



## $\beta$ -expansion of unity and transcendence in the $p$ -adic field

Marwa. Ghorbel<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences of Sfax, University of Sfax, Soukra road km 3.5, B.P. 1171, 3000, Sfax, Tunisia

**Abstract.** The aim of this paper is to study the  $\beta$ -expansion of  $p$ -adic numbers. In particular, we obtain an upper bound of strings of consecutive zeros in the  $\beta$ -expansion of unity for an algebraic number  $\beta$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  such that  $|\beta|_p > 1$ .

### 1. Introduction

A real number is most classically represented by its continued fraction expansions or by its representations in some integer bases. By a special representation we can generalize standard representations in an integer base to a real base  $\beta$ , this special representation is called  $\beta$ -expansion which was introduced by A. Rényi [7] in 1957. Let  $\beta$  be a real number such that  $\beta > 1$ . Similarly to the case of integral bases, it is possible to define the  $\beta$ -expansion of a real number  $x \in [0, 1]$  as the sequence  $(x_i)_{i \geq 1}$  with values in  $\{0, 1, \dots, [\beta]\}$  produced by the  $\beta$ -transformation  $T_\beta : x \rightarrow \beta x \pmod{1}$  as follows :

$$\text{for all } i \geq 1, x_i = [\beta T_\beta^{i-1}(x)], \text{ and so } x = \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{x_i}{\beta^i}.$$

Let's mention that an expansion of real number is finite if  $(x_i)_{i \geq 1}$  is eventually 0. It's periodic if  $p \geq 1$  and  $m \geq 1$  exists and verifying  $x_k = x_{k+p}$ , for all  $k \geq m$ .

Furthermore, the  $\beta$ -expansion of 1 plays a crucial role in our theory and appeared in several works especially in the study of the classification of algebraic numbers  $\beta > 1$ . Let's recall that numbers  $\beta$  such that their  $\beta$ -expansion of 1 is ultimately periodic are called Parry numbers and those such that their  $\beta$ -expansion of 1 is finite are called simple Parry numbers. These families of numbers were introduced by W. Parry in [6], its elements were initially called  $\beta$ -numbers and it is easy to check that these elements are algebraic integer numbers. Moreover, these numbers afforded interesting results, for example, it is well known that if  $\beta$  is a Pisot number ( an algebraic integer  $> 1$  whose conjugates have modulus strictly less than one), then  $\beta$  is a Parry number. In the same context, D. Boyd have proved in [3] that if  $\beta$  is a Salem number ( an algebraic integer  $> 1$  whose conjugates have modulus  $\leq 1$  and at least one of them has a modulus equal to 1) of degree 4, then  $\beta$  is a Parry number. Unfortunately, there is not a complete characterization of Parry or simple Parry numbers until now.

---

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11R06; Secondary 37B50.

Keywords.  $p$ -adic numbers,  $\beta$ -expansion, Pisot-Chabauty number.

Received: 20 August 2024; Revised: 29 October 2024; Accepted: 02 December 2024

Communicated by Paola Bonacini

Email address: marwaghorbel123@gmail.com (Marwa. Ghorbel)

ORCID iD: <https://orcid.org/0009-0007-7936-477X> (Marwa. Ghorbel)

Particularly, many works have been devoted to the study of the occurrences of consecutive 0's in the  $\beta$ -expansion of 1 and the classification of algebraic numbers  $\beta$ . So, there are many important results along these lines. For instance, in an old result, more precisely in 1965 Mahler has interested in the gaps between the non-zero digits in the  $\beta$ -expansion of 1 and he proved the following theorem in [4]:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\beta > 1$  be an algebraic number such that  $d_\beta(1) = (a_i)_{i \geq 1}$  is an infinite and lacunary sequence in the following sense:*

*There exists two sequences  $(m_n)_{n \geq 1}$  and  $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$  such that:*

$$1 = s_0 \leq m_1 < s_1 \leq m_2 < s_2 \leq \dots \leq m_n < s_n \leq m_{n+1} < s_{n+1} \leq \dots$$

*with  $(s_n - m_n) \geq 2$ ,  $a_{m_n} \neq 0$ ,  $a_{s_n} \neq 0$  and  $a_i = 0$  if  $m_n < i < s_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Then,*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \frac{s_n}{m_n} \right) < \infty$$

After that, in 2006 Verger-Gaugry extended Mahler's and Güting's approximation theorems by proving that the gaps in the  $\beta$ -expansion of 1 are shown to exhibit a gappiness bounded through the use of a version of Liouville's inequality in the following:

**Theorem 1.2.** [9] *Let  $\beta > 1$  be an algebraic number and  $M(\beta)$  be its Mahler measure such such that  $d_\beta(1) = (a_i)_{i \geq 1}$  is an infinite and lacunary sequence in the following sense: There exists two sequences  $(m_n)_{n \geq 1}$  and  $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$  such that:*

$$1 = s_0 \leq m_1 < s_1 \leq m_2 < s_2 \leq \dots \leq m_n < s_n \leq m_{n+1} < s_{n+1} \leq \dots$$

*with  $(s_n - m_n) \geq 2$ ,  $a_{m_n} \neq 0$ ,  $a_{s_n} \neq 0$  and  $a_i = 0$  if  $m_n < i < s_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Then,*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \frac{s_n}{m_n} \right) < \frac{\log(M(\beta))}{\log(\beta)}$$

In a natural way, this result provides a new classification of algebraic numbers  $\beta > 1$ . Later, in 2007 Adamczewski and Bugeaud [1] improved the previous theorem and they established the following result:

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $\beta > 1$  be an algebraic number. Then with the above notation,*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \frac{s_n}{m_n} \right) < \frac{\log(M(\beta))}{\log(\beta)} - 1$$

In the same context, Allouche and Cosnard in [2] proved that there exists a smallest  $q \in ]1, 2[$  for which there exists a unique expansion of 1 as  $1 = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \delta_n q^{-n}$  where  $\delta_n \in \{0, 1\}$ . In addition, for this smallest  $q$ , the coefficient  $\delta_n$  is equal to 0 (respectively, 1) if the sum of the binary digits of  $n$  is even (respectively, odd). This constant  $q$  is named Komornik-Loreti constant. Since the strings of zeros and 1's in the sequence  $\delta_n$  are known and uniformly bounded, the constant  $q$  satisfies

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \frac{s_n}{m_n} \right) = 1.$$

However, authors in [2] have shown that  $q$  is a transcendental number.

Therefore, the  $\beta$ -expansion of unity and transcendence in the real case is an interesting topic that has been studied by various authors. For this, there are a lot of results concerning this topic which motivates as to study in this work the analogous of this concept in the field of  $p$ -adic numbers and also to introduce the  $\beta$ -expansion over this field which is currently a popular area of research.

Let's recall that, the  $\beta$ -expansion of 1 and transcendence of  $p$ -adic numbers have not been studied yet.

This paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we start by introducing  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , the field of  $p$ -adic numbers. After that, we give the suitable definition of Pisot-Chabauty numbers as well as the analogous to Pisot

numbers. In section 3, we study the  $\beta$ -expansion algorithm for  $p$ -adic numbers and we review some basic properties and notations necessary in our work. The last section is devoted to prove that if  $\beta$  is an algebraic number of algebraic degree  $d \geq 2$  such that  $d_\beta(1) = (a_i)_{i \geq 1}$  is an infinite and lacunary sequence then the quotient of gaps in the string of 0 in the sequence  $(a_i)_{i \geq 1}$  is bounded. Consequently, if the  $\beta$ -expansion of unity has unbounded quotient of gaps, then  $\beta$  is transcendental. In a natural way, this result provides a family of transcendental numbers  $\beta$ .

### 2. Field of $p$ -adic numbers

In order to introduce  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  in an harmonious way, we start by presenting the following sets: Let  $p$  be a prime and  $\mathbb{A}_p = \{mp^n, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\} = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$ .

Recall that  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bullet \mathbb{A}_p \subset \mathbb{Q} \text{ is a principal ring.} \\ \bullet \text{The unit group of } \mathbb{A}_p \text{ is } \{\pm p^k, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \\ \bullet \text{The field of fractions of } \mathbb{A}_p \text{ is } \mathbb{Q}. \end{array} \right.$

Particularly, we denote by  $\mathbb{A}'_p = \mathbb{A}_p \cap [0, 1)$ .

Now, let's define the  $p$ -adic valuation:

$$v_p : \mathbb{A}_p \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$$

$$x \longmapsto \begin{cases} \max\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : p^n \text{ divides } x\} & \text{if } x \neq 0, \\ \infty & \text{if } x = 0, \end{cases}$$

which fulfills the following properties:

- $v_p(0) = \infty$ ,
- $v_p(xy) = v_p(x) + v_p(y)$ ,
- $v_p(x + y) \geq \min\{v_p(x), v_p(y)\}$  with  $v_p(x + y) = \min\{v_p(x), v_p(y)\}$ , if  $v_p(x) \neq v_p(y)$ .

Then  $v_p(\cdot)$  is an exponential valuation on  $\mathbb{A}_p$ . Consequently, the  $p$ -adic absolute value  $|\cdot|_p$  is defined by

$$|x|_p = \begin{cases} p^{-v_p(x)} & \text{if } x \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus  $|\cdot|_p$  is a non Archimedean absolute value on  $\mathbb{A}_p$  which verifies the strict triangular inequality

$$|x + y|_p \leq \max\{|x|_p, |y|_p\} \text{ with}$$

$$|x + y|_p = \max\{|x|_p, |y|_p\} \text{ if } |x|_p \neq |y|_p.$$

In the same direction, we denote by  $|\cdot|_\infty$  the Archimedean absolute value.

Now, the completion of  $\mathbb{A}_p$  with respect to  $|\cdot|_p$  is the field of  $p$ -adic numbers  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , therefore we have

$$\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{A}_p \subset \mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

We mention that each element  $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  ( $x \neq 0$ ) admits a unique  $p$ -adic expansion of the form

$$x = \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} x_n p^n, \text{ such that } n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, x_{n_0} \neq 0 \text{ and } x_n \in \{0, \dots, p-1\} \quad (\star)$$

From expansions of the form mentioned in  $(\star)$ , we will use the notation

$$x = \dots p_2 p_1 p_0 \cdot p_{-1} \dots p_{n_0}.$$

**Definition 2.1.** Each  $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  of the form mentioned above in  $(\star)$  has a unique Artin decomposition

$$x = [x]_p + \{x\}_p$$

where

$$[x]_p = \sum_{n \geq 0} x_n p^n \text{ and } \{x\}_p = \sum_{n < 0} x_n p^n.$$

The number  $[x]_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  is called  $p$ -adic integer part and  $\{x\}_p \in \mathbb{A}_p \cap [0, 1)$  is called  $p$ -adic fractional part of  $x$ .

Moreover, we can also extend  $v_p$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  as follows:

If  $x = \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} x_n p^n$ , where  $n_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $x_{n_0} \neq 0$ ,  $x_n \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ , we define  $v_p(x)$  by:

$$v_p(x) = \begin{cases} n_0 & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ \infty & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore,  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is equivalent to the fraction field of the  $p$ -adic integers  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  where

$$\mathbb{Z}_p = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p; |x|_p \leq 1\}.$$

Therefore, it easily follows that

$$\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{A}_p \cap \mathbb{Z}_p = \{x \in \mathbb{A}_p; |x|_p \leq 1\} \text{ and } p\mathbb{Z}_p = \{x \in \mathbb{Q}_p; |x|_p < 1\}.$$

Now, we aim to define the Pisot-Chabauty numbers as the analogous to Pisot numbers in the real case. For this, we need some definitions.

**Definition 2.2.** An element  $\alpha$  is called algebraic over  $\mathbb{A}_p$ , if there is a polynomial

$$P(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n \in \mathbb{A}_p[x] \text{ with } a_n \neq 0 \text{ and } P(\alpha) = 0.$$

If  $P$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{A}_p$ , then  $P$  is called a minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$ . In addition, if  $a_n = p^k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , thus  $\alpha$  is an algebraic integer. As  $p^k$  is a unit of  $\mathbb{A}_p$ , we can assume without loss of generality, that  $a_n = 1$ . If  $a_0 = p^{k'}$  for some  $k' \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so  $\alpha$  is called an algebraic unit.

It turns out that algebraic elements over  $\mathbb{Q}$  are not necessarily contained in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . In our context, we will only need that  $|\cdot|_p$  can be extended uniquely from  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  to all of its algebraic extensions. This follows from the next theorem, which holds generally in non-archimedean fields.

**Theorem 2.3 ([5], Chapter II, Theorem 4.8).** Let  $K$  be a field which is complete with respect to  $|\cdot|$  and  $L/K$  be an algebraic extension of degree  $m$ . Thus  $|\cdot|$  has a unique extension to  $L$  defined by  $|\alpha| = \sqrt[m]{|N_{L/K}(\alpha)|}$  and  $L$  is complete with respect to this extension.

**Remark 2.4.** In what follows, for algebraic elements  $\beta$  over  $\mathbb{A}_p$  we will denote by  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n$  the non-Archimedean conjugates of  $\beta$  and by  $\beta_{n+1}, \dots, \beta_{2n}$  the Archimedean conjugates of  $\beta$  (the complex roots of the minimal polynomial of  $\beta$ ).

Finally, we reach to give the definition of Pisot-Chabauty numbers.

**Definition 2.5.** A Pisot-Chabauty number (for short PC number) is a  $p$ -adic number  $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ , such that

- $\beta_1 = \beta$  is an algebraic integer over  $\mathbb{A}_p$ .
- $|\beta_1|_p > 1$  for one non-Archimedean conjugate of  $\beta$ .
- $|\beta_i|_p \leq 1$  for all non-Archimedean conjugates  $\beta_i$ ,  $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}$  of  $\beta$ .
- $|\beta_i|_{\infty} < 1$  for all Archimedean conjugates  $\beta_i$ ,  $i \in \{n+1, \dots, 2n\}$  of  $\beta$ .

### 3. $\beta$ -expansion in the field $\mathbb{Q}_p$

Similarly to the classical  $\beta$ -expansions for the real numbers, we introduce the  $\beta$ -expansions for p-adic numbers. For this, let  $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  with  $|\beta|_p > 1$  and  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . A representation in base  $\beta$  ( or  $\beta$ -representation) of  $x$  is a sequence  $(d_i)_{i \geq 1}, d_i \in \mathbb{A}'_p = \mathbb{A}_p \cap [0, 1)$ , such

$$x = \sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{d_i}{\beta^i}.$$

A particular  $\beta$ -representation of  $x$  is called the  $\beta$ -expansion of  $x$  and noted by  $d_\beta(x) = (d_i)_{i \geq 1}$  with values in  $\mathbb{A}_{\beta,p} = [0, 1) \cap \{x \in \mathbb{A}_p : |x|_p \leq |\beta|_p\}$  produced by the  $\beta$ -transformation  $T : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ , which is given by the mapping  $z \mapsto [\beta z]_p$ . For  $k \geq 0$ , let's define

$$T^0(x) = x \text{ and } T^k(x) = T(T^{k-1}(x)).$$

So,  $d_k = \{\beta T^{k-1}(x)\}_p$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . An equivalent definition of the  $\beta$ -expansion can be obtained by using a greedy algorithm. This algorithm proceeds as follows :

$$r_0 = x; d_k = \{\beta r_{k-1}\}_p \text{ and } r_k = \lfloor \beta r_{k-1} \rfloor_p \text{ for all } k \geq 1.$$

The  $\beta$ -expansion of  $x$  will be noted as  $d_\beta(x) = (d_k)_{k \geq 1}$ .

Now, let  $x \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  with  $|x|_p > 1$ . Thus there is a unique  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|\beta|_p^k \leq |x|_p < |\beta|_p^{k+1}$ . We can represent  $x$  by shifting  $d_\beta(\beta^{-(k+1)}x)$  by  $k$  digits to the left. Therefore, if  $d_\beta(x) = 0 \bullet d_1 d_2 d_3 \dots$ , then  $d_\beta(\beta x) = d_1 \bullet d_2 d_3 \dots$ . Thereby, if  $d_\beta(x) = d_1 d_2 d_3 \dots d_k \bullet d_{k+1} d_{k+2} \dots$ . We denote  $x$  by

$$x = [x]_\beta + \{x\}_\beta$$

with

$$[x]_\beta = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq k} d_i \beta^i \text{ and } \{x\}_\beta = \sum_{i \geq k+1} \frac{d_i}{\beta^i}.$$

The number  $[x]_\beta$  is called a p-adic  $\beta$ -integer part of  $x$  and the number  $\{x\}_\beta$  is called a p-adic  $\beta$ -fractional part of  $x$ .

Moreover, we mention that  $d_\beta(x)$  is finite if and only if there is a  $k \geq 0$  with  $T^k(x) = 0$ ,  $d_\beta(x)$  is ultimately periodic if and only if there is some smallest  $n \geq 0$  (the pre-period length) and  $s \geq 1$  (the period length) when  $T^{n+s}(x) = T^n(x)$ , namely the period length. In a special case, where  $n = 0$ ,  $d_\beta(x)$  is purely periodic.

Afterwards, we will use the following notations :

$$Fin(\beta) = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_p : d_\beta(x) \text{ is finite}\} \text{ and } Per(\beta) = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_p : d_\beta(x) \text{ is eventually periodic}\}.$$

Hence, it is easy to check that

$$Fin(\beta) \subset Per(\beta).$$

Through the use of the previous sets and the PC numbers, K.Scheicher, V. F. Sirvent and P. Surer established the following theorem ([8]):

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\beta$  be a PC number. Then  $Per(\beta) = \mathbb{Q}(\beta) \cap \mathbb{Z}_p$ .*

Furthermore, analogously to the notion of  $\beta$ -numbers in the real case we define the beta-p-adic numbers in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  as follows:

**Definition 3.2.** *Let  $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  where  $|\beta|_p > 1$ .  $\beta$  is called a beta-p-adic number if  $1 \in Per(\beta)$  and is called a simple beta-p-adic number if  $1 \in Fin(\beta)$ .*

4. Results

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $x \in \mathbb{Q}_+$  such that  $x < p^n$  with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $|x|_p > p^{-n}$ .

**Proof:**

Since  $x < p^n$  then the  $p$ -adic expansion of  $x$  will be written as follows:

$$x = \sum_{i=n_0}^{\infty} a_i p^i, \text{ such that } n_0 < n.$$

Otherwise  $x$  will be greater than  $p^n$ . □

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $(x_i) \in \mathbb{A}'_p$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq m$  where  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Then

$$|\sum_{i=1}^m x_i|_p > p^{-([\log_p(m)]+1)}$$

**Proof:**

By assumption, we have  $(x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq m} \in \mathbb{A}'_p$  which implies that  $0 \leq x_i < 1$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m x_i &< m = p^{\log_p(m)} \\ &< p^{[\log_p(m)]+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, according to Lemma 4.1 we infer that  $|\sum_{i=1}^m x_i|_p > p^{-([\log_p(m)]+1)}$ . □

**Theorem 4.3.** (Classical Theorem of symmetric polynomials)

Let  $Q \in \mathbb{A}_p[x][y]$  and  $F(y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, \dots, y^{(d)}) = Q(y^{(1)})Q(y^{(2)})\dots Q(y^{(d)})$ .

Then, there exists a polynomial  $T$  with  $d$  variables and coefficients in  $\mathbb{A}_p[x]$  such that

$$F(y^{(1)}, y^{(2)}, \dots, y^{(d)}) = T(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_d)$$

where:

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^d y^{(i)} \\ \sigma_2 &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} y^{(i)} y^{(j)} \\ \sigma_3 &= \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k \leq d} y^{(i)} y^{(j)} y^{(k)} \\ &\vdots \\ \sigma_d &= y^{(1)} y^{(2)} \dots y^{(d)} \end{aligned} \right.$$

Let's mention also that the total degree of  $T$  is lower or equal to the degree of  $Q$ .

**Lemma 4.4.**

Let  $\beta$  be an algebraic integer with minimal polynomial  $P_\beta(y) = y^d + A_{d-1}y^{d-1} + \dots + A_0$ , where  $A_i \in \mathbb{A}_p[x]$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq d$ . Let  $m \geq d$  and  $K(y) = y^m B_m + B_{m-1}y^{m-1} + \dots + B_0$  with  $B_i \in \mathbb{A}_p[x]$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq m$ . Then

$$|K(\beta)|_p > p^{-([\log_p((m_n)^d)]+1)}.$$

**Proof:**

Let  $K(y) = y^m B_m + B_{m-1} y^{m-1} + \dots + B_0$  a polynomial of degree  $m \geq d$ .

Since  $\beta^d = -A_{d-1} \beta^{d-1} - \dots - A_0$ , there exist  $C_{(i,s)} \in \mathbb{A}_p[x]$  such that

$$\beta^{d+s-1} = C_{(d-1,s)} \beta^{d-1} + \dots + C_{(0,s)} \text{ for all } s \geq 1.$$

Let now  $\beta = \beta^{(1)}$  and  $\beta^{(2)}, \dots, \beta^{(d)}$  be the conjugates of  $\beta$ . For  $s = m - d + 1$ , there exist  $D_i \in \mathbb{A}_p[x]$  such that

$$K(\beta^{(j)}) = D_{d-1}(\beta^{(j)})^{d-1} + \dots + D_0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq d.$$

By Theorem 4.3, there exists a polynomial  $T$  with  $d$  variables and coefficients in  $\mathbb{A}'_p[X]$  such that

$$\prod_{j=1}^d K(\beta^{(j)}) = T(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_d)$$

with  $|\sigma_i|_p = \left| \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_d} \beta^{(j_1)} \beta^{(j_2)} \dots \beta^{(j_d)} \right|_p = |A_{d-i}|_p \in \mathbb{A}'_p$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq d$  and the total degree of  $T$  is lower or

equal to  $d$ , which involves that the polynomial  $K$  contains at most  $(m)^d$  monomials of the form  $\sum_{i=1}^d D_i \sigma_i^{\alpha_i}$  with

$\sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i \leq m$  and without loss of generality we can assume that  $D_i \in \mathbb{A}'_p$ . Therefore,  $K$  contains at most  $(m)^d$  monomials in  $\mathbb{A}'_p$ . Hence by Lemma 4.2, we get

$$|K(\beta)|_p > p^{-([\log_p((m)^d)]+1)}.$$

□

**Theorem 4.5.** Let  $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  with  $|\beta|_p > 1$  an algebraic integer of algebraic degree  $d \geq 2$  such that  $d_\beta(1) = (a_i)_{i \geq 1}$  is an infinite and lacunary sequence in the following sense: There exists two sequences  $(m_n)_{n \geq 1}$  and  $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$  such that:

$$1 = s_0 \leq m_1 < s_1 \leq m_2 < s_2 \leq \dots \leq m_n < s_n \leq m_{n+1} < s_{n+1} \leq \dots$$

with  $(s_n - m_n) \geq 2$ ,  $a_{m_n} \neq 0$ ,  $a_{s_n} \neq 0$  and  $a_i = 0$  if  $m_n < i < s_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Then,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{v_p(\beta)(m_n - s_n)}{[\log_p((m_n)^d)] + 1} \leq 1$$

**Proof:**

We consider the polynomial

$$k_n(y) = -y^{m_n} + a_1 y^{m_n-1} + a_2 y^{m_n-2} + \dots + a_{m_n}$$

It is clear that  $k_n(y)$  is a polynomial of degree  $m_n$ . Let  $P_\beta(y) = y^d + A_{d-1} y^{d-1} + \dots + A_0$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\beta$ . Therefore, on the one hand according to Lemma 4.4 we get

$$|k_n(\beta)|_p > p^{-([\log_p((m_n)^d)]+1)}. \quad (1)$$

and on the other hand, we have

$$k_n(\beta) = \beta^{m_n} (a_{s_n} \beta^{-s_n} + a_{s_{n-1}} \beta^{-s_{n-1}} + \dots),$$

which involves that

$$\begin{aligned} |k_n(\beta)|_p &= |\beta|_p^{m_n} |a_{s_n} \beta^{-s_n} + a_{s_{n-1}} \beta^{-s_{n-1}} + \dots|_p \\ &\leq |\beta|_p^{m_n} |\beta|_p |\beta|_p^{-s_n} \\ &\leq |\beta|_p^{m_n - s_n + 1} \\ &\leq p^{-v_p(\beta)(m_n - s_n + 1)}. \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

Combining (1) and (2), we get

$$p^{-([\log_p((m_n)^d)]+1)} \leq |k_n(\beta)|_p \leq p^{-v_p(\beta)(m_n-s_n+1)}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{p^{([\log_p((m_n)^d)]+1)}} \leq \frac{1}{p^{v_p(\beta)(m_n-s_n+1)}}.$$

So,

$$p^{([\log_p((m_n)^d)]+1)} \geq p^{v_p(\beta)(m_n-s_n+1)}.$$

Finally, we obtain that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{v_p(\beta)(m_n - s_n)}{[\log_p((m_n)^d)] + 1} \leq 1.$$

□

From the previous Theorem, we display this immediate consequence:

**Corollary 4.6.** *Let  $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}_p$  with  $|\beta|_p > 1$  such that  $d_\beta(1) = (a_i)_{i \geq 1}$  is an infinite and lacunary sequence in the following sense: There exists two sequences  $(m_n)_{n \geq 1}$  and  $(s_n)_{n \geq 0}$  such that:*

$$1 = s_0 \leq m_1 < s_1 \leq m_2 < s_2 \leq \dots \leq m_n < s_n \leq m_{n+1} < s_{n+1} \leq \dots$$

with  $(s_n - m_n) \geq 2$ ,  $a_{m_n} \neq 0$ ,  $a_{s_n} \neq 0$  and  $a_i = 0$  if  $m_n < i < s_n$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

If  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{v_p(\beta)(m_n - s_n)}{[\log_p((m_n)^d)] + 1} = +\infty$  then  $\beta$  is a transcendental number.

## References

- [1] B. Adamczewski and Y. Bugeaud: Dynamics for beta-shifts and Diophantine approximation, *Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys.*, 27, 1695-1711, (2007).
- [2] J.P. Allouche, M. Cosnard: The Komornik-Loreti constant is transcendental. *Amer. Math. Monthly* 107, 448-449, (2000).
- [3] D. Boyd: On beta-expansions for Pisot numbers, *Math. Comp.* 65(N214), 841-860, (1996).
- [4] K. Mahler: Arithmetic properties of lacunary power series with integral coefficients, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* 5, 56-64, (1965).
- [5] J. Neukirch: Algebraic number theory, volume 322 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1999).
- [6] W. Parry: On the beta-expansions of real numbers, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* 11, 401-416, (1960).
- [7] A. Rényi: Representations for real numbers and their ergodic properties, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.* 8, 477-493, (1975).
- [8] K. Scheicher, V.F. Sirvent, P. Surer: beta-expansions of p-adic numbers, *Ergod. Th. Dynam. Sys.* 36, 924-943, (2016).
- [9] J.P. Verger-Gaugry. On gaps in Rényi  $\beta$ -expansions of unity for  $\beta > 1$  an algebraic number, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 56, pp. 2565-2579, (2006).