1}(G) \in \{K_k \lor (K_{n-k-2} + K_2), K_3 \lor (K_{n-5} + 2K_1), K_4 \lor (K_{n-7} + 3K_1)\}.$

The proof of (i) is completed.

(ii) If f(x) is a monotonically decreasing function on [1, n - 1], we can also prove the result similarly. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

4. Applications of Corollary 1.4

Lemma 4.1. Let $H \cong K_k \lor (K_{n-k-2} + K_2)$. (*i*) If $n \ge k + 10$, then $\rho_D(H) < n + 5 - \frac{6k+22}{n}$. (*ii*) If $n \ge k + 4$, then $\rho_O(H) > 2n + 10 - \frac{12k+44}{n}$

Proof. (i) Let R(D) be an equitable quotient matrix of the distance matrix D(H) with respect to the partition $(V(K_k), V(K_{n-k-2}), V(K_2))$. One can see that

$$R(D) = \begin{pmatrix} k-1 & n-k-2 & 2\\ k & n-k-3 & 4\\ k & 2n-2k-4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the characteristic polynomial $P_{R(D)}(x) = x^3 - (n-3)x^2 - (8n-6k-15)x + (2k-7)n - 2k^2 + 2k + 13$. By Lemma 2.2, we know that $\rho_D(H) = \lambda_1(R(D))$ is the largest root of the equation $P_{R(D)}(x) = 0$. Let $P'_{R(D)}(x) = 3x^2 - 2(n-3)x - 8n + 6k + 15 = 0$. We can solve this equation to obtain that

$$x_1 = \frac{n-3 - \sqrt{n^2 + 18n - 18k - 36}}{3}$$
 and $x_2 = \frac{n-3 + \sqrt{n^2 + 18n - 18k - 36}}{3}$

Then $P_{R(D)}(x)$ is a monotonically increasing function on $[x_2, +\infty)$. Note that $\rho_D(H) = \lambda_1(R(D)) > x_2$ and $n + 5 - \frac{6k+22}{n} > x_2$ for $n \ge k + 2$. By Maple, $P_{R(D)}(n + 5 - \frac{6k+22}{n}) > 0 = P_{R(D)}(\rho_D(H))$ for $n \ge k + 10$. This implies that $\rho_D(H) < n + 5 - \frac{6k+22}{n}$ for $n \ge k + 10$. (ii) Let R(QD) be an equitable quotient matrix of the distance matrix QD(H) with respect to the partition

 $(V(K_k), V(K_{n-k-2}), V(K_2))$. Then

$$R(QD) = \begin{pmatrix} n+k-2 & n-k-2 & 2\\ k & 2n-k-2 & 4\\ k & 2n-2k-4 & 2n-k-2 \end{pmatrix}$$

The characteristic polynomial $P_{R(QD)}(x) = x^3 - (5n - k - 6)x^2 + [8n^2 - (3k + 28)n + 12k + 28]x - 4n^3 + (2k + 28)x - 4$ $24)n^2 - (4k + 52)n + 20k + 40$. By Lemma 2.2, we know that $\rho_{QD}(H) = \lambda_1(R(QD))$ is the largest root of the equation $P_{R(QD)}(x) = 0$. Let $P'_{R(QD)}(x) = 3x^2 - 2(5n - k - 6)x + 8n^2 - (3k + 28)n + 12k + 28 = 0$. We can solve that

$$x_1 = \frac{5n - k - 6 - \sqrt{n^2 - (k - 24)n + k^2 - 24k - 48}}{3} \text{ and } x_2 = \frac{5n - k - 6 + \sqrt{n^2 - (k - 24)n + k^2 - 24k - 48}}{3}.$$

Then $P_{R(QD)}(x)$ is a monotonically increasing function on $[x_2, +\infty)$. Note that $\rho_{QD}(H) = \lambda_1(R(QD)) > x_2$ and $2n + 10 - \frac{12k+44}{n} > x_2$ for $n \ge k + 4$. By direct calculation, $P_{R(QD)}(2n + 10 - \frac{12k+44}{n}) < 0 = P_{R(QD)}(\rho_{QD}(H))$ for $n \ge k + 3$. It follows that $\rho_{QD}(H) > 2n + 10 - \frac{12k+44}{n}$ for $n \ge k + 4$. \Box

Lemma 4.2. Let $H \cong K_3 \vee (K_{n-5} + 2K_1)$. (*i*) If $n \ge 10$, then $\rho_D(H) < n + 5 - \frac{34}{n}$. (*ii*) If $n \ge 6$, then $\rho_Q(H) > 2n + 10 - \frac{68}{n}$.

Proof. (i) Let R(D) be an equitable quotient matrix of the distance matrix D(H) with respect to the partition $(V(K_3), V(K_{n-5}), V(2K_1))$. It is easy to see that

$$R(D) = \left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 2 & n-5 & 2 \\ 3 & n-6 & 4 \\ 3 & 2n-10 & 2 \end{array}\right).$$

Then the characteristic polynomial $P_{R(D)}(x) = x^3 - (n-2)x^2 - (7n-29)x - 2$. By Lemma 2.2, we know that $\rho_D(H) = \lambda_1(R(D))$ is the largest root of the equation $P_{R(D)}(x) = 0$. Let $P'_{R(D)}(x) = 3x^2 - 2(n-2)x - 7n + 29 = 0$. We can solve that

$$x_1 = \frac{n-2-\sqrt{n^2+17n-83}}{3}$$
 and $x_2 = \frac{n-2+\sqrt{n^2+17n-83}}{3}$.

Then $P_{R(D)}(x)$ is a monotonically increasing function on $[x_2, +\infty)$. Note that $\rho_D(H) = \lambda_1(R(D)) > x_2$ and $n + 5 - \frac{34}{n} > x_2$ for $n \ge 5$. By simple calculation, $P_{R(D)}(n + 5 - \frac{34}{n}) > 0 = P_{R(D)}(\rho_D(H))$ for $n \ge 10$. This means that $\rho_D(H) < n + 5 - \frac{34}{n}$ for $n \ge 10$.

(ii) Let R(QD) be an equitable quotient matrix of the distance signless Laplacian matrix QD(H) with respect to the partition $(V(K_3), V(K_{n-5}), V(2K_1))$. Then we have

$$R(QD) = \begin{pmatrix} n+1 & n-5 & 2\\ 3 & 2n-5 & 4\\ 3 & 2n-10 & 2n-3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial $P_{R(QD)}(x) = x^3 - (5n - 7)x^2 + (8n^2 - 31n + 56)x - 4n^3 + 26n^2 - 82n + 80$. By Lemma 2.2, we know that $\rho_Q(H) = \lambda_1(R(QD))$ is the largest root of the equation $P_{R(QD)}(x) = 0$. Let $P'_{R(QD)}(x) = 3x^2 - 2(5n - 7)x + 8n^2 - 31n + 56 = 0$. We can solve that

$$x_1 = \frac{5n - 7 - \sqrt{n^2 + 23n - 119}}{3}$$
 and $x_2 = \frac{5n - 7 + \sqrt{n^2 + 23n - 119}}{3}$.

Then $P_{R(QD)}(x)$ is a monotonically increasing function on $[x_2, +\infty)$. Note that $\rho_Q(H) = \lambda_1(R(QD)) > x_2$ and $2n + 10 - \frac{68}{n} > x_2$ for $n \ge 6$. Then $P_{R(QD)}(2n + 10 - \frac{68}{n}) < 0 = P_{R(QD)}(\rho_Q(H))$, which implies that $\rho_Q(H) > 2n + 10 - \frac{68}{n}$ for $n \ge 6$. \Box

Lemma 4.3. Let $H \cong K_4 \vee (K_{n-7} + 3K_1)$. (*i*) If $n \ge 8$, then $\rho_D(H) > n + 5 - \frac{34}{n}$. (*ii*) If $n \ge 8$, then $\rho_Q(H) > 2n + 10 - \frac{68}{n}$.

Proof. (i) Let R(D) be an equitable quotient matrix of the distance matrix D(H) with respect to the partition $(V(K_4), V(K_{n-7}), V(3K_1))$. One can see that

$$R(D) = \left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 3 & n-7 & 3 \\ 4 & n-8 & 6 \\ 4 & 2n-14 & 4 \end{array}\right).$$

Then the characteristic polynomial $P_{R(D)}(x) = x^3 - (n-1)x^2 - (9n-56)x + 4n - 28$. By Lemma 2.2, we know that $\rho_D(H) = \lambda_1(R(D))$ is the largest root of the equation $P_{R(D)}(x) = 0$. Let $P'_{R(D)}(x) = 3x^2 - 2(n-1)x - 9n + 56 = 0$. We can solve that

$$x_1 = \frac{n-1-\sqrt{n^2+25n-167}}{3}$$
 and $x_2 = \frac{n-1+\sqrt{n^2+25n-167}}{3}$.

Then $P_{R(D)}(x)$ is a monotonically increasing function on $[x_2, +\infty)$. Note that $\rho_D(H) = \lambda_1(R(D)) > x_2$ and $n + 5 - \frac{34}{n} > x_2$. By Maple, $P_{R(D)}(n + 5 - \frac{34}{n}) < 0 = P_{R(D)}(\rho_D(H))$ for $n \ge 8$. This implies that $\rho_D(H) > n + 5 - \frac{34}{n}$ for $n \ge 8$.

(ii) Let R(QD) be an equitable quotient matrix of the distance matrix QD(H) with respect to the partition $(V(K_4), V(K_{n-7}), V(3K_1))$. Then

$$R(QD) = \begin{pmatrix} n+2 & n-7 & 3\\ 4 & 2n-6 & 6\\ 4 & 2n-14 & 2n-2 \end{pmatrix}$$

The characteristic polynomial $P_{R(QD)}(x) = x^3 - (5n - 6)x^2 + (8n^2 - 32n + 96)x - 4n^3 + 28n^2 - 128n + 128$. By Lemma 2.2, we know that $\rho_Q(H) = \lambda_1(R(QD))$ is the largest root of the equation $P_{R(QD)}(x) = 0$. Let $P'_{R(QD)}(x) = 3x^2 - 2(5n - 6)x + 8n^2 - 32n + 96 = 0$. We can solve that

$$x_1 = \frac{5n - 6 - \sqrt{n^2 + 36n - 252}}{3}$$
 and $x_2 = \frac{5n - 6 + \sqrt{n^2 + 36n - 252}}{3}$

Then $P_{R(QD)}(x)$ is a monotonically increasing function on $[x_2, +\infty)$. Note that $\rho_Q(H) = \lambda_1(R(QD)) > x_2$ and $2n + 10 - \frac{68}{n} > x_2$. We Know that $P_{R(QD)}(2n + 10 - \frac{68}{n}) < 0 = P_{R(QD)}(\rho_Q(H))$ for $n \ge 8$. It follows that $\rho_Q(H) > 2n + 10 - \frac{68}{n}$ for $n \ge 8$.

Using Corollary 1.4, we will provide sufficient conditions for a graph to be k-leaf-connected in terms of the distance (distance signless Laplacian) spectral radius of G.

Theorem 4.4. Let G be a connected graph of order n and minimum degree $\delta \ge k + 1$, where $n \ge k + 17$ and $k \ge 2$. Each of the following holds.

Luch of the following notation (i) is $f(\rho_D(G) \le n + 5 - \frac{6k+22}{n})$, then G is k-leaf-connected unless $C_{n+k-1}(G) \in \{K_k \lor (K_{n-k-2} + K_2), K_3 \lor (K_{n-5} + 2K_1)\}$. (ii) If $\rho_Q(G) \le 2n + 10 - \frac{12k+44}{n}$, then G is k-leaf-connected.

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that *G* is not *k*-leaf-connected.

(i) By Lemma 2.3 and the assumption of Theorem 4.4, we have

$$\frac{2W(G)}{n} \le \rho_D(G) \le n + 5 - \frac{6k + 22}{n}.$$

Then $W(G) \leq \frac{1}{2}n^2 + \frac{5}{2}n - 3k - 11$. Let $H = C_{n+k-1}(G)$. By Corollary 1.4, we have $H \in \{K_k \lor (K_{n-k-2} + K_2), K_3 \lor (K_{n-k-2} + K_2)\}$ $(K_{n-5} + 2K_1), K_4 \vee (K_{n-7} + 3K_1)$. Assume that $H \cong K_4 \vee (K_{n-7} + 3K_1)$. According to Lemma 2.1 and (i) of Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\rho_D(G) > \rho_D(H) > n + 5 - \frac{34}{n},$$

which contradicts the assumption. For $H \in \{K_k \lor (K_{n-k-2} + K_2), K_3 \lor (K_{n-5} + 2K_1)\}$ and $n \ge k + 17$, by (i) of Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, we can not compare completely $\rho_D(G)$ with $n + 5 - \frac{6k+22}{n}$. For the brevity of discussion, we have $C_{n+k-1}(G) = H \in \{K_k \lor (K_{n-k-2} + K_2), K_3 \lor (K_{n-5} + 2K_1)\}.$ (ii) Note that $\rho_Q(G) \le 2n + 10 - \frac{12k+44}{n}$. Combining Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$W(G) \le \frac{1}{2}n^2 + \frac{5}{2}n - 3k - 11.$$

Let $H = C_{n+k-1}(G)$. By Corollary 1.4, we have $H \in \{K_k \lor (K_{n-k-2} + K_2), K_3 \lor (K_{n-5} + 2K_1), K_4 \lor (K_{n-7} + 3K_1)\}$. By Lemmas 2.1, 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3, we have

$$\rho_Q(G) > \rho_Q(H) > 2n + 10 - \frac{12k + 44}{n},$$

a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.4.

References

- [1] G.Y. Ao, R.F. Liu, J.J. Yuan, R. Li, Improved sufficient conditions for k-leaf-connected graphs, Discrete Appl. Math. 314 (2022), 17–30.
- [2] G.Y. Ao, R.F. Liu, J.J. Yuan, G.L. Yu, Wiener-type invariants and k-leaf-connected graphs, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 46 (2023), 10.
- [3] G.Y. Ao, Xia Hong, On sufficient conditions for k-leaf-connected graphs, Journal of Mathematical Research with Applications 44 (6) (2024), 711–722.
- [4] M.Q. An, Y.N. Zhang, H.Y. Yan, Sufficient conditions for k-leaf-connected graphs in terms of the first Zagreb index, the reciprocal degree distance and the forgotten topological index, Discrete Appl. Math. 351 (2024), 74–80.
- [5] Y.H. An, G.Z. Zhang, Sufficient conditions for k-connected graphs and k-leaf-connected graphs, Filomat **38** (18) (2024), 6601–6608.
- [6] A.E. Brouwer, W.H. Haemers, *Spectra of Graphs*, Springer, Berlin, 2011.
- [7] Y. Egawa, H. Matsuda, T. Yamashita, K. Yoshimoto, On a spanning tree with specified leaves, Graphs Combin. 24 (2008), 13–18.
- [8] I. Gutman, A property of the Wiener number and its modifications, Indian J. Chem. 36A (1997), 128–132.
- [9] C. Godsil, Algebraic Combinatorics, Chapman and Hall Mathematics Series, New York, 1993.
- [10] C. Godsil, G. Royle, Algebraic Graph Theory, Grad. Texts in Math. vol. 207, Springer, New York, 2001.
- [11] M.A. Gurgel, Y. Wakabayashi, On k-leaf-connected graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 41 (1986), 1–16.
- [12] W.H. Haemers, Interlacing eigenvalues and graphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 226-228 (1995), 593–616.
- [13] G. Indual, Sharp bounds on the distance spectral radius and the distance energy of graphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 430 (2009), 106–113.
- [14] O. Ivanciuc, T.S. Balaban, A.T. Balaban, Reciprocal distance matrix, related local vertex invariants and topological indices, J. Math. Chem. 12 (1993), 309–318.
- [15] H.C. Jia, R.F. Liu, X. Du, Wiener index and Harary index on Hamilton-connected and traceable graphs, Ars Combinatoria 141 (2018), 53–62.
- [16] H. Minc, Nonnegative matrices, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1988.
- [17] T.Y. Ma, G.Y. Ao, R.F. Liu, L.G. Wang, Y. Hu, An improvement of sufficient condition for k-leaf-connected graphs, Discrete Appl. Math. 331 (2023), 1–10.
- [18] S.I. Maezawa, R. Matsubara, H. Matsuda, A Fan-type condition for graphs to be k-leaf-connected, Discrete Math. 344 (2021), 112260.
- [19] M. Randić, Novel molecular descriptor for structure-property studies, Chem. Phys. Lett. **211** (1993), 478–483.
- [20] M. Tsugaki, T. Yamashita, On a spanning tree with specified leaves in a bipartite graph, Australas. J. Combin. 77 (2020), 69–86.
- [21] J.D. Wu, Y.S. Xue, L.Y. Kang, Some sufficient conditions for graphs being k-leaf-connected, Discrete Appl. Math. 339 (2023), 11–20.
- [22] H. Wiener, Structural determination of paraffin boiling points, Journal of the American Chemical Society 69 (1947), 17–20.
- [23] H.Y. Wu, G.Y. Ao, Degree-based indices sufficient conditions for k-leaf-connected graphs, Journal of Mathematics in Practice and Theory 54 (12) (2024) 244–249.
- [24] R.D. Xing, B. Zhou, J.P. Li, On the distance signless Laplacian spectral radius of graphs, Linear Multilinear Algebra 62 (2014), 1377–1387.
- [25] Q.N. Zhou, L.G. Wang, Y. Lu, Wiener-type invariants and Hamiltonian properties of graphs, Filomat 33 (2019), 4045–4058.

1707